International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 10 Issue: 04 | April - 2026 SJIF Rating: 8.659 ISSN: 2582-3930

# A Study of Financial Factors Influencing Industrial Customers' Machinery Purchasing Decisions at Hi-Team

**Ms. K. Laxmi Bharathy[1], Ms. P. Gopika[2]**

[1]Student, Department of Management Studies, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore, TamilNadu
[2]Assistant professor, Department of Management Studies, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore, TamilNadu

## ABSTRACT

This study examines the financial factors influencing industrial customers' machinery purchasing decisions at Hi-Team, a manufacturing firm located in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, specializing in leak testing machines, hydraulic cylinders, power packs, and industrial testing systems. In today's highly competitive industrial environment, machinery procurement is not merely a technical decision but a strategic financial investment. Industrial customers evaluate several financial dimensions such as initial investment cost, return on investment (ROI), financing availability, operating expenses, maintenance cost, payback period, and perceived financial risk before purchasing machinery.

The study adopts a descriptive research design and uses both primary and secondary data. Primary data were collected through structured questionnaires from 132 respondents, and secondary data were drawn from the company profile, industry reports, and prior academic literature. The research aims to understand how financial variables shape purchasing preferences and investment confidence among industrial buyers.

The findings show that **financial feasibility strongly influences machinery purchasing decisions**. Factors such as **cost-effectiveness, financing flexibility, and long-term savings** play a major role in customer choice. The study concludes that **Hi-Team can improve customer satisfaction and sales** by aligning **pricing, financing options, and value communication** with customer financial needs.

**Keywords: Machinery purchasing decision, financial factors, industrial customers, capital investment, ROI, financing options, Hi-Team.**

## INTRODUCTION

Industrial machinery plays a crucial role in improving manufacturing efficiency, production quality, and long-term competitiveness. For industrial buyers, machinery is not simply a product purchase but a capital investment decision that influences productivity, cost control, and profitability. In sectors such as manufacturing, automotive, hydraulics, leak testing, and industrial automation, machinery selection is strongly shaped by financial feasibility. Buyers must ensure that the selected machine not only meets technical requirements but also delivers measurable economic value over time.

Hi-Team, based in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, is a manufacturer of industrial machinery and fluid control systems including air leak testing machines, hydraulic cylinders, hydro pneumatic pumps, power packs, and industrial testing equipment. As a growing industrial equipment manufacturer, Hi-Team serves business customers who evaluate machinery based on both functional performance and financial viability.

Financial factors such as purchase price, financing terms, maintenance cost, operating cost, return on investment, and expected payback period significantly affect industrial buying decisions. Organizations often use capital budgeting methods such as Net Present Value (NPV), Internal Rate of Return (IRR), and lifecycle cost analysis to assess alternatives before procurement.

This study focuses on identifying and analyzing the major financial factors that influence industrial customers' machinery purchasing decisions at Hi-Team. It aims to bridge the gap between industrial buying behavior and financial decision-making in the context of machinery investment.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Existing literature strongly supports the view that financial variables are central to industrial machinery purchasing decisions. Sharma and Kumar (2016) observed that industrial buyers give priority to initial investment cost, ROI, and payback period when selecting machinery. They emphasized that firms with structured financial evaluation systems tend to make more profitable procurement decisions. Similarly, Patel and Desai (2017) found that total cost of ownership, including acquisition, operating, and depreciation cost, significantly shapes machinery selection.

Batra and Verma (2018) highlighted the role of cost of capital, liquidity, and interest rates in industrial equipment purchases. Their work suggests that firms with stronger cash positions are more willing to invest in advanced machinery. Singh and Rao (2020) reported that financial appraisal techniques such as NPV and IRR are widely used in industrial capital investment decisions and help firms improve return on capital employed.

