International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 08 Issue: 11 | Nov - 2024 SJIF Rating: 8.448 ISSN: 2582-3930

A Theoretical Research Paper on MXene-Based Supercapacitors: Comparative Study

P.M. Kharade[1*], D.J. Salunkhe[2]
1 Fabtech Technical Campus, College of Engineering and Research.*
2 Nano-Composite Research laboratory, K.B.P. Mahavidyalaya, pandharpur
Corresponding author: Dr.P.M.Kharade (pravink150@gmail.com)

Abstract:

MXenes, a class of two-dimensional transition metal carbides, nitrides, and carbonitrides, have garnered significant attention in recent years due to their unique combination of metallic conductivity, hydrophilicity, and high capacitance, making them promising materials for supercapacitor applications. This paper presents a theoretical exploration of MXene-based supercapacitors, focusing on the underlying electrochemical mechanisms, material properties, and performance metrics. A comparative study with previously published work is conducted to provide insights into the recent advancements, challenges, and future directions in MXene supercapacitor. MXenes, a family of two-dimensional transition metal carbides, nitrides, and carbonitrides, have emerged as promising materials for energy storage, especially in supercapacitor applications. Their unique combination of metallic conductivity, hydrophilic nature, and tunable surface chemistry offers excellent electrochemical performance. This theoretical paper provides a comparative study of the electrochemical parameters of MXene-based supercapacitors, including capacitance, energy density, power density, and cycle stability. We evaluate key research findings and provide theoretical insights into optimizing MXene materials for supercapacitor applications. Comparative analysis with other advanced materials such as graphene and conducting polymers is also discussed.

This paper aims to offer a comprehensive overview for future research directions and potential enhancements of MXene-based supercapacitors.

Keywords: MXene, Supercapacitor, Energy density.

1. Introduction:

The growing demand for efficient and reliable energy storage technologies has driven extensive research into supercapacitors, which are known for their high power density, fast charging capabilities, and long cycling life. However, their energy density lags behind that of batteries, limiting their application in large-scale energy storage systems. MXenes, a family of two-dimensional (2D) materials, are gaining attention as electrode materials for supercapacitors due to their metallic conductivity, layered structure, and versatile surface chemistries [1, 2].

MXenes have been synthesized primarily from MAX phases, where M is an early transition metal (e.g., Ti, V), A is an element like Al or Si, and X is carbon and/or nitrogen. The removal of the A-layer results in a 2D material with high surface area and tunable electrochemical properties. This paper provides a theoretical analysis and comparative study of the electrochemical parameters of MXene-based supercapacitors, benchmarking them against other advanced electrode materials like graphene and conducting polymers.

2. Electrochemical Parameters of MXenes in Supercapacitors:

2.1 Capacitance:

The capacitance of supercapacitors is a critical parameter that determines the energy storage capability. MXenes exhibit both double-layer capacitance and pseudocapacitance due to their high surface area and redox-active surface groups. Several studies report specific capacitance values of MXene-based electrodes as being among the highest for 2D materials. Capacitive Behavior**:** The high capacitance in MXenes is often attributed to their layered structure, which allows efficient ion intercalation between the layers.

Surface Chemistry**:** The presences of surface functional groups like –OH, –O, and –F can significantly enhance the pseudocapacitive behavior by facilitating redox reactions [2].

For instance, $Ti_3C_2T_x$ MXene has demonstrated specific capacitances of up to 1500 F/g in aqueous electrolytes, which surpasses many carbon-based materials like activated carbon or graphene, whose capacitance is typically in the range of 200–500 F/g [3].

2.2 Energy Density:

Energy density in supercapacitors is determined by the equation

$$E=\frac{1}{2}CV^2 \quad \text{--------} \ (1)$$

Where, C is the capacitance and V is the voltage window.

MXenes have shown moderate to high energy densities due to their high capacitance, but their relatively low operating voltage (often limited by aqueous electrolytes) can restrict the overall energy density.

While MXenes perform well in aqueous electrolytes, non-aqueous systems can expand their operational voltage window, potentially increasing energy density. For example, energy densities of 30–40 Wh/kg have been reported in organic electrolytes, which is comparable to or higher than that of most pseudocapacitors.

