A Comparative Analysis of Linkedin and Traditional Networking in Securing Student Internship and Employment.

Shreya.V.Dhote

Dr – N.G. Gupta

Author 1 - Shreya .V. Dhote (Department Of Business Administration, SIPNA C.O.E.T. Amravati , Maharashtra, India.)

Author 2 – Dr. Shardha. Chaurasiya. (Department Of Business Administration, SIPNA C.O.E.T. Amravati , Maharashtra, India.)

- **Abstract**

In today's competitive job market, networking plays a crucial role in securing internships and employment opportunities, especially for students and fresh graduates. With the rapid digitalization of recruitment processes, professional networking platforms like LinkedIn have emerged as powerful alternatives to traditional networking methods such as campus placements, personal references, career fairs, and alumni connections. This research paper aims to comparatively analyze the effectiveness of LinkedIn networking and traditional networking in helping students secure internships and employment. The study adopts a descriptive research design using primary data collected through structured questionnaires from students pursuing higher education. The findings indicate that LinkedIn provides wider reach, faster communication, and greater exposure to recruiters, while traditional networking offers trust-based referrals and personalized guidance. The study concludes that a blended approach combining both networking methods yields better career outcomes for students. In today's competitive job market, students increasingly rely on both digital platforms and conventional methods to secure internships and employment opportunities. LinkedIn has emerged as a dominant professional networking platform, while traditional networking methods such as campus placements, referrals, career fairs, and personal contacts continue to play a significant role. This study aims to comparatively analyze the effectiveness of LinkedIn and traditional networking in securing internships and employment for students. The research is based on primary data collected through a structured questionnaire administered to undergraduate and postgraduate students, along with secondary data from journals, reports, and online sources. Statistical tools such as percentage analysis and hypothesis testing were used for data interpretation. The findings reveal that

LinkedIn provides wider exposure, faster communication, and better access to recruiters, whereas traditional networking offers trust-based connections and structured placement opportunities. The study concludes that a hybrid approach combining LinkedIn and traditional networking yields better career outcomes for students.

- **Keywords**

LinkedIn, Traditional Networking, Internships, Employment, Students, Digital Networking.

- **Introduction**

Networking has always been an integral part of career development and job acquisition. Traditionally, students relied on personal contacts, faculty references, alumni networks, and campus recruitment drives to secure internships and employment. However, with the rise of digital platforms, professional networking has undergone a significant transformation. LinkedIn, a global professional networking platform, has revolutionized how students connect with recruiters, organizations, and industry professionals.

This shift raises an important question: Is LinkedIn more effective than traditional networking methods in securing internships and employment for students? This study seeks to answer this question through a comparative analysis. Networking plays a vital role in shaping students' career paths by connecting them with potential employers and professional opportunities. Traditionally, students depended on campus placements, faculty references, alumni networks, and personal contacts to secure internships and jobs. However, with digital transformation, professional networking platforms such as LinkedIn have

significantly changed the recruitment landscape. LinkedIn enables students to showcase their skills, connect with industry professionals, and apply for opportunities globally. This study explores the comparative effectiveness of LinkedIn and traditional networking methods in helping students secure internships and employment.

Review of Literature

Previous studies highlight that networking significantly increases employment opportunities (Granovetter, 1973). Research by Nikolaou (2014) suggests that online professional networking platforms enhance job search efficiency. Meanwhile, studies by Forret & Dougherty (2001) emphasize the importance of traditional networking in building trust and long-term professional relationships. Recent literature indicates that LinkedIn enables students to build professional identity and visibility, while traditional networking remains valuable due to personal interaction and credibility. However, limited studies have comparatively examined both methods in the student context, creating a research gap this study aims to address Previous studies indicate that professional networking significantly enhances employability among students. Smith (2020) found that online professional platforms increase job visibility and recruiter engagement. Kumar and Sharma (2021) highlighted that traditional networking remains crucial due to trust and credibility factors. Brown (2019) suggested that students who combine online and offline networking methods achieve better employment outcomes. Despite extensive research, limited studies focus on a direct comparison between LinkedIn and traditional networking specifically for students, creating a research gap addressed in this study.
.

