

A Study on Machine Learning in Healthcare

Ankit kumar ^a, Gautam Kumar ^{b*}, Rakesh Kumar ^c

^{abc} Student of BCA in Tula's Institute Dhoolkot Dehradun i, India,
Under Guidance Assistant Professor Mr.Rakesh Kumar (Computer Science and Engineering)

Abstract:

This study aims to present artificial intelligence and its important subfields in machine learning methods. It also covers the function of these subfields in many healthcare sectors, including bioinformatics, gene detection for cancer diagnosis, epilepsy seizure, and brain-computer interface. Additionally, it discusses how deep learning is used to interpret medical images for conditions like tumours, gastrointestinal disorders, and diabetic retinopathy. Finally, this essay highlights the practical challenges that must be resolved before AI approaches can be applied more widely

1 Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is the process through which a computer learns on its own without being explicitly programmed or instructed. It has a sense of decision-making and the development of algorithms from the imputed data since it is a branch of computer science that is simultaneously engaged in the learning process through commands and instructions. We also define artificial intelligence (AI) as a computer's capacity to transform input from an outside source into machine-understandable form, learn from that data, and keep going until the learning process meets a certain objective or goal through flexible adaptation. It creates AI tools that can manage both organised and unstructured data. Unstructured data, on the other hand, must first be processed before AI can utilise it for analysis. Structured data, on the other hand, can be used directly for analysis by AI. Machine learning (ML) is one of the artificial intelligence tools that is used most frequently. Numerous techniques, including logistic regression, linear discriminant analysis, random forest, support vector machine, k-nearest neighbour classifiers, cluster analysis, current deep learning, reinforcement learning, decision trees, etc., are used in machine learning to handle the data. ML employs either supervised or unsupervised learning techniques for its learning processes. However, a different kind of learning strategy known as semi-supervised learning has emerged in recent years.

Most machine learning methods make use of supervised learning. Any novice ML practitioner will start off using these kinds of methods. As the name suggests, supervised learning instructs the computer to build a model using the available dataset in order to have the intended programme. We split the entire dataset into training and testing datasets when using supervised learning. The training dataset is used to create the machine learning models. Testing datasets are then utilised for accuracy verification and error correction, bringing expected results as closely as possible to actual results. It offers a broad range of applications, including email management techniques like automatically responding to incoming messages, organising mail into folders, detecting spam, and thread summarising. Additionally, it aids with computer vision, face and speech recognition, natural language processing, and handwriting recognition, which refers to the computer's capacity for language and visual comprehension, signature recognition, etc. The supervised learning approaches are further separated into regression and classification based on the data obtained. In order to find a meaningful relationship between the dependent and independent variables, regression is a valuable statistical predicting tool. A continuous output, or one that is believed to be a real number, is predicted using the regression process in machine learning (ML). In contrast, expected output from categorization will take the form of discrete data. While feature extraction is a good application for the second form of learning, unsupervised learning. We just have the input data with

unsupervised learning because there are no expected results. Here, predictions are only reliant on our own capacity for learning and discovering patterns in the input data.

The data are divided into a number of groups that are similar as part of the learning procedure. Applications for this kind of machine learning can be found in areas such as astronomical data, speech recognition, acoustic factor analysis for reliable speaker verification, the cocktail party problem, etc. Clustering and principal component analysis (PCA) are the two primary unsupervised learning algorithms. Typically, PCA is used for dimension reduction. When a feature variable has numerous dimensions, PCA can project the data onto a small number of principal component directions without losing the majority of the data. Before data clustering, PCA is typically used to minimise some of the data's dimensions. While the clustering method involves grouping variables that exhibit comparable or shared characteristics without needing output data. These methods produce cluster labels for the variable that have the highest degree of similarity both within and between clusters. Affinity propagation, mean shift, hierarchical clustering, k-mean clustering, Gaussian mixture clustering, and OPTICS are a few popular clustering algorithms. A wide range of social sectors, including agricultural, automotive, banking and economics, legal professions, healthcare, cybersecurity, military, advertising, art, and many more, are affected by machine learning.

It is impossible to go into greater detail about its overall relevance. Therefore, we only focus on the healthcare sector in our analysis. One of the most important sectors of society, healthcare is required to provide a high standard of treatment and services at all times, regardless of cost. Before ML can be used in the healthcare industry, preparations must be made so that it can distinguish between different sorts of data, link together data of a similar kind, learn from the data, and produce the right results. Clinical records, diagnosis reports, screening records, demographic information, pictures, physical examination results, medical notes, etc. can all be examples of this data. Diagnostic imaging, genetic testing, electrodiagnosis, and other forms of data recognition are used at the diagnosis stage. While other significant data sources that can be recognised in the form of an image are medical notes and physical examinations. Since most genetic and electrophysiological (EP) data is obtained in an unorganised state, it cannot currently be used for analysis. Before being used for analysis, this data needs to be "filtered". And by "filtering" we mean transforming this data into an electronic medical record (EMR) form that can be read by a computer. Some AI programmes, including clustering algorithms, function well in this procedure.

2 Applications of machine learning in healthcare

2.1 *Bioinformatics*

For organising and interpreting biological data, it is referred to as a multidisciplinary branch of biology and computer science applications. In recent years, this data has multiplied tremendously. With the aid of ML algorithms, this data can be managed and the pertinent information extracted, turning it into biological knowledge. This biological information—which includes gene sequences, DNA sequences, gene expression, array analysis, combinatorial chemistry, etc.—along with machine algorithms established by scientists offer a readily comprehensible picture of human genomics.

The importance of computational biology, commonly known as bioinformatics, was highlighted by Caragea et al. in 2009. It is the creation of algorithms and the mapping of linkages between different biological systems utilising biological data. For in-depth research in computational biology, one can also consult Guyon et al. (2003), Sajda & Paul (2006), Tarca, Adi L., et al. (2007), and Hou, Shujie et al. (2011). Several fascinating books on bioinformatics and ML have been written by the authors such Frasconi P, Shamir R (2000), Baldi P, Brunak (2011).

Deep learning has emerged as a crucial component of machine learning (ML) in recent years. According to Li et al. (2019), it can handle non-linear functions within the desired accuracy level and has been used to many computing problems. Recent optimisation approaches proposed by Li et al. (2020) rendered the

deep neural network the most dependable and effective technique among rival systems. In the field of bioinformatics, it aids in the resolution of complex biological issues, such as the prediction of DNA binding by Luo et al. (2019), the bio-sequence analysis technique that includes analysis and RNA sequence prediction by Park et al. (2017), the prediction of protein structure for amino acid sequence by Zuo et al. (2018), and the identification of enhancer-promoter interaction (EPI) by Hong et al. (2020).

