# A Comprehensive and Detailed Study on Physically Based Rendering: From Theoretical Foundations to Real-Time and Neural Techniques

Goldi Soni
Assistant Professor
Amity University Raipur
gsoni@rpr.amity.edu

Krish Kesharwani
B. Tech CSE
Amity University Raipur
krish.kesharwani@s.amity.edu

Shirish Jaiswal
B. Tech CSE
Amity University Raipur
shirish.jaiswal@s.amity.edu

## Abstract

Physically Based Rendering (PBR) is a fundamental approach in computer graphics that aims to generate highly realistic images by simulating the physical behavior of light. This review paper presents an in-depth analysis of five significant research works that have shaped the evolution of PBR. The study begins with the theoretical framework that defines light transport using radiometric principles and the rendering equation [1]. It further explores Monte Carlo integration techniques, which provide numerical solutions for complex light interactions [2]. Advanced sampling methods such as Metropolis Light Transport are examined for their ability to efficiently handle difficult lighting scenarios like caustics and indirect illumination.[7] The paper also discusses real-time advancements such as Spatiotemporal Reservoir Resampling (ReSTIR), which enables high-quality rendering at interactive frame rates. [8] Additionally, neural rendering approaches are analyzed for their integration of machine learning with physically based models to improve efficiency and reduce variance. [5].

A comparative study of these methods is presented based on objectives, methodologies, and outcomes. The review highlights the transition from traditional physics-based approaches to hybrid AI-driven techniques. Finally, the paper identifies future research directions in real-time rendering, neural sampling, and GPU optimization.

Keywords—Physically Based Rendering, Global Illumination, Monte Carlo Integration, Light Transport, Neutral Rendering.

# I. Introduction

Physically Based Rendering (PBR) is a technique in computer graphics that focuses on simulating the interaction of light with objects in a physically accurate manner. Unlike traditional rendering methods, which relied on approximations and empirical models, PBR uses mathematical and physical laws such as energy conservation and radiometry.[1]

The core concept behind PBR is the rendering equation, which describes how light is transferred in a scene. Solving this equation is computationally expensive, leading to the development of numerical methods such as Monte Carlo integration.[2] Over the years, researchers have proposed various techniques to improve rendering efficiency and realism.

Early contributions laid the theoretical foundation, while later works focused on optimizing sampling techniques and reducing computational cost. Recent advancements include real-time rendering methods and neural-based approaches that integrate artificial intelligence. This paper reviews five major works that represent key milestones in the development of PBR systems.

# II. Literature Review

The field of computer graphics for Virtual Reality (VR) has evolved rapidly with significant contributions focusing on rendering efficiency, realism, and low-latency performance. The literature can be broadly categorized into optimization techniques, neural rendering approaches, real-time rendering, and advanced sampling methods.

Zhao et al. (2025) presented a comprehensive survey on efficient VR rendering techniques, highlighting foveated rendering, stereo rendering, cloud rendering, and low-latency methods. The study emphasized reducing computational load while maintaining visual quality. Similarly, Kumar et al. (2025) focused on low-latency and adaptive rendering techniques, introducing predictive tracking, dynamic resolution scaling, and late latching to improve responsiveness and reduce motion sickness.

Shi et al. (2024) explored cloud-based rendering approaches, where computational tasks are offloaded to remote servers. This enables high-quality VR experiences on low-power devices but introduces challenges such as network latency and bandwidth limitations. Zhao et al. (2023) extended this work to web-based VR rendering, discussing WebGL optimization, progressive loading, and level-of-detail techniques to ensure cross-platform compatibility.

Foveated rendering has been widely studied as a key optimization technique. Patney et al. (2021) demonstrated how eye-tracking enables rendering high resolution only in the user’s focus area, significantly reducing computational cost. Earlier works such as Albert et al. (2017) and Patney et al. (2016) further explored perceptual aspects and latency requirements of foveated rendering. Wang et al. (2022) and Koskela et al. (2017) provided comprehensive surveys and performance analyses, showing substantial improvements in rendering efficiency.

