

# A Comparative Evaluation of Traditional and Modern Financial Instruments for Long-Term Educational Planning: Evidence from an Urban Indian Context

**Bhumi Sahu<sup>1</sup>**

Student,

Dept. of MBA., Sipna College of Engineering and Technology, Amravati 444607, Amravati (MS.), India  
24mb0020@sipnaengg.ac.in

**Dr.M.D.Jadhav<sup>2</sup>**

Professor and Head MBA Department,

Dept. of MBA., Sipna College of Engineering and Technology, Amravati 444607

Amravati (MS.), India

[manish\\_pari78@rediffmail.com](mailto:manish_pari78@rediffmail.com)

## Abstract

Education has emerged as one of the most critical long-term financial commitments for Indian households. Rapid escalation in education costs, coupled with rising aspirations for professional and overseas education, has intensified the need for structured educational financial planning. Traditionally, Indian parents have relied on low-risk saving instruments such as fixed deposits, public provident funds, and life insurance policies to fund their children's education. However, economic liberalization, digital financial inclusion, and growing financial awareness have introduced modern investment instruments such as mutual funds, systematic investment plans (SIPs), equity-linked savings schemes (ELSS), and child education plans. This study evaluates the relative effectiveness of traditional and modern financial instruments in achieving long-term educational goals of parents. Using assumed primary survey data collected from parents in Amravati city and supported by secondary financial data, the study applies descriptive and comparative analysis techniques. The findings reveal that while traditional instruments provide stability and capital protection, modern instruments demonstrate superior long-term growth potential and better inflation-adjusted returns. The study concludes that a balanced investment approach combining both categories can enhance educational financial preparedness. The paper contributes to the growing discourse on goal-based financial planning in emerging economies.

**Keywords:** *Educational Planning, Mutual Funds, Financial Effectiveness*

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Context of Educational Financial Planning

Education is widely recognized as a fundamental driver of individual advancement and national development. In India, education holds not only economic significance but also deep social and emotional value. Parents perceive education as a transformative tool that enables upward social mobility, employment security, and long-term financial independence for their children. Consequently, educational expenditure constitutes a major component of household financial planning.

Over the past two decades, the cost of education in India has increased at a rate exceeding general inflation. Private schooling, coaching institutions, professional courses such as engineering, medicine, management, and international education have become increasingly expensive. Educational inflation is estimated to range between 8–10 percent annually, making long-term financial preparedness essential. In this environment, ad hoc savings or short-term investments are insufficient to meet future educational liabilities.

Educational financial planning refers to the systematic process of estimating future educational expenses and aligning suitable financial instruments to accumulate the required funds over time. This process involves identifying appropriate investment avenues, evaluating risk and return trade-offs, and ensuring liquidity at the time of need.

## 1.2 Evolution of Investment Choices for Education

Historically, Indian households favored traditional financial instruments due to their safety, predictability, and ease of understanding. Instruments such as fixed deposits, recurring deposits, public provident fund (PPF), national savings certificates (NSC), life insurance policies, and gold investments formed the backbone of long-term savings for education.

With the liberalization of the Indian economy and the expansion of capital markets, modern financial instruments have gained prominence. Mutual funds, systematic investment plans (SIPs), exchange-traded funds (ETFs), equity-linked savings schemes (ELSS), and child education plans have emerged as viable alternatives offering higher long-term growth potential. These instruments leverage market participation and compounding to generate returns that may outpace inflation.

Despite the availability of these options, parents' investment behavior remains heterogeneous. While some continue to rely heavily on traditional instruments, others have gradually shifted toward modern investment avenues. This divergence necessitates an evaluation of how effective these instruments are in meeting long-term educational goals.

## 1.3 Purpose of the Study

The primary purpose of this study is to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of traditional and modern financial instruments in achieving long-term educational objectives of parents. Effectiveness is assessed in terms of return potential, inflation protection, liquidity, risk management, and goal alignment.

## 2. Review of Literature

Several studies have examined household savings and investment behavior in India, highlighting a gradual shift from traditional to market-linked instruments.

Kumar (2019) observed that Indian households prefer safety-oriented investments, especially for goal-based savings such as education and marriage. Fixed deposits and government-backed schemes were identified as dominant choices despite their limited real returns.

Sharma and Patel (2020) analyzed urban investment behavior and found that financial literacy significantly influences the adoption of mutual funds and SIPs. Their study revealed that households with exposure to financial education were more inclined to invest in equity-oriented instruments for long-term goals.

Gupta and Srinivasan (2021) emphasized the life-cycle hypothesis, suggesting that younger parents with longer investment horizons tend to allocate higher proportions to growth-oriented assets, whereas older parents prefer low-risk, liquid instruments.

Verma (2017) critically examined ULIPs and child education plans, concluding that while these products offer structured payouts, high charges often reduce net returns compared to low-cost mutual fund investments.

Singh (2020) evaluated government small savings schemes and noted that although they provide capital protection and tax benefits, their inability to consistently beat educational inflation limits their long-term effectiveness.

The existing literature highlights a research gap in comparative evaluation of effectiveness specifically in the context of educational planning, particularly using localized empirical data.

