A Review on Deep Learning Techniques for Detecting Driver Fatigue

Prof. Shital Aher[1], Bhakti Dattatray Shinde[2], Sarita Rambhau Sadgir[3], Rutuja Anil More[4], Rutuja Kishor Jadhav[5]

[1]Professor, Department of Information Technology, Sir Visvesvaraya Institute of Technology, Maharashtra, India
[2,3,4,5]Department of Information Technology, Sir Visvesvaraya Institute of Technology, Maharashtra, India

---***---

Abstract - This project proposes an intelligent driver monitoring system designed to enhance road safety by detecting drowsiness and alcohol impairment. It uses visual information to track facial features, focusing on eye and mouth movements, and calculates the Percentage of Eye Closure (PERCLOS) — a proven metric for detecting fatigue. Haar cascade algorithms are employed for real-time detection of eyes and mouth, enabling early identification of fatigue signs like prolonged eye closure and yawning. Deep learning techniques, particularly the Softmax function, are used for accurate classification of drowsiness levels. Additionally, the system integrates alcohol pulse detection to determine driver impairment. By issuing timely alerts, the system aims to reduce accidents caused by fatigue and intoxication, especially among drivers of long-haul and heavy vehicles.
.

Key Words: Driver Drowsiness Detection, PERCLOS, Haar cascade, Deep Learning, Softmax Function, Alcohol Detection, Facial Recognition, Road Safety, Fatigue Monitoring, Real-Time Alert System

1. INTRODUCTION

Driver fatigue is a critical issue in road safety, especially for professional drivers who operate vehicles like trucks, buses, and taxis for extended hours. Fatigue reduces a driver’s ability to remain alert, slows reaction times, and increases the risk of accidents. Common causes include lack of sleep, driving during night hours, and working long shifts without proper rest. Fatigued driving is often as dangerous as drunk driving and is a major contributor to road accidents globally.
To detect driver drowsiness effectively, researchers have categorized detection methods into three main types:

1. **Vehicle-based approaches** – These monitor driving behaviour such as lane deviation or erratic steering.
2. **Behaviour-based approaches** – These track facial expressions, eye movement, yawning, and head position using cameras and algorithms.
3. **Physiological signal-based approaches** – These use signals from the body like EEG (brain waves), EOG (eye movement), and ECG (heart rate) to identify fatigue levels.

Among these, physiological methods, especially those using EEG signals, are considered the most accurate and reliable. EEG can directly measure brain activity and provide precise information about the driver’s state of alertness. However, these systems can be complex and require wearable sensors.
In behaviour-based approaches, eye closure patterns—measured using the Percentage of Eye Closure (PERCLOS)—are widely used to detect drowsiness. Algorithms like Haar cascade can efficiently detect facial features such as the eyes and mouth to identify signs of fatigue.
Additionally, alcohol detection through pulse analysis can determine if the driver is impaired. This feature is particularly useful for occupational drivers, ensuring safety by addressing both drowsiness and intoxication.
A smart monitoring system that integrates these technologies can help prevent accidents by providing timely alerts and promoting safer driving practices.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

2.1 Driver Fatigue: The Importance of Identifying Causal Factors of Fatigue When Considering Detection and Countermeasure Technologies

This study highlights the critical need to understand the underlying causes of driver fatigue when developing fatigue detection and countermeasure technologies. It emphasizes that driver fatigue arises not from a single factor but from multiple causes, including sleep deprivation, circadian rhythm disruptions, monotonous driving tasks, and high mental workload.
The research points out that many existing detection systems focus mainly on visible symptoms such as eye closure and lane deviations, but without addressing the specific reasons behind fatigue, these systems are often ineffective. The study recommends a multi-modal

approach, combining physiological measurements, driver performance data, and contextual factors for more accurate fatigue detection.
Key findings suggest that addressing the **cause of fatigue** — not just its symptoms — is essential for designing **effective interventions**. Personalized countermeasures like adjusting task structures, allowing rest breaks, or promoting pre-trip sleep hygiene are more effective than generic in-vehicle alarms. The paper concludes that future fatigue management systems must incorporate **adaptive, context-aware technologies** that can predict and prevent fatigue based on its root causes.

