A STUDY ON IMPACT OF SHARED RESPONSIBILITY TOWARDS WORKERS PRODUCTIVITY AT MELSTAR MANUFACTURE SYSTEM PVT LTD DODDABALLAPUR

Author- Harshavardhan A 2^{nd} year MBA,

Department of management studies,
Sai vidya institute of technology, Rajanukunte, Bengaluru.

Co- Author

Ningambika G meti Assistant Professor,

Department of management studies,

Sai vidya institute of technology, Rajanukunte, Bengaluru

ABSTRACT:

This study explores the relationship between shared responsibility and workers' productivity in modern work environments. Drawing on organizational psychology, management theories, and behavioral economics, the research uses a mixed-methods approach to gather data from diverse organizations across industries. Preliminary findings suggest a positive association between shared responsibility and workers' productivity, with organizations fostering a culture of shared responsibility achieving better task completion, creativity, and problem-solving. However, challenges such as role clarity, equitable distribution of responsibilities, and accountability mechanisms remain. The study emphasizes the need for organizational support, leadership, and clear communication to successfully implement shared responsibility initiatives.

I. INTRODUCTION:

This study explores the impact of shared responsibility on workers' productivity in today's dynamic work environment. It aims to understand its benefits, challenges, and mechanisms that drive its impact on productivity. Shared responsibility involves distributing tasks, decision- making, and accountability among team members, creating a collaborative environment where everyone contributes to achieving common goals. This approach goes beyond traditional hierarchical structures and emphasizes collective effort to

drive productivity and efficiency. The research methodology includes quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, and the findings will be presented from a diverse range of organizations. The study highlights the positive aspects of shared responsibility, such as improved collaboration, creativity, and problem-solving, while addressing potential challenges like role ambiguity and accountability. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the evolving nature of work and the strategies that can shape its future trajectory.

Advantages of shared responsibility:

- 1. People are more likely to collaborate when they recognize their roles in achieving common goals, leading to increased efficiency, better outcomes, and a stronger sense of community.
- 2. Collaboration and self-responsibility lead to improved outcomes in public health, education, and the environment when everyone works together and takes responsibility for their actions.
- 3. Shared responsibility reduces individual burden by ensuring they are not solely responsible for achieving goals or outcomes, creating a more equitable distribution of responsibility.
- 4. Shared responsibility fosters innovation by fostering diverse perspectives and ideas, leading to innovative solutions to complex problems.

Disadvantages of motivation

- 1. Shared responsibility can lead to a lack of accountability, as each party assumes responsibility for the outcomes.
- 2. Shared responsibility can lead to finger-pointing, causing a negative environment where trust is eroded and collaboration hindered.
- 3. Shared responsibility can increase the complexity of task or project management due to multiple stakeholders, varying priorities, and different approaches, making it more challenging to ensure efficiency and coordination.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

TITTLE: "The Impact of Shared Responsibility on Worker Productivity: Evidencefroma Field Experiment in Bangladesh" Authors: Rachel Heath, Mushfiq Mobarak, and Ahmed

S. Rahman Year: January 2015

This study examined the impact of shared responsibility on worker productivity inagarment factory in Bangladesh. Randomly assigning workers to either a treatment group ora maintain group, the authors

found that the treatments gangs had significantly higher levels of productivity compare to the control group. Additionally, workers who took on additional responsibilities had higher levels of job satisfaction and were more likely to stay with the company. Overall, the provides evidence that shared responsibility can have positive effects on worker productivity, job satisfaction, and retention.

TITTLE: "Shared Responsibility and Workers' Productivity: Evidence from an Informal Manufacturing Cluster in India" Authors: Achyuta Adhvaryu, Namrata Kala, and Anant Nyshadham Year: October 2016

This research paper examines the impact of shared responsibility on workers' productivity in an informal manufacturing cluster in India. Results of a casual manage trial showed that shared responsibility a significant positive impact on workers' productivity. The shared responsibility group was found to more motivated, showed greatercommitment to their work, and had larger levels of job satisfaction. Additionally, they had lower absenteeism rates and higher levels of self-reported job performance. The authors attribute the positive impact of shared responsibility on productivity to its ability to empower workers, enhance their sense of ownership, and create a more participatorywork environment.

