

A Conceptual Study of Emotional Intelligence on Employee Performance and Subjective Well-Being

Ms. Priyanka Pathak, Research scholar

Dr. Harisingh Gour Viswavidyalaya, Sagar (M.P.)

under supervision of

Sr. prof. Dr. Shree Bhagwat Dean FMS

Dr. Harisingh Gour Viswavidyalaya Sagar (M.P.).

Abstract

Emotional intelligence (EI) became an emerging field of organizational behavior research because of its disproportionately large effects on various attitudes, behavior, performance, and psychological experiences among employees within the workplace over time it was not long before. Today, in workplaces that ask people to both navigate complexity and uncertainty while simultaneously engaging with each other at a higher level of humanity than ever before, they need employees who can do more than just regulate their own emotions and those of others; they need emotional capabilities. This conceptual paper draws on emotional intelligence (EI) theory, Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) theory, Conservation of Resources (COR) theory and positive psychology in order to analyse how EI bolsters employee performance and subjective well-being.

This study suggests that emotional intelligence increases job performance by better management of emotions and managing relationships, regulating mood, increased Interpersonal effectiveness, adaptability, Employee Engagement, ad workplace relationship. In addition, EI plays a role in subjective well-being through positive emotions and psychological resilience, job satisfaction and life satisfaction. This paper develops an integrated conceptual framework elucidating the pathways by which emotional intelligence impacts organizational and individual outcomes. The findings highlight the need for emotional intelligence as an important strategic human resource capability and provide a number of suggested avenues for future empirical study.

Keywords: Emotional Intelligence, Employee Performance, Subjective Well-being, Employee Engagement, Psychological Resilience, Job Satisfaction, Organizational Behavior

1. Introduction

The contemporary business environment is characterized by rapid technological advancement, globalization, workforce diversity, and increasing workplace uncertainty. Organizations increasingly recognize that employee effectiveness depends not only on technical knowledge and cognitive abilities but also on emotional and interpersonal capabilities. Employees today are expected to collaborate across diverse teams, manage workplace pressures, respond effectively to change, and maintain productive relationships. These requirements have increased scholarly interest in emotional intelligence (EI) as a critical psychological resource influencing workplace outcomes.

Emotional intelligence refers to the ability of individuals to identify, understand, regulate, and utilize emotions effectively in themselves and others. The concept was formally introduced by Peter Salovey and John D. Mayer, who defined EI as a form of intelligence involving the processing of emotional information to guide thinking and behavior. Later, Daniel Goleman popularized the concept in organizational settings by emphasizing emotional competencies such as self-awareness, self-management, empathy, and relationship management.

Within organizations, emotional intelligence has been increasingly recognized as an important determinant of employee effectiveness. Employees with higher emotional intelligence are better able to regulate negative emotions, understand colleagues' perspectives, manage conflicts, and maintain constructive workplace interactions. Such capabilities contribute to improved task performance, organizational citizenship behaviors, leadership effectiveness, and career success.

Employee performance is a central concern in human resource management because it directly affects organizational productivity and competitiveness. Traditional approaches to employee performance have emphasized cognitive ability, technical competence, and motivation. However, contemporary research suggests that emotional competencies significantly influence how employees perform, particularly in jobs requiring teamwork, communication, customer interaction, and leadership.

At the same time, organizations are increasingly focusing on employee subjective well-being. Subjective well-being represents an individual's evaluation of their quality of life, including emotional happiness, life satisfaction, and psychological functioning. The growing emphasis on employee well-being reflects a shift from purely productivity-oriented approaches toward sustainable workplace practices that support both organizational success and employee flourishing.

Although existing research has examined emotional intelligence in relation to various workplace outcomes, limited conceptual integration exists regarding how EI simultaneously contributes to employee performance and subjective well-being. Previous studies often investigate performance outcomes and psychological outcomes separately, creating a fragmented understanding of emotional intelligence's broader organizational significance.

Therefore, this conceptual paper aims to develop an integrated framework explaining the influence of emotional intelligence on employee performance and subjective well-being. By combining organizational behavior and positive psychology perspectives, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of how emotional intelligence functions as an individual resource that generates both workplace effectiveness and psychological benefits.