2.1.1 Understanding genomics: DNA classification

One of the key areas of bioinformatics is genomics, where ML tools and techniques are applied to gather useful data. The most promising field for ML Mathe' C, Sagot M.-F, Schlex T, et al. (2002) reviews of gene prediction algorithms is the gene discovery methodology. Using clustering algorithms of machine learning, Cho, Sung-Bae, and Hong-Hee Won (2003) provide a thorough explanation of DNA classification by identifying a group of individuals with similar types of genes or the degree to which these individuals possess a particular gene using the colour pattern of DNA microarray data. This is a classic instance of unsupervised learning because the algorithm provides no prior knowledge about the person regarding to which group it belongs. Zucker S. (1995) uses a classification tree to search the protein-coding region of human DNA. In order to solve the problem of splice site prediction, Yvan Saeys, Sven Degroeve, Dirk Aeyels, et al. (2004) used the optimisation method for feature subset selection. Degroeve S, De Baets B, Van de Peer Y, et al. (2002) use various ML approaches to tackle the same technique. Pavlovic V, Garg A, and Kasif S. (2004) and Degroeve S, De Baets B, Van de Peer Y. (2002) make By incorporating a different source of evidence into the process, gene prediction is made more intriguing.

Some helpful ML algorithms that are used to discover regulatory elements and non-coding RNA genes were proposed by Bockhorst J, Craven M, Page D, et al. (2003), Stein Aerts, Peter Van Loo, Yves Moreau, et al. (2004), and Won K.-J, Pru gel- Bennet A, Krogh (2004). While using the categorization paradigm was Carter RJ, Dubchak I, and Holbrook SR. In Bao L. and Cui Y., this method is used once again. The prediction of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism's phenotypic consequences was made in (2005) by contrasting support vector machine with random forest approaches.

Numerous optimisation strategies have been suggested to simplify multiple alignment difficulties. Simulated annealing is one of these methods, developed by Kim J, Cole JR, and Pramanik S. (1996), the iterative approach developed by Hirosawa M, Totoki Y, Hoshida M, et al. (1995), the relaxation algorithm developed by Thomas D. Schneider and David N. Mastronarde (1996), and Monte Carlo optimisation by Neuwald AF, Liu JS. (2004) as well as the tabu search method developed by Tariq Riaz, Yi Wang, and Kuo-Bin Li (2004).

The study of Shadman Shadab et al. (2020) is one of the most recent contributions on the application of deep learning algorithms for DNA-Binding proteins (DBP) discovery. Riccardo Rizzo et al. (2016) also completed work of a similar nature.

2.1.2 Analysis of gene expression data: Cancer diagnosis

Cancer can be defined as any unusual growth of cells in the body. There are over approximately 100 types of cancer found in medical research today. Early detection of cancer can help to prevent declining patient's health and save many lives. Hwag et. al. (2002) in their paper and Luca Silvestrin in his book focuses on cancer detection through the classification of patient samples. And with the help of ML algorithm such as Bayesian network, Neural trees and Radial basis function (RBF) network, this study can be done through analysis of gene expression to classify the cancer type. Wag Yu, et al. (2005) in their paper effectively use the process of gene selection for diagnosing cancer. We can also refer to Zararsiz, Gokmen, et. al. (2012) for leukemia classification.

2.1.3 Detection of Epileptic Seizures

Patients with epilepsy experience unpredictable, repeated seizures that happen suddenly and without any prior warning. Consequently, there may be a brief loss of judgement, memory, and coordination. Frequent seizures may increase the risk of dying and decrease the likelihood of suffering physical harm. With the aid of an Electroencephalogram (EEG), a non-invasive method of measuring brain activity, machine learning (ML) techniques can be used to build detectors that, in this case, are capable of identifying the onset of seizures fast and accurately, depending on the patient's medical state.

With the aid of the data available on EEG and rs-fMRI measurements from the ECoG dataset, Hosseini et al. (2017) analysed epileptogenicity localisation using a convolutional neural network and offered the conclusion as normal p-value $1.85e-14$ and p-Seizure value $4.64e-27$. With an accuracy rate of 88.67%, Acharya et al. (2017) trained CNN for the analysis of seizure detection using the Freiburg EEG DB. Using the Freiburg EEG DP and CNN, Mirowski et al. (2008) predicted epileptic episodes and found that 20 out of 21 individuals had zero false-alarm seizures.

2.1.4 Evolving signal processing for brain computer interface (BCI)

The brain and a machine that interprets electrical signals from the brain and utilises them to direct some external actions, like moving the arm, work together to create a brain computer interface. a prosthetic limb or a cursor. It is essential to the support of disabled individuals, multimedia, virtual reality, video games, etc. The motor cortex, a reasonably well-understood part of the cortex, is where the muscle-controlling commands are sent. Many paralysed patients' brains are capable of producing these commands, but sadly the information never reaches the muscles. In this instance, Makeig et al.'s (2012) work on brain computer interface brings it into existence.

Kiral-Kornel et al. (2017) provided power assessments of various processing platforms and employed CNN for BCI analysis utilising 6 subjects and up to 1000 individual hand squeezes. In their study of EEG decoding and visualisation using CNN, Schirrmester et al. (2017) employed the BCI Competitive IV dataset 2a and measurement data and achieved an accuracy rate of up to 89.8%. With just one subject and 30 minutes of data, Nurse et al. (2016) calculated the accuracy rate of BCI at 81%. By using the BCI Competitive IV Data Set 2b to investigate motor imagery categorization, Lu et al. (2017) increased accuracy by roughly 5% when compared to previous approaches.

Three Deep Learning

Deep learning, sometimes referred to as deep neural learning and the network as a deep neural network (DNN), is a subclass of machine learning that contains a network that can learn unsupervised from unstructured input. It is the capability of artificial intelligence that closely resembles the structure of the human brain and imitates the way the brain processes information for use in object detection, speech recognition, language translation, and decision-making. It can learn from both structured and unstructured data without assistance from or oversight from humans. Among other things, it can aid in the detection of fraud or money laundering.

The development of big data, also known as digital information that has evolved into practically every form on the planet, is where deep learning evolution first starts. Search engines, e-commerce sites, social media, applications, and many other online resources are among the major providers of big data. Deep learning makes sense of the enormous amount of unstructured data that it would typically take a human being decades to fully comprehend and extract useful information from. The traditional ML algorithms use data analysis in linear ways. While deep learning makes use of artificial neural networks with hierarchical tiers that are philosophically and architecturally modelled after the biological nervous system of humans. As a result, deep learning algorithms also process the data non-linearly.

