

## **A COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT REPORT ON “ROLE OF PACKAGING FOR IMPROVING PERFORMANCE IN LOGISTICS AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT”**

**Rishabh Jadav, Ravindra Prasad**

Under The Guidance of **Prof. Harshita Gaikwad**

Master of Business Administration

Parul University, Limda, Waghodia

### **ABSTRACT**

The proper operation of supply chain management and logistics systems depends heavily on packaging. Its importance goes beyond just keeping goods contained and safe; it also affects how well logistics operate in terms of customer satisfaction, inventory control, transportation, and warehousing. An overview of packaging's function in improving supply chain and logistics performance is given in this abstract, which also looks at how it affects efficiency, sustainability, branding, and customer experience. The design and composition of packaging have a major impact on the effectiveness of logistical operations. Improved storage space efficiency, lower transportation costs, and less product damage can all result from optimized packaging options. Durable yet lightweight packaging can help meet environmental objectives by reducing emissions and increasing fuel economy while in transit. Furthermore, creative packaging designs that minimize loading and unloading times, simplify handling procedures, and increase overall logistics efficiency include stackable and collapsible containers. When it comes to inventory management, packaging is essential to provide precise tracking and monitoring of goods all the way through the supply chain. Real-time inventory visibility is made possible by barcodes, RFID tags, and other tracking technologies embedded into packaging. This improves demand forecasts, inventory optimization, and prompt replenishment. Standardized labelling schemes and packing forms also make warehouse operations easier, facilitating effective order fulfilment, retrieval, and storage procedures. Packaging is a potent branding tool that affects consumer perceptions and purchase decisions in addition to its utilitarian elements. Effective packaging conveys brand identity, values, and quality in addition to protecting the goods. Creative forms, eye-catching package designs, using environmentally sustainable materials may set items apart from the competition, improve brand awareness, and leave a lasting effect on customers.

### **INTRODUCTION**

In the world of contemporary business, supply chain management (SCM) and logistics efficacy and efficiency are critical for companies looking to prosper in a cutthroat environment. Organizations are realizing more and more how important packaging is to maximizing logistics and supply chain performance as globalization, technology improvements, and shifting customer tastes continue to transform the market. Once thought of as only a way to keep goods contained and safe, packaging has developed into a strategic tool that affects many aspects of supply chain operations, such as customer experience, branding, storage, transportation, and inventory control. The intrinsic multifunctionality of packaging is what makes it so important in logistics and supply chain management. In addition to protecting goods during storage and transportation, packaging also acts as a channel for information, a means of branding as well as a sustainability tool. Its influence shapes how items are handled, moved, and seen by customers at every point in the supply chain, from production to consumption. In this introduction, we explore the many functions and consequences of packaging in improving the performance of logistics and supply chain management (SCM), emphasizing its significance in promoting customer happiness, efficiency, sustainability, and branding. Modern logistics operations are built on efficiency, with companies continuously looking for methods to reduce expenses, optimize resource use, and simplify procedures. Packaging is essential for accomplishing these goals because it makes the physical elements of handling, storing, and transporting products as efficient as possible. Achieving a balance

between protection and space efficiency in package design is essential to ensure that items are sufficiently protected, minimize the amount of packaging material used, and optimizing the use of cargo space. For example, using sturdy yet lightweight materials like plastic composites or corrugated cardboard reduces deadweight during transit, which lowers emissions and fuel use. Comparably, creative packaging options like stacked packages or foldable containers allow distribution centres and warehouses to make the most use of available space, which lowers storage costs and increases inventory turnover rates. Additionally, the effectiveness of handling activities at different supply chain touchpoints is influenced by packaging. Standardized handling and tracking procedures are made easier by barcode labels, RFID tags, and standardized package styles, which also minimize mistakes and manual work in inventory management. Businesses may have real-time visibility into the location and condition of items by integrating technology like IoT sensors and smart packaging, which enables proactive decision-making and improving the responsiveness of the supply chain.

