International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 02 | Feb - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.448 ISSN: 2582-3930

A Comparative Analysis of Risk Management and Credit Policies: A Study of HDFC Bank and State Bank of India

Nainani Umesh Dilipkumar[1] , Dr. Akanksha Sharma[2]
[1]Department of Commerce, Mansarovar Global University, Billkisganj, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh
[2]Department of Commerce, Mansarovar Global University, Billkisganj, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh

ABSTRACT

This study provides a comparative analysis of the risk management strategies and credit management policies of HDFC Bank, India's largest private sector bank, and State Bank of India (SBI), India's largest public sector bank. Utilizing both quantitative and qualitative methods, the research evaluates key financial indicators, loan portfolio compositions, and capital adequacy metrics from 2018 to 2023. Key findings reveal that HDFC Bank consistently maintains superior asset quality, with lower Gross and Net NPA ratios compared to SBI, indicative of more effective risk management. HDFC Bank also shows stronger profitability, as evidenced by a higher Return on Assets (ROA) and Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), reflecting robust capital reserves and efficient asset utilization. In contrast, SBI's loan portfolio is more diversified, with significant allocations to corporate and agricultural loans, aligning with its public sector mandate to support various economic sectors. Despite improvements in its financial metrics, SBI faces higher NPA ratios and credit costs compared to HDFC Bank. The study concludes that HDFC Bank's focused retail banking strategy and stronger financial indicators provide a more effective approach to managing credit risks and maintaining financial health, whereas SBI's broader mandate and diverse loan portfolio highlight its role in supporting economic and social priorities while facing ongoing challenges.

Keywords: Risk Management, Credit Management Policies, HDFC Bank, State Bank of India (SBI), Financial Indicators, Loan Portfolio Composition, Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR)

1.INTRODUCTION

The banking sector in India plays a crucial role in the nation's economic development, acting as a backbone for financial stability, credit supply, and economic growth. [1]Among the numerous banks operating in India, HDFC Bank and the State Bank of India (SBI) stand out as two of the most influential players, representing the private sector and public sector, respectively. [2]As India's largest private sector bank and a blue-chip lender, HDFC Bank has carved a niche for itself through innovative banking practices, advanced technology integration, and a conservative approach to risk management. In contrast, SBI, as the largest public sector undertaking (PSU) bank in India, carries a broader mandate, including financial inclusion, social banking, and support for priority sectors, making it an essential pillar of India's financial ecosystem.

Risk management and credit policies are critical components that determine a bank's ability to maintain financial stability, manage credit risk, and sustain profitability. [3]Effective risk management strategies help banks to mitigate potential losses from credit, market, and operational risks, while robust credit management policies ensure the quality of the loan portfolio, minimize non-performing assets (NPAs), and enhance financial performance. Given the dynamic and complex nature of the Indian banking environment, a comparative analysis of HDFC Bank and SBI provides valuable insights into the distinct approaches these two institutions adopt to manage risks and credit.

This study aims to examine the risk management strategies and credit management policies of HDFC Bank and SBI, comparing their approaches to handling various risks, such as credit risk, market risk, operational risk, and liquidity risk. [4]The analysis also delves into their credit appraisal processes, loan origination strategies, and NPA management practices to understand how these banks navigate the challenges posed by the Indian financial landscape. By evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of each bank's risk and credit management frameworks, the study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of their risk profiles and strategic priorities.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to offer policymakers, banking professionals, and academicians a deeper understanding of the risk management and credit policy dynamics of India's largest private and public sector banks. Additionally, it contributes to the broader discourse on how Indian banks can optimize their risk and credit management practices to enhance resilience and support sustainable economic growth.[5]

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: the next section provides a detailed review of the literature on risk management and credit policies in the banking sector. Following this, the methodology section outlines the research design, data sources, and analytical tools used in the study. The results section presents the findings from the comparative analysis of HDFC Bank and SBI, highlighting their risk management strategies and credit policies. Finally, the discussion and conclusion sections provide an interpretation of the findings, their implications for the Indian banking sector, and suggestions for future research.[6]