An early type of neural network called a perceptron that was inspired by the human brain. Frank Rosenblatt developed this algorithm in 1958 at Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory with funding from the US Office of Naval Research. It is a supervised learning ML technique for binary classifiers. It has an input layer that can classify linearly separable patterns and is directly coupled to the output layer. As data complexity expanded, neural networks were developed. These networks include layered architectures with input, output, and one or more hidden layers. Due to the non-linear correlations in the data, these hidden layers can handle its complexity. This neural network is connected by the neurons that receive input data, process the data, and forward the output to the subsequent layer.

Each neuron adds up the input data and then uses functions to activate the data that has already been added up. This output, which can either be the final output or the output that has to be processed at the next layer, is then sent to the next layer. As a result, deep learning network has numerous layers of neurons that have been built up in a hierarchical way, and it has now been expanded over more than 1000, establishing a hierarchical feature representation. Deep learning is able to commit to the memory that contains all potential mappings thanks to this level of modelling capacity. But it must act.

a successful training with a large database and the ability to forecast decisions with intelligence at first. Convolutional neural networks (CNN), recurrent neural networks (RNN), deep neural networks (DNN), multilayer perceptrons (MLP), deep belief networks (DBN), autoencoders, deep Boltzmann machines (DBM), deep belief networks (DBN), deep conventional extreme ML (DC- ELM), and many others are now used in healthcare research areas.

3 Deep learning

Deep learning has the capacity to create new features, i.e., it is able to create new features in addition to identifying and extracting pertinent ones. It is utilised in the healthcare industry to aid doctors by aiding in disease diagnosis and model prediction with a specific objective for treatment. Extreme learning models (ELM), self-organizing maps (SOM), generative adversarial networks (GAN), recurrent neural networks (RNN), radial basis function networks (RBFN), long short-term memory (LSTM), autoencoders, extreme learning models (CNN), recurrent neural networks (RNN), radial basis function networks (RBFN), etc. are examples of deep learning algorithms that can work with raw data and automatically learn features. The majority of deep learning algorithms perform effectively in a range of fields, including robotics, virtual assistants, entertainment, healthcare, and picture colouring [122–126].

Deep learning has many different uses, from diagnosing diseases to providing individualised care. Deep learning algorithms have revolutionised certain fields, including ophthalmology, pathology, cancer detection, and radiology. Deep learning revolutionised ophthalmology initially, although pathology and cancer detection have more uses and are more widely discussed.

With an accuracy rate of 83%, Zhai et al. (2017) employed CNN to control neuroproteins utilising data from NinaPro Databases (DB) 2 and 3. With the aid of kinematic and EMG data from NinaPro DB, Park et al. (2016) trained CNN for movement intention decoding and produced results with an accuracy rate of more than 90%. Using measurements from eight healthy participants, Xia et al. (2017) estimated limb movements with the use of RNN and suggested that the RNN outperformed other methods for estimating a 3D trajectory. 18 people performing 7 gestures were used by Allrad et al. (2016) to apply CNN in robotic arm steering, with an accuracy of about 97.9%. The accuracy of sleep state recognition determined by Fraiwan et al. (2017)'s autoencoder analysis is 80.4%. Huve et al. (2017) compare the neural dynamics of CNN and DNN using 180 trials on a single individual and find that DNN performs better than CNN. With distinct datasets, Jirayucharoensak et al. (2014) and An et al. (2014) evaluate based emotion recognition using deep learning networks and produce results with valence accuracy 49.52% and arousal accuracy 46.03% levels.

3.1 *Deep learning in healthcare*

Deep learning has the ability to construct new features, i.e., it is not only able to identify and extract relevant features but also construct new ones. In healthcare sector, it is used to diagnose the disease as well as predict the model with a specific target for treatment to help the physicians. Deep learning algorithms like CNN, recurrent neural network (RNN), radial basis function network (RBFN) long short-term memory (LSTM), autoencoder, extreme learning model (ELM), self-organising maps (SOM), generative adversarial network (GAN), etc. can work on raw data, automatic feature learner and consumes less processing time. Most of the deep learning algorithms show efficient performance in various domains like a virtual assistant, entertainment, healthcare, robotics, image colouring, etc [122-126].

Applications of deep learning cover a broad range of problems ranging from disease detection to personalized treatment. There are some particular areas that are responsible for revolutionising deep learning algorithm in ophthalmology, pathology, cancer detection, radiology. Ophthalmology is the first to revolutionise deep learning but pathology and cancer detection receive more attention and have applications that are quite accurate.

Zhai et al. (2017) used CNN for neuroproteins control using the data from NinaPro Database (DB) 2&3 with an accuracy rate of 83%. Park et al. (2016) trained CNN for movement intention decoding with the help of kinematic and EMG data NinaPro DB and conclude the output with more than 90% accuracy rate. Xia et al. (2017) estimate limb movements estimation with the help of RNN using the measurements from eight healthy subjects and proposed that the RNN outperformance other methods for estimating a 3D trajectory. Allrad et al. (2016) apply CNN in robotic arm guidance using 18 subjects performing 7 gesture with the accuracy approximately 97.9%. Fraiwan et al. (2017) analyse sleep state identification using autoencoder and comes up with the accuracy of 80.4%. Huve et al. (2017) track down the neural dynamic by comparison of CNN and DNN taking 1 subject 180 trials and conclude that DNN outperform CNN. Jirayucharoensak et al. (2014) and An et al. (2014) analyse based emotion recognition using deep learning network by taking different dataset and comes up with the result of valence accuracy 49.52% and arousal accuracy 46.03% level.

3.2 *Deep learning and medical imaging*

Any image diagnosis task's fundamental goal necessitates the detection of an anomaly, the measurement of its intensity, or the quantification of the aberration. Automated image analysis systems that employ ML algorithms have the potential to enhance the quality of the analysis and, consequently, the interpretations. There are numerous sites in this field that have a wealth of data at their disposal for doctors. This information consists of pathological imaging, genomic sequencing, and radiological imaging, such as X-rays, CT scans, and MRI scans. Even while deep learning approaches are capable of processing a sizable amount of data, there aren't enough tools to convert the entire data set.

3.2.1 *Diabetic Retinopathy (DR)*

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder that can result high blood sugar [121]. It has two major causes- improper production of insulin by the pancreas (Type-I diabetes) and the improper response of body tissues toward the insulin produced

(Type-II diabetes). Eye disease caused by diabetes termed diabetic retinopathy (DR) and long termed DR may cause complete blindness to the patient. It is curable only if it is detected at the early stages through retinal screening. Automated detection of DR through deep learning model are far better than manual process of detecting of DR and gives optimized and better accuracy.