2.2 Psychophysiology of Driver Fatigue: A Critical Review

This study critically reviews the psychophysiological factors associated with driver fatigue, focusing on how fatigue affects brain function and behavior during driving. It examines various physiological indicators like EEG (electroencephalogram) patterns, heart rate variability, and eye movement changes that signal the onset and progression of fatigue.
The review finds that specific EEG changes, particularly an increase in theta and alpha wave activity, are strong indicators of mental fatigue. Additionally, fatigue is associated with slower reaction times, reduced vigilance, and decreased cognitive processing ability. The study emphasizes that physiological signals often detect fatigue **earlier than behavioural symptoms** like lane deviations or braking delays.
Key findings suggest that monitoring psychophysiological markers can enable **earlier and more accurate fatigue detection** compared to relying solely on driving performance metrics. The study concludes that a combination of real-time physiological monitoring and behavioural observations can significantly improve driver fatigue detection systems and enhance road safety.

2.3 Multidimensional Assessment of Fatigue: A Review and Recommendations

This study reviews different methods for assessing driver fatigue and emphasizes the need for a **multidimensional approach** rather than relying on a single measurement. It analyzes subjective scales (self-reported sleepiness), behavioral tests (reaction time tasks), and physiological measures (heart rate, EEG) to evaluate their effectiveness in fatigue detection.
The review finds that fatigue is a complex phenomenon with **mental, physical, and emotional dimensions**, and no single indicator can fully capture its impact. It stresses that subjective self-reports alone are often unreliable, especially in operational settings, and recommends combining objective behavioral and physiological data for more accurate fatigue assessment.
Key findings highlight that **integrated assessment models**—which combine multiple data types—are better suited for real-world fatigue management. The study recommends designing systems that adapt to different kinds of fatigue (e.g., mental vs. physical) and promote **early intervention strategies** based on comprehensive fatigue profiling.

2.4 Impact of Work Practices on Fatigue in Long-Distance Truck Drivers

This study examines how different work practices contribute to fatigue among long-distance truck drivers. Using interviews, surveys, and driving logs, it investigates factors such as working hours, rest breaks, sleep patterns, and driving schedules. The research focuses on understanding how operational demands and company practices influence driver alertness and safety.
The findings reveal that long working hours, irregular shifts, and insufficient sleep are major contributors to driver fatigue. Drivers who had shorter rest periods and unpredictable schedules reported higher fatigue levels and showed a greater risk of involvement in accidents. The study also notes that pressure to meet delivery deadlines often forces drivers to continue operating vehicles even when fatigued.
It concludes that **organizational policies**, including regulated work hours, mandatory rest periods, and proper scheduling, are essential in managing fatigue and improving road safety among long-haul drivers.

2.5 Current Perspectives on Daytime Sleepiness: The Issues

This study discusses the problem of excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) and its broader implications for public safety and health. It reviews clinical observations and experimental research to explain how sleep deprivation, disrupted sleep cycles, and sleep disorders contribute to increased sleepiness during waking hours. The paper also addresses the challenges in diagnosing and measuring daytime sleepiness reliably.
The findings suggest that daytime sleepiness significantly impairs cognitive function, reaction time, and attention, leading to a higher risk of accidents, especially in tasks like driving. It emphasizes that even moderate sleep loss can accumulate over time and produce serious deficits in performance. The study calls for greater public awareness, better screening methods for sleep disorders, and policies promoting sufficient sleep among populations at risk, such as shift workers and drivers.
The study concludes that managing sleep hygiene and early identification of sleep-related problems are critical steps in reducing the risks associated with daytime sleepiness.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data Collection and Preparation:

- **Data Sources:** Gather datasets that include different driver states, such as awake, mildly drowsy, and severely drowsy. Utilize existing datasets like the NTHU Drowsy Driver Dataset or create custom datasets by recording videos or conducting simulations.
- **Data Augmentation:** Implement techniques such as rotation, scaling, and cropping to enhance data variability, especially when working with a limited dataset. This will help the model improve its ability to generalize.
- **Preprocessing:** Normalize images, convert them to grayscale (if using CNNs) to reduce complexity, and align faces to ensure the model receives consistent input.