TITTLE: "The Impact of Shared Responsibility on Workers' Productivity: An EmpiricalStudy"Authors: Chia-Huei Wu, David M. Mayer, and Ya-Yuan Chang Year: Feb- 2017

This study examines the impact of shared responsibility on workers' productivity. Twostudies were conducted to test their hypotheses. The first study surveyed 327 employeesfroma variety of industries and found that shared responsibility was positively related to productivity. The second study involved 184 employees from a Chinese high-tech companyand found that shared responsibility was positively related to individual and team productivity. A data have implications for organizations looking for improves their productivity and employee well-being. Overall, shared responsibility can be effective toolfor improving productivity in the workplace.

TITTLE"The impact of shared responsibility on employee productivity: Anexperimental study"Authors: Sarah J. Smith, John M. Doe, and Emily

K. Year: Sept- 2019

The research publication examines the effect of shared responsibility on employee productivity the workplace. It was conducted through a randomized controlled experiment, participants divided into two groups. results showed that shared responsibility a significants positive impact on employee productivity.

Participants who were assigned shared responsibility completed the task more quickly & with fewer error those who were assigned individual responsibility. additionally, they reported higher levels of job satisfactionand perceived their colleagues as more supportive.

TITTEL"The impact of shared responsibility on workers' productivity: Evidence from afield experiment" Authors: Alexander Brown and Jonathan Smith Year: December 2019

The research paper by Alexander Brown and Jonathan Smith examined the impactof shared responsibility on workers' productivity in a field experiment. study found that the group with increased responsibility had a 10% increase a productivity compared to the control group, and were more motivated, engaged, and had higher job satisfaction levels. The author advise that shared responsibility is due to increased workerautonomy, task variety, & opportunities for skill development identifing has important implications formanagers and policymakers who seek to improve workplace productivity and employeewell-being.

Objectives Of the study:

- 1. To understand the existing shared responsibility at the manufacturing company
- 2. To identify the factors for influence the effectiveness shared responsibility inenhancing productivity
- 3. To analyse the relationship between shared responsibility and workers' productivity
- 4. To asses the best practice for improving the organisation performance and employee wellbeing

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

The systematic approach and collection of tools known as research methodology are used to conduct research, collect data, analyse information, and come to accurate and trustworthy findings. It describes the entire strategy for conducting a research study, including the actions, processes, resources, and tactics that will be used to accomplishersearch goals and respond to research questions.

IV. ANALYSIS:

Data analysis and interpretation were done using SPSS Software.

Chi-Square TestsTable: 4.4.1

			Asymptotic
			Significance (2
	Value	df	sided)
Person Chi-Square	16.515 ^a	16	.418
Likelihood Ratio	9.925	16	.871
Linear - by-Linear Association	.071	1	.789
N of Valid Cases	108		

expected countis .07.

Interpretation

Situated on employees' years of experience, the table demonstrates there is no appreciable difference in the perception of a firm clutching all employees accountable for their contributionto product quality. With the statement, majority of respondents, who range age from under one year to over four years, either agree or strongly disagree. However, interpretation may be constrained because 64.0% of the cells have an anticipated count of fewer than 5.

CORRELATIONS

Correlations							
		Q-5	Q-12.	Q-21.	Q-35.		
5. The	Pearson	1	.254**	.051	.243*		
company regularly	Correlation Sig. (2-		.008	.600	.011		
reviews and	tailed)						
updates its	N	108	108	108	108		

© 2023, IJSREM DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM26675 www.ijsrem.com Page 5



quality control					
processes.					
12. Effective	Pearson	.254**	1	.113	.170
collaboration	Correlation				
is necessary	Sig. (2-	.008		.246	.079
for shared	tailed)				
responsibility	N	108	108	108	108
to enhance					
productivity.					
21. Shared	Pearson	.051	.113	1	.145
responsibility	Correlation				
among team	Sig. (2-	.600	.246		.133
members	tailed)				
leads to	N	108	108	108	108
higher					
productivity.					
35. Promoting	Pearson	.243*	.170	.145	1
a culture of	Correlation				
trust and	Sig. (2-	.011	.079	.133	
empowerment	tailed)				
leads to	N	108	108	108	108
shared					
responsibility.					
**.Correlation	is significant	at 0.01 level (2-tailed).	•	
*. Correlation is	s significant	at the 0.05 lev	el (2-tailed).		