Gulshan et al. (2016) analysed eye picture archive communication system (Eye PACS-I) that consists of

10,000 retinal images with the help of deep CNN (DCNN) and conclude the sensitivity of 97.5% and 93.4% specificity. Harry Pratt (2016) also used DCNN for classification and detection of moderate and worse using the dataset Messidor-2 that contain 1700 images collected from 874 patients to claim the sensitivity and specificity of 96% and 93.4% respectively. Kathrivel (2016) used the dataset Kaggle fundus, DRIVE and STARE that are publicly available for classification of the fundus with DCNN with dropout layer and conclude the accuracy up to 94% - 96%.

Haloi (2015) detect early-stage DR on Retinopathy online challenge (ROC) by training a 5-layered connection mechanism using Messidor dataset and conclude upto 97% sensitivity, 96% specificity, 96% accuracy and 0.988 area under the curve (AUC) he also claims up to 0.98 AUC on ROC dataset. Alban (2017) diagnosed five class severities and de-noised the Eye PACS images of angiography for detection of DR. he applied CNN and comes up with 79% AUC and 45% accuracy. Lim et al. (2014) used the methods mentioned by Gilbert et al. (2012) for extracting features from identified region then classify these features by implementing deep convolutional neural network and realised the model on SiDRP and DIARETBD1 datasets.

Pratt et al. (2016) employed the NVIDIA CUDA DCNN library on Kaggle dataset consisting of above 80,000 digital fundus images. They also validated the network on 5,000 images. The images resized into 512x512 pixels and then sharpened. Finally, the features vector fed to Cu-DCNN. They classified the images into 5 classes using features like exudates, haemorrhages and micro-aneurysms and achieve upto 95% specificity, 30% sensitivity and 75% accuracy.

3.2.2 Gastrointestinal (GI) Disease Detection

A metabolic disease called diabetes mellitus (DM) can cause excessive blood sugar levels [121]. Type I diabetes, which results in incorrect pancreatic insulin synthesis, and improper body tissue responses to the insulin produced, are the two main causes.

(Diabetes type II). Diabetic retinopathy, also known as DR, is an eye condition that can render a patient completely blind. Only if it is discovered in its earliest stages by retinal screening is it treatable. Automated DR detection using a deep learning model is far more accurate and produces better results than manual DR detection.

Deep CNN (DCNN) was used by Gulshan et al. (2016) to evaluate the eye picture archiving communication system (Eye PACS-I), which comprises of 10,000 retinal images. They came to the conclusion that the system has a sensitivity of 97.5% and a specificity of 93.4%. Using the dataset Messidor-2, which contains 1700 photos gathered from 874 patients, Harry Pratt (2016) also employed DCNN for classification and detection of moderate and worse, claiming sensitivity and specificity of 96% and 93.4%, respectively. Kathrivel (2016) employed the publicly accessible datasets DRIVE, STARE, and Kaggle fundus for the categorization of the fundus with DCNN with dropout layer and came to the conclusion that the accuracy was between 94% and 96%.

By using the Messidor dataset to train a 5-layered connection mechanism, Haloi (2015) was able to diagnose early-stage DR on the Retinopathy online challenge (ROC) and reach conclusions with up to 97% sensitivity, 96% specificity, 96% accuracy, and 0.988 area under the curve (AUC). He also asserts up to 0.98 AUC on the ROC dataset. When Alban (2017) used CNN to de-noise the Eye PACS pictures of angiography in order to detect DR, he identified five class severities and achieved 79% AUC and 45% accuracy. Lim et al. (2014) developed the model on the SiDRP and DIARETBD1 datasets using the techniques suggested by Gilbert et al. (2012) for extracting features from defined regions and then classifying these features by deploying deep convolutional neural networks.

NVIDIA CUDA DCNN library was used by Pratt et al. (2016) on the Kaggle dataset, which included more than 80,000 digital fundus images. Additionally, they tested the network on 5,000 photos. The photos were sharpened after being downsized to 512x512 pixels. The features vector was then sent to the

Cu-DCNN. Using features including exudates, haemorrhages, and micro-aneurysms, they divided the images into five classes, achieving up to 95% specificity, 30% sensitivity, and 75% accuracy.

3.2.3 Tumour detection

A tumour or neoplasm is an abnormal development of cells that causes havoc in any portion of the body. Cancer may or may not be caused by a tumour. As a result, we divide tumours into malignant and benign tumours, which refer to cancerous and non-cancerous tumours, respectively. Since benign tumours do not spread to other bodily parts, they are significantly less harmful than malignant ones. While malignant can spread to other bodily regions and become challenging to treat.

Wang et al. (2016) examined 482 patient photos, of which 246 women with tumours were found. The patients ranged in age from 32 to 70. By first de-noising the examined images, the breast cancer was then segmented utilising modified wavelet transformation, morphological operations, and region growth. Then, SVM and extreme learning machines received morphological and textured features for the classification and detection of breast tumours. The result of employing ELM and was that the overall error rate was 84.

utilising SVM, 96. In 2015, Jeimer et al. published a research titled "Automatic coronary calcium scoring in cardiac angiography using CNN" that employed sparse data from malignant masses and benign solitary cysts. As a consequence, CNN claimed an area under the curve of up to 87%. Additionally, using CNN, Weildi et al. (2016), Rongshen Zhu (2015), and Yixuan Yuan (2017) publish their results with an area under the curve of 80% to 85%.

4 Conclusion

The human mind is constantly interested in new discoveries, advancements, and what lies beyond them. One such example is artificial intelligence, which emerged from the desire to create computers with the capacity to learn from their experiences. The main accomplishment in the development of this kind of intelligence is that it allows users to handle a large amount of data that is impossible for the human mind to store. This kind of computer application will undoubtedly reduce the amount of work involved in handling data, but putting this technology into practise is not an easy undertaking. AI must be continuously trained using historical data. Additionally, the ongoing data input is essential for continued development and improvement once the system has been taught. In this study, we looked at the drivers behind the use of ML in healthcare. We also talk about ML, which is the main subcategory of ML. We discussed various healthcare data that deep learning has studied and surveyed while focusing on deep learning and its architecture. However, several ML technologies are garnering a lot of interest in medical research. Real-time implementation continues to have issues. One of these issues is regulation. The safety, assessment, and efficiency standards of the ML system are not met by recent rules. The US FDA offers guidelines for evaluating ML systems that ensure to retain the safety and efficiency in order to get around this problem. Another barrier is the lack of incentives for data exchange on the system in the current healthcare context. As a result, ML training prior to adoption has been hampered. There are plans for the healthcare revolution to encourage data exchange among numerous nations.