## **BACKGROUND ON THE IMPORTANCE OF PACKAGING IN LOGISTICS AND SUPPLY CHAIN**

In order to ensure the smooth movement of goods from manufacturing facilities to end customers, packaging is a crucial part of the complex web of logistics and supply chain management. Its significance cannot be emphasized since it serves several purposes other than only keeping items contained and safe. Throughout the supply chain, packaging plays a pivotal role in determining effectiveness, sustainability, branding, and consumer happiness. Fundamentally, packing protects goods from degradation, contamination, and damage while they are being transported and stored. This defensive role is essential for maintaining the integrity and calibre of items, particularly in sectors where commodities are vulnerable to manipulation, spoiling, or breaking. Sturdy container options not only lessen product danger loss or waste but also reduce the need for pricey returns and replacements, improving the dependability of the supply chain and customer satisfaction.

## **OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH PAPER**

- To Examine the Effect of Packaging on Logistics Efficiency
- To investigate how packaging might improve the sustainability of the supply chain
- To Examine the Connection Between Branding and Packaging
- To Find Out How Packaging Affects Customer Experience

## **SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS**

### **SCOPES**

- Package Design and Materials: Analysis of how decisions made about package design, choice of materials, and structural features affect the effectiveness of logistics, encompassing handling, warehousing, and transportation.
- Sustainability of the supply chain: An examination of how packaging contributes to environmental objectives including cutting carbon emissions, lowering waste production, and improving recyclability during the course of a product's lifespan.
- Packaging's function in branding and marketing tactics is examined, along with how it affects customer preferences, market positioning, and brand image.
- Customer Experience: Research on how value-added features, packaging design, and unpacking experiences affect consumer pleasure, engagement, and loyalty.

### **LIMITATIONS**

- Data Availability: Availability of complete and current information on industry benchmarks, performance measures, and packaging methods may be restricted, especially when it comes to proprietary data owned by certain businesses.

- **Regional Variability:** The generalizability of findings across various geographical locations may be impacted by regional variations in packaging rules, customer preferences, and infrastructure capabilities.
- **Technological Restrictions:** Although the paper's focus is on new developments and package management trends, the quick progress in This field could grow faster than the breadth of the research, requiring constant observation and revisions.
- **Time and Resource Constraints:** Due to limitations in research capabilities, time, and resources, there may be gaps in the examination of some themes or subdomains. These gaps might affect the breadth and depth of study.

## **OVERVIEW OF PACKAGING IN LOGISTICS AND SUPPLY CHAINS**

With its multiple uses, packaging is an essential part of supply chain management and logistics. Primarily, it safeguards goods against physical harm, external influences, and pollution while they are being handled and transported. Furthermore, packing makes it easier to handle, store, and distribute materials efficiently, which streamlines operations and maximizes space use. Depending on the needs of the supply chain, the mode of transportation, and the characteristics of the product, several kinds of packing materials and designs are used. Corrugated fibreboard, composite materials, metals, plastics, and glass are common materials used in packaging. Simple cartons and boxes to specialty crates, pallets, and containers—all made to fit particular product and supply chain requirements—are examples of packaging designs. A variety of issues, including product fragility, perishability, regulatory compliance, and environmental concerns, impact the specific packaging requirements of various businesses.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

The protection of items during handling, storage, and transportation is one of the main functions of packaging in logistics and supply chain management. Strong package designs are crucial for reducing product damage and losses, which can result in considerable cost savings and increased customer satisfaction, according to Garcia-Arca et al. (2014).

Additionally, packaging makes it easier to handle and distribute materials efficiently, which has a big influence on logistics performance. In their 2015 study, Dominic et al. examined the ergonomic aspects of container design, emphasizing how elements like integrated handles, stickability, and Standardized dimensions can lower labour costs, increase productivity, and simplify material handling procedures.

In the literature, packaging's contribution to supply chain visibility and traceability has also received a lot of attention. Ng et al. (2020) investigated how packaging solutions may incorporate technology such as barcodes, RFID tags, and QR codes to allow for real-time product monitoring and tracing along the supply chain.

The investigation of intelligent packaging systems that can adjust to shifting supply chain conditions, the incorporation of packaging data into decision support and predictive analytics systems, and the creation of circular economy models for packaging materials are some possible directions for future study and development (Molina-Besch, 2016; Ng et al., 2020; Verghese et al., 2015).

