International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 04 | April - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

A Study on Diversity and Inclusion Practices in The Manufacturing Industry

J M KRISHNA, MBA STUDENT, SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES,
SATHYABAMA INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CHENNAI – 600119.
Dr. VELUMONI, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES,
SATHYABAMA INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CHENNAI – 600119.

ABSTRACT

This study examines how diversity and inclusion (D&I) practices influence employee morale, innovation, and organizational productivity within the manufacturing sector. Drawing insights from a survey conducted with 81 professionals across various manufacturing companies, the research investigates how D&I strategies are implemented, the challenges encountered, and their perceived effectiveness. While inclusive policies positively correlate with employee engagement and job satisfaction, the industry continues to struggle with gender inequality, workplace exclusion, and resistance to cultural transformation. The findings underscore the need for structured D&I initiatives, frequent awareness training, and leadership commitment. Recommendations are made to enhance mentorship opportunities, integrate equity into HR planning, and foster a more inclusive work culture.

Keywords: Diversity, Inclusion, Organizational Culture, Manufacturing Sector, HR Strategies, Gender Equity

INTRODUCTION

The concept of diversity and inclusion (D&I) has gained significant traction in recent years, as industries strive to become more equitable and future ready. In manufacturing an industry traditionally perceived as male-dominated and labor-intensive the conversation around D&I is both timely and necessary. Diversity refers to the variety of individual differences in the workforce, including but not limited to gender, age, ethnicity, physical abilities, and educational backgrounds. Inclusion, meanwhile, is the proactive approach organizations take to ensure all individuals are welcomed, valued, and provided equal opportunities.

Although the manufacturing industry is steadily embracing automation and global integration, its workforce dynamics still reflect long-standing structural barriers to inclusion. Issues such as gender segregation in roles, lack of diversity in leadership, and minimal D&I training are common. This study aims to evaluate the real-world implementation of D&I efforts within the manufacturing sector, focusing on how employees perceive these practices and how human resource (HR) strategies can be more effectively aligned to promote inclusivity.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Sparkman (2019) emphasized the integration of intersectionality and behavioral psychology into D&I frameworks, urging organizations to go beyond policy and engage employees at a cognitive level. His research supports the idea that D&I effectiveness increases when personal biases are openly addressed through structured learning.

Isibor et al. (2021) examined barriers within manufacturing environments and revealed how cultural stereotypes and traditional work hierarchies inhibit D&I growth. They proposed a shift toward participatory HR models that include employees in diversity planning and monitoring.

Morfaki and Morfaki (2022) noted that many manufacturing firms still rely on outdated organizational structures, which inadvertently exclude marginalized groups. They stressed the importance of building inclusive teams and networks within the workplace that support sustained cultural change.

Kamasak et al. (2023) studied the link between Industry 4.0 technologies and inclusive HR practices, suggesting that automation and digital tools could help dismantle physical and structural barriers but only if intentionally designed with equity in mind.

Shivhare and Gurunathan (2025) presented a gender-based case study from Indian manufacturing firms, revealing how women are often marginalized in production roles despite having equal qualifications.Their findings underscore that without cultural and structural shifts, D&I policies remain superficial.

Park et al. (2025) offered a comprehensive review of over four decades of DEI research, highlighting that inclusive leadership is the cornerstone for meaningful change across all sectors, including manufacturing.

These studies collectively emphasize the importance of holistic, context-sensitive, and strategic approaches to D&I especially in traditional industries like manufacturing where transformation has been slower.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study utilizes a descriptive research design to analyze diversity and inclusion practices in the manufacturing sector. A simple random sampling method was used to select 81 respondents employed in different manufacturing firms, ensuring a diverse sample in terms of age, gender, and professional roles.

Primary data was collected through structured questionnaires focusing on key indicators such as employee inclusion, instances of bias, training frequency, and access to career opportunities. The questionnaire included a mix of multiple-choice and Likert-scale questions for both quantitative and qualitative insights. Secondary data was drawn from scholarly articles, company policy documents, and HR journals focusing on workplace inclusion in industrial settings.

For data analysis, percentages and graphical methods such as pie charts and bar graphs were employed. This approach provided a clear view of employee perceptions and organizational trends related to D&I in the manufacturing environment.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Demographic data revealed that 64.2% of respondents were under the age of 24, and 66.7% identified as male reflecting the industry's demographic skew. Educational backgrounds varied, with 56.8% holding a postgraduate degree and the remaining 43.2% having a bachelor's degree or technical diploma. Notably, a significant number (43.2%) reported less than one year of industry experience, indicating a younger, early-career participant base.

