

A STUDY ON CUSTOMERS ATTITUDE TOWARDS MOTIVATION OF SELECTING THE BANK

Dr.Anitha.G

Associate Professor, Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies, New Delhi.

Keywords: Customers Attitude – Efficient Services- Reliable services - Bank Offerings - Personalized Service

ABSTRACT:

The aim of this study is to investigate the factors influencing the consumers attitude towards motivation of selecting bank. The *Efficient Services, Reliable services, Bank Offerings and Personalized Service* along with demographic profile of selected bank users were examined. 320 respondents from eleven major banking service users of different bank operators participated in the consumer survey. The infrastructural facility of updated user-friendly technology and its availability was found to be the most important factor that motivated consumers' attitudes in selected bank. By addressing the concerns of and benefits sought by the consumers, marketers can create positive attractions and policy makers can set regulations for the expansion of mobile banking services.

INTRODUCTION:

The research examines and measures the service variables which influence relationship quality of E-CRM by the bank. Because most E_CRM implementation cannot be directly seen or recognized by customers. The banking industry were used to develop a new construct called "Customer –based service attributes" to measure service variable which influence relationship quality of E-CRM from customer's perspectives. In the emerging competitive driven banking era, banks have to strive hard for set their customer base.

L



ISSN: 2582-3930

Table No: 1

KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measu	.586	
	Approx. Chi-Square	2362.538
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Df	55
	Sig.	.000

Table No: 2

Communalities

	Initial	Extraction				
The bank offers high rate of interest on deposits	1.000	.599				
The bank offers lower rate of interest on loans	1.000	.746				
The bank understand my needs	1.000	.874				
The bank provides efficient service	1.000	.804				
The bank offers prompt cashing/queue time	1.000	.859				
The bank offers personalize services	1.000	.606				
The bank is community minded and socially responsible	1.000	.849				
The bank is very proximity	1.000	.917				
ATM is very efficient and reliable	1.000	.789				
There is an opportunity to complain	1.000	.854				
The bank has ability to resolve complains on schedule	1.000	.690				
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.						

In table Bartlett's test of sphericity and KAISER MEYER OLKIN measures of sample adequacy were used to test the appropriateness of the factor model. Bartlett's test was used to test the null hypothesis that the variables of this study are not correlated. Since the approximate chi-square satisfaction is 2362.538 which is significant at 1% level, the test leads to the rejection of the null hypothesis.

The value of KMO statistics (0.586) was also large and it revealed that factor analysis might be considered as an appropriate technique for analysing the correlation matrix. The communality table showed the initial and extraction values.

Τ

Table No: 3

Compo nent	Initi	Initial Eigen Values			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			tion Sums o Loading	f Squared s
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	4.704	42.763	42.763	4.704	42.763	42.763	3.076	27.965	27.965
2	1.570	14.269	57.033	1.570	14.269	57.033	2.140	19.458	47.423
3	1.294	11.768	68.800	1.294	11.768	68.800	2.125	19.318	66.741
4	1.019	9.262	78.063	1.019	9.262	78.063	1.245	11.322	78.063
5	.941	8.552	86.615						
6	.575	5.223	91.837						
7	.286	2.598	94.436						
8	.236	2.145	96.581						
9	.169	1.538	98.118						
10	.144	1.305	99.424						
11	.063	.576	100.000						
		I	Extraction M	ethod: Prin	ncipal Comp	onent Analys	sis.		

Total Variance Explained

From the table it is observed that the labelled "Initial Eigen Values" gives the EIGEN values. The EIGEN Value for a factor indicates the 'Total Variance' attributed to the factor. From the extraction sum of squared loadings, it was learnt that the I factor accounted for the variance of 4.704 which was 42.763%, the II factor accounted for the variance of 1.570 which was 14.269%, the III factor accounted for the variance of 1.294 which was 11.768%, the IV factor accounted for the variance of 1.019 which was 9.262%. The four components extracted accounted for the total cumulative variance of 78.063%

Determination of factors based on Eigen Values

In this approach only factors with Eigen values greater than 1.00 are retained and the other factors are not included in this model. The four components possessing the Eigen values which were greater than 1.0 were taken as the components extracted.

