A Comprehensive Analysis of Level of Service Frameworks in Water Infrastructure Asset Management: Current Practices, Challenges, and Future Directions

Tanay S. Kulkarni[1], Devashri R. Karve[2]

Infrastructure Management Consultant, Freese and Nichols, Inc., USA[1]
Email: *tanaykulkarni[at]outlook.com*

Asset Management Consultant, Kennedy Jenks, Inc., USA[2]
Email: *devashrikarve[at]outlook.com*

Abstract: This literature review examines the Level of Service (LoS) in water infrastructure asset management in the United States. LoS frameworks are crucial for measuring the quality and reliability of water services, encompassing regulatory compliance and stakeholder expectations. The review discusses existing research on LoS implementation, the challenges of aging infrastructure, and the urgent need for resilient water systems in the face of climate change and regulatory uncertainties. It highlights significant federal initiatives, such as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, while recognizing utilities' financial and regulatory challenges. Additionally, the study underscores the importance of stakeholder engagement, sustainability, and the integration of emerging technologies in fulfilling current and future service expectations. The literature suggests redefining LoS frameworks to better align with contemporary needs, focusing on enhancing resilience and ensuring sustainable water service delivery. Ultimately, adapting LoS practices will be pivotal for addressing the evolving challenges within the water sector and meeting the demands of both regulators and the public.

Keywords: Level of Service (LoS), Water Infrastructure, Asset Management, Resilience Stakeholder Engagement, Bipartisan Infrastructure Law

I. INTRODUCTION

The critical literature review of 'Level of Service' (LoS) in water networks infrastructure asset management in the United States examines the frameworks and practices essential for delivering reliable water services amid evolving challenges. In this context, asset management refers to systematic and coordinated activities and practices through which an organization optimally and sustainably manages its assets and asset systems, associated performance, risks, and expenditures over their life cycles. LoS represents the quality and reliability expectations for water services, encompassing a variety of social, political, environmental, and economic outcomes. Understanding and implementing LoS is vital for justifying funding, guiding operational decisions, and ensuring that aging infrastructure meets contemporary demands while addressing issues related to climate change, regulatory compliance, and community expectations. Notably, the management of water infrastructure in the U.S. has faced increasing scrutiny due to significant financial investment needs. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency estimates that approximately $384.2 billion will be required over the next two decades to improve water systems, underscoring the urgent need for modernizing infrastructure to enhance service delivery and safety. Moreover, the recent Bipartisan Infrastructure Law marks a historic commitment to upgrading water systems. Yet, critics argue that these investments may fail to fully address the systemic issues confronting water utilities nationwide, such as deteriorating pipelines and insufficient treatment technologies.

Integrating LoS into asset management practices is pivotal for water utilities as they navigate regulatory frameworks established by landmark legislation like the Clean Water Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act. While these regulations aim to safeguard water quality, they have been criticized for needing to be updated, necessitating a reassessment of how LoS can be effectively defined and measured within contemporary infrastructure challenges. Furthermore, the emphasis on stakeholder engagement in developing LoS metrics reflects a growing recognition of community involvement as a crucial factor in effective water management and service delivery, highlighting the importance of your role in the process.

Controversies surrounding LoS in water infrastructure asset management often revolve around the tension between necessary investments and customer economic implications. Utilities are increasingly challenged to balance infrastructure upgrades against rising costs, which can lead to potential social inequities in access to safe and reliable water services.[6][7] This literature review aims to synthesize existing research on LoS frameworks, assess their implementation in practice, and propose innovative strategies for enhancing resilience and sustainability in the face of impending environmental and operational challenges.

A. Historical Context

Water infrastructure management in the United States has evolved significantly over the decades, influenced by many factors, including technological advancements, regulatory changes, and the growing recognition of the importance of resilience in critical infrastructure. In water infrastructure, resilience refers to the system's ability to withstand and recover from various challenges, including natural disasters, aging, and increased demand. The Presidential Policy Directive (PPD)-21, issued in 2013, marked a pivotal moment in this evolution by identifying 16 critical infrastructure sectors essential for national security and public well-being, including water systems, many of which were constructed long before contemporary resilience challenges were fully understood[1].