The objectives of this study are:

1. To examine the relationship between emotional intelligence and employee performance.
2. To understand the influence of emotional intelligence on subjective well-being.
3. To identify mechanisms through which emotional intelligence affects employee outcomes.
4. To develop a conceptual framework for future empirical research.

2. Conceptual Background

2.1 Concept of Emotional Intelligence

The concept of emotional intelligence has evolved through several theoretical perspectives. The ability model proposed by Mayer and Salovey considers EI as an individual's capacity to perceive, understand, use, and regulate emotions. Unlike traditional intelligence, which focuses primarily on cognitive abilities, emotional intelligence emphasizes the processing of emotional information and its application in social and personal contexts.

According to the ability model, emotional intelligence consists of four interconnected dimensions:

1. Emotional Perception

Emotional perception refers to the ability to recognize emotions expressed through facial expressions, body language, tone of voice, and personal feelings. Employees with strong emotional perception can accurately understand emotional situations and respond appropriately.

2. Emotional Understanding

Emotional understanding involves interpreting emotional experiences and recognizing how emotions influence thoughts and behaviors. This ability enables employees to understand workplace situations more effectively.

3. Emotional Regulation

Emotional regulation represents the ability to manage emotional reactions, particularly during stressful situations. Employees with strong emotional regulation can control negative emotions and maintain professional behavior.

4. Emotional Utilization

Emotional utilization refers to the ability to use emotions constructively to improve decision-making, creativity, motivation, and problem-solving.

2.2 Emotional Intelligence and Workplace Behavior

Workplaces involve continuous emotional interactions among employees, managers, customers, and stakeholders. Employees frequently experience emotions such as stress, frustration, enthusiasm, and satisfaction. The ability to manage these emotions influences workplace behavior and performance.

Emotionally intelligent employees are more likely to:

- develop effective communication patterns,
- demonstrate empathy toward colleagues,
- manage interpersonal conflicts,
- adapt to organizational changes,
- maintain positive workplace relationships.

Research in organizational psychology indicates that EI is particularly valuable in occupations involving high levels of social interaction, such as healthcare, education, management, customer service, and team-based environments.

2.3 Employee Performance

Employee performance refers to the extent to which employees successfully accomplish assigned tasks and contribute to organizational objectives. It includes both task performance and contextual performance.

Task performance involves:

- completing assigned responsibilities,
- achieving work targets,
- maintaining quality standards.

Contextual performance includes:

- helping coworkers,
- supporting organizational values,
- demonstrating cooperation and commitment.

Emotional intelligence may enhance employee performance by improving concentration, decision-making, interpersonal effectiveness, and stress management.

2.4 Subjective Well-being

Subjective well-being is a multidimensional psychological construct reflecting how individuals evaluate and experience their lives. It generally includes:

1. **Life satisfaction** – cognitive evaluation of overall life quality.
2. **Positive affect** – experience of positive emotions such as happiness and enthusiasm.
3. **Negative affect** – reduced experience of unpleasant emotions.

In organizational contexts, subjective well-being is associated with job satisfaction, psychological health, organizational commitment, and reduced burnout.

Emotional intelligence contributes to subjective well-being because emotionally intelligent individuals are better able to regulate emotions, cope with stress, maintain positive relationships, and experience psychological balance.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Emotional Intelligence and Employee Performance

Employee performance has been widely recognized as a critical determinant of organizational effectiveness. Traditionally, employee performance research focused on cognitive ability, technical skills, motivation, and job knowledge. However, contemporary organizational research emphasizes that emotional capabilities also play a significant role in determining how effectively employees perform in complex social environments.

Emotional intelligence contributes to employee performance by improving employees' ability to manage emotions, establish effective interpersonal relationships, and respond constructively to workplace challenges. Employees with higher emotional intelligence are more capable of understanding their own emotional states and regulating negative emotions, which allows them to maintain concentration and productivity even under stressful conditions.