REFERENCES

1. Alban M, Gilligan T (2016). Automated detection of diabetic retinopathy using fluorescein angiography photographs. In: Report of Stanford education.
2. Allen JE, Pertea M, Salzberg SL (2004). Computational gene prediction using multiple sources of evidence. *Genome Research*, 14:142–8.
3. Blankertz B, Müller KR, Curio G, Vaughan TM, Schalk G, Wolpaw JR, Schlögl A, Neuper C,

- Pfurtscheller G, Hinterberger T, Schröder M, Birbaumer N (2004). The BCI Competition 2003: progress and perspectives in detection and discrimination of EEG single trials. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* Jun;51(6):1044-51. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2004.826692. PMID: 15188876.
4. B. Schelter, M. Winterhalder, T. Maiwald, A. Brandt, A. Schad, A. Schulze-Bonhage, J. Timmer (2006). Testing statistical significance of multivariate time series analysis techniques for epileptic seizure prediction, *Chaos Interdiscip. J. Non- linear Sci.* 16 (1), 013108.
 5. B. Schelter, M. Winterhalder, T. Maiwald, A. Brandt, A. Schad, J. Timmer, A. Schulze-Bonhage (2006). Do false predictions of seizures depend on the state of vigilance? a report from two seizure-prediction methods and proposed remedies, *Epilepsia* 47 (12) 2058–2070.
 6. Baldi P, Brunak S. *Bioinformatics* (2001). *The Machine Learning Approach*. MIT Press.
 7. Durbin, R., Eddy, S., Krogh, A., & Mitchison, G. (1998). *Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511790492.
 8. Ball, Gregory R., and Sargur N. Srihari (2009). Semi- supervised learning for handwriting recognition, *Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR'09*. 10th International Conference on. IEEE, 2009.
 9. Bao L, Cui Y. (2005). Prediction of the phenotypic effects of nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms using structural and evolutionary information. *Bioinformatics*; 21(5) :2185–90.
 10. Bockhorst J, Craven M, Page D, Shavlik J, Glasner J. (2003). A Bayesian network approach to operon prediction. *Bioinformatics*. Jul1; 19(10):1227-35. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/ btg147. PMID: 12835266.
 11. Bratko, Andrej & Cormack, Gordon & Filipic, Bogdan & Lynam, Thomas & Zupan, Blaz. (2006). Spam Filtering Using Statistical Data Compression Models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 6. 2673-2698.
 12. C. T. R. Kathirvel (2016). Classifying Diabetic Retinopathy using Deep Learning Architecture. *International Journal of Engineering Research Technology*, 5(6).
 13. Caragea, Cornelia & Honavar, Vasant. (2008). Machine Learning in Computational Biology. 10.1007/978-0-387-39940-9_636.
 14. Carter, R. J., Dubchak, I., & Holbrook, S. R. (2001). A computational approach to identify genes for functional RNAs in genomic sequences. *Nucleic acids research*, 29(19), 3928–3938.
 15. Cho, Sung-Bae & Won, Hong-Hee. (2003). Machine Learning in DNA Microarray Analysis for Cancer Classification. *Proceedings of the First Asia-Pacific bioinformatics Conference*. 34. 189-198.
 16. Degroeve S, De Baets B, Van de Peer Y, Rouzé P. Feature subset selection for splice site prediction. *Bioinformatics*. 2002;18 Suppl 2: S75-83. doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/ 18. suppl_2.s 75. PMID: 12385987.
 17. E. Nurse, B.S. Mashford, A.J. Yepes, I. Kiral-Kornek, S. Harrer, D.R. Freestone (2016). Decoding eeg and lfp

signals using deep learning: heading true north, in: Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Computing Frontiers, ACM, pp. 259–266.

18. Frasconi P, Shamir R (eds) (2003). Artificial Intelligence and Heuristic Methods in Bioinformatics, Volume 183, NATO Science Series: Computer and Systems Sciences Edited. NATO.
19. G Wimmer, S Hegenbart, A Vecsei, and A Uhl (2016). Convolutional neural network architectures for the automated diagnosis of celiac disease. In International Workshop on Computer-Assisted and Robotic Endoscopy, pages 104–113. Springer.
20. G. Huve, K. Takahashi, M. Hashimoto (2017). Brain activity recognition with a wear-able fnirs using neural networks, in: Mechatronics and Automation (ICMA), 2017 IEEE International Conference on, IEEE, pp. 1573–1578.
21. Gary B. Fogel, David W. Corne (2002). Evolutionary Computation in Bioinformatics. Morgan Kaufmann.
22. Gilbert Lim Yong San, Mong Li Lee, and Wynne Hsu (2012). Constrained-mser detection of retinal pathology. In *Pattern Recognition (ICPR), 2012 21st International Conference on*, pages 2059–2062. IEEE.
23. Gilbert Lim, Mong Li Lee, Wynne Hsu, and Tien Yin Wong (2014). Trans-formed representations for convolutional neural networks in diabetic retinopathy screening. *Modern Artif Intell Health Anal*, 55:21–25.
24. Guyon, Isabelle, and André Elisseeff (2003). An introduction to variable and feature selection. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 3: 1157-1182.
25. Haider, Peter, Luca Chiarandini, and Ulf Brefeld (2012). Discriminative clustering for market segmentation. Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. ACM.
26. Haykin, Simon, and Zhe Chen (2005). The cocktail party problem. *Neural computation* 17.9 :1875-1902.
27. 27. Haloi M (2015). Improved microaneurysm detection using deep neural networks. In: arXiv preprint [arXiv:1505.04424](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04424)
28. Higgins D, Taylor W (eds) (2000). Bioinformatics. Sequence, Structure, and Databanks. Oxford University Press.
29. Hirosawa M, Totoki Y, Hoshida M, Ishikawa M. (1995). Comprehensive study on iterative algorithms of multiple sequence alignment. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences*; 11(1):13–18.
30. Hong, Z., Zeng, X., Wei, L., et al. (2020). Identifying enhancer–promoter interactions with neural network based on pre-trained DNA vectors and attention mechanism. *Bioinformatics* 36, 1037–1043.
31. Hou Shujie, Robert C. Qiu, Zhe Chen, Zhen Hu. (2011). SVM and Dimensionality Reduction in Cognitive Radio with Experimental Validation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1106.2325.
32. Hung Dinh Nguyen, I. Yoshihara, K. Yamamori and M. Yasunaga (2002). A parallel hybrid genetic algorithm for multiple protein sequence alignment. *Proceedings of the 2002 Congress on Evolutionary Computation. CEC'02 (Cat. No.02TH8600)*, Honolulu, HI, USA, pp. 309-314 vol.1, doi: 10.1109/CEC.2002.1006252.
33. Husmeier D, Dybowski R, Roberts S (eds) (2005). Probabilistic Modelling in Bioinformatics and Medical Informatics. Springer Verlag.

