

Post- Covid - Management, Leadership and Recovery Issues

Dr. Meenal Sukhlecha

Asst. Prof. ,

S. S. Jain Subodh Management Institute,

Jaipur

Abstract:

Every catastrophe has three stages: the emergency, deterioration, and, finally, a recovery. And while the latter phase may seem like even sailing in comparison to manoeuvring your business through Covid-19, it can essentially be filled with taking away, loss, and doubt. That's because, during the emergency, the sense of perseverance seemed crystal clear: Act now. Defend the business. As the recovery unfolds, more vital and nagging questions arise: What comes after? What parts of our business and association will even be significant in the future? What is the new big portrait? To address and retort to these questions, leaders should focus on few parts and handle the recovery stage along with looking into areas which have now become the "In" thing.

Keywords: Covid, Post-Covid, Recovery, Pandemic

INTRODUCTION:

The COVID-19 pandemic and ensuing national lockdowns across many countries have presented a huge trial to business activities, where these activities depend on being existing in a physical site or present with others. Also, most of the businesses' supply chain was under stark stress, to say the least. Issues from obtaining of goods/raw materials to conveyance to production facility to transfer without forgetting the human component had to be managed on a day-to-day basis and it was challenging to make any sensible short-term planning. Notwithstanding all that, companies had to accomplish the blood life of any businesses: cash flow limitations – timely recovery of debtors, payments to suppliers and employees, and servicing banks' interests and debts. For many, the businesses would have gone down the bankruptcy route much faster if it was not for government financial help provided by many, but not all, countries. And the level of financial assistance provided differed meaningfully from one country to another.

For many businesses, either they were ordered to close down by law throughout the lockdown, or for others who were not in the possibility of the lockdown regulations, they faced multiple functioning challenges: they were not prepared to work from home (IT set-up not ready, lack of dependable IT equipment, poor / saturated internet connections in some areas, etc), staff were not able to travel to work due to travel constraints, staff illness, staff staying at home to show up to elderlies, children and sick relatives and some operations were just not suitable to be transferred to a home environment. And for those who were able to work remotely from home, there were additional challenges to their own private and family situation: working couple not having adequate (breathing) space at home to work together,

children at home who needed their own space for studying or playing, parents having to take care of underage kids at the identical time as working, etc..

For those involved in the preparation of the accounts, they were faced with surplus technical and business challenges:

- Difficulties in obtaining statistics from management and non-management resources,
- Difficulties in retrieving workplace, offices, factories or warehouses,
- Access to key management resources who could have been redistributed to other areas of the business on short term basis,
- Issues in evaluating the impact of cash flows, EBITA,
- Breach of (bank) covenants which could lead to cash flow consequences.

THE DIFFERENT BUSINESSES:

In terms of the way that the calamity impacted companies, this can be perceived by looking at workforces that fell into one or more of three groupings: office-based businesses; service-sector businesses such as hospitality, retail, and leisure; and companies providing indispensable products or services.

Office-based businesses:

Office-based businesses were generally able to react to the crisis by having their employees work from home. Remote work kept many companies in action, and for some types of businesses, such as law offices and consultancies, the changeover was (relatively) not difficult. Working from home, however, brought its own hitches: for example, how to observe and manage dispersed personnel, and how to shield confidential business strategies and trade secrets when they are being discussed in unsecure buildings.

Service-sector businesses:

- Most service-sector businesses, on the other hand, be deficient in the flexibility to conduct operations remotely on any measure, at least without restructuring their offering. Some, primarily retailers, remained in operation by offering online shopping, but of course this still left huge numbers of staff affected, who faced unemployment – or, if they were fortunate, exposure under a government support scheme - during the lockdown.
- That these low-wage workers – with inadequate savings and funds to fall upon – were among the hardest hit during the pandemic highlights the suffering that was experienced during the calamity and the unequal way this suffering was distributed.

Essential companies:

- A different set of issues has affected those parts of the economy estimated essential, and which could not shut down during the pandemic. Employees here faced diverse risks than their counterparts. While work could generally endure, safety measures have transformed what this looks like in practice, and employers in these cases have had the earliest involvement of the realities around trying to function in a covid secure way, and manage employee worries as to the realities of this. In these cases, job losses remain an issue – reduced operational capacity required to empower social distancing, meaning employers have had to keep this under cautious review.
- As more and more economies begin to reopen, businesses concentrated on bringing their staff back to the workplace have to stride that tightrope between making jobsites as benign as possible, and making them feasible.