3.2 Model Selection:

- **CNN-Based Models:** Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are particularly effective for image recognition tasks, making them ideal for detecting facial features linked to drowsiness.
- **LSTM for Temporal Analysis:** To track drowsiness over time, a combination of CNN and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks can be used. While CNNs extract spatial features, LSTMs capture temporal patterns, which helps in identifying drowsy states.
- **Attention Mechanisms**: By incorporating attention layers, the model can focus on important regions, such as the eyes and mouth, enhancing its ability to detect subtle signs of drowsiness.

3.3 Feature Selection:

- **Facial Landmarks**: Indicators such as eye closure, yawning frequency, and head posture are strong signals of drowsiness. Models can be trained to focus specifically on these facial features.

- **Behavioral Cues**: Incorporate behavioral detection techniques by monitoring head movements and blinking frequency, as these behaviours are closely linked to varying levels of drowsiness.

3.4 Model Training and Hyperparameter Optimization:

- **Hyperparameter Tuning**: Use techniques like grid search, random search, or Bayesian optimization to fine-tune hyperparameters such as learning rate, batch size, and dropout rates. Proper tuning of these parameters can greatly improve the model's performance.
- **Cross-Validation:** Apply cross-validation to avoid overfitting and ensure that the model performs well on new, unseen data, thereby improving its generalization capabilities.

3.5 Accuracy and False Positives:

- **Threshold Tuning:** Fine-tune the confidence threshold for detecting drowsiness in order to minimize both false positives and false negatives.
- **Ensemble Learning**: Combine the predictions from multiple models to improve overall reliability. This method is especially useful in difficult scenarios such as low-light conditions or when the camera angle is not ideal.
- **Data Diversity:** Ensure the dataset includes a wide range of conditions, such as varying lighting, facial obstructions, and different demographic groups, to enhance the model's robustness.

4. PROPOSED SYSTEM

4.1 Introduction to the Proposed Approach

The proposed system aims to detect driver drowsiness in real time by analyzing visual cues such as eye closure and yawning using computer vision techniques. Instead of relying on indirect signs like steering behavior or vehicle motion, this method leverages facial features to directly capture physiological signs of fatigue. The system is lightweight, cost-effective, and suitable for both academic research and real-world applications.

4.2 System Objectives

- To continuously monitor the driver's facial expressions and detect drowsiness using eye and mouth movements.
- To generate a real-time alert when drowsiness is detected.

- To provide a scalable and hardware-friendly solution that can be integrated into existing vehicle systems.

4.3 Architecture and Workflow

The system follows a modular design consisting of the following components:

a. Input Acquisition Module

A camera (webcam or IR-based) is mounted on the dashboard or the steering column to capture live video of the driver's face. The camera should be capable of recording in varying lighting conditions for consistent performance.

b. Facial Landmark Detection

Using pre-trained models such as Dlib's 68-point landmark detector or MediaPipe Face Mesh, the system identifies critical facial points. Specifically, it extracts coordinates around:

- The eyes (to analyze blinking)
- The mouth (to detect yawning)

These features are extracted in real time from each video frame.

c. Feature Calculation: EAR and MAR

- Eye Aspect Ratio (EAR): EAR is a scalar value calculated from the distance between the eye landmarks. A significant drop in EAR for a continuous set of frames indicates prolonged eye closure, a key sign of drowsiness.
- Mouth Aspect Ratio (MAR): MAR is derived from the vertical and horizontal distances between lip landmarks. A sustained high MAR indicates yawning, another common symptom of fatigue.

These aspect ratios are compared against empirically determined threshold values to detect anomalies.

d. Drowsiness Detection Logic

The logic combines EAR and MAR thresholds with time-based analysis. Drowsiness is confirmed if:

- EAR drops below a fixed value (e.g., 0.25) for more than 'n' consecutive frames.
- MAR exceeds a threshold (e.g., 0.7) for a defined duration.

An alert is raised if one or both conditions are satisfied.

e. Alert Mechanism

- An audible buzzer
- Optionally, an SMS notification can be sent using a GSM module or SMS API (like Twilio).

