

A Study of Role of Change Management in Reducing Service Disruptions in the IT Industry: Pune Region

Author: Sujata Samant

MBA – Sem IV, Zeal Institute of Business Administration, Computer Application & Research (ZIBACAR),
Pune
(Under Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune)

Guide: Prof. CMA Shivaji Rajmane

ZIBACAR, Pune

Abstract

The IT industry operates in a highly dynamic environment characterized by frequent application releases, infrastructure upgrades, security patches, and configuration changes. While change is essential for innovation, poorly planned or uncontrolled changes frequently lead to service disruptions, outages, degraded performance, and customer dissatisfaction. Change Management is a key IT Service Management (ITSM) practice intended to evaluate risk, approve changes through governance mechanisms, ensure adequate testing and rollback readiness, and implement changes in a controlled manner. This study evaluates the perceived role of change management in reducing service disruptions in IT organizations in the Pune region. A survey-based approach was used with a structured questionnaire of 15 Likert-scale statements administered to 100 IT professionals. Findings indicate strong agreement for core change controls such as structured change processes, rollback readiness, and communication. Moderate agreement is observed for maturity-dependent areas such as CMDB/service mapping accuracy, emergency change governance, and automation coverage. Chi-Square (χ^2) Goodness-of-Fit testing shows that key response distributions are statistically significant and strongly skewed toward agreement, supporting the study hypotheses. The study concludes that disciplined change management plays a significant role in improving service stability and reducing disruptions, while highlighting maturity enablers required to improve outcomes further.

Keywords: Change Management, Service Disruptions, ITSM, ITIL, CAB, Risk Assessment, Rollback, CMDB, Automation, SLA

1. Introduction

In modern IT organizations, changes such as software deployments, infrastructure upgrades, patching, configuration modifications, and security fixes are inevitable. However, failed or uncontrolled changes remain one of the major contributors to outages and service degradation. The impact of disruptions includes increased operational workload, reduced customer satisfaction, and reputational damage. As IT environments become more complex (cloud platforms, microservices, and distributed systems), the risk associated with change implementation has increased. Change Management is therefore essential to balance agility with stability by ensuring that changes are assessed, approved, tested, communicated, implemented, validated, and reviewed in a controlled manner. This study focuses on Pune-region IT professionals' perceptions regarding the role of change management in reducing service disruptions.

1.1 Research Objectives

- To study the role of change management in reducing service disruptions in the IT industry in the Pune region.
- To assess the effectiveness of change risk assessment and impact analysis.
- To examine the role of approvals, testing, and rollback planning in preventing outages.
- To evaluate how communication and change scheduling influence service stability.

- To study the impact of post-implementation review and monitoring on reducing recurring failures.

2. Literature Review

ITSM literature emphasizes that uncontrolled and emergency changes are key drivers of outages, and structured change governance improves service continuity. Risk assessment and impact analysis reduce the probability of failure by identifying dependencies and potential failure points. Pre-implementation testing and rollback planning reduce post-change incidents and minimize downtime. Automation and standardization improve reliability by reducing manual errors and ensuring consistent execution. However, research also notes that benefits depend on organizational maturity, CMDB accuracy, cross-team coordination, and leadership support. The practical effectiveness of change management varies across organizations, which makes perception-based studies useful for understanding real-world adoption and outcomes.

3. Research Methodology

A descriptive and analytical survey-based method was used to capture perceptions of IT professionals in Pune. A structured questionnaire of **15 Likert-scale statements** (Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree) was deployed through Google Forms. A convenience sampling approach was adopted due to accessibility and time constraints, with a final sample of **100 respondents**. Analysis was performed using frequency and percentage distribution along with interpretation aligned to change management constructs (risk assessment, governance, testing, rollback, communication, automation, monitoring).

4. Data Analysis and Findings

Table 1 summarizes the observed agreement levels (Strongly Agree + Agree) for the 15 statements used in the study.