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

### **RESEARCH DESIGN:**

To thoroughly examine how packaging might improve supply chain and logistics performance, this study will use a mixed-methods research design that combines qualitative and quantitative techniques.

- **Qualitative Research Exploratory method:** To acquire understanding of the several facets of packaging and its influence on supply chain and logistics operations, the qualitative portion of the study will employ an

exploratory method. This strategy will make it possible to identify new trends and practices and gain a thorough grasp of the phenomena.

### **TECHNIQUES FOR GATHERING DATA:**

- **Surveys:** A broader sample of packaging specialists, logistics managers, and supply chain specialists from various sectors will participate in structured surveys. Quantitative information on a range of performance indicators linked to packaging, logistics, and supply chain management will be gathered through surveys. These metrics include reductions in costs, rates of damage, increased space utilization, and improvements in supply chain visibility.
- **Analysis of secondary data:** Secondary data sources that are pertinent, including industry reports, Quantitative information on packaging procedures, logistics performance indicators, and supply chain metrics will be obtained through an analysis of corporate records and public statistics.
- **Analysing Data:** The proper statistical techniques, including regression analysis, correlation analysis, and other inferential approaches, will be employed to examine the quantitative data obtained from surveys and secondary sources. These analyses will discover key factors impacting the links between logistics/supply chain performance measures and packaging techniques. They will also assist characterize these interactions.

### **HYPOTHESIS**

1. **First Hypothesis: Packaging Effectiveness Theory**
  - a) **Null Hypothesis (H0):** In supply chain management, there is no discernible correlation between logistical effectiveness and packing efficiency.
  - b) **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** Better supply chain management logistics performance is favourably correlated with packaging efficiency.
2. **Second Hypothesis: Eco-Friendly Packaging Theory**
  - a) **Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no discernible connection between supply chain management and logistics' environmental performance and the usage of sustainable packaging materials.
  - b) **Hypothesis Alternative (H1):** Using sustainable packaging materials improves supply chain and logistics environmental performance. chain management, encompassing waste production and a lower carbon impact.
3. **Third Hypothesis: The Economical Ness of Packaging Theory**
  - a) **Null Hypothesis (H0):** Cost-effectiveness of packaging has no discernible relationship to total savings in supply chain and logistics operations.
  - b) **Hypothesis Alternative (H1):** Cost-effective packaging options save transportation, inventory holding, and damage-related costs, all of which have a major positive impact on supply chain management and logistics costs.

### **COMBINING QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE INFORMATION**

The research topic will be well understood through the integration and triangulation of the qualitative and quantitative data. The quantitative data will offer actual evidence to support or contradict the qualitative insights and case studies, which will enhance and supplement the quantitative findings. qualitative findings. Exploring packaging's involvement in logistics and supply chain performance holistically is made possible by this mixed-methodologies study strategy, which combines the advantages of qualitative and quantitative methods.

## RESULT

1. Effect of Packaging on the Effectiveness of Logistics:
  - Effective package design maximizes space usage and minimizes waste from packaging materials, which dramatically lowers transportation costs.
  - During transportation, lightweight packing materials like plastic composites and corrugated cardboard help cut down on emissions and fuel use.
2. Packaging's Role in a Sustainable Supply Chain:
  - Reducing packaging waste and utilizing recyclable materials are two examples of sustainable packaging techniques that improve the environment and help businesses achieve their sustainability objectives.
  - Companies that use sustainable packaging techniques see increases in customer loyalty and brand recognition, especially from environmentally conscientious customers.
3. The connection between branding and packaging
  - Visually appealing and distinctive packaging enhances brand awareness, and it has a substantial impact on customer purchase behaviour and brand impression.
  - Good branding components—such as colours, content, and logos—that are included into packaging help to distinguish brands and establish market positions.
4. Packaging's Effect on the Customer Experience
  - Personalized and creative package aspects provide unique unpacking experiences, and thoughtfully designed packaging improves the whole consumer experience.
  - Product samples, promotions, and customized messaging are examples of value-added features that boost customer happiness and engagement.
5. Optimal Methods and Suggestions:
  - Using sustainable packaging materials, incorporating branding into package design, and emphasizing packaging experiences that are focused on the needs of customers are some of the best practices that have been recognized.
  - Businesses are advised to invest in innovative packaging, carry out lifecycle studies to determine the environmental effect, and use packaging as a strategic tool to connect customers and differentiate their brands.

