A Comprehensive Survey of State of Art Methods for Remaining Useful Life Prediction

K Rajendra[1], T Kiran[1], K Pushpa latha[1], K Santosh[1], P Ramana[2], P Upendra Kumar[2]

[1]B. Tech Student Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, GMRIT Deemed to be University, Rajam, Vizianagaram - 532127, Andhra Pradesh, India.

[2]Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, GMRIT Deemed to be University, Rajam, Vizianagaram - 532127, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Email: kollirajendra750@gmail.com

---***---

Abstract - Rechargeable batteries are vital to contemporary energy systems, making it vital to monitor battery health. The ability to determine the Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of a battery is critical to ensure the safety of batteries and to minimize the costs of batteries. However, it continues to be a challenge due to the impact of numerous complex aging factors such as thermal cycling and usage cycles. The present paper reviews current RUL prediction methods, including physics-based, data-driven, and hybrid methods. The strengths and weaknesses of each of these methods will be critically evaluated for use in real-time applications and will identify the need for research and future directions for the development of more accurate battery health prognostic systems.

Key Words: Remaining Useful Life, Battery Prognostics, Lithium-ion Batteries, Machine Learning, Battery Health Monitoring, Hybrid Methods

1.INTRODUCTION

The growing emphasis on sustainable energy solutions across the world has increased the dependence on efficient energy storage systems. Among the available technologies, lithium-ion batteries have gained significant attention due to their high energy density and relatively long service life. These batteries are now widely used in applications ranging from portable electronic devices to electric vehicles and large-scale energy storage systems. As their usage continues to expand, ensuring their reliability and safe operation has become increasingly important.

To manage battery performance effectively, Battery Management Systems (BMS) are commonly employed. A BMS is responsible for monitoring key parameters such as voltage, current, and temperature during operation. One of its primary tasks is estimating the State of Charge (SOC), which indicates the available energy in the battery. However, accurately determining SOC is not straightforward, mainly because lithium-ion batteries exhibit nonlinear electrochemical behavior under different operating conditions. This makes precise estimation challenging, especially in real-time applications.

Over time, batteries naturally undergo degradation due to repeated charging and discharging cycles. This degradation results in a gradual loss of capacity and an increase in internal resistance, ultimately affecting overall performance. If not monitored properly, such degradation can lead to unexpected failures and safety risks. For this reason, understanding and tracking battery health has become a key area of research.

One of the common ways to determine battery health is by predicting the remaining useful life (RUL) of the battery, which tells us how much longer it will operate based on the number of cycles that it will go through prior to reaching its end-of-life. RUL prediction is complex because batteries degrade in nonlinear ways, and many external factors will also affect the degradation, such as temperature variance, how much load the battery sees, and how the battery is being charged. To help with these issues, researchers have developed a number of different methods to calculate the RUL of batteries; these methods are generally categorized into three types: physics-based methods, data-driven methods, and hybrid methods that combine both physics- and data-driven approaches.

Over the last few years, improvements in sensing technologies and computing have allowed researchers to develop more advanced approaches for battery prognostics. In particular, machine learning and artificial intelligence are becoming more commonly used to increase the accuracy of predictions. Even though many of these advances have been made, there is still much research needed to achieve real-time, reliable RUL predictions, and to improve existing methods in order to deploy these solutions in practice. This study will provide an overview of current RUL prediction methods and identify key areas where improvements are needed to increase the practical deployment of these methods.

2 Problem Statement

Real-time precise estimation of the RUL of batteries continues to be a complex problem. Many things impact battery wear, including ambient temperature changes, changes in load, and different charging profiles. The numerous facets of system inputs have non-linear effects. These inputs provide a complex energy system that makes it difficult to accurately model battery wear. There is also the need for accurate and efficient models to be able to generate RUL estimates on-the-fly in actual operational environments, e.g. faster than 1 hour. The wide array of existing methods do not provide sufficient accuracy or do not have a computational efficiency level that limits how they can be applied to actual use. Consequently, there will be a need for robust methods that will produce accurate estimates of battery RUL due to variable operating conditions.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

As a result of the importance of accurate forecasting of remaining useful life of batteries for improved safety and reliability of the system, there has been considerable research on this area is to date in the past few decades. Research into various approaches to understand how batteries degrade and predict their future performance has been performed. The very first approaches were physics-based models which were developed using electrochemical processes that take place inside batteries and describing how batteries degrade due to physical processes (e.g. ageing of electrodes, degradation of electrolytes [6], [7]). Although these models provide valuable insights and have high interpretability, they can be difficult to implement in practice due to difficulties in estimating parameters and the time-consuming and computationally intensive nature of some physics-based models [8]. Equivalent circuit models are another common approach to prognostics and use the same components (e.g., resistors and capacitors) as native electrical components to represent how batteries work. Equivalent circuit models are also easy to implement in battery management systems; however, due to their relatively simplistic representation of battery electrical components, they may not fully describe all of the various degradation behaviours that occur in batteries based on the various conditions under which a battery is used [9], [10].

With the increase in machine learning techniques, there has been a great deal of interest in using data-driven techniques for predicting battery remaining useful life. Such techniques use previous battery data to determine the locations of degradation behaviour and to estimate the future performance of the battery. Data-driven techniques use support vector machines, random forests, and neural networks as their primary methodologies for predicting remaining useful life of batteries [2], [3]. In particular, neural networks have shown a high level of capability in terms of using non-linear relationships to predict remaining useful life of batteries [11].