HDFC Bank

HDFC Bank Limited is India's largest private sector bank by assets and market capitalization, renowned for its innovative banking solutions, robust financial performance, and conservative risk management practices. Founded in 1994 and headquartered in Mumbai, HDFC Bank has quickly grown to become a leading player in the Indian banking sector. It offers a wide range of financial products and services to retail and wholesale customers, including loans, credit cards, savings and current accounts, investment products, and wealth management services.

Key Features of HDFC Bank:

- **Innovative Banking Solutions:** HDFC Bank is known for its focus on technology and innovation. The bank has heavily invested in digital transformation, offering advanced online banking, mobile banking, and payment solutions. It has also been a pioneer in using data analytics and artificial intelligence to enhance customer experience, reduce fraud, and streamline operations.[7]

- **Conservative Risk Management:** HDFC Bank maintains a conservative approach to risk management, which has enabled it to maintain a high-quality asset portfolio. The bank has one of the lowest Gross Non-Performing Assets (NPA) ratios in the industry, reflecting its stringent credit appraisal processes and effective loan monitoring mechanisms. Its risk management framework includes proactive measures for credit, market, operational, and liquidity risks, ensuring financial stability.

- **Strong Financial Performance:** Over the years, HDFC Bank has consistently demonstrated strong financial performance, with a high Return on Assets (ROA), strong Net Interest Margin (NIM), and a solid capital base. The bank's capital adequacy ratio (CAR) is well above the regulatory requirements, showcasing its financial strength and ability to absorb potential losses.[8]

- **Customer-Centric Approach:** The bank focuses on providing superior customer service, leveraging its extensive branch network, digital platforms, and relationship management strategies. It has a diverse customer base, ranging from retail clients to small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and large corporates.

State Bank of India (SBI)

State Bank of India (SBI) is the largest public sector bank in India and a cornerstone of the country's banking industry. With a rich history dating back to 1806, SBI has evolved to become a leading financial institution, deeply embedded in India's economic fabric. Headquartered in Mumbai, SBI operates an extensive network of branches and ATMs across India and has a significant international presence, reflecting its role in both domestic and global markets.[9]

Key Features of State Bank of India (SBI):

- **Extensive Network and Reach:** SBI has the most extensive branch network in India, with over 22,000 branches and nearly 60,000 ATMs, serving millions of customers across the country, including rural and semi-urban areas. This vast reach allows SBI to fulfill its mandate of financial inclusion, providing banking services to underserved and unbanked populations.

- **Diverse Range of Services:** SBI offers a comprehensive suite of financial products and services, including retail banking, corporate banking, treasury operations, investment banking, and insurance. The bank caters to a wide range of customers, from individuals and small businesses to large corporates and government entities.

- **Role in Financial Inclusion and Priority Sector Lending:** As a public sector bank, SBI plays a crucial role in the Indian government's financial inclusion initiatives. It is a key lender to priority sectors such as agriculture, micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), and affordable housing, aligning with national socio-economic goals. The bank's focus on these sectors is part of its broader mandate to support economic development and social welfare.[10]

- **Risk Management and Digital Transformation:** SBI has implemented robust risk management practices, focusing on credit risk, operational risk, market risk, and liquidity risk. Despite the challenges posed by its large branch network and diverse customer base, SBI continues to strengthen its risk management framework. In recent years, the bank has also made significant strides in digital transformation, enhancing its online and mobile banking platforms to compete with private sector peers.

- **Government Ownership and Influence:** As a public sector undertaking (PSU), SBI is majority-owned by the Government of India, which significantly influences its strategic direction, policy priorities, and decision-making processes. The bank often undertakes initiatives aligned with national priorities, such as economic stimulus packages, financial inclusion efforts, and support for government schemes.

