A Study on Central Bank Digital Currencies

Christ Vishal P, MBA Student, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology

Deepika V, MBA Student, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology

Dr. Princy A.S, Assistant Professor, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology

ABSTRACT

The introduction of digital money such as Bitcoin, and the underlying blockchain and distributed ledger technology, created huge interest. The developments have posed the possibility of major implications for the financial system and potentially the whole economy. This article tackles the topic of a central bank ought to issue digital money for widespread use. Defines a benchmark central bank digital currency with characteristics like cash.The implications of such a digital currency are analyzed, with particular attention to central bank title, monetary policy, the banking system, financial stability, and payment. This Study delivers a CBDC that is considerably different from the accepted digital currency is assessed. However, their successful incorporation requires careful consideration of a multitude of issues, not to mention rewarding and balancing risks, to establish firm foundations that minimize these risks and take advantage of CBDCs' potential to drive a more equal and efficient financial system.

INTRODUCTION

Central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) are a government currency that has no backing in any physical commodity but is in a digital form. They are issued by the central bank, and their roles include offering financial services to the country's government and commercial banking system, monetary policy determination, and currency issuance. Central banks include the United States Federal Reserve System, Bank of Japan, People's Bank of China (PBOC), and Germany's Deutsche Bundesbank.

CBDCs are similar to, but not identical to, stable coins. Stable coins are a form of individual, stabilized cryptocurrency derived from some other currency, commodity, or financial instrument in an effort to be relatively stable in value over time. CBDCs are issued and controlled by the state, as opposed to decentralized cryptocurrencies.

A CBDC is a digital version of central bank money that may be used extensively by the general public as well as companies to store value and conduct payments. It is domestic unit central bank digital money that is legal tender and backed by the central bank's obligation, just like physical currency in circulation. The table below provides an overview of the uses and misconceptions regarding CBDCs. The digital currency of the central bank is digital money in the form of traditional money. Based on a digital ledger, which may or may not include block chain or distributed ledger technologies.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

ENGERT and FUNG (2017): They provide a thorough assessment of the motives and implications for the adoption of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs). Their study makes an important contribution to the continuing discussion about digital currencies by addressing both theoretical frameworks and practical problems. This review summarizes their primary ideas and places them within the larger context of CBDC research. The motivation on CBDCs can assist more people enter the banking system by offering a state-backed alternative to cash and also in the Improving Payment Efficiency, Addressing the Decline of Cash, Enhancing Monetary Policy and Implementation as on Financial Stability Risks, Privacy and Surveillance, Regulatory Challenges and Geopolitical Considerations.

IN 2019 DR.HU JINGYI: Major contributions to the research of digital currencies and their impact on existing financial institutions. His study focuses on the revolutionary potential of digital currencies, specifically how they interact with existing financial institutions and the overall economic landscape. This study summarizes Dr. Hu's important results and

places them within the expanding discourse on digital currency. The research provides useful insights into the influence of digital currencies on established financial institutions. His examination of disruption, monetary policy, financial stability, regulatory issues, and consumer behavior provides a thorough knowledge of the complications inherent in integrating digital currencies into existing financial systems. As the environment of digital currencies evolves, study will help guide future research and policy development in this field.

RAPHAEL AUER AND CLEMENS BOAR's 2020: Study on the potential consequences of central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) for monetary policy and financial stability, and the banking system has made major advances to our knowledge of CBDCs. Their analysis offers a thorough overview of the problems and opportunities that digital currencies present to central banks and traditional financial organizations. The work of Raphael Auer and Clemens Boar provides critical insights into the implications of central bank digital currencies for monetary policy, financial stability, and the banking sector. Their exploration of the potential risks and benefits associated with CBDCs contributes to a growing body of literature that is essential for understanding the evolving landscape of digital finance. As central banks continue to explore CBDC implementation, Auer and Boar's findings will be instrumental in guiding future research and policymaking in this area.

THE BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS (BIS) 2020: Published the paper "Central Bank Digital Currencies: Theories and Practices" in 2020, which provides a detailed analysis of the theoretical foundations, practical concerns, and consequences of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs). This research intends to help central banks understand the potential benefits and problems of CBDC adoption. The BIS report "Central Bank Digital Currencies: Theories and Practices" examines the motivations, consequences, and issues connected with CBDCs. The paper is an important resource for central banks and policymakers since it categorizes different forms of digital currencies and addresses crucial concerns such as monetary policy, financial stability, and regulatory frameworks. As the digital currency landscape evolves, the BIS' views will be critical in guiding the global implementation and management of CBDCs.