Deep learning methods enhance prediction performance by using functions to represent the temporal dependency of battery data. Examples of methods (techniques) used to capture long-term degradation trends and improve prediction accuracy include recurrent neural networks (RNNs) [12], [15]. Uncertainty in battery behaviour has also been investigated using probabilistic modelling methods (approaches) including Bayesian methods and particle filters, allowing more robust predictions when battery operation is characterised by uncertainty [13]. Hybrid models have emerged in recent years which integrate principles from physics-based methods alongside data driven methods in the formulation of prediction models (providing more interpretability while still allowing for predictions to be made accurately) [1], [14]. **D**espite these developments, there are still significant challenges facing the accurate long-term prediction of battery behaviour; variations in operative characteristics, the limited availability of comprehensive, high-quality datasets and computational limitations associated with real-time implementations are all factors limiting the predictive capability of battery predictive models. These challenges will continue to provide impetus for researchers looking for accurate predictions made in real-time, in an effort to ensure more successful long-term use of battery power systems.

4. RESEARCH GAPS

- Limited availability of standardized datasets for battery degradation analysis

- Difficulty in handling uncertainty and variability in real-world battery operating conditions
- High computational complexity of advanced prognostic algorithms for real-time systems
- Lack of generalized models applicable to different battery chemistries and applications

5. CONCLUSION

With regard to battery life estimation, accurately identifying remaining useful life is essential for providing a safe and reliable system, especially with regard to applications involving electric vehicles and energy storage systems. Various estimation methods have been used in this endeavour including using physics based models, data driven techniques and hybrid methods which all exist to assist with estimating battery life. Physics based models offer strong theoretical support while data driven techniques offer greater flexibility in modelling complexity. Hybrid methods provide a practical solution to estimating battery life by utilising both the strengths of physics based and data driven models; however there are still many challenges to developing hybrid methods into practical solutions, such as the uncertainty involved in operating conditions, battery ageing and implementing limitations. Improvements will continue to be made to hybrid methods to develop more robust and accurate real-time models.

6. FUTURE SCOPE

Making continued progress toward the creation of scalable, adaptable and computationally two-dimensional predictive models (RUL) is vital to researchers. Developments in edge computing, high-quality sensing and real-time processing of data will be extremely important in improving predictive model accuracy and supporting intelligent battery management for the constantly changing ecosystems.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Ahwiadi and W. Wang, “Battery Health Monitoring and Remaining Useful Life Prediction Techniques: A Review of Technologies,” Batteries, vol. 11, no. 1, 2025.

[2] Y. Han, G. Lei, L. Li, and L. Zheng, “A Comprehensive Review About Machine Learning for Battery Packs Remaining Useful Life Prediction,” in Proc. IEEE IFEEC, 2023.

[3] D. H. de la Iglesia et al., “Advanced Machine Learning and Deep Learning Approaches for Estimating the Remaining Life of EV Batteries—A Review,” Batteries, vol. 11, no. 1, 2025.

[4] M. Munhamoh and P. Musiiwa, “A Comparative Study of Random Forest and LSTM Models for Battery Remaining Useful Life Prediction,” Int. J. Sci. Adv. Technol., vol. 16, no. 3, 2025.

[5] B. M. Adeyemi et al., “Machine Learning Models for Accurate Remaining Useful Life Prediction of Lead-Acid Batteries Using Feature Selection,” Research Square, 2025.

[6] J. Vetter et al., “Ageing mechanisms in lithium-ion batteries,” J. Power Sources, vol. 147, no. 1–2, pp. 269–281, 2005.

[7] P. Ramadass, B. Haran, P. M. Gomadam, R. White, and B. N. Popov, “Development of first principles capacity fade model for Li-ion cells,” J. Electrochem. Soc., vol. 151, no. 2, 2004.

[8] M. Dubarry, C. Truchot, and B. Y. Liaw, “Synthesize battery degradation modes via a diagnostic and prognostic model,” J. Power Sources, vol. 219, pp. 204–216, 2012.

[9] G. Plett, “Extended Kalman filtering for battery management systems of LiPB-based HEV battery packs: Part 2. Modeling and identification,” J. Power Sources, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 262–276, 2004.

[10] S. Santhanagopalan and R. E. White, “Online estimation of the state of charge of a lithium ion cell,” J. Power Sources, vol. 161, no. 2, pp. 1346–1355, 2006.

[11] A. Saxena and K. Goebel, “Turbofan engine degradation simulation data set,” NASA Ames Prognostics Data Repository, 2008.

[12] K. Liu, Y. Shang, D. Ouyang, and Q. Widanage, “A data-driven approach with uncertainty quantification for predicting future capacities and RUL of lithium-ion batteries,” IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 68, no. 4, 2021.

[13] X. S. Si, W. Wang, C. H. Hu, and D. H. Zhou, “Remaining useful life estimation – A review on the

statistical data-driven approaches," Eur. J. Oper. Res., vol. 213, no. 1, 2011.

[14] D. N. How, M. A. Hannan, M. S. Hossain, and P. J. Ker, "State of charge estimation for lithium-ion batteries using model-based and data-driven methods: A review," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 136116-136136, 2019.

[14] Y. Li, K. Liu, A. M. Foley, A. Zülke, M. Berecibar, and J. Van Mierlo, "Data-driven health estimation and RUL prediction of lithium-ion batteries: A review," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 113, 2019.

[15] S. Zhang, B. Zhai, X. Guo, K. Wang, N. Peng, and X. Zhang, "Deep learning-based prognostic approach for lithium-ion battery RUL prediction," Appl. Energy, vol. 257, 2020.