Τ



Table No: 4 Component Matrix^a

	Component			
	1	2	3	4
The bank provides efficient service	.840			
The bank understand my needs	.835			
The bank offers lower rate of interest on loans	.762			
ATM is very efficient and reliable	.715			
The bank offers high rate of interest on deposits	.622			
The bank has ability to resolve complains on schedule	.603	566		
The bank is community minded and socially responsible	.560			
The bank offers personalize services	.503			
The bank offers prompt cashing/queue time	.594		.634	
The bank is very proximity			544	
There is an opportunity to complain	.585			.601
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.				
a. 4 components extracted.				

Table No: 5 Rotated Component Matrix^a

	Component			
	1	2	3	4
The bank is very proximity	0.911			
The bank offers prompt cashing / queue time	0.880			
The bank provides efficient service	0.808			
The bank has ability to resolve complaints on schedule		0.788		
There is an opportunity to complain		0.786		
Atm is very efficient and reliable		0.770		
The bank offers lower rate of interest on loans			0.749	
The bank offers high rate of interest on deposits			0.758	
The bank understand my needs				0.796
The bank is community minded and socially responsible				0.694
The bank offers personalize services				0.686
Extraction Method: Principal Compo	onent Analys	is.		
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaise	r Normalizat	ion.		
a. Rotation converged in 6 ite	rations.			

I



The rotated component matrix shown in table is a result of VARIMAX procedure of factor rotation. Interpretation is facilitated by identifying the variables that have large loadings on the same factor. Hence, those factors with high factor loadings in each component were selected. The selected factors were shown in the table.

Factor	Inducing variable	Rotated factor loadings
I (27.965)	The Bank is very proximity X8	0.911
Efficient	The Bank offers prompt cashing / Queue time X5	0.880
Services	The Bank provides efficient service X4	0.808
II(47.423)	The Bank has ability to resolve complaints on schedule X11	0.788
Reliable	There is an opportunity to complain X10	0.786
services	ATM is very efficient and reliable X9	0.770
III(66.741) Bank	The Bank offers lower rate of interest on loans X2	0.749
Offerings	The Bank offers high rate of interest on deposits X1	0.758
IV (78.063)	The Bank understand my needs X3	0.796
Personalized	The Bank is community minded and socially responsible X7	0.694
Service	The Bank offers personalize services X6	0.686

Table No: 6 Clustering of inducing variables into factors

In this table four factors were identified as being maximum percentage variance accounted. The variable X8, X5 and X4 constitutes factor I and it accounts for 27.965 per cent of the total variance. The variable X11, X10 and X9 constitutes factor II and it accounts for 47.423 per cent of the total variance. The variable X2 and X1 constitutes factor III and it accounts for 66.741 per cent of the total variance. The variable X3, X7 and X6 constitutes factor IV and it accounts for 78.063 per cent of the total variance.

EFFICIENT SERVICES

The customer has selected a particular bank as the bank is very near to the place where they live in, and the bank offers cashing services and reduced queue time in an efficient way.



RELIABLE SERVICES:

The present day customers judge the banks on the various services rendered by them, the efficient handling of complaints, ATM efficiency, branches available and the various services rendered are the few bench marks of measuring reliability.

BANK OFFERING:

The customer now-a-days makes multiple comparisons on the various services provided. The customer compares and finds the bank which provides lower rate of interest and high rate of interest on deposits.

PERSONALIZED SERVICE:

The customer expects a variety of services from the bank and, they wish that the bank has to understand their needs. In addition they wanted the bank to be community minded and socially responsible.

The following section explains the association between the cluster groups formed and the demographic variables of the customer.