Neural rendering has emerged as a powerful approach for improving realism. Tewari et al. (2020) presented a state-of-the-art survey on neural rendering techniques, highlighting their ability to generate realistic scenes using deep learning. Kerbl et al. (2024) introduced 3D Gaussian splatting for real-time radiance field rendering, significantly improving performance. Yu et al. (2021) proposed PlenOctrees for efficient neural radiance field rendering, enabling real-time applications.

Real-time ray tracing has also gained attention for enhancing lighting realism. Marrs et al. (2022) provided a survey on real-time ray tracing in VR, emphasizing its role in improving shadows, reflections, and global illumination. Steinicke et al. (2023) discussed low-latency rendering techniques necessary for immersive VR systems.

Several studies focused on adaptive and predictive rendering techniques. Wilkie (2020) introduced predictive rendering to anticipate user movements and reduce latency. Mueller et al. (2021) proposed temporally adaptive shading reuse to improve performance in real-time rendering. Chen et al. (2025) introduced energy-efficient rendering techniques for mobile VR systems, optimizing computational resources.

Advanced GPU-based optimization techniques have also been explored. Wang and Zhang (2021) proposed GPU-based rendering optimization algorithms to enhance real-time performance. Lee et al. (2025) introduced VR-Pipe, a hardware pipeline optimization technique for volume rendering. Cheng et al. (2023) focused on high-speed stereo matching for extended reality applications.

Recent works have also explored hybrid and neural-based rendering approaches. Franke et al. (2025) proposed VR-splatting using Gaussian splatting combined with foveated rendering. Li et al. (2025) presented dynamic scene reconstruction techniques for VR. Konrad et al. (2020) introduced gaze-contingent rendering techniques to improve visual perception.

Latency remains a critical challenge in VR systems. Warburton et al. (2022) studied motion-to-photon latency and its impact on user experience. Various techniques such as late latching, asynchronous time warp, and predictive tracking have been proposed to address this issue.

Overall, the literature demonstrates a clear progression from traditional rendering techniques to advanced real-time and neural-based approaches. Early works focused on improving rendering efficiency through optimization techniques, while recent research emphasizes integrating machine learning and physically based rendering models. These advancements collectively contribute to more immersive, efficient, and scalable VR systems.

## III. Comparison of Past Published Research Papers

Table 1 : Past Papers Comparison

| S.No. | Title of the Year | Year | Proposed Objective | Methodology | Advantages | Limitations | Conclusion |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Theoretical Framework for PBR | 1994 | Develop a unified light transport theory | Radiometric equations, rendering equation | Strong theoretical base | High computational cost | Foundation of PBR |
| 2. | Basics of PBR | 2000 | Explain rendering principles | Monte Carlo integration | Easy understanding | Noise in results | Core concepts established |
| 3. | Metropolis Light Transport | 1997 | Improve sampling efficiency | MCMC, path mutation | Handles complex lighting | Complex implementation | Efficient global illumination |
| 4. | ReSTIR | 2020 | Real-time rendering | Reservoir sampling | Fast and scalable | Memory overhead | Real-time GI achieved |
| 5. | Neural Rendering with BRDF | 2023 | Reduce variance using AI | Neural networks + sampling | High efficiency | Requires training data | Improves rendering quality |

## IV. Conclusion

This review highlights the significant advancements in physically based rendering over the years. The theoretical framework established the foundation for understanding light transport and radiometric principles. Monte Carlo methods enabled practical computation but introduced challenges such as noise and variance. Advanced techniques like Metropolis Light Transport improved sampling efficiency, especially in complex scenes. Real-time rendering methods such as ReSTIR addressed performance limitations, making high-quality rendering feasible for interactive applications.

Furthermore, the integration of neural networks has opened new possibilities by combining data-driven approaches with physical models. These hybrid techniques provide improved efficiency and adaptability. Overall, the evolution of PBR demonstrates a continuous export to balance realism, efficiency, and computational cost.