Table 1: Agreement Summary (N = 100)

Q#	Statement (Short)	Agreement %
Q1	Formal change management reduces unplanned disruptions	71%
Q2	Risk assessment reduces outage probability	67%
Q3	Impact analysis improves stability	58%
Q4	CAB/approvals improve quality of changes	63%
Q5	Standard change templates reduce errors	59%
Q6	Pre-implementation testing reduces incidents	59%
Q7	Rollback plans reduce downtime when changes fail	69%
Q8	Scheduling during low usage reduces business impact	58%
Q9	Clear change communication improves coordination	75%
Q10	PIR reduces recurrence of change-related incidents	64%
Q11	CMDB/service mapping improves impact prediction	46%
Q12	Emergency change controls reduce risk	57%
Q13	Automation reduces human error and disruption	67%
Q14	Post-change monitoring/validation improves early detection	62%
Q15	Overall, change management improves SLA & customer satisfaction	70%

Key Observations

- Strong agreement for core controls: structured change management (Q1), communication (Q9), rollback planning (Q7), and overall SLA/customer outcomes (Q15).
- Moderate agreement for maturity-dependent practices: impact analysis (Q3), scheduling (Q8), emergency changes (Q12), and monitoring/validation (Q14).

- Lower agreement and higher neutrality for CMDB/service mapping accuracy (Q11), indicating dependency on configuration hygiene and service mapping maturity.

5. Research Hypotheses

The study tested the following hypotheses:

- **H₀₁:** Change management has no significant role in reducing service disruptions.
H₁₁: Change management has a significant role in reducing service disruptions.
- **H₀₂:** Risk assessment and impact analysis do not reduce the probability of service disruptions.
H₁₂: Risk assessment and impact analysis reduce the probability of service disruptions.
- **H₀₃:** Testing, rollback planning, and validation do not improve service stability.
H₁₃: Testing, rollback planning, and validation improve service stability.

6. Hypothesis Testing

6.1 Test Setup

To strengthen the perception-based findings, a **Chi-Square (χ^2) Goodness-of-Fit test** was applied to determine whether responses are significantly skewed (i.e., not evenly distributed). Because Likert responses are categorical, they were grouped into:

- **Agree** = (Strongly Agree + Agree)
- **Neutral**
- **Disagree** = (Disagree + Strongly Disagree)

Assumptions / Parameters

- Sample size (N) = 100
- Categories (k) = 3 $\rightarrow$ Agree / Neutral / Disagree
- **Expected Frequency (E)** = $N/k = 100/3 = 33.33$
- **df** = $k - 1 = 2$
- $\alpha = 0.05$
- Critical χ^2 (df=2, $\alpha=0.05$) = **5.991**
- Formula:

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

Note: This test confirms statistical skew in perception toward agreement (supportive evidence). It does not prove causality.

6.2 Hypothesis 1 Testing (H₁₁): Change Management reduces service disruptions

Survey item used: Q1 “Formal change management reduces unplanned service disruptions.”

Observed Frequencies (Grouped)

- Agree = 71
- Neutral = 18

- Disagree = 11

Table 2: Chi-Square Calculation (Q1)

Category	O	E	O – E	(O – E) ²	(O – E) ² / E
Agree	71.00	33.33	37.67	1418.83	42.56
Neutral	18.00	33.33	-15.33	235.03	7.05
Disagree	11.00	33.33	-22.33	498.73	14.96
Total χ^2					64.57

Decision: $64.57 > 5.991 \rightarrow$ Reject H_{01}

Conclusion: Change management is perceived as significantly reducing disruptions (supports H_{11}).

6.3 Hypothesis 2 Testing (H_{12}): Risk assessment & impact analysis reduce disruption probability

Survey item used: Q2 “Risk assessment before implementing changes reduces outage probability.”