ZHANG ET AL. (2021): The Effects of CBDC on Monetary Policy and the Banking System," Zhang et al. investigate the broad implications of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) for traditional monetary policy frameworks and the banking industry. This work adds to the expanding body of literature on the potential alterations brought about by the introduction of CBDCs. Zhang et al. (2021) present a thorough examination of the potential effects of their investigation into the merits and limitations of CBDCs, notably in terms of monetary policy effectiveness, banking dynamics, financial stability, and regulatory frameworks, adds considerably to the continuing discussion around digital currencies. As central banks traverse the complexity of CBDC implementation, the research findings will be crucial for informed policymaking and strategic planning.

OBJECTIVE

- To investigate how CBDCs can simplify payment processes, reduce transaction costs, and improve the quickness and security of domestic and international transactions.
- To identify potential risks associated with the adoption of CBDCs.
- To investigate the global implications of CBDC implementation, particularly its impact on currency conflict, cross-border trade, and international monetary dynamics.
- To provide a thorough understanding of CBDCs, assisting central banks, policymakers, and stakeholders in making decisions on the design, implementation, and regulation of digital currencies in the changing financial landscape.

NEED FOR THE STUDY

- Understanding the worldwide consequence of CBDCs is crucial for using conversations about cross-border transactions and global monetary cooperation.
- The rapid evolution of digital payment technology and cryptocurrencies needs a solid understanding of CBDCs for central banks to safeguard monetary sovereignty.
- CBDCs may give new tools for successful monetary policy, particularly in low-interest-rate conditions, in solving economic difficulties.

- The establishment of CBDCs may pose new threats to financial stability, necessitating thorough study to create appropriate preventive solutions

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

FIELD OF THE STUDY:

The survey responses are collected in Chennai city.

SAMPLE:

- A sample is the procedure of selecting people from a population for research.
- So selected should ideally represent the whole population.

SAMPLE SIZE:

The study of the sample size is 100 respondents. The sample respondents weresoftware project management tools users in Chennai.

DATA COLLECTION:

The data for this study research has been collected from both primary andsecondary data.

PRIMARY DATA:

Primary data was collected from the people in Chennai. Data was collected through by the structured questionnaire.

SECONDARY DATA:

Secondary data was collected through the previous year's research papers,journals, articles, newspaper reports, blogs, and conference proceedings.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

1.

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PAYMENTSIMPLIFICATION	1.82	.716	100
TRAMSACTIONSPEED	1.73	.633	100
GENDER	1.49	.502	100

Correlations

Control Variables			PAYMENTSIMPLIFICATION	TRAMSACTIONSPEED
GENDER	PAYMENTSIMPLIFICATION	Correlation	1.000	-.166
		Significance (2-tailed)	.	.100
		df	0	97
	TRAMSACTIONSPEED	Correlation	-.166	1.000
		Significance (2-tailed)	.100	.
		df	97	0

2.

Descriptives

RISKIDENTIFICATION

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
VERY CONCERN	10	1.50	.527	.167	1.12	1.88	1	2
CONCERNED	48	2.60	.984	.142	2.32	2.89	1	4
NEUTRAL	42	2.12	.916	.141	1.83	2.40	1	4
Total	100	2.29	.977	.098	2.10	2.48	1	4

ANOVA

RISKIDENTIFICATION

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	12.206	2	6.103	7.186	.001
Within Groups	82.384	97	.849		
Total	94.590	99			

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: RISKIDENTIFICATION

	(I) RISKCONCERN	(J) RISKCONCERN	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
LSD	VERY CONCERN	CONCERNED	-1.104*	.320	<.001	-1.74	-.47
		NEUTRAL	-.619	.324	.059	-1.26	.02
	CONCERNED	VERY CONCERN	1.104*	.320	<.001	.47	1.74
		NEUTRAL	.485*	.195	.014	.10	.87
	NEUTRAL	VERY CONCERN	.619	.324	.059	-.02	1.26
		CONCERNED	-.485*	.195	.014	-.87	-.10

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

ANOVA Effect Sizes[a]

		Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval	
			Lower	Upper
RISKIDENTIFICATION	Eta-squared	.129	.023	.246
	Epsilon-squared	.111	.003	.230
	Omega-squared Fixed-effect	.110	.003	.228
	Omega-squared Random-effect	.058	.001	.129

a. Eta-squared and Epsilon-squared are estimated based on the fixed-effect model.