Table No: 7

Significant difference between efficient services and demographic profile

H0: There is no significant difference between efficient services and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Ha: There is significant difference between efficient services and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Source of variance		Sum of	Mean	f value	Sig	Recult
		Squares	Square	i value	big.	Kesuit
	Between Groups	9.844	4.922			
Gender	Within Groups	57.753	.182	27.017	.000	Significant
	Total	67.597				
	Between Groups	56.940	28.470			
Age	Within Groups	245.048	.773	36.829	.000	Significant
	Total	301.988				
	Between Groups	61.620	30.810			
Education qualification	Within Groups	357.068	1.126	27.353	.000	Significant
	Total	418.687				
Occupation	Between Groups	2.953	1.477	3.899	.001	Significant



Volume: 06 Issue: 04 | April - 2022

Impact Factor: 7.185

ISSN: 2582-3930

	Within Groups	120.047	.379			
	Total	123.000				
	Between Groups	3.161	1.581			
Nature of family	Within Groups	58.789	.185	8.523	.000	Significant
	Total	61.950				
	Between Groups	25.291	12.645			
Monthly income	Within Groups	186.056	.587	21.545	.000	Significant
	Total	211.347				
	Between Groups	.414	.207			
Type of bank account	Within Groups	94.786	.299	.692	.001	Significant
	Total	95.200				
	Between Groups	7.069	3.534			
Duration of holding bank a/c	Within Groups	167.728	.529	6.680	.001	Significant
	Total	174.797				
	Between Groups	1.655	.827			
Type of bank prefer	Within Groups	95.092	.300	2.758	.000	Significant
	Total	96.747				

Source: Computed from primary data.

Level of Significance: 5 percent.

As the values are resulting in low significant the hypothesis is accepted and it is concluded that there is no significant difference between efficient services and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Table No: 8

Significant difference between reliable services and demographic profile

H0: There is no significant difference between reliable services and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Ha: There is significant difference between reliable services and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Source	e of variance	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	f value	Sig.	Result	
Gender	Between Groups	5.717	2.859	14.644			
	Within Groups	61.880	.195		.000	Significant	
	Total	67.597					
Age	Between Groups	41.255	20.628	25.070	000	Significant	
	Within Groups	260.732	.822	23.079	.000	-	

L



	Total	301.988				
	Between Groups	70.326	35.163			<u>a</u> ,
Education qualification	Within Groups	348.362	1.099	31.997	.000	Significant
	Total	418.688				
	Between Groups	2.234	1.117			
Occupation	Within Groups	120.766	.381	2.932	.004	Significant
	Total	123.000				
	Between Groups	4.278	2.139			~
Nature of family	Within Groups	57.672	.182	11.758	.000	Significant
	Total	61.950				
	Between Groups	18.382	9.191	15.099	.000	Significant
Monthly income	Within Groups	192.964	.609			
	Total	211.347				
	Between Groups	.739	.370			
Type of bank account	Within Groups	94.461	.298	1.240	.001	Significant
	Total	95.200				
Drugetion of holding	Between Groups	39.589	19.794			<u>a</u> ,
bank a/a	Within Groups	135.208	.427	46.408	.000	Significant
bank a/c	Total	174.797				
	Between Groups	7.029	3.514			~
Type of bank prefer	Within Groups	89.718	.283	12.417	.000	Significant
	Total	96.747		1		

Source: Computed from primary data.

Level of Significance: 5 percent.

As the values are resulting in low significant the hypothesis is accepted and it is concluded that there is no significant difference between reliable services and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Table No: 9

Significant difference between bank offerings and demographic profile

H0: There is no significant difference between bank offerings and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Ha: There is significant difference between bank offerings and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.