According to the ability model of emotional intelligence, employees who can accurately perceive and manage emotions are better equipped to process workplace information and make appropriate decisions. Emotional regulation enables employees to avoid impulsive reactions, maintain professional behavior, and handle conflicts effectively. These capabilities are particularly important in jobs requiring teamwork, leadership, negotiation, and customer interaction.

Research has consistently demonstrated a positive association between emotional intelligence and job performance. Employees with higher EI demonstrate greater adaptability, communication effectiveness, and interpersonal competence, which positively influence both task and contextual performance. A meta-analysis by Dana Joseph and Daniel Newman found that emotional intelligence is positively related to workplace performance outcomes, suggesting that EI provides employees with important resources for achieving occupational success.

Furthermore, emotional intelligence supports employee performance through improved relationship management. Modern organizations increasingly depend on teamwork and collaboration, where employees must coordinate with individuals having different personalities, perspectives, and emotional responses. Emotionally intelligent employees can create supportive relationships, reduce interpersonal tensions, and contribute positively to team effectiveness.

Therefore, emotional intelligence can be considered an important personal capability that enhances employee performance by strengthening emotional regulation, communication skills, motivation, and adaptive behaviors.

Proposition 1:

Emotional intelligence is positively associated with employee performance.

3.2 Emotional Intelligence and Subjective Well-being

Subjective well-being has become an important research area within organizational psychology because organizations increasingly recognize that employee success involves both productivity and psychological health. Employee well-being influences motivation, engagement, retention, creativity, and long-term organizational sustainability.

Emotional intelligence plays a significant role in enhancing subjective well-being because emotions strongly influence individuals' perceptions of their work and personal lives. Employees who understand and regulate their emotions effectively are more likely to experience positive emotions, maintain satisfying relationships, and cope successfully with stressful situations.

According to positive psychology perspectives, emotional intelligence represents a psychological strength that enables individuals to achieve flourishing and psychological balance. Emotionally intelligent individuals tend to demonstrate greater self-awareness and emotional control, which reduces emotional exhaustion and increases psychological resilience.

Employees with higher emotional intelligence are better able to:

- interpret stressful situations realistically,
- recover from negative emotional experiences,
- maintain optimism,
- develop supportive social relationships.

These abilities contribute to higher levels of life satisfaction and positive affect.

Research has also indicated that emotional intelligence reduces negative psychological outcomes such as stress, anxiety, and burnout. By effectively regulating emotional responses, employees are able to preserve psychological resources and maintain better emotional health.

Thus, emotional intelligence can be viewed as an important psychological resource that enhances subjective well-being.

Proposition 2:

Emotional intelligence is positively associated with employee subjective well-being.

3.3 Mediating Role of Employee Engagement

Employee engagement refers to a positive psychological state characterized by energy, dedication, and involvement in work activities. Engaged employees demonstrate enthusiasm, commitment, and willingness to invest personal resources in their roles.

The relationship between emotional intelligence and employee performance may be explained through employee engagement. Emotional intelligence helps employees develop positive emotional experiences at work, which increases their psychological attachment to their jobs.

Employees with high EI are more capable of:

- maintaining positive workplace emotions,
- developing meaningful relationships,
- managing work pressures,
- remaining motivated during challenges.

These characteristics encourage higher levels of work engagement. According to the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model, personal resources such as emotional intelligence increase employees' capacity to handle job demands and stimulate motivational processes.

Engaged employees demonstrate higher performance because they invest greater effort, show stronger commitment, and contribute beyond formal job requirements.

Therefore, employee engagement may act as a mechanism through which emotional intelligence influences performance outcomes.

Proposition 3:

Employee engagement mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and employee performance.

3.4 Mediating Role of Psychological Resilience

Psychological resilience refers to an individual's ability to recover from adversity, manage challenges, and maintain effective functioning under stressful conditions. In modern workplaces characterized by uncertainty and rapid change, resilience has become an important employee capability.

Emotional intelligence enhances psychological resilience because emotionally intelligent individuals are better able to understand stressful emotions and develop appropriate coping responses. Emotional awareness allows employees to recognize stress signals, while emotional regulation helps them manage negative emotional reactions.

Resilient employees are more likely to:

- remain productive during difficult situations,
- adapt to organizational changes,
- maintain positive psychological states,
- overcome workplace challenges.