34. Hwang Kyu-Baek, Dong-Yeon Cho, Sang-Wook Park, Sung-Dong Kim, Byoung-Tak Zhang (2002). Applying machine learning techniques to analysis of gene expression data: cancer diagnosis. *Methods of Microarray Data Analysis*. Springer US, 167-182.
35. Igor Kononenko (2001). Machine learning for medical diagnosis: history, state of art and perspective. *Artificial intelligence in Medicine* 23 (2001)89-109.
36. Ishikawa, M., Toya, T., Hoshida, M., Nitta, K., Ogiwara, A., & Kanehisa, M. (1993). Multiple sequence alignment by parallel simulated annealing. *Computer applications in the biosciences: CABIOS*, 9 3, 267-73.
37. Jagota A (2000). *Data Analysis and Classification for Bioinformatics*. Bioinformatics by the Bay Press.
38. Jelmer M Wolterink, Tim Leiner, Max A Viergever, and Ivana Išgum (2015). Automatic coronary calcium scoring in cardiac ct angiography using convolutional neural networks. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 589–596. Springer.
39. Jelmer M Wolterink, Tim Leiner, Bob D de Vos, Robbert W van Hamersvelt, Max A Viergever, and Ivana Išgum (2016). Automatic coronary artery calcium scoring in cardiac ct angiography using paired convolutional neural networks. *Medical image analysis*, 34:123–136.
40. Jia X, Meng MQH (2016). A deep convolutional neural network for bleeding detection in wireless capsule endoscopy images. In: 2016 IEEE 38th Annual international conference of the Engineering in medicine and biology society (EMBC), IEEE, pp 639–642
41. Jiang T, Xu X, Zhang MQ (eds) (2002). *Current Topics in Computational Molecular Biology*. The MIT Press.
42. Jiang F., Y, Zhi H. et al. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke and Vascular neurology*; 0: e000101. Doi:101136/sv-2017-000101.
43. John A Quinn, Rose Nakasi, Pius KB Mugagga, Patrick Byanyima, William Lubega, and Alfred Andama (2016). Deep convolutional neural net-works for microscopy-based point of care diagnostics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.02989*.
44. K.-H. Park, S.-W. Lee (2016). Movement intention decoding based on deep learning for multiuser myoelectric interfaces, in: *Brain-Computer Interface BCI, 2016 4th International Winter Conference on*, IEEE, pp. 1–2.
45. Kim J, Cole JR, Pramanik S (1996). Alignment of possible secondary structures in multiple RNA sequences using simulated annealing. *Computer applications in the Biosciences*; 12(8):259–67.
46. Kiral-Kornek , D. Mendis , E.S. Nurse , B.S. Mashford , D.R. Freestone , D.B. Gray- den , S. Harrer (2017). Truenorth-enabled real-time classification of eeg data for brain-computer interfacing, in: *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2017 39th Annual International Conference of the IEEE, IEEE*, pp. 1648– 1651.
47. Kononenko, Igor (2001). Machine learning for medical diagnosis: history, state of the art and perspective. *Artificial Intelligence in medicine* 23.1: 89-109.
48. Konstantinos Kamnitsas, Christian Ledig, Virginia FJ Newcombe, Joanna P Simpson, Andrew D Kane, David K Menon, Daniel Rueckert, and Ben Glocker (2017). Efficient multi-scale 3d cnn with fully connected crf for accurate brain lesion segmentation. *Medical Image Analysis*, 36:61–78.
49. L. Fraiwan, K. Lweesy (2017). Neonatal sleep state identification using deep learning autoencoders, in: *Signal Processing & its Applications (CSPA), 2017 IEEE 13th International Colloquium on*, IEEE, pp. 228–231.
50. Larrañaga P, Menasalvas E, Peña JM, et al. (2003). Special issue in data mining in genomics and proteomics. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*; 31: III–IV.
51. Li J, Wong L, Yang Q (2005). Special issue on data mining for bioinformatics. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*; 20(6).

52. Li, Y., Huang, C., Ding, L., et al. (2019). Deep learning in bioinformatics: Introduction, application, and perspective in the big data era. *Methods* 166, 4–21.
53. Lim G, Lee ML, Hsu W, Wong TY (2014) Transformed representations for convolutional neural networks in diabetic retinopathy screening. *Modern Artif Intell Health Anal* 55:21–25
54. Ling CX, Noble WS, Yang Q (2005). Special issue: Machine learning for bioinformatics-part 1. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*; 2(2):81–2.
55. Luca Silvestrin, —Machine Learning in Biology, Universita degli studi di Padova.
56. Luo, X., Tu, X., Ding, Y., et al. (2019). Expectation pooling: an effective and interpretable pooling method for predicting DNA-protein binding. *BioRxiv*, doi: 10.1101/658427
57. M. Atzori, A. Gijbarts, C. Castellini, B. Caputo, A.-G.M. Hager, S. Elsig, G. Giat-sidis, F. Bassetto, H. Müller (2014). Electromyography data for non-invasive naturally-controlled robotic hand prostheses, *Sci. Data* 1, 140053.
58. M. Atzori, A. Gijbarts, I. Kuzborskij, S. Elsig, A.-G.M. Hager, O. Deriaz, C. Castellini, H. Müller, B. Caputo (2015). Characterization of a benchmark database for myoelectric movement classification, *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.* 23 (1), 73–83.
59. M. Stead, M. Bower, B.H. Brinkmann, K. Lee, W.R. Marsh, F.B. Meyer, B. Litt, J. Van Gompel, G.A. Worrell (2010). Microseizures and the spatiotemporal scales of human partial epilepsy, *Brain* 133 (9), 2789–2797.
60. M. Winterhalder, T. Maiwald, H. Voss, R. Aschenbrenner-Scheibe, J. Timmer, A. Schulze-Bonhage (2003). The seizure prediction characteristic: a general framework to assess and compare seizure prediction methods, *Epilepsy Behav.* 4 (3), 318–325.
61. M.-P. Hosseini, T.X. Tran, D. Pompili, K. Elisevich, H. Soltanian-Zadeh (2017). Deep learning with edge computing for localization of epileptogenicity using multimodal rs-fMRI and EEG big data, in: *Autonomic Computing (ICAC), 2017 IEEE International Conference on*, IEEE, pp. 83–92.
62. Magoulas, George D., and Andriana Prentza (2001). Machine learning in medical applications. *Machine Learning and its applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 300-307.
63. Makeig, S., Kothe, C., Mullen, T., Shamlo, N. B., Zhang, Z. & Kreutz-Delgado, K. (2012). Evolving Signal Processing for Brain-Computer Interfaces, *Proceedings of the IEEE 100 (Centennial-Issue)*, 1567-1584.
64. Mathe´ C, Sagot M.-F, Schlex T, et al. (2002). Current methods of gene prediction, their strengths and weaknesses. *Nucleic Acids Research*; 30(19):4103–17.
65. Mengqi Pei, Xing Wu, Yike Guo, and Hamido Fujita (2017). Small bowel motility assessment based on fully convolutional networks and long short-term memory. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 121:163–172.
66. Mitchell, Tom Michael (2006). The discipline of machine learning. Carnegie Mellon University, School of Computer Science, Machine Learning Department.
67. Mitchell, E. b. (2017). What can machine learning do? workforce implications. *AAAS*, Vol 358 ISSUE 6370.
68. Muhammad Imran Razzak and Bandar Alhaqbani (2015). Automatic detection of malarial parasite using microscopic blood images. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics*, 5(3):591–598.
69. Neuwald AF, Liu JS (2004). Gapped alignment of protein sequence motifs through Monte Carlo optimization of a hidden Markov model. *BMC Bioinformatics*; 5:157–73.
70. Nima Tajbakhsh, Suryakanth R Gurudu, and Jianming Liang (2015). Automatic polyp detection in colonoscopy videos using an ensemble of convolutional neural networks. In *Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 2015 IEEE 12th International Symposium on*, pages 79–83. IEEE.
71. P. Sajda, A. Gerson, K.-R. Muller, B. Blankertz, L. Parra (2003). A data analysis competition to evaluate machine