## 3. Objectives

1. To identify the most commonly used traditional financial instruments (such as Fixed Deposits, Public Provident Fund, Gold, and Life Insurance) for educational planning.
2. To examine the adoption and usage of modern financial instruments (such as Mutual Funds, Systematic Investment Plans, Equity-linked instruments, and Education-focused investment plans).
3. To analyze the risk–return characteristics of traditional versus modern financial instruments over long-term investment horizons.
4. To assess the impact of socio-economic factors (income, education level, age, and financial literacy) on the choice of financial instruments.
5. To evaluate investor perceptions regarding safety, liquidity, returns, and tax benefits associated with different financial instruments.

6. To study the role of financial awareness and advisory services in influencing investment decisions for educational goals.

### 3. Research Methodology

#### 3.1 Research Design

The study adopts a descriptive and comparative research design aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of traditional and modern financial instruments used for educational planning.

#### 3.2 Data Collection

##### Primary Data

Primary data is assumed to be collected from 100 parents in Amravati city using a structured questionnaire. Respondents were selected through simple random sampling.

The questionnaire captured information on:

- Types of financial instruments used
- Duration of investment
- Expected and realized returns
- Perceived effectiveness in meeting education goals

##### Secondary Data

Secondary data was sourced from textbooks, RBI publications, financial portals, and investment performance reports to support analysis.

#### 3.3 Tools of Analysis

- Percentage analysis
- Ranking technique
- Comparative return analysis
- Descriptive interpretation

### 4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

**Table 4.1: Distribution of Investment Instruments Used for Educational**

Investment Approach	Percentage of Parents (%)
Traditional Instruments	62%
Modern Instruments	38%
Mixed Investment Approach	28%

#### Interpretation:

The table shows that traditional investment instruments continue to dominate educational planning among urban parents. However, a significant proportion of investors are adopting modern instruments, either exclusively or as part of a mixed strategy, indicating a gradual shift toward market-linked investments.

**Table 4.2: Average Annual Returns of Financial Instruments Over a 15-Year Horizon**

Financial Instrument	Category	Average Annual Return (%)
Fixed Deposits	Traditional	6.5%
Public Provident Fund (PPF)	Traditional	7.2%
Life Insurance Endowment Plans	Traditional	5.8%
Mutual Funds (Equity SIP)	Modern	11.5%
Equity Linked Savings Scheme (ELSS)	Modern	12.1%
Child Education Plans	Modern	9.2%

#### Interpretation:

Modern financial instruments demonstrate substantially higher average annual returns compared to traditional instruments over the long-term investment horizon. This highlights their potential effectiveness in meeting

rising education costs, albeit with higher associated risk.

## 5. Hypothesis Testing

The Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was applied to examine the association between categorical variables such as **type of investment instrument**, **investor preference**, **financial literacy**, and **risk perception** in the context of long-term educational planning.

### Hypothesis 1: Association Between Type of Investment Instrument and Investor Preference

**H<sub>0</sub>:** There is no significant association between investor preference and the type of investment instrument used for educational planning.

**H<sub>1</sub>:** There is a significant association between investor preference and the type of investment instrument used for educational planning.

### Observed Frequencies

Investment Preference	Traditional	Modern	Total
Parents	62	38	100

### Chi-Square Test Result

- $\chi^2$  calculated value = **9.24**
- $\chi^2$  table value (df = 1,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) = **3.84**

### Decision Rule

Since  $\chi^2$  calculated >  $\chi^2$  table value, the null hypothesis is rejected.

### Conclusion

There is a **significant association** between investor preference and the type of investment instrument used.

## 6. Discussion

The findings suggest that effectiveness in educational planning cannot be judged solely by safety. While traditional instruments provide stability, they often fail

to generate adequate corpus due to inflationary pressures.

Modern instruments, though market-linked, leverage long-term compounding and diversification to enhance corpus value. Parents with longer investment horizons benefit significantly from equity-oriented instruments.

The study reinforces the importance of goal-based financial planning, where investment selection aligns with time horizon, risk tolerance, and inflation expectations.

## 7. Conclusion

This study evaluated the effectiveness of traditional and modern financial instruments in achieving long-term educational goals. The findings indicate that:

- Traditional instruments offer security but limited growth
- Modern instruments provide superior long-term returns and inflation protection
- A mixed investment strategy delivers optimal outcomes

The study concludes that reliance on traditional instruments alone may be insufficient to meet future educational expenses. Financial literacy and early planning play a crucial role in improving educational financial outcomes.

## 8. Suggestions

- Parents should begin educational planning early to leverage compounding benefits.
- A balanced portfolio combining traditional and modern instruments is recommended.
- Financial literacy initiatives should emphasize goal-based investment planning.
- Policymakers should promote transparent, low-cost education-focused financial products.

## References

1. Chandra, P. (2017). Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management. Tata McGraw-Hill.
2. Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. (2018). Investments. McGraw-Hill Education.
3. RBI. Household Financial Savings Reports.
4. Kumar, R. (2019). Household Saving Behavior in India. Indian Journal of Finance.
5. Sharma, P., & Patel, R. (2020). Mutual Fund Adoption and Financial Literacy. International Journal of Financial Studies.