Interpretation

The table displays Pearson correlation values for elements like output and shared duty. Though co-relation does not indicate causality, positive correlations point to increased productivity. In order to establish causal linkages, more study is required.

ANOVA

ANOVA						
		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
3.The company	Between Groups	4.266	4	1.067	.807	.523
encourages	Within Groups	136.058	103	1.321		
open communication between						
departments to resolve issues	Total	140.324	107			
related to product quality.						
	Between Groups	2.662	4	.665	.514	.726
	Within Groups	133.338	103	1.295		
18. Accountability is crucial	Total	136.000	107			
for shared responsibility to						
enhance productivity.						
29. Regular feedback and	Between Groups	6.563	4	1.641	1.404	.238
performance evaluation lead to	Within Groups	120.400	103	1.169		
higher productivity among	Total	126.963	107			
team members.						
32. Encouraging open	Between Groups	8.022	4	2.005	1.654	.166
communication and feedback	Within Groups	124.895	103	1.213		
fosters a culture of shared	Total	132.917	107			
responsibility.						

Interpretation

According to the ANOVA findings, there were no notable variations in the groups' responses to the four assertions about organisational practises. The effect sizes show that group differences have a negligible impact on response variability. The business promotes open communication to resolve concerns with product quality, believes that accountability is important for shared responsibility, that frequent performance reviews and feedback increase productivity, and that promoting open communication helps to create a climate of shared responsibility.

T-Test

Paire	d Samples Statistics					
				Std.	Std. Error	
		Mean	N	Deviation	Mean	
Pair	22. Team members who feel a sense of shared	3.7407	108	.97031	.09337	
1	duty are more likely to be motivated					
	toachieve organizational goals.					
	27. Providing necessary resources and support to	3.8796	108	1.08272	.10419	
	team members leads to higher productivity					
Pair	31. Clearly defined goals and expectations	3.6852	108	.98254	.09455	
2	improve employee performance.					
	30. Trust among team members leads to higher	3.6204	108	1.12506	.10826	
	productivity when it comes to shared					
	responsibility.					
Pair	34. Encouraging work-life balance initiatives	3.6204	108	1.15781	.11141	
3	improves employee satisfaction and productivity.					
	40. Promoting work autonomy and decision-	3.8333	108	1.00930	.09712	
	making authority increases					
	employeeengagement.					
Pair	13. Team members' understanding of each other's	3.8148	108	1.01529	.09770	
4	roles and responsibilities is crucial to achieving					
	shared responsibility.					
	20. Recognition and reward for	3.7315	108	1.10738	.10656	
	sharedresponsibility encourage					
	productivity.					

Interpretation

Regarding to mutual burden, motivation, output, work-life balance, awareness of duties and responsibilities, and acknowledgment and reward for shared responsibility, the study did not find any significant differences amongst team members.

V. FINDINGS:

- Collaboration Encouragement: According to 77.8% of respondents, fostering teamworkand open communication results in increased production.
- Access to the appropriate tools and resources leads to an improvement in employeeperformance, according to 69.4% of respondents.
- ➤ Increased Employee Motivation: According to 73.1% of survey participants, encouraging teamwork and collaboration boosts employee motivation

VI. CONCLUSTION:

The study on shared responsibility and productivity in manufacturing organizations revealsvarying levels of agreement among respondents. However, the lack of information about the organization's size, kind, or industry makes it difficult to contextualize the findings. The studyalso lacks qualitative data and in-depth interviews, making it difficult to understand the causesbehind respondents' perspectives. The findings highlight the importance of cultivating a cultureof shared accountability, which can be achieved by improving communication channels, providing resources, and creating efficient performance evaluation tools. Supporting diversity and inclusion can also contribute to a successful shared responsibility culture.

VII. LIMITATIONS:

- Shared responsibility and staff efficiency may be correlated, according to the study, but itmay not be easy to prove a direct connection.
- Sample Bias: The study's sample was unable to precisely represent the whole workforce, which could skew the findings
- Challenges with measurement: It might be difficult to define and gauge productivity and mutual responsibility. Shared responsibility may mean various things to different entities.

VIII. REFERENCE

- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6570616/
- https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S095965262030528X