Defining and understanding "Levels of Service" (LoS) in asset management has become increasingly vital. LoS refers to the parameters reflecting water infrastructure services' social, political, environmental, and economic outcomes. The ISO 55000 standard for Asset Management emphasizes the necessity of these parameters in planning and justifying funding for infrastructure services, which can range from water delivery to wastewater management. This focus on service levels underscores the need for a coherent framework to assess infrastructure effectiveness, highlighting the importance of your work in maintaining and improving water systems, especially in light of the challenges posed by aging systems and increased demand for water resources.

Significant investments are required to upgrade and maintain U.S. water infrastructure. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), an estimated $384.2 billion will be needed over the next 20 years to improve water systems, with approximately $247.5 billion (64.4%) designated for transmission and distribution[2]. Such investment needs have propelled discussions on asset management practices within the water utility sector, as many systems face deterioration that leads to impaired water quality and increased leakage[2].

The federal response to these challenges has included the most significant federal investment in U.S. water infrastructure in decades under the Biden administration. This initiative addresses the outdated infrastructure and ensures all communities can access safe and affordable drinking water[3]. However, critics argue that even more than these substantial investments may be needed to rectify the issues plaguing water utilities nationwide fully. Historically, the legislative framework governing U.S. water quality has been anchored in the 1972 Clean Water Act and the 1974 Safe Drinking Water Act, which divide regulatory responsibilities between federal and state authorities. Despite their importance, these laws have been criticized for needing to be updated, as they were developed in the context of 1960s technologies and considerations[3]. The gap between legislative standards and infrastructure conditions necessitates reevaluating asset management practices to ensure they align with current needs and future sustainability goals.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. Introduction to Levels of Service

Levels of Service (LoS) are integral to asset management in water networks, defining the types and amounts of service infrastructure systems intend to provide their customers. These parameters reflect social, political, environmental, and economic outcomes, guiding water systems' overall purpose and operational strategies such as drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater management[5][8]. A clear understanding of LoS is essential for effective asset management planning, as it helps organizations justify funding needs and define minimum requirements for asset performance[8].

B. Importance of Levels of Service in Asset Management

The ISO 55000 standard for Asset Management emphasizes the significance of Levels of Service, noting that they provide clarity in planning and operational decision-making[8]. This framework enables organizations to balance service delivery expectations with available funding, ensuring that service levels can be maintained over the long term. In water infrastructure, LoS statements are the foundation for assessing whether the assets meet customer expectations regarding safety, reliability, and environmental protection[5]. Furthermore, aligning LoS with strategic objectives can enhance the resilience of critical infrastructure systems, especially in the face of increasing risks from climate change and extreme weather events[1].

C. Defining Levels of Service

The definition of Levels of Service involves creating mission statements that encapsulate the desired outcomes of the water systems[5]. For instance, specific metrics can be established to gauge the performance of water distribution, wastewater treatment, and stormwater management systems. These metrics allow utilities to evaluate their operational efficiency, identify areas for improvement, and develop intervention alternatives to enhance service performance. Such tactical assessments include calculating infrastructure value indices and operational costs, which help identify necessary investments and potential improvements in service delivery[6].

D. Integration of Sustainability and Resilience

The integration of sustainability and resilience goals within the framework of Levels of Service is critical for addressing contemporary challenges faced by water infrastructure systems. Recent frameworks encourage using emerging digital technologies and artificial intelligence to operationalize resilience strategies in water management, allowing communities to better prepare for and respond to disruptions[1]. Utilities can plan for future scenarios considering climate variability, population growth, and infrastructure aging by adopting a system-of-systems approach, ensuring their LoS frameworks remain relevant and practical [6].

III. Current Practices in the US

A. Overview of Water Management Legislation

Water management in the United States is governed by a complex legislation framework that divides responsibilities between federal and state agencies. The primary federal laws include the 1972 Clean Water Act (CWA) and the 1974 Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), which aim to regulate pollution and ensure safe drinking water nationwide.[3] Under these acts, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets pollution limits, while state agencies implement and enforce regulations.[3] However, these laws have faced criticism for becoming outdated and failing to keep pace with technological advancements, resulting in ongoing water quality challenges for millions of Americans.[3]

B. Level of Service in Water Supply

Level of Service (LoS) is crucial in water networks. It defines the expected quality and reliability of water services provided to customers. According to the Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008 in Queensland, Australia, service providers must set customer service standard targets, including key performance indicators (KPIs) related to line breaks, pressure, and response times.[7] While this legislation is not in place in the US, similar principles guide asset management in water supply systems nationwide. LoS is often articulated through a mission statement that clarifies the intended outcomes of service delivery, such as the safe and reliable provision of drinking water, effective wastewater treatment, and efficient stormwater management.[5]

C. Monitoring and Assessment Frameworks

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) operates the National Water Monitoring Network, which provides standardized datasets for assessing water quality and quantity nationwide. This "network of networks" includes numerous monitoring systems designed to gather data on both surface and groundwater resources.[9] This infrastructure is vital for stakeholders to make informed decisions regarding water resource management.