Conservation of Resources (COR) theory suggests that individuals attempt to acquire and protect valuable psychological resources. Emotional intelligence represents such a resource because it helps employees conserve emotional energy and recover from workplace stress.

Psychological resilience may therefore explain how emotional intelligence contributes to subjective well-being.

Proposition 4:

Psychological resilience mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and subjective well-being.

3.5 Emotional Intelligence, Job Satisfaction, and Well-being

Job satisfaction represents employees' positive evaluation of their job experiences. It is influenced by workplace relationships, emotional experiences, recognition, and perceptions of organizational support.

Emotional intelligence contributes to job satisfaction by enabling employees to develop healthier workplace relationships and manage negative emotions effectively. Employees who can regulate emotions are less likely to experience frustration and emotional exhaustion, leading to more positive evaluations of their work environment.

Higher job satisfaction is strongly associated with subjective well-being because work represents a significant part of individuals' lives. Positive workplace experiences contribute to happiness, psychological fulfillment, and overall life satisfaction.

Therefore, emotional intelligence may influence subjective well-being through improved job satisfaction.

Proposition 5:

Job satisfaction mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and subjective well-being.

3.6 Research Gap

Although previous studies have provided valuable insights into emotional intelligence and workplace outcomes, several research gaps remain.

First, much of the existing literature examines emotional intelligence as a predictor of individual outcomes separately, such as performance, satisfaction, or stress. Limited research integrates organizational performance and employee well-being perspectives within a single conceptual framework.

Second, previous studies have mainly focused on direct relationships between EI and outcomes, while the psychological mechanisms explaining these relationships require further investigation.

Third, workplace well-being research increasingly emphasizes sustainable employee development; however, the role of emotional intelligence as a personal resource contributing simultaneously to performance enhancement and psychological flourishing requires greater conceptual attention.

Fourth, many existing studies rely on single-source, cross-sectional data, creating opportunities for future research using longitudinal designs, multi-source performance evaluations, and cross-cultural comparisons.

This study addresses these gaps by proposing an integrated conceptual model explaining how emotional intelligence influences employee performance and subjective well-being through psychological and behavioral mechanisms.

4. Theoretical Foundation

4.1 Emotional Intelligence Theory

The foundation of this study is based on the emotional intelligence theory developed by Mayer and Salovey. The theory proposes that emotions contain valuable information that influences reasoning, decision-making, and social interaction.

According to this perspective, individuals who possess higher emotional intelligence are better able to:

- recognize emotional information,
- interpret emotional experiences,
- regulate emotional responses,
- use emotions effectively in decision-making.

Within organizational contexts, these abilities enable employees to manage workplace relationships and perform effectively.

4.2 Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) Theory

The Job Demands–Resources model provides an important explanation of how emotional intelligence influences employee outcomes.

The theory suggests that every occupation includes:

Job Demands:

Factors requiring physical or psychological effort, such as workload, stress, and emotional pressure.

Job Resources:

Factors that help employees achieve goals, reduce demands, and stimulate growth.

Emotional intelligence functions as a personal resource because it enables employees to manage emotional demands effectively. Employees with high EI experience lower emotional exhaustion and higher engagement, resulting in improved performance and well-being.

4.3 Conservation of Resources Theory

Conservation of Resources theory explains how individuals protect and develop psychological resources. Workplace stress occurs when employees lose valuable resources such as emotional energy, confidence, or psychological stability.

Emotional intelligence helps employees preserve resources by improving emotional regulation and coping ability. As a result, emotionally intelligent employees are better able to maintain psychological balance and recover from workplace challenges.

4.4 Positive Psychology Theory

Positive psychology emphasizes human strengths, positive emotions, resilience, and flourishing. Emotional intelligence represents a positive psychological capability that supports personal growth and well-being.

From this perspective, emotionally intelligent employees are more likely to experience:

- positive emotions,
- meaningful relationships,
- personal accomplishment,
- psychological flourishing.

Therefore, emotional intelligence contributes not only to organizational effectiveness but also to employee happiness and life satisfaction.