Comparison between HDFC Bank and State Bank of India (SBI):

- **Ownership and Mandate:** HDFC Bank is a private sector bank focused on profitability, innovation, and customer service, whereas SBI is a public sector bank with a dual mandate of commercial viability and public service, including financial inclusion and support for priority sectors.

- **Risk Management Approach:** HDFC Bank adopts a conservative risk management approach with a focus on maintaining high asset quality and minimizing NPAs. SBI, while also focused on risk management, faces unique challenges due to its broader mandate and extensive exposure to priority sectors.[11]

- **Technological Integration:** HDFC Bank is known for its advanced technological integration and use of digital banking platforms. SBI, while catching up in terms of digital transformation, has made significant investments to improve its digital offerings and compete effectively in the evolving banking landscape.

- **Financial Performance:** HDFC Bank generally outperforms SBI in terms of key financial metrics such as NPA ratios, ROA, and NIM, reflecting its focus on efficiency and high asset quality. SBI's financial performance, while strong, is impacted by its broader socio-economic obligations and larger exposure to priority sectors.

In 2023, PIYUSH B. PATEL Both developed and emerging nations rely heavily on the financial sector. To offer direction in policymaking, it is necessary to be well-versed in the whole banking business. This study compared the performance of two top banks in India, State Bank of India and HDFC Bank, using data from 2018–19 to 2022–23 and focusing on the following metrics: return on assets, return on equity, asset quality, capital adequacy, management efficiency, profitability performance, liquidity, and bank size. When we compared the two banks, we discovered a lot of disparities. This research is being conducted with the intention of educating people. This study compares and contrasts the financial results of two prominent Indian banks: HDFC Bank and State Bank of India (SBI). The main objective of the study is to assess their performance using important financial metrics such as ROA, ROE, CA, AQ, ME, EP, LIQ, and Size. The study takes a quantitative method to analyzing the banks' financial health by looking at data from a certain time frame. According to the data, HDFC Bank has always done better than SBI on a number of measures. This study examines the history of HDFC Bank and SBI in India's banking sector and how they have dealt with the ever-changing environment by reviewing relevant literature, financial records, and industry studies. Qualitative and quantitative measures of assets, profitability, service innovation, risk management, and customer happiness are all part of the study. This study synthesizes the data presented to shed light on the unique approaches used by HDFC Bank and SBI to growth sustainability, risk management, and market penetration. This study fills a gap in our knowledge of the banking industry's development in India and provides useful information for stakeholders, investors, and policymakers interested in the future of these powerful financial companies. Based on the results of this analysis, it is clear that HDFC Bank has regularly outperformed SBI in terms of financial performance across a number of important metrics. These results have important ramifications for how both banks make strategic decisions and could point SBI in the direction of improvements that would help it compete better in the changing banking industry.[12]

2.MATERIALS AND METHOD

1. Research Design

This study utilizes a comparative research design to analyze and compare the risk management strategies and credit management policies of HDFC Bank and State Bank of India (SBI). By employing both quantitative and qualitative methods, the research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the operational frameworks and performance metrics of these two banks. The focus is on identifying the differences and similarities in their approaches to managing risks and credits within the context of their distinct operational environments—HDFC Bank being a private sector bank and SBI a public sector bank.

2. Data Sources

The study relies on a combination of primary and secondary data sources to ensure a well-rounded analysis. The primary data consists of semi-structured interviews with bank officials, industry experts, and financial analysts. These interviews provide valuable qualitative insights into the practical application of risk and credit management policies, offering perspectives on the decision-making processes and the rationale behind specific policy choices. The secondary data primarily includes annual reports from HDFC Bank and SBI covering the years 2018 to 2023, regulatory filings and disclosures from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), industry reports from financial research firms, and scholarly articles. These sources offer detailed quantitative data on financial performance, risk management frameworks, credit management strategies, and key performance indicators such as the Gross NPA ratio, Net NPA ratio, Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM).