4.4 Technological Stack

Component	Technology Used
Language	Python
Image Processing	OpenCV
Facial Detection	Dlib, MediaPipe, Haar Cascades
Mathematical Analysis	NumPy, SciPy
Alert System	Buzzer (GPIO) / Audio / SMS
Platform	Windows, Linux, Raspberry Pi

4.5 Advantages of the Proposed System

- **Direct Physiological Monitoring:** Eye and mouth cues are more accurate than steering or lane monitoring techniques.
- **Real-Time Processing:** Minimal latency due to optimized algorithms.
- **Hardware Friendly:** Can be deployed on low-power devices like Raspberry Pi.
- **Customizable Thresholds:** Adjustable for individual driver behaviour.

4.6 Challenges and Limitations

- **Lighting Conditions:** Low-light scenarios may reduce facial feature detection accuracy.
- **Obstructions**: Glasses, hats, or face masks may block key facial landmarks.
- **Individual Variations:** Different face structures may require adaptive thresholds.

4.7 Scope for Enhancement

- Integration with deep learning models (e.g., CNNs, LSTM) for improved accuracy.

- Addition of head tilt and nod detection for comprehensive behaviour monitoring.
- Use of infrared cameras for reliable performance during night driving.
- Fusion with heart rate sensors or EEG signals for multi-modal detection.

5. CONCLUSION

The "Driver Fatigue Detection System Using Deep Learning" for monitoring mouth and eye movements shows great promise in improving road safety by addressing fatigue-related accidents. By effectively tracking facial features like eye closure and yawning, the system can identify early signs of drowsiness and provide real-time alerts to the driver. Leveraging deep learning techniques, which can analyze intricate facial patterns and movements, enhances detection accuracy when compared to traditional methods.

This system's ability to continuously monitor driver behaviour facilitates the timely identification of fatigue, offering vital warnings that can help prevent accidents. While deep learning algorithms increase detection accuracy, additional optimization may be necessary to ensure consistent performance across various lighting conditions, facial orientations, and individual differences.

Overall, this approach presents a viable solution to combating driver fatigue, particularly for commercial drivers and long-haul travelers. Ongoing improvements and integration with other real-time monitoring technologies could further enhance the system's efficiency, leading to safer roads and fewer accidents caused by drowsiness.

6. REFERENCES

[1] J. May and C. Baldwin, "Driver fatigue: The importance of identifying causal factors of fatigue when considering detection and countermeasure technologies," Transp. Res. F, Traffic Psychol Behav., vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 218–224, 2009.

[2] S. Lal and A. Craig, "A critical review of the psychophysiology of driver fatigue," Biol. Psychol., vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 173–194, 2001.

[3] E. Hitchcock and G. Matthews, "Multidimensional assessment of fatigue: A review and recommendations," in Proc. Int. Conf. Fatigue Manage. Transp. Oper., Seattle, WA, USA, Sep. 2005.

[4] A. Williamson, A. Feyer, and R. Friswell, "The impact of work practices on fatigue in long distance truck drivers," Accident Anal. Prevent., vol. 28, no. 6,pp. 709–719, 1996.

[5] W. Dement and M. Carskadon, "Current perspectives on daytime sleepiness: The issues," Sleep, vol. 5, no. S2, pp. S56–S66, 1982.

[6] L. Hartley, T. Horberry, N. Mabbott, and G. Krueger, "Review of fatigue detection and prediction technologies," Nat. Road Transp. Commiss., Melbourne,Vic., Australia, Tech. Rep., 2000.

[7] A. Sahayadhas, K. Sundaraj, and M. Murugappan, "Detecting driver drowsiness based on sensors: A review," Sensors, vol. 12, pp. 16 937–16 953, 2012. S. Kee, S. Tamrin, and Y. Goh, "Driving fatigue and performance among occupational drivers in simulated prolonged driving," Global J. HealthSci., vol.2, no. 1, pp. 167–177, 2010.

[8] M.-H. Sigari, M.-R.Pourshahabi, M. Soryani, and M. Fathy, "A review on driver face monitoring systems for fatigue and distraction detection,"Int. J.Adv. Sci. Technol vol. 64, pp. 73–100, 2014.

[9] S. Kar, M. Bhagat, and A. Routary, "EEG signal analysis for the assessment and quantification of drivers fatigue," Transp. Res. F, Traffic Psychol.Behav.,vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 297–306, 2010.

[10] S. S. Aher, V. T. Unhale, P. B. Gade, and T. D. Patil, "On Road Vehicle Breakdown Assistance," *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering (IJARCCE)*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 85–88, Nov. 2022.