## V. Future Scope

Future advancements in rendering technology will focus on developing fully real-time path tracing systems and integrating deep learning techniques for adaptive sampling. Researchers are also working on advanced neural BRDF and material models, along with optimizing rendering algorithms for next-generation GPUs. Additionally, the expansion of physically based rendering (PBR) across AR, VR, and gaming industries is expected, alongside the rise of hybrid rendering pipelines that combine physics-based methods with AI. These innovations aim to significantly reduce computational costs through efficient parallel processing.

## References

[1] E. Lafortune and Y. Willems, “A theoretical framework for physically based rendering,” Computer Graphics Forum, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 97–107, 1994.

[2] P. Shirley, S. Marschner, and others, “Basics of physically-based rendering,” SIGGRAPH Asia Course Notes, 2018.

[3] S. Stucki, “Physically-based path tracer using WebGPU and OpenPBR,” 2024.

[4] D. Lin and M. Kettunen, “Generalized resampled importance sampling,” 2022.

[5] Y. Yang et al., “Light sampling field and BRDF representation for physically-based neural rendering,” 2023.

[6] J. Hasselgren et al., “Shape, light, and material decomposition from images,” 2022.

[7] E. Veach and L. J. Guibas, “Metropolis light transport,” in Proc. SIGGRAPH, 1997, pp. 65–76.

[8] B. Bitterli, C. Wyman, and others, “Spatiotemporal reservoir resampling (ReSTIR): Real-time ray tracing with dynamic direct lighting,” ACM Trans. Graph., vol. 39, no. 4, 2020.

[9] J. Hasselgren, N. Hofmann, and J. Munkberg, “Online neural path guiding with normalized anisotropic sampling,” 2024.

[10] S. Wang, B. Antic, and A. Geiger, “Physically based inverse rendering of dynamic humans,” 2024.

[11] E. Heitz and M. McGuire, “Real-time global illumination using spatiotemporal reservoir resampling,” 2021.

[12] R. Geiss and M. McGuire, "Survey of BRDF models for physically based rendering," 2023.

[13] E. Lafortune and Y. Willems, "Using the modified Phong reflectance model for physically based rendering," 1994.

[14] (Paper on paper material BSDF), "A physically-based BSDF model for paper," SIGGRAPH/EGSR, 2014.

[15] J. Huang et al., "A microfacet-based scattering model for hair," ACM Trans. Graph., 2022.

[16] M. Pharr and W. Jakob, Physically Based Rendering: From Theory to Implementation, 3rd ed., 2016.

[17] B. Xiong and J. Liu, "TexGaussian: Generating high-quality PBR material via octree-based 3D Gaussian splatting," in Proc. CVPR, 2025.

[18] Y. Hong and Y. Wu, "BEAM: Bridging physically-based rendering with 4D Gaussian PBR," in Proc. ACM MM, 2025.

[19] J. Li and Q. Deng, "DPCS: Path tracing-based differentiable projector-camera systems," 2025.

[20] J. Gao and C. Gu, "Relightable 3D Gaussians for physically-based rendering," 2024.

[21] J. Sun and Z. Zhang, "Joint optimization of mesh, material, and light from neural fields," 2023.

[22] Q. Wang and Z. Zhong, "State of the art on deep learning-enhanced rendering methods," 2023.

[23] J. Ye and L. Zhu, "Large material Gaussian model for relightable 3D generation," 2025.

[24] S. Bi and Z. Xu, "Neural reflectance fields for appearance acquisition," 2020.

[25] Q. Wang et al., "Shape, light and material inference," NeurIPS, 2022.

[26] "Importance sampling for production rendering," SIGGRAPH Course Notes, 2019.

[27] J. Gao and C. Gu, "Physically-based rendering of animated point clouds," 2024.

[28] Y. Xu and J. Bo, "Real-time physically-based relighting and composition of radiance fields," 2025.

[29] S. Stucki and P. Ackermann, "WebGPU-based physically-based path tracing in browser," 2025.

[30] S. Stucki and P. Ackermann, "WebGPU-based physically-based path tracing in browser," 2025.