**Do you think that a big part of minimizing product losses and damage during transit is packaging?**

\* **To what extent do you believe the items are protected during travel by the packaging?**

**Crosstab**

		To what extent do you believe the items are protected during travel by the packaging?										Total
		a) very efficient	b) In effect	c) Quite successful	d) Incompetent	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Very Likely			
Do you think that a big part of minimizing product losses and damage during transit is packaging?	a) firmly concur	Count	0	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Expected Count	.2	2.5	1.8	2.8	.7	1.7	.5	.3	1.5	12.0	
b) Accept	Count	0	6	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	17	
	Expected Count	.2	3.5	2.6	4.0	.9	2.4	.7	.5	2.1	17.0	
c) Indifferent	Count	0	1	3	5	3	0	0	0	0	12	
	Expected Count	.2	2.5	1.8	2.8	.7	1.7	.5	.3	1.5	12.0	
Completely	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	6	
	Expected Count	.1	1.3	.9	1.4	.3	.8	.3	.2	.8	6.0	
d) Contrary to	Count	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	
	Expected Count	.1	.8	.6	.9	.2	.6	.2	.1	.5	4.0	
e) vehemently disagree	Count	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.4	.3	.5	.1	.3	.1	.1	.3	2.0	
Moderately	Count	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	7	
	Expected Count	.1	1.5	1.1	1.7	.4	1.0	.3	.2	.9	7.0	
Not at all	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.0	.2	.2	.2	.1	.1	.0	.0	.1	1.0	
To a large extent	Count	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	7	
	Expected Count	.1	1.5	1.1	1.7	.4	1.0	.3	.2	.9	7.0	
To a small extent	Count	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	
	Expected Count	.1	.8	.6	.9	.2	.6	.2	.1	.5	4.0	
Total	Count	1	15	11	17	4	10	3	2	9	72	
	Expected Count	1.0	15.0	11.0	17.0	4.0	10.0	3.0	2.0	9.0	72.0	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	200.965 <sup>a</sup>	72	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	152.010	72	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 90 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

**Symmetric Measures**

	Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.671
	Cramer's V	.591
N of Valid Cases	72	

To what extent do you find packing to be effective in making the most use of available space during transit?

\*What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?

Crosstab

		What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?										
		1 (Very Dissatisfied)	2 (Dissatisfied)	3 (Neutral)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very Satisfied)	a) incredibly happy	b) Content	c) Indifferent	d) Not happy	Total	
To what extent do you find packing to be effective in making the most use of available space during transit?	Count	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.3	.2	.1	.1	1.0	
a) incredibly happy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	11	
	Expected Count	.2	.8	.9	.8	1.2	2.9	2.1	1.1	1.1	11.0	
b) Content	Count	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	2	2	14	
	Expected Count	.2	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.6	3.7	2.7	1.4	1.4	14.0	
c) Indifferent	Count	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	3	0	12	
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0	.8	1.3	3.2	2.3	1.2	1.2	12.0	
d) Not happy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	1	7	
	Expected Count	.1	.5	.6	.5	.8	1.8	1.4	.7	.7	7.0	
Dissatisfied	Count	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.2	.1	.2	.5	.4	.2	.2	2.0	
e) Extremely unhappy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	
	Expected Count	.0	.2	.3	.2	.3	.8	.6	.3	.3	3.0	
Neutral	Count	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	6	
	Expected Count	.1	.4	.5	.4	.7	1.6	1.2	.6	.6	6.0	
Satisfied	Count	0	1	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	7	
	Expected Count	.1	.5	.6	.5	.8	1.8	1.4	.7	.7	7.0	
Very Dissatisfied	Count	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	
	Expected Count	.0	.2	.3	.2	.3	.8	.6	.3	.3	3.0	
Very Satisfied	Count	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	6	
	Expected Count	.1	.4	.5	.4	.7	1.6	1.2	.6	.6	6.0	
Total	Count	1	5	6	5	8	19	14	7	7	72	
	Expected Count	1.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	8.0	19.0	14.0	7.0	7.0	72.0	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	169.043 <sup>a</sup>	80	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	133.813	80	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 99 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

### Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.532
	Cramer's V	.542
N of Valid Cases	72	

**How much do you believe the design of the packaging affects how simple it is to handle and store in your supply chain operations?**

**\* What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?**

**Crosstab**

			What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?									
			1 (Very Dissatisfied)	2 (Dissatisfied)	3 (Neutral)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very Satisfied)	a) incredibly happy	b) Content	c) Indifferent	d) Not happy	Total
How much do you believe the design of the packaging affects how simple it is to handle and store in your supply chain operations?	a) Notably	Count	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	0	9
		Expected Count	.1	.6	.8	.6	1.0	2.4	1.8	.9	.9	9.0
	b) In a moderate way	Count	0	0	0	0	0	9	8	3	5	25
		Expected Count	.3	1.7	2.1	1.7	2.8	6.6	4.9	2.4	2.4	25.0
	c) A little bit	Count	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	2	13
		Expected Count	.2	.9	1.1	.9	1.4	3.4	2.5	1.3	1.3	13.0
	Dissatisfied	Count	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
		Expected Count	.0	.1	.2	.1	.2	.5	.4	.2	.2	2.0
	Neutral	Count	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	8
		Expected Count	.1	.6	.7	.6	.9	2.1	1.6	.8	.8	8.0
	Satisfied	Count	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	5
		Expected Count	.1	.3	.4	.3	.6	1.3	1.0	.5	.5	5.0
	Very Dissatisfied	Count	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
		Expected Count	.0	.2	.3	.2	.3	.8	.6	.3	.3	3.0
	Very Satisfied	Count	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	7
		Expected Count	.1	.5	.6	.5	.8	1.8	1.4	.7	.7	7.0
	Total	Count	1	5	6	5	8	19	14	7	7	72
		Expected Count	1.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	8.0	19.0	14.0	7.0	7.0	72.0

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	129.497 <sup>a</sup>	56	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	122.964	56	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 71 cells (98.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.341	<.001
	Cramer's V	.507	<.001
N of Valid Cases		72	

**To what extent are you happy with the elements of packaging materials' sustainability that are employed in your supply chain?**

**\*What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?**

**Crosstab**

		What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?										
		1 (Very Dissatisfied)	2 (Dissatisfied)	3 (Neutral)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very Satisfied)	a) Incredibly happy	b) Content	c) Indifferent	d) Not happy	Total	
To what extent are you happy with the elements of packaging materials' sustainability that are employed in your supply chain?	a) Incredibly happy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	2	1	12
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0	.8	1.3	3.2	2.3	1.2	1.2	12.0	
b) Content	Count	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	1	4	18	
	Expected Count	.3	1.3	1.5	1.3	2.0	4.8	3.5	1.8	1.8	18.0	
c) Indifferent	Count	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3	2	12	
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0	.8	1.3	3.2	2.3	1.2	1.2	12.0	
d) Not happy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.2	.1	.2	.5	.4	.2	.2	2.0	
e) Extremely unhappy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	
	Expected Count	.0	.2	.3	.2	.3	.8	.6	.3	.3	3.0	
Likely	Count	0	1	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	9	
	Expected Count	.1	.6	.8	.6	1.0	2.4	1.8	.9	.9	9.0	
Neutral	Count	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	
	Expected Count	.1	.4	.5	.4	.7	1.6	1.2	.6	.6	6.0	
Unlikely	Count	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.3	.2	.1	.1	1.0	
Very Likely	Count	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	7	
	Expected Count	.1	.5	.6	.5	.8	1.8	1.4	.7	.7	7.0	
Very Unlikely	Count	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.2	.1	.2	.5	.4	.2	.2	2.0	
Total	Count	1	5	6	5	8	19	14	7	7	72	
	Expected Count	1.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	8.0	19.0	14.0	7.0	7.0	72.0	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	158.660 <sup>a</sup>	72	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	124.383	72	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 90 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.484	<.001
	Cramer's V	.525	<.001
N of Valid Cases		72	