- learning algorithms for use in brain-computer interfaces, *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.* 11 (2), 184–185.
72. P. Xia, J. Hu, Y. Peng (2017). Emg-based estimation of limb movement using deep learning with recurrent convolutional neural networks, *Artif. Organs*.
73. Park, S., Min, S., Choi, H.-S., et al. (2017). Deep recurrent neural network-based identification of precursor micrnas. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: 31st Annual Conference (NIPS 2017)*, Long Beach, USA. 2891–2900. La Jolla, USA: Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS).
74. Pavlovic V, Garg A, Kasif S. (2002). A Bayesian framework for combining gene predictions. *Bioinformatics*; 18(1):19–27.
75. Pevzner PA. (2000). *Computational Molecular Biology. An Algorithmic Approach*. MIT Press.
76. Piryatinska, G. Terdik, W.A. Woyczynski, K.A. Loparo, M.S. Scher, A. Zlotnik (2009). Automated detection of neonate eeg sleep stages, *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.* 95 (1), 31–46.
77. Pratt H, Coenen F, Broadbent DM, Harding SP, Zheng Y (2016). Convolutional neural networks for diabetic retinopathy. *Procedia Comput Sci* 90:200–205
78. R. Aschenbrenner-Scheibe, T. Maiwald, M. Winterhalder, H. Voss, J. Timmer, A. Schulze-Bonhage (2003). How well can epileptic seizures be predicted? An evaluation of a nonlinear method, *Brain* 126 (12), 2616–2626.
79. Razzak M.I., Naz S., Zaib A. (2018). Deep Learning for Medical Image Processing: Overview, Challenges and the Future. In: Dey N., Ashour A., Borra S. (eds) *Classification in BioApps. Lecture Notes in Computational Vision and Biomechanics*, vol 26. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65981-7_12.
80. R. Leeb, F. Lee, C. Keinrath, R. Scherer, H. Bischof, G. Pfurtscheller (2007). Brain—computer communication: motivation, aim, and impact of exploring a virtual apartment, *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.* 15 (4), 473–482.
81. R.T. Schirrmeister, J.T. Springenberg, L.D.J. Fiederer, M. Glasstetter, K. Eggenberger, M. Tangermann, F. Hutter, W. Burgard, T. Ball (2017). Deep learning with convolutional neural networks for eeg decoding and visualization, *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 38 (11), 5391–5420.
82. Rongsheng Zhu, Rong Zhang, and Dixiu Xue (2015). Lesion detection of endoscopy images based on convolutional neural network features. In *Image and Signal Processing (CISP), 2015 8th International Congress on*, pages 372–376. IEEE.
83. Rosenblatt, Frank (1958), The Perceptron: A Probabilistic Model for Information Storage and Organization in the Brain, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, *Psychological Review*, v65, No. 6, pp. 386–408. [doi:10.1037/h0042519](https://doi.org/10.1037/h0042519).
84. San GLY, Lee ML, Hsu W (2012). Constrained-MSER detection of retinal pathology. In: *2012 21st International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*. IEEE, pp 2059–2062
85. S. Jirayucharoensak, S. Pan-Ngum, P. Israsena (2014). Eeg-based emotion recognition using deep learning network with principal component-based covariate shift adaptation, *Sci. World J.*
86. S. Koelstra, C. Muhl, M. Soleymani, J.-S. Lee, A. Yazdani, T. Ebrahimi, T. Pun, A. Nijholt, I. Patras (2012). Deep: a database for emotion analysis; using physiological signals, *IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput.* 3 (1), 18–31.
87. Sajda, Paul. (2006). Machine learning for detection and diagnosis of disease. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* 8: 537- 565
88. Salzberg S. (1995). Localizing protein coding regions in human DNA using a decision tree algorithm. *JComput Biol*; 2: 473–85.
89. Schoëlkopf B, Tsuda K, Vert J.-P (eds) (2004). *Kernel Methods in Computational Biology*. The MIT Press.