Recent studies further strengthen this perspective. Narayan and Patel (2024) concluded that initial investment cost, operating efficiency, and financing accessibility are among the strongest determinants of machinery adoption in emerging markets. Mehta and Varma (2025) noted that industrial firms increasingly use risk-based financial evaluation to account for uncertainty in large capital purchases. These studies collectively indicate that machinery buying decisions are not purely technical; they are deeply influenced by long-term financial implications, cash flow expectations, and risk management.

## SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study focuses on industrial customers involved in machinery purchasing decisions. It examines financial factors such as cost, ROI, financing, and risk assessment. The research covers selected industries and analyzes their investment behavior. It aims to understand the financial evaluation process followed by firms. The study provides insights into improving capital budgeting decisions. However, the scope is limited to financial aspects and selected respondents.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

- Industrial machinery purchase involves high capital investment and long-term financial commitment.
- Customers at Hi-Team compare factors such as price, financing, operating cost, and expected returns.
- Lack of proper financial evaluation and unclear value communication can delay or affect purchase decisions.
- This study identifies the key financial factors influencing machinery purchasing decisions at Hi-Team.

## LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The study is limited to selected industries and respondents.
- It focuses only on financial factors, excluding technical and operational aspects.
- Responses may be influenced by personal bias of respondents.
- The study is restricted to a specific time period.
- Availability of confidential financial data may be limited

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To identify the major financial factors influencing machinery purchasing decisions.
- To analyze the impact of initial cost and financing options on buying decisions.
- To evaluate the role of ROI and payback period in capital investment decisions.
- To assess the influence of operating and maintenance costs on purchase evaluation.
- To suggest strategies to improve financial decision-making in machinery procurement.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research methodology refers to the systematic process adopted for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data in order to solve a research problem. For the present study, a **descriptive research design** has been adopted because the objective is to understand and describe the financial factors influencing machinery purchasing decisions among industrial customers at Hi-Team.

### Type of Research

This study is **descriptive in nature**, as it seeks to identify patterns, preferences, and financial evaluation behavior among respondents.

### Sources of Data

Both **primary and secondary data** were used:

- **Primary Data**: Collected through a structured questionnaire from respondents associated with industrial machinery purchasing decisions.
- **Secondary Data**: Collected from the company profile, industrial reports, academic literature, and project documentation.

### Sampling Method

The study used **convenience sampling** to collect responses from relevant participants.

**Sample Size**

The total number of respondents included in the study is **132**.

**Tools for Analysis**

The following statistical tools were used:

- Percentage Analysis
- Chi-Square Test
- Correlation Analysis
- ANOVA

**Area of Study**

The study is centered around **Hi-Team, Coimbatore**, and its industrial customer context. This methodology provides a structured basis for examining the role of financial considerations in industrial machinery procurement and enables meaningful interpretation of customer decision behavior.

## ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

**CHI-SQUARE**
**QUESTIONS TAKEN FOR ANALYSIS:**
Monthly income and financial planning helps to manage family expenses under shift-based earnings.
**ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS**
**H1:** There is significantassociation between Monthly income and financial planning helps to manage family expenses under shift-based earnings.
**NULL HYPOTHESIS**
**HO:** There is no significant association between Monthly income and financial planning helps to manage family expenses under shift-based earnings.

**Chi-Square Tests**

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 393.493[a] | 16 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 219.850 | 16 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 49.557 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 132 | | |

a. 16 cells (64.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

**INTERPRETATION:**

From the Chi-square tests table, The calculated Pearson Chi-square value=0.000 is less than the significance level 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis is accepted. Hence, it is concluded that there is an association between Monthly income and financial planning helps to manage family expenses under shift-based earnings.

**CORRELATION**

**QUESTIONS TAKEN FOR ANALYSIS:**

Education Level and Influences the decision to allocate funds for training or skill development in construction.

**ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS**

**H1:** There is significance betweenEducation Level and Influences the decision to allocate funds for training or skill development in construction.