5. Conceptual Framework and Research Model

Based on the theoretical foundations and literature review, this study proposes a conceptual framework explaining the relationship between emotional intelligence, employee performance, and subjective well-being. The framework integrates emotional intelligence theory, Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) theory, Conservation of Resources (COR) theory, and positive psychology perspectives.

The proposed model suggests that emotional intelligence acts as a personal psychological resource that enhances both organizational and individual outcomes. Emotional intelligence directly influences employee performance and subjective well-being while also operating indirectly through important psychological mechanisms such as employee engagement, psychological resilience, and job satisfaction.

5.1 Proposed Conceptual Model

Independent Variable

Emotional Intelligence

Dimensions:

- Self-awareness
- Emotional regulation
- Emotional understanding
- Empathy
- Social relationship management

↓

Mediating Mechanisms

Employee Engagement

- Work involvement
- Dedication
- Psychological attachment

Psychological Resilience

- Coping ability

- Adaptability
- Recovery from stress

Job Satisfaction

- Positive evaluation of work
- Workplace happiness
- Organizational attachment

↓

Dependent Variables**Employee Performance**

- Task performance
- Contextual performance
- Organizational citizenship behavior
- Work effectiveness

AND

Subjective Well-being

- Life satisfaction
- Positive emotions
- Psychological functioning
- Reduced negative affect

5.2 Explanation of the Conceptual Model

The proposed framework suggests that emotional intelligence influences employee outcomes through multiple pathways.

First, emotional intelligence directly improves employee performance because emotionally intelligent employees possess better emotional control, communication skills, and interpersonal abilities. These characteristics enhance their ability to manage workplace challenges and achieve organizational goals.

Second, emotional intelligence improves subjective well-being by enabling employees to regulate emotions, maintain positive psychological states, and develop meaningful workplace relationships. Employees who effectively manage emotional experiences are more likely to experience satisfaction and happiness.

Third, employee engagement is proposed as a mediating mechanism between emotional intelligence and performance. Emotionally intelligent employees are better able to create positive work experiences, maintain motivation, and develop stronger involvement with their jobs.

Fourth, psychological resilience explains how emotional intelligence contributes to well-being. Employees with higher EI can better cope with stress and recover from workplace difficulties, thereby maintaining psychological stability.

Finally, job satisfaction acts as a bridge between emotional intelligence and subjective well-being because positive emotional experiences at work contribute to broader life satisfaction.

6. Research Propositions Development

Based on the conceptual framework, the following propositions are developed.

Proposition 1: Emotional Intelligence and Employee Performance

Emotional intelligence positively influences employee performance. Employees with higher emotional intelligence demonstrate improved interpersonal effectiveness, decision-making ability, conflict management skills, and adaptability. These capabilities contribute to higher levels of task and contextual performance.

Emotionally intelligent employees can manage workplace emotions effectively, maintain constructive relationships, and respond appropriately to organizational challenges. Therefore:

P1: Emotional intelligence has a positive relationship with employee performance.

Proposition 2: Emotional Intelligence and Subjective Well-being

Emotional intelligence enhances subjective well-being by improving emotional regulation and psychological functioning. Employees who understand and manage their emotions effectively experience greater happiness, satisfaction, and psychological balance.

Therefore:

P2: Emotional intelligence has a positive relationship with employee subjective well-being.

Proposition 3: Employee Engagement as a Mediator

Emotional intelligence increases employee engagement because emotionally intelligent employees experience greater psychological connection with their work. Their ability to regulate emotions helps them maintain enthusiasm and commitment.

Engaged employees demonstrate higher productivity, stronger organizational commitment, and better performance outcomes.

Therefore:

P3: Employee engagement mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and employee performance.

Proposition 4: Psychological Resilience as a Mediator

Emotional intelligence strengthens psychological resilience by improving employees' ability to understand stressful emotions and develop adaptive coping strategies.

Resilient employees are more capable of maintaining well-being during difficult workplace situations.

Therefore:

P4: Psychological resilience mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and subjective well-being.

Proposition 5: Job Satisfaction as a Mediator

Emotionally intelligent employees are more likely to develop positive perceptions of their workplace because they can manage interpersonal relationships and workplace emotions effectively.