THE CHALLENGES:

Flexible working shouldn't necessarily influence productivity, particularly as it becomes more conventional. The problem is that the current archetypal of flexible working in the framework of the coronavirus is a quick and dirty form of what working from home means – people were rushed into it and ultimately it may not be justifiable in this form in the long term.

This leads us to a couple of key questions: What does a sustainable model of homeworking look like? How can it be applied in a way that makes the most of the benefits for both employer and employee?

There are different benefits of flexible working. For individuals, the key benefits are better work-life balance, amplified organisational obligation and job satisfaction, and higher productivity. And for organisations: boosted employee recruitment and retention; reduced stress, absence, unpunctuality, and turnover; improved employee satisfaction, engagement, commitment, and productivity; and cost savings (e.g. savings in office space).

That said, there is evidence that suggests flexible work can have downsides, particularly in terms of distorting of work/life boundaries; amplification of working effort and working times; professional quarantine; and amplified management / training costs to better these effects.

Moving forward, the key challenges are how companies can:

- Furnish for employees who don't want to work from home – and who therefore might find home working to be an undesirable experience for them?
- Benefit from the lengthening of the talent pool that fallout from companies no longer being as tied to a physical space as much?
- Tackle concerns of professional isolation – there is some research which suggests 2-3 days in an office is about right in terms of thwarting this.
- Overcome some of the obstacles to flexible working: a lack of supportive organisational values; problems of presenteeism, the impression of an 'ideal worker'; or lack of informal / co-worker / manager support.
- Rearrange everyday jobs to make them more independent and thus able to be done from home effortlessly, or make the interdependence of tasks more clear and well-understood.

The significances for implementing and managing flexible working practices should be:

- Setting jobs up so that they can be more autonomous, and therefore able to be done from home on a more discrete basis.
- Identify those that value independence and self-sufficiency the most in their role, who will value the most from working from home.
- Create a supportive culture and management tactic to working remotely; a good arrangement of internal communications; and the training resources needed to sustain and encourage working from home
- **Female workers post-pandemic**
 - As companies look forward, many are also antagonizing unexpected challenges, such as the pandemic's creation of a gender rift among employees.

- There is mounting proof that the crisis has impacted women far contrarily than men and is fostering inequality.
- Many businesses have instigated "readiness" tests forward of the resurrecting period, to define if a company is able to safely admit employees back. When applying this assessment to employees – in crux, asking each worker if he or she is personally equipped to return – companies are finding that women have been less likely to be able to start again their onsite duties, and more likely to want larger flexibility on an on-going basis.
- Although a single company cannot solve the cultural vibrant that still results in women carrying a lop-sided share of 'domestic' duties, companies are identifying this problem, and the need to consider how best to remain on track with multiplicity priorities at work. While enabling continual remote work will be a good thing in supporting employees with different peculiar circumstances, being aware of the risks of this longer term – where not actively managed to ensure complete engagement – is important. Although this solution will keep these women working, some analysts worry that such a tendency may cause a gender gap where workplaces become largely male dominated with all the consequences on workplace culture such a shift might entail.
- Although workers are not likely to stay at home indeterminately, extended remote-work arrangement could have abearing on promotions, responsibilities and job security that cannot be unheeded – out of sight should not mean out of mind, and businesses will have to proactively address any effect, so as to ensure equal treatment.

REMOTE WORK: A TEMPORARY FIX OR THE FUTURE?

- The possibility exists, however, that remote working in the future may not be a professional hindrance. Not only has remote work become more widespread generally in recent years, the knowledge of the pandemic has proven that remote work is not only imaginable for many tasks traditionally performed in an office, but is now chosen by many workers. Several studies upkeep an expressed longing by the majority of workers to have increased agility supported, in how they work.
- Remote working may become the inclination of more and more companies, seeking to improve productivities, and to reduce the outlays of providing office space. One problem with transitioning to remote work, however, is that the labour codes of most countries are established on an assumption of an employer well-ordered workplace in most cases.
- If employees are working from home, how can working times be measured or safe-working conditions be assured? If an employee is incapacitated at home during working hours, who is liable? Obviously, if remote working becomes more widespread, workers will still need to be sheltered, but more disputes are likely in terms of who is accountable for what.
- Also, remote work, although suitable for many, reduces rendezvous between workers and could standoff collaboration and the growth of leadership skills. How this might have emotional impacton innovation, direction of tasks and the creation of talented managers over the long-term remains to be seen. As obligatory remote working has continued longer term, its boundaries have also been identified, in not providing the human contact that can often drive efficacious teams.
- All the sectors are very imperative; manufacturing for example cannot be done from home in the same way that professional services can be.