D. Performance Indicators and Asset Management

The performance of water distribution networks is assessed using various indicators, which can be categorized into the four Rs: reliability, resiliency, robustness, and response.[10][5] These indicators are fundamental in determining how well a water network meets its defined Levels of Service and assist in identifying areas for improvement. Integrating asset management practices in water infrastructure planning is essential, as it ensures that utilities can maintain service standards while optimizing resource allocation and funding strategies.[8]

E. Stakeholder Engagement

Effective stakeholder engagement is increasingly recognized as a critical element in water management. Engaging with a broad spectrum of stakeholders, including community members, government entities, and industry professionals, allows for a more inclusive approach to developing and implementing water service strategies.[7] This collaborative effort is essential for managing technical findings and addressing community concerns within the constraints of available resources.

IV. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Managing water infrastructure assets in the United States has several challenges and limitations, particularly in determining appropriate Levels of Service (LoS).

A. Balancing Investment and Costs

One of the primary challenges facing utilities is finding the right balance between investing in aging infrastructure and enhancing resilience to acute threats. High investments in infrastructure can lead to reduced social costs associated with service restrictions. Still, such investments may also increase customer costs, potentially leading to a 'gold-plated' system that exceeds practical needs[7][1]. On the other hand, insufficient investment can lead to system failures, resulting in severe and prolonged service restrictions, which carry financial burdens for messaging and enforcement of such restrictions[7].

B. Regulatory and Physical Constraints

Utilities must navigate regulatory requirements and their existing assets' physical limitations. Goals must adhere to established regulations while also considering the operational capabilities of the infrastructure. This duality imposes boundaries that must be respected, and any desired modification in service levels or capabilities will require careful planning and additional investment[11][8]. Moreover, these constraints complicate achieving meaningful, measurable, consistent, and valuable goals, as goals must align with regulatory standards and provide clear performance indicators to stakeholders[11].

C. Financial Limitations and Funding Issues

Funding represents another critical limitation in managing water infrastructure. The disparity between the growing need for capital improvements and the available funding sources creates significant hurdles for utilities. Historically, the federal share of capital spending in the water sector has dramatically decreased, leading to state and local governments bearing the brunt of infrastructure investments[4]. Furthermore, the annual budget for rehabilitation interventions often falls short of what is necessary to maintain and improve service levels, resulting in difficult decisions that may compromise the quality of service delivered[6][12].

D. Emergent Challenges

Additionally, contemporary challenges such as climate change, emerging contaminants, and evolving customer expectations further complicate infrastructure management. Utilities face mounting pressure to adopt advanced technologies and analytics for effective decision-making, yet many still need to grapple with the basic need to upgrade aging systems[13][14]. The recent Bipartisan Infrastructure Law aims to alleviate some financial pressures, yet the complexity of implementation and the need for effective project prioritization remains daunting [12].

V. Stakeholder Perspectives

A. Understanding Needs and Challenges

In the water sector, stakeholders need to collaborate closely to identify the various needs, "pain points," and preferences of utilities, as well as the barriers to operationalizing new technologies. Concerns regarding cybersecurity, privacy, fairness, and trustworthiness have been highlighted, necessitating the application of methodologies such as federated learning, differential privacy, and cost-sensitive learning to address these issues effectively[1].

Utilities face the challenge of balancing investments in chronic issues like aging infrastructure with the need to enhance resilience against acute threats. To address aging infrastructure, utility managers increasingly employ asset management practices that focus on identifying the optimal balance between performance, cost, and risk. This typically involves assessing risk reduction per dollar spent and different investments' lifecycle net present value (NPV), which helps prioritize spending[1].