Higher job satisfaction contributes to increased subjective well-being.

Therefore:

P5: Job satisfaction mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and subjective well-being.

7. Theoretical Implications

This conceptual study contributes to organizational behavior and human resource management literature in several ways.

7.1 Integration of Performance and Well-being Perspectives

Previous studies frequently examine employee performance and employee well-being as separate outcomes. This study integrates both perspectives by suggesting that emotional intelligence creates value for organizations while simultaneously improving employees' psychological experiences.

The proposed framework supports the idea that organizational effectiveness and employee well-being are not competing objectives but interconnected outcomes.

7.2 Extension of Emotional Intelligence Research

Existing emotional intelligence research has primarily focused on leadership effectiveness, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment. This study extends the literature by positioning EI as a comprehensive psychological resource influencing both performance and subjective well-being.

7.3 Contribution to Positive Organizational Scholarship

By incorporating positive psychology perspectives, this study highlights emotional intelligence as a strength-based capability that promotes employee flourishing. The framework emphasizes that organizations should develop employee psychological resources rather than focusing only on correcting workplace problems.

7.4 Advancement of JD-R Theory

This study extends the Job Demands–Resources framework by identifying emotional intelligence as an important personal resource. EI helps employees manage emotional demands and maintain motivation, thereby influencing both performance and well-being outcomes.

8. Managerial Implications

The findings of this conceptual study provide several implications for managers, human resource professionals, and organizational leaders.

8.1 Emotional Intelligence Development Programs

Organizations should implement emotional intelligence training programs focusing on:

- self-awareness development,
- emotional regulation skills,
- empathy building,
- communication effectiveness,
- conflict management.

Such programs can enhance employee effectiveness and psychological health.

8.2 Recruitment and Selection Practices

Organizations may consider emotional intelligence assessment during recruitment and selection processes, especially for roles requiring teamwork, leadership, customer interaction, and interpersonal communication.

Selecting employees with strong emotional capabilities may improve organizational performance and reduce workplace conflicts.

8.3 Employee Well-being Strategies

Organizations should recognize emotional intelligence as a component of employee well-being initiatives. Supporting emotional skills development can help employees manage stress, improve resilience, and maintain psychological balance.

8.4 Leadership Development

Leaders with high emotional intelligence can create supportive workplace environments by understanding employee emotions, providing effective feedback, and promoting trust.

Emotionally intelligent leadership can enhance employee engagement and organizational commitment.

9. Limitations and Future Research Directions

Although this conceptual paper provides an integrated framework, several limitations should be acknowledged.

First, the proposed relationships require empirical validation through quantitative and qualitative studies. Future researchers may test the framework using structural equation modeling (SEM), longitudinal designs, or multi-source data.

Second, emotional intelligence may operate differently across cultural contexts. Future research should examine whether cultural values influence the relationship between EI and employee outcomes.

Third, future studies may investigate additional moderators, such as:

- leadership style,
- organizational culture,
- workplace climate,
- job complexity,
- personality traits.

Fourth, future research should examine whether emotional intelligence interventions create long-term improvements in employee performance and well-being.

10. Conclusion

Emotional intelligence has emerged as an important psychological capability influencing employee behavior, organizational effectiveness, and workplace well-being. This conceptual paper demonstrates that emotional intelligence contributes to employee performance by improving emotional regulation, interpersonal effectiveness, adaptability, and engagement. At the same time, EI enhances subjective well-being by promoting positive emotions, resilience, job satisfaction, and psychological balance.

By integrating emotional intelligence theory, Job Demands–Resources theory, Conservation of Resources theory, and positive psychology, this study provides a comprehensive framework explaining how emotional intelligence generates both organizational and personal benefits.

The proposed model suggests that organizations should view emotional intelligence not merely as an individual trait but as a strategic human resource capability. Developing employees' emotional competencies can support sustainable performance while creating healthier and more fulfilling workplaces.

Future empirical research should examine the proposed relationships using diverse samples, longitudinal approaches, and advanced statistical techniques. Such research can provide deeper insights into how emotional intelligence contributes to sustainable organizational success and employee flourishing.