**Do you think that the packaging your supply chain uses helps to save money on shipping and logistics?**

**\* To what extent do you believe the items are protected during travel by the packaging?**

**Crosstab**

		To what extent do you believe the items are protected during travel by the packaging?									
		a) very efficient	b) In effect	c) Quite successful	d) Incompetent	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Very Likely	Total	
Do you think that the packaging your supply chain uses helps to save money on shipping and logistics?	Count	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	Expected Count	.0	.2	.2	.2	.1	.1	.0	.1	1.0	
a) firmly concur	Count	0	4	2	5	0	0	0	0	11	
	Expected Count	.2	2.3	1.7	2.6	.6	1.5	.5	.3	11.0	
b) Accept	Count	0	6	4	6	3	0	0	0	19	
	Expected Count	.3	4.0	2.9	4.5	1.1	2.6	.8	.5	19.0	
c) Indifferent	Count	0	2	1	4	1	0	0	0	8	
	Expected Count	.1	1.7	1.2	1.9	.4	1.1	.3	.2	8.0	
d) Contrary to	Count	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	6	
	Expected Count	.1	1.3	.9	1.4	.3	.8	.3	.2	6.0	
Dissatisfied	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.4	.3	.5	.1	.3	.1	.1	2.0	
e) vehemently disagree	Count	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.4	.3	.5	.1	.3	.1	.1	2.0	
Neutral	Count	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	
	Expected Count	.1	.8	.6	.9	.2	.6	.2	.1	4.0	
Satisfied	Count	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	7	
	Expected Count	.1	1.5	1.1	1.7	.4	1.0	.3	.2	7.0	
Very Dissatisfied	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	Expected Count	.0	.2	.2	.2	.1	.1	.0	.0	1.0	
Very Satisfied	Count	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	6	
	Expected Count	.2	2.3	1.7	2.6	.6	1.5	.5	.3	11.0	
Total	Count	1	15	11	17	4	10	3	2	72	
	Expected Count	1.0	15.0	11.0	17.0	4.0	10.0	3.0	2.0	72.0	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	153.466 <sup>a</sup>	80	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	124.241	80	.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 99 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

**To increase overall performance, how likely are you to suggest changes to your supply chain's packaging strategies?**

**\* To what extent do you believe the items are protected during travel by the packaging?**

**Crosstab**

		To what extent do you believe the items are protected during travel by the packaging?									
		a) very efficient	b) In effect	c) Quite successful	d) Incompetent	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Very Likely	Total	
To increase overall performance, how likely are you to suggest changes to your supply chain's packaging strategies?	Count	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.4	.3	.5	.1	.3	.1	.1	2.0	
a) Very probably	Count	0	7	3	2	1	0	0	0	13	
	Expected Count	.2	2.7	2.0	3.1	.7	1.8	.5	.4	13.0	
b) Most likely	Count	0	4	5	5	1	0	0	0	15	
	Expected Count	.2	3.1	2.3	3.5	.8	2.1	.6	.4	15.0	
c) Indifferent	Count	0	3	3	6	1	0	0	0	13	
	Expected Count	.2	2.7	2.0	3.1	.7	1.8	.5	.4	13.0	
d) Not likely	Count	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	
	Expected Count	.1	.8	.6	.9	.2	.6	.2	.1	4.0	
e) improbable	Count	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.4	.3	.5	.1	.3	.1	.1	2.0	
Neutral	Count	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	8	
	Expected Count	.1	1.7	1.2	1.9	.4	1.1	.3	.2	8.0	
Optimistic	Count	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	7	
	Expected Count	.1	1.5	1.1	1.7	.4	1.0	.3	.2	7.0	
Pessimistic	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	
	Expected Count	.1	.8	.6	.9	.2	.6	.2	.1	4.0	
Very Optimistic	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.4	.3	.5	.1	.3	.1	.1	2.0	
Very Pessimistic	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	
	Expected Count	.0	.4	.3	.5	.1	.3	.1	.1	2.0	
Total	Count	1	15	11	17	4	10	3	2	72	
	Expected Count	1.0	15.0	11.0	17.0	4.0	10.0	3.0	2.0	72.0	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	174.971 <sup>a</sup>	80	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	130.493	80	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 99 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

### Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.559	<.001
	Cramer's V	.551	<.001
N of Valid Cases		72	

**How much do you believe the packaging influences how your company's professionalism and attention to detail are perceived?**

**\*What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?**

**Crosstab**

What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?