3. Data Collection Methods

Data collection involved multiple steps to gather comprehensive information. Annual reports and financial statements for HDFC Bank and SBI from 2018 to 2023 were obtained from their respective official websites and analyzed to assess financial performance and risk management strategies. Additionally, regulatory filings, including Basel III disclosures and Pillar 3 reports, were reviewed to understand the calculations of risk-weighted assets (RWA), provisioning for non-performing assets (NPAs), and capital adequacy requirements. A thorough literature review was conducted, encompassing scholarly articles, industry reports, and research studies on risk management and credit policies within the Indian banking sector. Furthermore, semi-structured interviews with senior executives from both banks and industry experts provided insights into the decision-making processes, technological integration in risk management, and the impact of regulatory requirements on bank policies.

4. Data Analysis Methods

Data analysis was performed using a combination of descriptive statistics and comparative analysis techniques. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate key financial ratios and performance indicators such as the Gross NPA ratio, Net NPA ratio, CAR, ROA, and NIM, summarizing the financial health and risk profiles of both banks. A comparative analysis was then conducted to evaluate the differences in risk management strategies and credit management policies between HDFC Bank and SBI. This analysis included examining the banks' approaches to managing credit risk, market risk, operational risk, and liquidity risk, comparing loan origination processes, credit appraisal methodologies, and NPA management strategies, and assessing the role of technology in enhancing risk management and credit assessment processes. Additionally, a SWOT analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats) was conducted for both banks to identify strategic advantages and challenges in managing risks and credits.

5. Methodological Limitations

The study acknowledges several methodological limitations that could impact the findings. Firstly, there is a significant reliance on secondary data, such as annual reports and regulatory filings, which may be subject to reporting biases or discrepancies. These sources, while comprehensive, might not fully capture the internal risk management practices of the banks. Secondly, the primary data collection involved a limited number of interviews, which may not be fully representative of the entire banking sector or adequately reflect the complexities involved in risk and credit management practices. Lastly, the study reflects the banks' strategies and policies based on data available up to 2023. Changes in market conditions, regulatory environments, and macroeconomic factors could affect the relevance and applicability of the findings over time.

3.RESULTS

Table 1: Key Financial Indicators (2018-2023)

Indicator	HDFC Bank (2023)	HDFC Bank (2018)	SBI (2023)	SBI (2018)
Gross NPA Ratio (%)	1.4	1.3	4.8	9.0
Net NPA Ratio (%)	0.4	0.4	1.3	4.8

Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) (%)	18.2	15.8	13.7	12.9
Return on Assets (ROA) (%)	1.9	1.7	0.7	0.3

This table compares the key financial indicators of HDFC Bank and SBI between 2018 and 2023. It shows that HDFC Bank maintains a lower Gross and Net NPA ratio compared to SBI, indicating better asset quality and credit risk management. The higher Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) and Return on Assets (ROA) for HDFC Bank demonstrate stronger capital reserves and profitability over the period.

Table 2.Loan Portfolio Composition (2023)

Loan Type	**HDFC Bank (₹ Crores)**	**% of Total Loans (HDFC)**	**SBI (₹ Crores)**	**% of Total Loans (SBI)**
Retail Loans	4,50,000	50%	5,00,000	45%
Corporate Loans	2,70,000	30%	4,00,000	36%
SME Loans	90,000	10%	1,50,000	13%
Agricultural Loans	45,000	5%	90,000	8%
Priority Sector Lending	45,000	5%	70,000	6%
Total Loans	**9,00,000**	**100%**	**11,10,000**	**100%**

The table illustrates the loan portfolio composition of HDFC Bank and SBI in 2023, highlighting their focus areas in lending. HDFC Bank has a higher concentration in retail loans (50%), while SBI has a more diversified portfolio with a significant share in corporate (36%) and agricultural loans (8%). This reflects HDFC Bank's strategy to focus on consumer banking, whereas SBI leverages its public sector mandate to support priority sectors.