Volume: 06 Issue: 04 | April - 2022

Impact Factor: 7.185

ISSN: 2582-3930

Source	of variance	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	f value	Sig.	Result
	Between Groups	6.054	6.054			
Gender	Within Groups	61.543	.194	31.282	.000	Significant
	Total	67.597		-		
	Between Groups	9.486	9.486			
Age	Within Groups	292.502	.920	10.313	.001	Significant
	Total	301.987				
	Between Groups	68.516	68.516			
Education qualification	Within Groups	350.171	1.101	62.222	.000	Significant
	Total	418.688				
	Between Groups	.281	.281			~
Occupation	Within Groups	122.719	.386	.729	.004	Significant
	Total	123.000				
	Between Groups	.926	.926	4.825		~
Nature of family	Within Groups	61.024	.192		.002	Significant
	Total	61.950				
	Between Groups	.321	.321			~
Monthly income	Within Groups	211.026	.664	.483	.004	Significant
	Total	211.347				
	Between Groups	2.984	2.984			
Type of bank account	Within Groups	92.216	.290	10.291	.001	Significant
	Total	95.200				
Duration of holding hould	Between Groups	6.299	6.299			
a/c	Within Groups	168.498	.530	11.888	.001	Significant
	Total	174.797				
	Between Groups	2.002	2.002			
Type of bank prefer	Within Groups	94.745	.298	6.720	.001	Significant
	Total	96.747		1		

Source: Computed from primary data.

Level of Significance: 5 percent.

As the values are resulting in low significant the hypothesis is accepted and it is concluded that there is no significant difference between bank offerings and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Table No: 10

Significant difference between personalized service and demographic profile

H0: There is no significant difference between personalized service and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Ha: There is significant difference between personalized service and gender, age, educational qualification, occupation, nature of family, monthly income, type of bank account, duration of holding account in the bank, type of bank preferred.

Source	of variance	Sum of	Mean	f voluo	Sig	Docult
Source	of variance	Squares	Square	1 value	Sig.	Kesuit
	Between Groups	4.074	2.037			
Gender	Within Groups	63.523	.200	10.165	.000	Significant
	Total	67.597				
	Between Groups	20.866	10.433			
Age	Within Groups	281.122	.887	11.764	.000	Significant
	Total	301.988				
	Between Groups	6.050	3.025			
Education qualification	Within Groups	412.637	1.302	2.324	.000	Significant
	Total	418.687				
	Between Groups	2.998	1.499			a: : c
Occupation	Within Groups	120.002	.379	3.960	.000	Significant
	Total	123.000				
	Between Groups	19.327	9.663		.000	
Nature of family	Within Groups	42.623	.134	71.870		Significant
	Total	61.950				
	Between Groups	12.721	6.361			a: : c
Monthly income	Within Groups	198.626	.627	10.151	.000	Significant
	Total	211.347				
	Between Groups	4.502	2.251			g: :c
Type of bank account	Within Groups	90.698	.286	7.867	.000	Significant
	Total	95.200				
Duration of holding hone	Between Groups	9.522	4.761			a: : c
a/c	Within Groups	165.275	.521	9.132	.000	Significant
	Total	174.797				
	Between Groups	8.790	4.395			G :
Type of bank prefer	Within Groups	87.957	.277	15.840	.000	Significant
	Total	96.747				

Source: Computed from primary data.

Level of Significance: 5 percent.

As the values are resulting in low significant the hypothesis is accepted and it is concluded that there is no significant difference between Personalized Service and Gender, Age, Educational qualification, Occupation, Nature of family, Monthly income, Type of bank account, Duration of holding account in the bank, Type of bank preferred.

CONCLUSION:

The study has identified four concrete factors which induce the Customers Attitude Towards Motivation Of Selecting The Bank The different dimensions include 1.Efficient Services 2. Reliable services 3. Bank Offerings and 4. Personalized Service.

REFERENCES:

- Chen, Q., & Chen,H.M.,(2004) Exploring the success factors of E-CRM in practice. Database Marketing & Customer Strategy Management, 11(4), 333-343.
- 2. Zineldin, M. (1996), Bank strategic positioning and some determinants of bank selection. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 14(6), 12-22.
- 3. Gruen, T.W., Summers, J.O., & Acito, F. (2000). Relationship Marketing Activities, commitment, and member behaviour in professional associations. Journal of Marketing, 64(3), 34-49.
- Payne,A.,&Frow.P (2005). A strategic framework for customer relationship management. Journal of Marketing, 69(4), 167-176.
- Rigby, D.K. & Ledingham, D. (2004) CRM done right, Harvard Business Review, 82(11), PP.118-133.