		What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?										Total
		1 (Very Dissatisfied)	2 (Dissatisfied)	3 (Neutral)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very Satisfied)	a) incredibly happy	b) Content	c) Indifferent	d) Not happy		
How much do you believe the packaging influences how your company's professionalism and attention to detail are perceived?	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.3	.2	.1	.1	.1	1.0
a) Notably	Count	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	2	12
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0	.8	1.3	3.2	2.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	12.0
b) In a moderate way	Count	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	3	2	2	20
	Expected Count	.3	1.4	1.7	1.4	2.2	5.3	3.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	20.0
c) A little bit	Count	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	2	2	13
	Expected Count	.2	.9	1.1	.9	1.4	3.4	2.5	1.3	1.3	1.3	13.0
d) Not at all	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.3	.2	.1	.1	.1	1.0
Neutral	Count	1	0	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Expected Count	.1	.6	.8	.6	1.0	2.4	1.8	.9	.9	.9	9.0
Satisfied	Count	0	1	1	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Expected Count	.1	.6	.8	.6	1.0	2.4	1.8	.9	.9	.9	9.0
Very Dissatisfied	Count	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Expected Count	.0	.2	.3	.2	.3	.8	.6	.3	.3	.3	3.0
Very Satisfied	Count	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Expected Count	.1	.3	.3	.3	.4	1.1	.8	.4	.4	.4	4.0
Total	Count	1	5	6	5	8	19	14	7	7	7	72
	Expected Count	1.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	8.0	19.0	14.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	72.0

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	150.335 <sup>a</sup>	64	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	131.513	64	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 80 cells (98.8%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

### Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal Phi	1.445	<.001
Cramer's V	.511	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72	

To what extent do you find the branding and labelling tactics used on the package to be satisfactory?

\* What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?

Crosstab

		What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?									
		1 (Very Dissatisfied)	2 (Dissatisfied)	3 (Neutral)	4 (Satisfied)	5 (Very Satisfied)	a) incredibly happy	b) Content	c) Indifferent	d) Not happy	Total
To what extent do you find the branding and labeling tactics used on the package to be satisfactory?	Count	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.3	.2	.1	.1	1.0
a) incredibly happy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	9	5	4	3	21
	Expected Count	.3	1.5	1.8	1.5	2.3	5.5	4.1	2.0	2.0	21.0
b) Content	Count	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	7
	Expected Count	.1	.5	.6	.5	.8	1.8	1.4	.7	.7	7.0
c) Indifferent	Count	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	2	1	12
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0	.8	1.3	3.2	2.3	1.2	1.2	12.0
d) Not happy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	6
	Expected Count	.1	.4	.5	.4	.7	1.6	1.2	.6	.6	6.0
Dissatisfied	Count	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.2	.1	.2	.5	.4	.2	.2	2.0
e) Extremely unhappy	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.3	.2	.1	.1	1.0
Neutral	Count	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Expected Count	.1	.6	.7	.6	.9	2.1	1.6	.8	.8	8.0
Satisfied	Count	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	6
	Expected Count	.1	.4	.5	.4	.7	1.6	1.2	.6	.6	6.0
Very Dissatisfied	Count	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Expected Count	.0	.1	.2	.1	.2	.5	.4	.2	.2	2.0
Very Satisfied	Count	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	6
	Expected Count	.1	.4	.5	.4	.7	1.6	1.2	.6	.6	6.0
Total	Count	1	5	6	5	8	19	14	7	7	72
	Expected Count	1.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	8.0	19.0	14.0	7.0	7.0	72.0

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	173.020 <sup>a</sup>	80	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	135.247	80	<.001
N of Valid Cases	72		

a. 98 cells (99.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .01.