Statement of the Problem

Despite increased adoption of LinkedIn by students, many still depend on traditional networking methods for career opportunities. There is limited clarity on which networking approach is more effective in securing internships and employment, leading to confusion among students regarding career networking strategies. Students often face confusion regarding the most effective networking method for securing internships and employment. While LinkedIn offers digital convenience, traditional networking provides personal interaction and trust. The problem lies in identifying which method is more effective or whether a combination of both is beneficial for students.

Objectives of the Study

1. To identify the challenges faced by students while using LinkedIn and traditional methods for job searching.
2. To analyze the impact of traditional networking methods such as campus placements, referrals, and personal contacts on student employability.

3. To compare the effectiveness of LinkedIn networking and traditional networking in securing internships and job offers.

Research Methodology

Research Design: Descriptive research.
Primary Data: Structured questionnaire.
Secondary Data: Journals, articles, websites, reports.
Sample Size: 50 students.
Sampling Method: Convenience sampling.
Tools Used: Percentage analysis and comparative analysis.

Data Analysis and Interpretation
(Hypothesis Testing)

Hypothesis:

H_0: There is no significant difference between LinkedIn and traditional networking in securing internships and employment.

H_1: There is a significant difference between LinkedIn and traditional networking in securing internships and employment.

Result:

The results reveal a significant difference between LinkedIn and traditional networking in securing internships and employment among students. Statistical tests indicate that LinkedIn networking leads to higher internship and employment success rates, resulting in the rejection of the null hypothesis. Overall, LinkedIn proves to be more effective than traditional networking methods.

Interpretation

Analysis of responses revealed that a higher percentage of students secured interviews and internships through LinkedIn compared to traditional

networking. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected, and the alternative hypothesis is accepted.

- ### Conclusion

The study concludes that LinkedIn has emerged as a powerful tool for securing internships and employment due to its global reach, professional visibility, and recruiter accessibility.

1) LinkedIn provides access to a wider professional network.

2) Students using LinkedIn receive faster responses from recruiters.

3) Traditional networking offers stronger trust and recommendation-based opportunities.

4) Campus placements remain a key traditional method.

5) Students using both methods reported higher success rates.

- ### Suggestions / Recommendations

1) Students should maintain an updated and professional LinkedIn profile.

2) Colleges should provide LinkedIn training and digital networking workshops.

3) Students should actively engage with alumni networks.

4) A balance of online and offline networking should be encouraged.

5) Students should maintain an updated and professional LinkedIn profile

- ### References

➢ Granovetter, M. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. American Journal of Sociology.

➢ Nikolaou, I. (2014). Social Networking Web Sites in Job Search and Employee Recruitment. International Journal of Selection and Assessment.

➢ Forret, M. L., & Dougherty, T. W. (2001). Correlates of Networking Behavior. Journal of Business and Psychology.

➢ Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S. W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. Academy of Management.

➢ Brown, J., & Vaughn, E. (2011). The writing on the (Facebook) wall: The use of social networking sites in hiring decisions. Journal of Business and Psychology.

➢ Forret, M. L., & Dougherty, T. W. (2001). Correlates of networking behavior for managerial and professional employees. Group & Organization Management.

➢ Kluemper, D. H., Rosen, P. A., & Mossholder, K. W. (2012). Social networking websites, personality ratings, and the organizational context. Journal of Applied Social Psychology.

➢ LinkedIn Corporation. (2023). Global talent trends report. LinkedIn Economic Grap.

➢ McDonald, S., Lin, N., & Ao, D. (2009). Networks of opportunity: Gender, race, and job leads. Social Problems.

➢ Saks, A. M., & Ashforth, B. E. (1997). Organizational socialization: Making sense of the past and present. Journal of Vocational Behavior.

➢ Wolff, H. G., & Moser, K. (2009). Effects of networking on career success. Journal of Applied Psychology, 94(1), 196–206.