90. Seiffert U, Jain LC, Schweizer P (eds) (2005). *Bioinformatics Using Computational Intelligence Paradigms*. Springer Verlag.
91. Seyed Omid Sadjadi and John H. L. Hansen (2013). Unsupervised Speech Activity Detection Using Voicing Measures and Perceptual Spectral Flux, *IEEE signal processing letters*.
92. Shoeb, Ali H., and John V. Guttag (2010). Application of machine learning to epileptic seizure detection. *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-10)*.
93. Stein Aerts, Peter Van Loo, Yves Moreau, et al (2004). A genetic algorithm for the detection of new cis-regulatory modules in sets of coregulated genes. *Bioinformatics*; 20(12): 1974–76.
94. Sumit Das, Aritra Dey, Akash Pal and Nabamita Roy (2015). Applications of Artificial intelligence in Machine Learning: Review and Prospects. *International Journal of Computer application* (0975-8887) volume 115-no. 9, April.
95. Syed H Shirazi, Arif Iqbal Umar, Saeeda Naz, and Muhammad I Razzak (2016). Efficient leukocyte segmentation and recognition in peripheral blood im-age. *Technology and Health Care*, 24(3):335–347.
96. Syed Hamad Shirazi, Arif Iqbal Umar, Nuhman Ul Haq, Saeeda Naz, and Muhammad Imran Razzak (2015). Accurate microscopic red blood cell image enhancement and segmentation. In *International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering*, pages 183–192. Springer International Publishing.
97. T. Hasan and J. H. L. Hansen (2013). Acoustic Factor Analysis for Robust Speaker Verification, in *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 842-853, doi: 10.1109/TASL.2012.2226161.
98. T. Maiwald, M. Winterhalder, R. Aschenbrenner- Scheibe, H.U. Voss, A. Schulze-Bonhage, J. Timmer (2004). Comparison of three nonlinear seizure prediction methods by means of the seizure prediction characteristic, *Physica D* 194 (3), 357–368.
99. Tarca, Adi L., Vincent J Carey, Xue-wen Chen, Roberto Romero, Sorin Drăghici (2007). Machine Learning and Its Applications to Biology. *PLoS Comput Biol* 3(6): e116. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030116>.
100. Thomas D. Schneider, David N. Mastronarde (1996). Fast multiple alignment of ungapped DNA sequences using information theory and a relaxation method. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*; 71:259–68.
101. Tzanis, George & Katakis, Ioannis & Partalas, Ioannis & Vlahavas, I. (2006). Modern Applications of Machine Learning. *Proceedings of the 1st Annual SEERC Doctoral Student Conference–DSC*.
102. U.C. Allard, F. Nougrou, C.L. Fall, P. Giguère, C. Gosselin, F. Laviolette, B. Gosselin (2016) A convolutional neural network for robotic arm guidance using semg based frequency-features, in: *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, IEEE, pp. 2464–2470.
103. U.R. Acharya, S.L. Oh, Y. Hagiwara, J.H. Tan, H. Adeli (2017). Deep convolutional neural network for the automated detection and diagnosis of seizure using eeg signals, *Comput. Biol. Med.*
104. Varun Gulshan, Lily Peng, Marc Coram, Martin C Stumpe, Derek Wu, Arunachalam Narayanaswamy, Subhashini Venugopalan, Kasumi Wid-ner, Tom Madams, Jorge Cuadros, et al. (2016). Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA*, 316(22):2402–2410.
105. Wang JTL, Zaki MJ, Toivonen HTT, et al. (eds). (2004). *Data Mining in Bioinformatics*. Springer-Verlag.
106. Wang Z, YKYZ, Yu G, Qu Q (2014). Breast tumor detection in digital mammography based on extreme learning machine. *Neurocomputing* p 175184
107. Wang, Yu, et al. (2005). Gene selection from microarray data for cancer classification—a machine learning

approach. Computational biology and chemistry 29.1: 37-46.

108. Weidi Xie, J Alison Noble, and Andrew Zisserman (2016). Microscopy cell counting and detection with fully convolutional regression networks. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualization*, pages 1–10.
109. Won K.-J, Pru'gel-Bennet A, Krogh A. (2004). Training HMM structure with genetic algorithm for biological sequence analysis. *Bioinformatics*; 20(18):3613–19.
110. Wolterink JM, Leiner T, Viergever MA, Išgum I (2015). Automatic coronary calcium scoring in cardiac ct angiography using convolutional neural networks. In: International conference on medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention. Springer, pp 589–596
111. Wu CH, McLarty JW. (2000). *Neural Networks and Genome Identification*. Elsevier.
112. X. An, D. Kuang, X. Guo, Y. Zhao, L. He (2014). A deep learning method for classification of eeg data based on motor imagery, in: International Conference on Intelligent Computing, Springer, pp. 203–210.
113. X. Zhai, B. Jelfs, R.H. Chan, C. Tin (2017). Self-recalibrating surface emg pattern recognition for neuroprosthesis control based on convolutional neural network, *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 11, 379. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00379>
114. Xiao Jia and Max Q-H Meng. (2016). A deep convolutional neural network for bleeding detection in wireless capsule endoscopy images. In *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2016 IEEE 38th Annual International Conference of the*, pages 639–642. IEEE.
115. Yixuan Yuan and Max Q-H Meng. (2016). Deep learning for polyp recognition in wireless capsule endoscopy images. *Medical Physics*.
116. Yvan Saeys, Sven Degroeve, Dirk Aeyels, et al. (2004). Feature selection for splice site prediction: a new method using EDA-based feature ranking. *BMC Bioinformatics*; 5:64.
117. Yuan Y, Meng MQH (2017). Deep learning for polyp recognition in wireless capsule endoscopy images. *Med Phys*.
118. Zararsiz, Gokmen & Elmali, Ferhan & Ozturk, Ahmet. (2012). Bagging Support Vector Machines for Leukemia Classification. *IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues*. 9. 355-358.
119. Zou, Z., Tian, S., Gao, X., et al. (2018). Mldeepe: multi-functional enzyme function prediction with hierarchical multi-label deep learning. *Front. Genet*. 9, 714.
120. Zhu R, Zhang R, Xue D (2015). Lesion detection of endoscopy images based on convolutional neural network features. In: 2015 8th International congress on image and signal processing (CISP). IEEE, pp 372–376.
121. Shankar, K., Sait, A. R. W., Gupta, D., Lakshmanaprabu, S. K., Khanna, A., & Pandey, H. M. (2020). Automated detection and classification of fundus diabetic retinopathy images using synergic deep learning model. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 133, 210-216.
122. Shankar, K., Lakshmanaprabu, S. K., Khanna, A., Tanwar, S., Rodrigues, J. J., & Roy, N. R. (2019). Alzheimer detection using Group Grey Wolf Optimization based features with convolutional classifier. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 77, 230-243.
123. Le, DN., Parvathy, V.S., Gupta, D. et al. IoT enabled depthwise separable convolution neural network with deep support vector machine for COVID-19 diagnosis and classification. *Int. J. Mach. Learn. & Cyber.* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13042-020-01248-7>
124. Anupama, C.S.S., Sivaram, M., Lydia, E.L. et al. Synergic deep learning model-based automated detection and classification of brain intracranial hemorrhage images in wearable networks. *Pers Ubiquit Comput* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-020-01492-2>
125. I. V. Pustokhina, D. A. Pustokhin, D. Gupta, A. Khanna, K. Shankar and G. N. Nguyen, "An Effective Training Scheme for Deep Neural Network in Edge Computing

Enabled Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) Systems," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 107112-107123, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3000322.

126. R. J. S. Raj, S. J. Shobana, I. V. Pustokhina, D. A. Pustokhin, D. Gupta and K. Shankar, "Optimal Feature Selection-Based Medical Image Classification Using Deep Learning Model in Internet of Medical Things," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 58006-58017, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2981337.