Table 3. Capital Adequacy Ratios and Provisions (2023)

Metric	**HDFC Bank**	**SBI**

Tier 1 Capital Adequacy Ratio (%)	16.5	11.2
Tier 2 Capital Adequacy Ratio (%)	1.7	2.5
Total Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) (%)	18.2	13.7
Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR) (%)	80.5	77.4
Credit Cost (%)	1.0	1.6
Risk-Weighted Assets (₹ Crores)	6,50,000	8,00,000

This table presents the capital adequacy ratios and provision coverage metrics for HDFC Bank and SBI in 2023. HDFC Bank exhibits a higher Total Capital Adequacy Ratio (18.2%) and Provision Coverage Ratio (80.5%), indicating a stronger capital position and more conservative provisioning policies compared to SBI. The lower credit cost for HDFC Bank (1.0%) suggests more efficient credit risk management and a lower cost of maintaining loan quality than SBI.

DISCUSSIONS

The data from Table 1 reveals significant differences between HDFC Bank and SBI in terms of financial health and risk management over the period from 2018 to 2023. [13] HDFC Bank consistently maintains lower Gross and Net NPA ratios compared to SBI, reflecting superior asset quality and more effective credit risk management. HDFC Bank's Gross NPA ratio increased slightly from 1.3% in 2018 to 1.4% in 2023, whereas SBI’s ratio decreased substantially from 9.0% to 4.8% over the same period. This suggests that while SBI has made progress in improving its asset quality, it still lags behind HDFC Bank.[14]

In terms of capital adequacy and profitability, HDFC Bank shows a stronger performance. The Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) for HDFC Bank improved from 15.8% in 2018 to 18.2% in 2023, surpassing SBI’s CAR of 13.7% in 2023, which itself increased from 12.9% in 2018. This indicates that HDFC Bank has a more robust capital base relative to its risk-weighted assets. Additionally, HDFC Bank’s Return on Assets (ROA) of 1.9% in 2023 is significantly higher than SBI’s 0.7%, highlighting better profitability and efficient asset utilization.Table 2 presents the loan portfolio composition of both banks, illustrating their strategic focus areas in lending. HDFC Bank’s portfolio is heavily weighted towards retail loans (50%), indicating a strong focus on consumer finance, including personal loans, mortgages, and credit cards. This strategy aligns with HDFC Bank's business model, which emphasizes retail banking and consumer services.[15]

On the other hand, SBI's loan portfolio is more diversified with significant proportions in corporate loans (36%) and agricultural loans (8%). This diversification reflects SBI's broader mandate to support various sectors of the economy, including large enterprises and priority sectors like agriculture. The higher allocation to corporate and agricultural loans suggests that SBI aims to leverage its position as a public sector bank to fulfill broader economic and social objectives.Table 3 highlights differences in capital adequacy and provisioning practices between the two banks. HDFC Bank's Tier 1 Capital Adequacy Ratio (16.5%) and Total CAR (18.2%) exceed those of SBI (11.2% and 13.7%, respectively), indicating a stronger capital position and better resilience against financial shocks. HDFC Bank's higher Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR) of 80.5% compared to SBI's 77.4% demonstrates a more conservative approach to provisioning for potential loan losses.

Moreover, HDFC Bank's lower Credit Cost (1.0%) compared to SBI (1.6%) suggests more efficient credit risk management and a lower cost associated with maintaining asset quality. The higher Risk-Weighted Assets for SBI (₹8,00,000 crores) compared to HDFC Bank (₹6,50,000 crores) reflect SBI's larger and potentially riskier loan book.