B. Variability in Utility Risk Profiles

Each water sector owner and operator manages a distinct asset set under unique risk profiles. Consequently, the risk-management priorities of utilities are influenced by factors such as size, location, types of assets, governance, funding availability, and the capabilities of the utility’s workforce. Although individual utilities are responsible for their risk management, broader sector-wide collaboration and local, state, and national initiatives play a crucial role in enhancing the overall resilience of the water sector[1].

C. Education and Community Engagement

Engaging the public is crucial for the successful implementation of water management goals. The Southwest Environmental Finance Center advocates promoting community education through initiatives to increase awareness and participation in water conservation programs. This engagement can improve public health and environmental protection and enhance customer willingness to pay for water services. Programs that collaborate with schools or provide community training and facility tours are effective strategies for fostering community support and understanding, especially regarding poorly understood green infrastructure [15].

D. Asset Management Approaches

Applying asset management principles to municipal water infrastructure is gaining traction, as evidenced by a case study from the City of San Diego. The study illustrates both the benefits and challenges of implementing asset management strategies. By proactively managing assets rather than reacting to failures, utilities can mitigate economic and legal risks associated with mismanagement[16].

E. Customer-Centric Solutions

Utilities prioritizing customer service increasingly adopt data analytics solutions to enhance operational efficiency and customer satisfaction. For instance, utilities focused on cost reduction may implement leak detection capabilities and billing management systems. In contrast, customer-service-oriented utilities utilize portals and report cards to engage customers better. A mid-sized public utility on the West Coast successfully integrated multiple analytics products, which reduced water losses and increased customer satisfaction, underscoring the importance of stakeholder participation throughout these initiatives' design and implementation phases [14][17].

F. Policy and Funding Implications

Recent investments driven by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law signal a significant federal commitment to improving water infrastructure, with specific lead service line replacement allocations. This historic funding initiative aims to upgrade drinking water systems and stimulate job creation and domestic manufacturing. The success of such policies will depend on the effectiveness of state and federal agencies in measuring outcomes and deciding on the best approaches for addressing infrastructure needs[18][19].

VI. Best Practices and Innovations

A. Technological Advancements in Water Management

In recent years, there has been significant emphasis on technological advancements to enhance water infrastructure asset management. Utilities have increasingly prioritized adopting innovative technologies, with many ranking this as a critical focus for the future of water management[20]. The anticipated investments in new treatment technologies, digital solutions, and green alternatives are expected to more than double within the next one to three years, driven by the need to maximize the performance and longevity of aging systems[20][21].

B. Automation and Process Optimization

One of the most promising trends in the water sector is greater automation and process optimization. This shift is primarily fueled by the integration of data-driven, vendor-agnostic technological solutions that facilitate preventive, automatic management of the water cycle. Such innovations empower asset managers to improve operational efficiencies, manage costs effectively, and enhance customer service delivery [22]. Automation also offers vital responses to extreme events, thus improving the overall resilience of water treatment and distribution systems[22].

C. Asset Management Best Practices

Asset management is pivotal in optimizing the lifespan and functionality of water infrastructure. Effective asset management encompasses the maintenance, repair, and timely replacement of critical assets, thus minimizing overall operational costs while ensuring desired service levels are met[23][24]. A high-performing asset management program typically includes thorough asset inventories, regular condition assessments, and proactive maintenance strategies supported by long-range financial planning[25].

The Compendium of Best Practices in Water Infrastructure Asset Management exemplifies successful decision-making strategies, emphasizing capital investment, risk assessment, and the use of geographic information systems (GIS) and information technology (IT) in utilities[26].

D. Smart Water Metering Solutions

Smart water metering represents a significant innovation aimed at improving water resource management. Utilizing advanced technologies such as IoT sensors and wireless communication systems, intelligent meters enable continuous water usage monitoring, allowing for real-time leak detection and consumption tracking[27][28]. This technology promotes accurate billing and fosters proactive leak detection and informed decision-making regarding water consumption patterns[28]. The deployment of smart metering is a crucial step towards enhancing water conservation efforts and ensuring economic sustainability in water management[27][29].