RISKIDENTIFICATION

	RISKCONCERN	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
			1	2
Waller-Duncan[a,b,c]	VERY CONCERN	10	1.50	
	NEUTRAL	42		2.12
	CONCERNED	48		2.60

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 20.741.

b. The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

c. Type 1/Type 2 Error Seriousness Ratio = 100.

3.

Model Summary[b]

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.326[a]	.106	.097	.674	.733

a. Predictors: (Constant), CROSSBORDERTRADEIMPACT

b. Dependent Variable: CURRENCYCONFLICTIMPACT

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	5.299	1	5.299	11.660	<.001[b]
	Residual	44.541	98	.454		
	Total	49.840	99			

a. Dependent Variable: CURRENCYCONFLICTIMPACT

b. Predictors: (Constant), CROSSBORDERTRADEIMPACT

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	5.299	1	5.299	11.660	<.001[b]
	Residual	44.541	98	.454		
	Total	49.840	99			

a. Dependent Variable: CURRENCYCONFLICTIMPACT

b. Predictors: (Constant), CROSSBORDERTRADEIMPACT

Residuals Statistics[a]

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	1.65	2.37	1.96	.231	100
Residual	-1.366	2.112	.000	.671	100
Std. Predicted Value	-1.341	1.754	.000	1.000	100
Std. Residual	-2.026	3.132	.000	.995	100

a. Dependent Variable: CURRENCYCONFLICTIMPACT

Coefficients[a]

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients B	Unstandardized Coefficients Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	1.411	.174		8.093	<.001
	CROSSBORDERTRADEIMPACT	.239	.070	.326	3.415	<.001

a. Dependent Variable: CURRENCYCONFLICTIMPACT

4.

One-Sample Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
POLICYATTENTION	100	2.23	.973	.097

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 0						
	t	df	Significance One-Sided p	Significance Two-Sided p	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference Lower	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference Upper
POLICYATTENTION	22.921	99	<.001	<.001	2.230	2.04	2.42

One-Sample Effect Sizes

		Standardizer[a]	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval Lower	95% Confidence Interval Upper
POLICYATTENTION	Cohen's d	.973	2.292	1.916	2.665
	Hedges' correction	.980	2.275	1.902	2.644

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
Cohen's d uses the sample standard deviation.
Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation, plus a correction factor.

INTERPRETATION

Since the p-value is less than 0.05, reject the null hypothesis, and accept thealternative hypothesis so there is a significant difference.

CONCLUSION

In overall, Central Bank Digital Currency, which integrates the benefits of digital technology with the basic stability offered by central banks, signifies an exciting shift in the financial system. By streamlining cross-border payments, enabling quicker and less expensive transactions, and reducing dependence on cash, CBDCs have the potential to improve the effectiveness of payment systems. By providing unbanked and underbanked communities with easier access to digital financial services, they can also encourage financial inclusion, which may encourage economic involvement and reduce inequalities

CBDCs offer important obstacles that need to be carefully managed. Because these currencies are digital in nature, they are vulnerable to fraud and cyberattacks, so it is critical to ensure strong cybersecurity measures. Furthermore, central

banks need to think about how CBDCs can impact interest rates, the money supply, and the established banking sector when implementing monetary policy. A major worry is the possibility of further bank disintermediation since customers would favor owning CBDCs over commercial bank deposits, which would affect the bank's ability to lend money.

Stakeholders have to find a balance between protecting individual privacy rights and maintaining transparency for regulatory compliance when it comes to privacy and data protection. The effective implementation of CBDCs will depend on public trust, which calls for open communication and education on the products' uses and advantages. It will be essential for policymakers, the private sector, and central banks to work together. Central banks may fully utilize this innovative financial instrument by solving these complex issues and making sure that CBDCs complement larger economic objectives. In the end, the effective application of CBDCs has the potential to remake monetary systems, improve financial stability, and adjust to the changing demands of modern economy.

REFERENCE

Walter Engert and Ben Siu-Cheong Fung (2017): The reasons behind and consequences of central bank digital currency https://doi.org/10.34989/sdp-2017-16

Bank of Canada Staff Discussion Paper, No. 2017-16, Bank of Canada, Ottawa Henry, C., Nicholls, G. Soon, and Huynh, K. P. "Canada's Awareness and Use of Bitcoin.

At raphael.auer@bis.org, Raphael Auer

BIS (2020), On the future of securities settlement,https://www.bis.org/publ/qtrpdf/r_qt2003i.pdf.

Jinnan Zhang (zhangjinnan@bupt.edu.cn) is partially supported by the Fund of State Key Laboratory of Information Photonics and Optical Communications through

Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China, under Grant IPOC2019Z T07, and partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 61774021 and 61911530133.