CONCLUSIONS

The comparative analysis reveals that HDFC Bank exhibits stronger financial stability, better asset quality, and more effective risk management practices than SBI. HDFC Bank's lower NPA ratios, higher CAR, and better ROA underscore its superior performance in managing credit risk and maintaining profitability. The bank's focus on retail loans contributes to its robust financial health and efficient asset management.

In contrast, SBI's diverse loan portfolio aligns with its public sector role but reflects challenges related to asset quality and credit management. Despite improvements in its financial indicators over time, SBI still faces higher NPA ratios and credit costs compared to HDFC Bank.

Overall, while both banks have their unique strengths and operational focuses, HDFC Bank's strategies and financial metrics suggest a more effective approach to managing risks and optimizing financial performance. SBI's broader mandate and diversified portfolio highlight its role in supporting various sectors, but it must continue to address challenges related to asset quality and efficiency.

REFERENCES

1. Sai Kathiresan M. M .G (2015) "Financial Performance of Indian Banking Sector: A Case study of SBI and ICICI Bank 2(1) 12".

2. Banarjee, Rohit. (2016). "A Comparative Analysis of the Financial Ratios of Selected Banks in India for the Period of 2015-2016." Research Journal of Finance and Accounting.

3. Mishra, D. B. (2015). "Financial Performance of New Private Sector Banks in India: An In-depth Study". Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 10.

4. Athanasoglou, P. P., Brissimis, S. N., & Delis, M. D. (2008). Bank-specific, industry-specific and macroeconomic determinants of bank profitability. International Financial Markets Institutions & Money, 18 (2008), 121-136.

5. Koasmidou, K. (2008). The determinants of banks' profits in Greece during the period of EU financial integration. Managerial Finance, 34 (3), 146-159.

6. Sufian, F. (2009). Determinants of bank efficiency during unstable macroeconomic environment: Empirical evidence from Malaysia. Research in International Business and Finance, 23, 54-77.

7. Aburime, U. T. (2009). Impact of Political Affiliation on Bank Profitability in Nigeria. African Journal of Accounting, Economics, Finance, and Banking Research, 4 (4), 61-75.

8. Ramlall, I. (2009). Bank-Specific, Industry-Specific and Macroeconomic Determinants of Profitability in Taiwanese Banking System: Under Panel Data Estimation. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics (34), 160-167.

9. Hassan, M. A., Raza A., & Akram M. (2011). A Financial Performance Comparison of Public Vs Private Banks: The Case of Commercial Banking Sector of Pakistan. International Journal of Business and Social Science Vol. 2 No. 11 [Special Issue - June 2011.

10. Sufian, F. & Chong, R. R. (2008). Determinants of Bank Profitability in a Developing Economy: Empirical Evidence from Philippines. Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance. [Online] 4(2) p.91-112. Available from:http://www.usm.my/journal/aamjaf/vol%204-2-2008/4-2-5.pdf.

11. Kamau, A.W. (2009). Efficiency in the Banking Sector: An Empirical Investigation of Commercial Banks in Kenya. A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the Requirements of Nairobi University for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Nairobi: University of Nairobi.

12. Piyush B. Patel" Ana Lyzing Financial Performance: A Comparative Study Of State Bank Of India And Hdfc Bank", © 2023 JETIR August 2023, Volume 10, Issue 8

13. Baral, K. J. (2005). Health Check-up of Commercial Banks in the Framework of CAMEL: A Case Study of Joint Venture Banks in Nepal. The Journal of Nepalese Business Studies.[Online] Vol II No.1. Available from:http://www.nepjol.info/index.php/JNBS/article/viewFile/55/483.

14. Kosmidou, K. (2008). The determinants of banks' profits in Greece during the period of EU Financial integration. Journal of Managerial Finance. [Online] 34 (3). Available from: http://www.emeraldinsight.com.

15. Spathis, C., Koasmidou, K., & Doumpos, M. (2002). Assessing Profitability Factors in the Greek Banking System: A Multicriteria methodology. International Transactions in Operational Research, 517-530.