11. References (APA 7th Edition Style)

- Ashkanasy, N. M., & Daus, C. S. (2005). Rumors of the death of emotional intelligence in organizational behavior are vastly exaggerated. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 441–452. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.320>
- Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., & Jensen, S. M. (2009). Psychological capital: A positive resource for combating employee stress and turnover. *Human Resource Management*, 48(5), 677–693. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.20294>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands–Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273–285. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056>
- Bar-On, R. (1997). *Bar-On Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ-i): Technical manual*. Multi-Health Systems.
- Boyatzis, R. E. (2009). Competencies as a behavioral approach to emotional intelligence. *Journal of Management Development*, 28(9), 749–770. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710910987647>
- Carmeli, A. (2003). The relationship between emotional intelligence and work attitudes, behavior and outcomes: An examination among senior managers. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 18(8), 788–813. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940310511881>
- Côté, S. (2014). Emotional intelligence in organizations. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 459–488. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091233>
- Daus, C. S., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2005). The case for the ability-based model of emotional intelligence in organizational behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 453–466. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.321>
- Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin*, 95(3), 542–575. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.95.3.542>
- Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Lucas, R. E. (2003). Personality, culture, and subjective well-being: Emotional and cognitive evaluations of life. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 54, 403–425. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145056>
- Fisher, C. D. (2010). Happiness at work. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(4), 384–412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00270.x>
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.218>
- Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Basic Books.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam Books.
- Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2002). *Primal leadership: Realizing the power of emotional intelligence*. Harvard Business School Press.

- Hakanen, J. J., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). Burnout and work engagement among teachers. *Journal of School Psychology, 43*(6), 495–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2005.11.001>
- Joseph, D. L., & Newman, D. A. (2010). Emotional intelligence: An integrative meta-analysis and cascading model. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 95*(1), 54–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017286>
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal, 33*(4), 692–724. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256287>
- Karimi, L., Leggat, S. G., Donohue, L., Farrell, G., & Couper, G. E. (2014). Emotional rescue: The role of emotional intelligence and emotional labour on well-being and job-stress among community nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 70*(1), 176–186. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12185>
- Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2007). *Psychological capital: Developing the human competitive edge*. Oxford University Press.
- Mayer, J. D., Caruso, D. R., & Salovey, P. (2016). The ability model of emotional intelligence: Principles and updates. *Emotion Review, 8*(4), 290–300. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073916639667>
- Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? In P. Salovey & D. Sluyter (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications* (pp. 3–31). Basic Books.
- Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., & Qian, S. (2017). A meta-analysis of emotional intelligence and work attitudes. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 90*(2), 177–202. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12167>
- Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., & Qian, S. (2018). Emotional intelligence and servant leadership: A meta-analytic review. *Business Ethics Quarterly, 28*(1), 1–32.
- Naseer, Z., Chishti, S., Rahman, F., & Jumani, N. B. (2011). Impact of emotional intelligence on team performance in higher education institutions. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences, 3*(1), 30–46.
- Petrides, K. V., & Furnham, A. (2001). Trait emotional intelligence: Psychometric investigation with reference to established trait taxonomies. *European Journal of Personality, 15*(6), 425–448. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.416>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations. *Annual Review of Psychology, 63*, 539–569. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100452>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology, 52*, 141–166. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.141>
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality, 9*(3), 185–211. <https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG>
- Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two-sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 3*, 71–92. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015630930326>
- Schutte, N. S., Malouff, J. M., Thorsteinsson, E. B., Bhullar, N., & Rooke, S. E. (2007). A meta-analytic investigation of the relationship between emotional intelligence and health. *Personality and Individual Differences, 42*(6), 921–933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.09.003>
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press.
- Tsaousis, I., & Nikolaou, I. (2005). Exploring the relationship of emotional intelligence with physical and psychological health functioning. *Stress and Health, 21*(2), 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.1042>
- Wong, C. S., & Law, K. S. (2002). The effects of leader and follower emotional intelligence on performance and attitude. *The Leadership Quarterly, 13*(3), 243–274. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843\(02\)00099-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(02)00099-1)