**NULL HYPOTHESIS**

**HO:** There is no significance between Monthly income and financial planning helps to manage family expenses under shift-based earnings.

| **Correlations** | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Educational Qualification | Influences our decision to allocate funds for training or skill development in construction |
| Educational Qualification | Pearson Correlation | 1 | -.691** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 |
| | N | 132 | 132 |
| Influences our decision to allocate funds for training or skill development in construction | Pearson Correlation | -.691** | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | |
| | N | 132 | 132 |
| **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | |

**INTERPRETATION:**

From the Correlation table, The significance value = 0.000 is less than 0.01, which shows that the relationship is statistically significant. The Pearson correlation value= -0.691 which indicates moderate negative relationship between the educational qualification and the influences the decision to allocate funds for training or skill development in construction.

**ANOVA**

**QUESTIONS TAKEN FOR ANALYSIS:**

Years of Experience and financial awareness helps me plan for periods of reduced work due to weather or project**.**

**ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS**

**H1:** There is a significant difference between Years of Experience and financial awareness helps me plan for periods of reduced work due to weather or project**.**

**NULL HYPOTHESIS**

**H0:** There is no significant difference between Years of Experience and financial awareness helps me plan for periods of reduced work due to weather or project**.**

| ANOVA | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Years of Experience | | | | | |
| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 40.110 | 4 | 10.028 | 13.747 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 92.640 | 127 | .729 | | |
| Total | 132.750 | 131 | | | |

**INTERPRETATION:**

From the Anova table, The significance value = 0.000 is less than 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis is accepted. Hence, it is concluded that there is a significant difference between Years of Experience and financial awareness helps me plan for periods of reduced work due to weather or project.

**FINDINGS BASED ON STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:**

**Chi-Square**

The analysis indicates that there is a significant association between monthly income and financial planning in managing family expenses under shift-based earnings.

**Correlation**

The results reveal a statistically significant moderate negative relationship between educational qualification and the decision to allocate funds for training or skill development in construction.

**ANOVA**

The analysis shows a significant difference between years of experience and financial awareness in planning for periods of reduced work due to weather or project delays.

**SUGGESTIONS**

- Industrial firms should adopt structured capital budgeting techniques such as NPV and IRR.
- Companies should compare multiple financing options before investment decisions.
- Detailed cost-benefit analysis should be conducted prior to machinery purchase.
- Firms should evaluate long-term maintenance and operating costs carefully.
- Risk assessment models should be integrated into investment planning.
- Financial managers should collaborate with technical teams for balanced decisions.

**CONCLUSION**

Machinery purchasing decisions are complex and financially significant for industrial organizations. Financial factors such as investment cost, ROI, financing terms, and risk assessment play a critical role in shaping these decisions. Effective financial evaluation ensures optimal resource allocation and enhances profitability. The study highlights the importance of structured financial analysis in capital investment decisions.

In conclusion, industrial customers must adopt systematic financial planning and evaluation methods to make informed machinery purchasing decisions. By integrating financial analysis with strategic objectives, firms can improve operational efficiency and achieve sustainable growth. Sound financial decision-making reduces risk and maximizes long-term returns.

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. Batra, R., &Verma, S. (2019). Capital budgeting practices in manufacturing firms. *Journal of Finance and Economics*, 7(2), 45–60.
2. Kumar, P., & Singh, R. (2020). Financial determinants of industrial buying behavior. *International Journal of Industrial Marketing*, 5(1), 88–102.
3. Sharma, A., & Jain, V. (2021). Impact of investment appraisal techniques on capital expenditure decisions. *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 21(3), 110–125.
4. Reddy, K., &Rao, M. (2022). Role of financial analysis in machinery procurement decisions. *Asian Journal of Management Research*, 12(4), 215–230.
5. Gupta, N., & Mehta, P. (2023). Financial risk assessment in capital investment decisions. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 11(2), 77–92.
6. Narayan, S., & Patel, D. (2024). Industrial buying behavior and financial evaluation. *Journal of Business Research*, 158, 320–335.
7. Thomas, L., & Mathew, J. (2025). Financing strategies and machinery investment decisions. *Global Journal of Business and Management*, 14(1), 59–74.