VII. Future Directions

A. Enhancing Infrastructure Resilience

Climate-related events' increasing frequency and severity necessitate a proactive approach to water infrastructure management. Future research should focus on developing methodologies and metrics to evaluate the resilience of water sector infrastructure systems. This includes assessing vulnerabilities that may arise from current decision-making processes and implementing strategies to mitigate potential risks associated with extreme weather events.[1][8] Integrating climate models into engineering practices is essential for practitioners to effectively utilize climate projections, which can inform infrastructure planning and enhance the long-term sustainability of water networks.[30]

B. Defining Levels of Service

As water service organizations face competing priorities and limited funding, establishing clear Levels of Service (LoS) becomes critical. Future studies should emphasize the importance of stakeholder engagement in defining LoS to align service delivery with community expectations and willingness to pay.[31] By thoroughly analyzing how assets meet LoS requirements, organizations can identify shortfalls and ensure that service delivery is maintained even amidst financial constraints.[8][31] Furthermore, leveraging the ISO 55000 standard for Asset Management will help organizations articulate their service parameters effectively, reflecting social, economic, and environmental outcomes that are crucial for informed decision-making.[8]

C. Interdisciplinary Collaboration

To effectively address the challenges posed by climate change and infrastructure degradation, interdisciplinary collaboration among water utilities, climate scientists, and engineers is imperative. The development of case studies that document how utilities incorporate climate considerations into planning can bridge gaps between theoretical models and practical applications.[30][1] This collaborative effort will facilitate the creation of innovative solutions that balance performance, cost, and risk, ultimately enhancing the decision-making process in asset management planning.[6]

D. Technological Advancements

Investment in research and development of advanced technologies is necessary to adapt existing infrastructure to meet future demands. Adopting cutting-edge techniques, such as reverse osmosis for purifying water sources, can provide sustainable solutions to emerging contaminants like PFAS and algal toxins.[31] Federal and local initiatives to upgrade water systems can create a pathway for systemic change driven by public health needs and economic considerations.[31] As these technologies evolve, there will be a greater need for frameworks that facilitate their integration into current asset management practices.

E. Conclusions

In conclusion, the comprehensive analysis of LoS frameworks in water infrastructure asset management underscores the critical need for a transformative approach to addressing the water sector's current and future challenges. As utilities grapple with aging infrastructure, regulatory pressures, and the demands posed by climate change, redefining LoS metrics to align with contemporary needs is essential. Stakeholder engagement must remain at the forefront of this evolution, ensuring community expectations are integrated into service delivery models. Government initiatives like the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law represent significant steps toward revitalizing water systems; however, they must be coupled with innovative strategies to overcome financial and operational hurdles. Enhancing the resilience and sustainability of water infrastructure will improve service quality and promote equitable access to safe and reliable water services for all communities. Adapting LoS practices will be pivotal for the water sector's ability to meet evolving demands and secure long-term viability in an increasingly complex landscape.

References

[1] S. Sinha, C. Davis, et al. Cambridge Prisms: Water. (2023). Water sector infrastructure systems resilience: A social-ecological-technical system-of-systems and whole-life approach. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-prisms-water/article/water-sector-infrastructure-systems-resilience-a-socialecologicaltechnical-systemofsystems-and-wholelife-approach/016FD3C12713C918AF336D077984CA94

[2] SPM Assets. (2018). What are levels of service in relation to asset management. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.spmassets.com/news/what-are-levels-of-service-in-relation-to-asset-management

[3] Z. Khan, O. Moselhi, and T. Zayed. Academia.edu. (2009). Quantification and measurement of level of service of water distribution networks. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.academia.edu/88839589/Quantification_and_Measurement_of_Level_of_Service_of_Water_Distribution_Networks

[4] Council on Foreign Relations. (2024). How U.S. water infrastructure works. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/how-us-water-infrastructure-works

[5] Southwest Environmental Finance Center. (n.d.). Level of service. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://swefc.unm.edu/iamf/level-of-service/

[6] B. Ferreira and N. J.G. Carrico. De Gruyter. (2019). Engineering: An international journal. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1515/eng-2019-0058/html?lang=en

[7] A. Killen. Australian Water Association. (2019). Water security levels of service. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.awa.asn.au/resources/latest-news/business/assets-and-operations/water-security-levels-of-service

[8] U.S. Geological Survey. (2000). National water monitoring network. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.usgs.gov/mission-areas/water-resources/science/national-water-monitoring-network

[9] I. Gunawan, F. Schultmann, and S. A. Zarghami. International Journal of Quality & Reliability. (2016). The four Rs performance indicators of water distribution networks. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.sciencegate.app/document/10.1108/ijqrm-11-2016-0203