Approximately 75.3% of respondents acknowledged that D&I practices contribute positively to overall organizational performance. A promising 91.4% reported that their companies had formal D&I policies, yet 67.9% noted that training was delivered only sporadically or during onboarding. This inconsistency indicates a disconnect between policy creation and policy implementation.

More concerning was the finding that 51.9% of respondents felt isolated at work, and 45.7% had encountered gender-based discrimination. Only 49.4% felt comfortable initiating conversations about D&I issues with their colleagues or superiors. Despite this, 93.8% believed that their organizations provided equal opportunities for career advancement—suggesting that while policies exist, employee confidence in implementation varies.

A common barrier cited by 39.5% of respondents was resistance to change, particularly from senior staff or long-tenured employees. This cultural inertia often prevents D&I policies from gaining traction on the ground.

FINDINGS

The study's findings reflect a nuanced view of the manufacturing sector's journey toward diversity and inclusion. While most companies have formally adopted D&I policies, their execution remains inconsistent. The manufacturing environment often shaped by traditional gender roles and rigid hierarchies still presents obstacles to full inclusion.

The most pressing concerns identified were gender-based discrimination and feelings of workplace isolation. These experiences, even when policies are in place, suggest a lack of psychological safety and insufficient support systems for minority groups.

However, the high levels of perceived fairness in promotion and development opportunities show that there is potential to build a more inclusive culture if organizations commit to consistent and meaningful action. Employees are largely supportive of D&I goals and open to inclusive growth provided they see visible leadership commitment and measurable outcomes.

SUGGESTIONS

To bridge the gap between intent and impact, manufacturing firms must embed diversity and inclusion into the core of their human resource strategy. This begins with regular, interactive D&I training programs that are not limited to induction but integrated into continuous learning initiatives.

Strict enforcement of anti-discrimination policies is essential. Creating secure channels for reporting bias and supporting affected employees can help build a safer and more equitable workplace. Special attention should be given to promoting women and marginalized employees into leadership and technical roles, thereby challenging traditional role-based segregation.

Mentorship programs, particularly for new or minority employees, can provide much-needed guidance and inclusion. Encouraging peer-to-peer learning and cross-functional collaborations also enhances workplace integration.

Importantly, leadership must be held accountable. This can be achieved by setting clear diversity goals, linking them to performance evaluations, and publicly tracking progress through internal reports. When leaders model inclusive behaviors, the culture of the organization follows.

CONCLUSION

The research underscores the growing recognition within the manufacturing industry that diversity and inclusion are more than just corporate responsibilities they are drivers of innovation, efficiency, and employee well-being. Despite existing D&I policies, the sector still struggles with implementation, largely due to deep-rooted structural norms and resistance to change.

For the industry to move forward, D&I must become a strategic imperative. This involves embedding inclusivity into daily operations, decision-making processes, and talent development pipelines. With the right blend of policy, education, and accountability, manufacturing firms can create environments where all employees feel empowered, respected, and able to contribute their best work.

REFERENCES

- Sparkman, T. E. (2019). *Exploring the Boundaries of Diversity and Inclusion in Human Resource Development.* Human Resource Development Review, 18(2), 173-195.
- Morfaki, C., & Morfaki, A. (2022). *Managing Workforce Diversity and Inclusion: A Critical Review and Future Directions.* International Journal of Organizational Leadership, 11(4), 426-443.
- Kamasak, M., Alkan, E., & Yalcinkaya, B. (2023). *Exploring the Intersection of Industry 4.0 Technologies and EDI.* Journal of Human Resource Innovation, 35(1), 55-78.
- Park, J., Park, Y., & Kwon, S. (2025). *A Scoping Review of 45 Years of DEI Research in Management.* Management Studies International, 47(2), 210–238.
- Shivhare, S., & Gurunathan, L. (2025). *Inclusion Norms in Indian Manufacturing Firms: A Gendered Perspective.* Gender and Work Review, 29(3), 98-123.
- Isibor, H., Adewale, F., & Ngoma, N. (2021). *Addressing Cultural Bias in Manufacturing Workplaces.* Journal of Diversity in Industry, 22(1), 34–51.