COVID-19 has closed down old prospects, but opened up new ones in how events and innovation relate to recovery, space and proximity. Recovery presents new encounters for leaders and teams. What can you suppose and how can you steer?

FACING THE NEW REALITY

Seeing the different teams in recent weeks about their involvement with managing the recovery, three themes emerge:

The unexpected high points brought on by the crisis are fading: Quick decision-making, Productivity of meetings, Honest, concise, and recurrent communications, Freedom to organize your day and work from home, Informal and authentic team interactions.

Several teams talk about that they actually miss the motivating rush of the emergency and the profound spirits of significance and community that they practised during the lockdown. They wanted to sustain these new ways of operational and maintain the urgency and intimacy of the crisis. But any good intentions slipped through their fingers as 9-to-5 back-to-back meeting days have made a surprisingly quick rejoinder. The “new normal” is not so original after all — and that feels like a lost prospect.

The unresolved tangle of emotions: The leaders report that they have learned so much new about themselves and their closest colleagues: Who rises to the event, who loses trust, who supports, who cracks, who taunts, who falls silent — and how do these activities evolve as the crisis disclose?

A leader in the media industry worried how proud he was of his coworkers. “I don’t contemplate I ever cherished my contemporaries this way before. When corona hit, we all treaded up and covered for each other. We were all sacked up by the greater cause and churning out new reporting faster than ever. We had to be brutally honest about our own ability and energy. Frankly, it was really stimulating.”

Indeed, it’s like the “passionate operating system” of many teams has been retuned. Such a reset is psychologically powerful: it exposes both sturdy ties and weak links in the team, and all this requires recalibration of both your personal self-image and team crescendos when things return to normal.

The liability of the work ahead: It’s dawning on leaders and teams that the lockdown stage was in fact just the serious part of the crisis. Now they need to involve with more profound and adaptive challenges in their businesses and the technique they lead.

The irony is that during the emergency, the logic of purpose seemed crystal clear: Act now. Defend the business. As the recovery discloses, more vital and nagging questions arise: What comes after? What parts of our business and organization will even be pertinent in the future? What must we do to formulate for a second or third wave? What is the new big picture?

HOW CAN LEADERS TACKLE THE RECOVERY PHASE?

The non-appearance of relief is a significant sign that leaders have massive psychological work to do as part of the recovery phase, too. As a leader, you need to be mindful of what is going on in your team and on the front line in the recovery phase and acclimatize your leadership accordingly.

First, the recovery symbolizes the onset of a broader dare, not the end of the crisis. One of the stiff things about the Covid-19 crisis is that there is no liberty day when it’s gone and done with. It’s *not* gone and done with in most places, and the repercussion can be longer and harder than mayhem of the first response. Foremost with this aftershock in mind is key and you need to defy yourself and your team with this somewhat punitive reality.

How? Don't think of retrieval as just going back to work and adopting your old habits. Create new meaning. Ask queries: "What was the idea of this crisis? What will we do if this occurs again? What did we learn from this case? How can we move faster next time?" Find a realistic sense of optimism — "What should we alter?" Priorities need to be rearranged, strategies must be accustomed, and resources must be readdressed. That's the core of recovery leadership.

Second, recalibrate your team. A crisis often regroups the informal hierarchy of a team, both because what's crucial and who's important alters, and because new heroes appear and new relationships are counterfeit. While the formal structure may be unmovable, the informal structure has been dislocated under the surface and needs to be readjusted or rethought. Think of the recovery phase as an modulation point for the way your team cooperates, not as a U-turn that leads back to acquainted routines.

- One team member summed up the irony of the crisis. "Looking at the numbers, our business has been set back years. But culturally, we have been thrown ahead to a future we could not have imagined, and deliberately, our transformation has gained a thrust we could never have shaped on our own."
- A central lesson of *why* this transpired was that the crisis exposed hidden talents and unseen talents. And the final outcome of the leader's session was a formal retune of the roles and responsibilities of the executive team based on the new business requirements that the crisis surfaced, but also based on the certain qualities that individual team members had established.
- True, not every team or leader will reach the same assumption. But all teams can help from conducting an embattled search for the positive outcomes of the crisis and replicating on how their relationships with each other and their work have transformed. Carving out time for this kind of probing can both be therapeutic for the team and push the forward motion you need.