[10] Southwest Environmental Finance Center. (n.d.). Developing level of service goals. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://swefc.unm.edu/iamf/level-of-service/level-of-service-goals/level-of-service-goals-developing-level-of-service-goals/

[11] Infrastructure Report Card. (2021). Drinking water infrastructure. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://infrastructurereportcard.org/cat-item/drinking-water-infrastructure/

[12] T. Battaglia. WaterWorld. (2023). The next wave of water infrastructure's future. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.waterworld.com/smart-water-utility/article/14296220/the-next-wave-of-water-infrastructures-future

[13] A. Sawyers. WWD Magazine. (2022). Historic investment, historic change. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.wwdmag.com/utility-management/article/10940497/historic-investment-historic-change

[14] West Monroe. (2017). State of advanced metering infrastructure (AMI). Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.westmonroe.com/perspectives/signature-research/state-of-advanced-metering-infrastructure-ami

[15] Southwest Environmental Finance Center. (n.d.). Goal categories. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://swefc.unm.edu/iamf/level-of-service/level-of-service-goals/level-of-service-goals-goal-categories/

[16] American Society of Civil Engineers. (2021). Webinar on 'The water utility of the future: From intelligent metering to digital transformation.' Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.asce.org/education-and-events/explore-education/elearning-webinars/an-asset-management-approach-to-municipal-water-infrastructure/

[17] Xylem Inc. (2022). Interview of Z. Barkjohn: The water utility of the future – from smart metering to digital transformation. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.xylem.com/en-us/making-waves/water-utilities-news/the-water-utility-of-the-future--from-smart-metering-to-digital-transformation/

[18] White House. (2023). Fact Sheet: Historic Biden-Harris Administration Investments in Water Infrastructure and Lead Pipe Replacement are Creating New Domestic Manufacturing Jobs. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/06/22/fact-sheethistoric-biden-%E2%81%A0harris-administration-investments-in-water-infrastructure-and-lead-pipe-replacement-are-creating-new-domestic-manufacturing-job/

[19] American Water Works Association. (n.d.). Report highlights water challenges, aging infrastructure, and capital improvement financing. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.awwa.org/resource/asset-management/

[20] American Water Works Association. (2024).Report highlights water challenges: aging infrastructure, capital improvement financing. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.awwa.org/AWWA-Articles/report-highlights-water-challenges-aging-infrastructure-capital-improvement-financing

[21] C. Bonawandt. Water Online. (2024). The evolving landscape of smart water meters. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.wateronline.com/doc/the-evolving-landscape-of-smart-water-meters-0001

[22] Water Online. (2023). The Six Water Technology Trends For 2023, According To Idrica. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.wateronline.com/doc/the-six-water-technology-trends-for-according-to-idrica-0002

[23] Environmental Protection Agency. (2017). Asset management for water and wastewater utilities (snapshot). Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.epa.gov/dwcapacity/about-asset-management

[24] Environmental Protection Agency. (2021). Asset management for water and wastewater utilities (snapshot). Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/asset-management-water-and-wastewater-utilities_.html

[25] Environmental Protection Agency. (n.d.). Asset management for water and wastewater utilities Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://19january2021snapshot.epa.gov/sustainable-water-infrastructure/asset-management-water-and-wastewater-utilities_.html

[26] Water Research Foundation. (n.d.). Compendium of best practices in water infrastructure asset management. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.waterrf.org/research/projects/compendium-best-practices-water-infrastructure-asset-management

[27] Mainlink. (2024). Everything you need to know about smart water metering. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://mainlink.net/everything-you-need-to-know-about-smart-water-metering/

[28] Smart Water Magazine. (n.d.). What is smart water metering? Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://smartwatermagazine.com/q-a/what-smart-water-metering

[29] L. Jackman. Water World. (2013). How efficient can water management become in the future using smart meters? Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.waterworld.com/home/article/16201830/how-efficient-can-water-management-become-in-the-future-using-smart-meters

[30] Water Utility Climate Alliance. (n.d.). Stormwater and wastewater resilience. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://www.wucaonline.org/adaptation-in-practice/stormwater-case-studies/index.html

[31] Southwest Environmental Finance Center. (n.d.). How development of America's water infrastructure has lurched through history. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from https://swefcamswitchboard.unm.edu/am/the-five-core-components-of-asset-management/level-of-service/