Observed Frequencies (Grouped)

- Agree = 67
- Neutral = 20
- Disagree = 13

Table 3: Chi-Square Calculation (Q2)

Category	O	E	O – E	(O – E) ²	(O – E) ² / E
Agree	67.00	33.33	33.67	1133.44	34.00
Neutral	20.00	33.33	-13.33	177.78	5.33
Disagree	13.00	33.33	-20.33	413.44	12.40
Total χ^2					51.74

Decision: $51.74 > 5.991 \rightarrow$ Reject H_{02}

Conclusion: Risk assessment is perceived as significantly reducing disruption probability (supports H_{12}).

(Optional reinforcement) Q3 “Impact analysis improves stability” also shows skew toward agreement (58/22/20) in the report.

6.4 Hypothesis 3 Testing (H_{13}): Testing, rollback planning, and validation improve stability

Survey item used: Q7 “Back-out / rollback plans reduce downtime when changes fail.”

Observed Frequencies (Grouped)

- Agree = 69

- Neutral = 20
- Disagree = 11

Table 4: Chi-Square Calculation (Q7)

Category	O	E	O – E	(O – E) ²	(O – E) ² / E
Agree	69.00	33.33	35.67	1272.11	38.16
Neutral	20.00	33.33	-13.33	177.78	5.33
Disagree	11.00	33.33	-22.33	498.73	14.96
Total χ^2					58.46

Decision: $58.46 > 5.991 \rightarrow$ Reject H_{03}

Conclusion: Rollback planning is perceived as significantly improving service stability (supports H_{13}).

(Optional reinforcement) Q6 testing (59/24/17) and Q14 monitoring/validation (62/20/18) are also supportive as per report distributions.

6.5 Summary of Chi-Square Results

Hypothesis	Item Used	χ^2 Calculated	df	χ^2 Critical	Decision
H_{11}	Q1	64.57	2	5.991	Reject H_{01}
H_{12}	Q2	51.74	2	5.991	Reject H_{02}
H_{13}	Q7	58.46	2	5.991	Reject H_{03}

Overall Interpretation: For all three hypotheses, χ^2 calculated values exceed the critical value, indicating statistically significant skew toward agreement and supporting the study's conclusions about change management controls reducing disruption risk.

7. Conclusion

The study concludes that change management is perceived as a significant control mechanism for reducing service disruptions in IT organizations. Core controls such as formal governance, risk assessment, testing, rollback planning, communication, and post-change review contribute to improved stability. However, outcomes depend on maturity factors such as CMDB accuracy, emergency change discipline, automation coverage, and monitoring effectiveness. Overall, the results and Chi-Square tests support the view that disciplined change practices enhance service reliability and positively influence SLA and customer satisfaction.

8. Recommendations

1. Strengthen risk assessment and impact analysis templates for consistency across all non-standard changes.
2. Improve CMDB/service mapping accuracy to reduce unexpected impact during changes.
3. Increase automation in deployment and validation to reduce manual execution errors.
4. Reinforce PIR discipline and ensure actions are tracked to closure.
5. Define and enforce emergency change governance with mandatory post-change reviews.

9. Scope for Future Research

- KPI-based validation using change failure rate, outage duration, and SLA breach data to complement perception-based findings.
- Longitudinal tracking across multiple release cycles to assess sustained disruption reduction.
- Comparative studies across industries/regions to improve generalizability.

References (APA Style)

- Axelos. (2019). *ITIL® Foundation: ITIL 4 Edition*. The Stationery Office.
- Gartner, Inc. (2022). *Best Practices in IT Change and Release Management*. Gartner Research.
- Forsgren, N., Humble, J., & Kim, G. (2018). *Accelerate: The Science of Lean Software and DevOps*. IT Revolution Press.
- Humble, J., & Farley, D. (2010). *Continuous Delivery: Reliable Software Releases through Build, Test, and Deployment Automation*. Addison-Wesley.
- IBM Corporation. (2022). *Reducing IT Service Disruptions through Structured Change Governance*. IBM White Paper.