Third, resurrect with attention to the small stuff. Many leaders understand right now that reopening is harder than closing down. Coming back to the office is trickier and necessitates more finely grained acceptances and decisions than requesting people to work from home. Why? The issues related to reopening don't really apprehend abstract problems, acute crisis interference, or big strategic moves. Instead, it's about real-world and everyday stuff, a radical modification of scenery for many leaders. It feels like having to unclutter your room after having wrestled a major battle.

- Even though the "how to reopen the office" debate can feel like a chore rather than an encounter, you should take the small stuff extremely and be clear about the details: Respect ground rules for social distancing in the office – people have very diverse ideas of how "close is too close." Make unblemished commitments, and keep up your online existence when working from home, so it doesn't become horrible when some people do and other don't. Make sure that you endure easing into the new digital routines that your partners, co-workers, or customers have found valuable. Try to find pleasure in routines again and capitalize in the informal settings.
- The "back to the office" move should not feel like musical chairs or a logistics trick. Instead, think of the process as if you were on boarding new members to the team with similar consideration to (re)familiarizing the company culture and inspiring professional social life. In some sense it's a sole chance to get to do the first 90 days all over again.

GETTING THROUGH THE RECOVERY PHASE

- Crisis leadership is a double-edged sword: The same abilities and reaction patterns that allow you to accomplish well in an emergency may become negative when you try to return to (something resembling) standard. The unequivocal fortitude that made you operative at first can advance into adamant micro-management. Relentless watchfulness can generate tension and even hyper-

vigilance. A continued productivity boost can slide into uncurbed impulsivity. It's critical to know when enough is enough.

- At the same time, leaders cannot monitor the ordinary impulse to extract, lean back, and just assume that the team will reset itself smoothly when the sea starts calming down. There is a need for continued visibility, focussed reorientation, and continuous attention to detail.
- As a crisis evolves, the leadership attitude needs to change. In the emergency phase, leaders must interchange to the frontline and battle the fires. In the regression phase, leaders need to step back and contain the emotional disorder of their teams. In the recovery phase, leaders must find a new balance between controlling a smooth return to normal while keeping up the burden to renew and rethink the future.

That's why they are not feeling calmed: Their work as a crisis leader is not done yet.

CONCLUSION:

- It is clear that firms and government will want to be careful about the coming back to the office, as if, guidelines are not prepared or consistent, it will have an influence on infection rates and enhancing of the business activities.
- It's flawed that the end of the office will not be widespread, but it's probable that COVID-secure surroundings will be here to stay and we need to be prepared to face it.

REFERENCES:

1. **A Scope of Mobile Health solutions in COVID-19 pandemics, AfsoonAsadzadeh^{ab}Leila R.Kalankes**
2. T.P. Velavan, C.G. Meyer, **The COVID-19 epidemic**, Trop Med Int Health, 25 (3) (2020), pp. 278-280, [10.1111/tmi.13383](https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13383)
3. N. Oliver, B. Lepri, H. Sterly, R. Lambiotte, S. Deletaille, M. De Nadai, *et al.* **Mobile phone data for informing public health actions across the COVID-19 pandemic life cycle**
4. Cascella M, Rajnik M, Cuomo A, Dulebohn SC, Di Napoli R. Features, Evaluation and Treatment Coronavirus (COVID-19). StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020.
5. **C. Zheng, J. Wang, H. Guo, Z. Lu, Y. Ma, Y. Zhu, et al. Risk-adapted Treatment Strategy For COVID-19 Patients** Int J Infect Dis, 94 (2020), pp. 74-77, [10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.047)
6. Role of adjunctive treatment strategies in COVID-19 and a review of international and national clinical guidelines Mil Med Res, 7 (1) (2020), p. 22, [10.1186/s40779-020-00251-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-020-00251-x)
7. **A. Asadzadeh, S. Pakkhoo, M.M. Saeidabad, H. Khezri, R. Ferdousi**, Information technology in emergency management of COVID-19 outbreak
8. **H. Abaza, M. Marschollek**, mHealth Application Areas and Technology Combinations*. A Comparison of Literature from High and Low/Middle Income Countries Methods Inf Med, 56 (7) (2017), pp. e105-e122, [10.3414/me17-05-0003](https://doi.org/10.3414/me17-05-0003)
9. **K. Iyengar, G.K. Upadhyaya, R. Vaishya, V. Jain**, COVID-19 and applications of smartphone technology in the current pandemic