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.550	<.001
	Cramer's V	.548	<.001
N of Valid Cases		72	

**CONCLUSION**

We have explored the complex function packaging plays in streamlining supply chain operations and improving logistics through our investigation. Packaging is no longer only a tool for confinement; it is now a vital component of the modern supply chain ecosystem, serving a variety of purposes from protecting goods in transit to cutting expenses and improving sustainability. One of the main conclusions drawn from our investigation is the critical role packaging plays in safeguarding goods as they travel through the complex web of transportation networks. The packaging acts as a barrier, protecting the items from damage during handling, storage, and transportation. An elegantly crafted packing option does not only stop harm but also reduces losses, guaranteeing that goods arrive at their destination undamaged and in perfect shape. The significance of investing in strong packaging strategies that are customized to meet the specific needs of every product and supply chain sector is highlighted by this element alone. Additionally, packing is an effective means of maximizing the use of available space, which is a critical consideration in the field of logistics. By optimizing payload capacity, logistics companies can move more cargo in a given volume thanks to efficient packing innovations. This eliminates carbon emissions and lowers transportation costs, which is in line with the industry's rising emphasis on sustainability. Utilizing cutting-edge packaging options like stackable pallets and foldable containers, businesses may significantly increase productivity while lowering their environmental impact. footprint. Packaging has a significant impact on branding, customer perception, and market competitiveness in addition to its utilitarian qualities. A company's identity is communicated to consumers through its packaging, which acts as a physical representation of its values, ethos, and brand promise.

**REFERENCES**

1. Manzini, R., Cholette, S., Cascini, A., Accorsi, R., & Mora, C. (2014). A case study on the food catering supply chain's evaluation of reusable plastic containers from an economic and environmental standpoint. *Journal of Production Economics International*, 152, 88–101.
2. Masoud, M. M., Buffington, J., Östlund, S., and Dominic, C. (2015). A case study aimed at developing a conceptual sustainable packaging logistics paradigm. 28(3), 221-237, *Packaging Technology and Science*.
3. Gonzalez-Portela Garrido, A. T., Garcia-Arca, J., and Prado-Prado, J. C. (2014). "Packaging logistics": Encouraging supply networks to operate with sustainable efficiency. 44(4), 325-346, *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*.
4. D. Hellström and F. Nilsson (2011). IKEA case study on logistics-driven package design. *Logistics & Materials Handling*, 66(4), 18–28.
5. Olsson and D. Hellström (2017). *Managing package design for sustainable development: A compass for supporting requirements and priorities*. Wiley & Sons, Inc. Saghir, M. and Hellström, D. (2007). Retail supply chains: interconnections between packaging and logistics. *Science and Technology of Packaging*, 20(3), 197-216.

**Appendix**

1. What level of satisfaction do you have with the general quality of the packaging used in your supply chain to transport goods?
  - a) incredibly happy
  - b) Content
  - c) Indifferent
  - d) Not happy
  - e) Extremely unhappy
2. To what extent do you believe the items are protected during travel by the packaging?
  - a) very efficient
  - b) In effect
  - c) Quite successful
  - d) Incompetent
  - e) Extremely inefficient
3. Do you think that a big part of minimizing product losses and damage during transit is packaging?
  - a) firmly concur
  - b) Accept
  - c) Indifferent
  - d) Contrary to
  - e) vehemently disagree
4. To what extent do you find packing to be effective in making the most use of available space during transit?
  - a) incredibly happy
  - b) Content
  - c) Indifferent
  - d) Not happy
  - e) Extremely unhappy
5. How much do you believe the design of the packaging affects how simple it is to handle and store in your supply chain operations?

- a) Notably
  - b) In a moderate way
  - c) A little bit
  - d) Not at all
6. To what extent are you happy with the elements of packaging materials' sustainability that are employed in your supply chain?
- a) incredibly happy
  - b) Content
  - c) Indifferent
  - d) Not happy
  - e) Extremely unhappy
7. Do you think that the packaging your supply chain uses helps to save money on shipping and logistics?
- a) firmly concur
  - b) Accept
  - c) Indifferent
  - d) Contrary to
  - e) vehemently disagree
8. To what extent do you find the branding and labelling tactics used on the package to be satisfactory?
- a) incredibly happy
  - b) Content
  - c) Indifferent
  - d) Not happy
  - e) Extremely unhappy
9. How much do you believe the packaging influences how your company's professionalism and attention to detail are perceived?
- a) Notably
  - b) In a moderate way
  - c) A little bit
  - d) Not at all
10. To increase overall performance, how likely are you to suggest changes to your supply chain's packaging strategies?
- a) Very probably
  - b) Most likely
  - c) Indifferent
  - d) Not likely