2.3 Power Density:

MXenes, especially $Ti_3C_2T_x$, have shown exceptional power densities due to their high electrical conductivity (up to 10,000 S/cm). The 2D structure facilitates rapid ion diffusion, and the metallic conductivity enables fast electron transport, leading to high power density [4].

Comparison with Graphene**:** While graphene also exhibits high conductivity, the ionic diffusion rate in MXenes is often superior due to their layered structure, making them ideal candidates for high-power applications. Power densities of 10–15 kW/kg have been achieved with MXene-based electrodes, comparable to or exceeding those of graphene-based supercapacitors.

2.4 Cycling Stability:

Cycling stability is a key parameter for determining the longevity of supercapacitors. MXenes generally show excellent cycling stability, retaining 80–95% of their capacitance after 10,000 cycles. The mechanical robustness of MXene layers and their strong chemical bonding contribute to their cycling durability.

The surface chemistry of MXenes can significantly influence their cycling stability. Fluorine termination (–F) has been shown to enhance structural stability, while excessive oxygen-containing groups may lead to degradation over time.

Comparatively, conducting polymers like polyaniline (PANI) suffer from significant cycling degradation due to polymer swelling and contraction, while graphene has demonstrated high stability but lower capacitance compared to MXenes.

3. Comparison with Other Advanced Materials

3.1 MXenes vs Graphene:

Graphene has been extensively researched for supercapacitors due to its high surface area and electrical conductivity. However, MXenes offer several advantages over graphene such as Higher Pseudocapacitance: MXenes provide substantial pseudocapacitance due to their redox-active surface, whereas graphene relies mainly on electric double-layer capacitance, better ion intercalation: The layered structure of MXenes allows for more efficient ion intercalation, leading to better energy storage capabilities and conductivity: While graphene has high conductivity, MXenes, particularly $Ti_3C_2T_x$, exhibit comparable conductivity but with the added benefit of pseudocapacitance

In terms of specific capacitance, MXenes (1500 F/g) outperform graphene (200–400 F/g) by a significant margin [5, 6].

3.2 MXenes vs Conducting Polymers:

Conducting polymers such as polyaniline (PANI) and polypyrrole (PPy) are well-known for their high pseudocapacitance but suffer from poor cycling stability due to mechanical degradation. MXenes, on the other hand offer far superior cycling stability, with retention rates above 90% after thousands of cycles, while conducting polymers tend to degrade more rapidly. The conducting polymers can achieve capacitance values similar to or higher than MXenes in the short term, but their long-term performance is hindered by poor structural integrity [7].

3.3 MXenes vs Metal Oxides:

Metal oxides like RuO_2 and MnO_2 have high pseudocapacitance but suffer from high cost, limited conductivity, and cycling degradation. MXenes offer a balance of high conductivity, pseudocapacitance, and structural stability, making them more cost-effective and versatile for a wide range of applications [4].

Table 1. Comparative study of MXene and MXene based composite electrodes for supercapacitor applications.

Sr. No	Materials	Synthesis Method	Specific Capacitances in (F/g)	References
1	PANI/MXene	Polymerization hydrothermal	337.5	8
2	MXene/CNTs	Vacuum Filtration	3	9
3	Fe_2O_3/MXene	Hydrothermal	486	10
4	MXene-MnO_2	Chemical Deposition	20.5	11
5	La_2O_3/MXene	Co precipitation		12

4. Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the advantages of MXenes, there are several challenges to their widespread adoption in supercapacitor applications:

Surface Termination Control: The ability to precisely control surface termination groups (e.g., –OH, –F, –O) is crucial for optimizing performance, but remains challenging.

Scalability: Synthesis methods for MXenes need to be scaled up while maintaining high material quality.

Electrolyte Compatibility: Further research is required to explore the compatibility of MXenes with various electrolytes, especially non-aqueous systems that could unlock higher energy densities.

Future research should focus on enhancing the energy density of MXenes by developing hybrid materials and optimizing electrode architectures.

5. Conclusion:

MXenes have emerged as one of the most promising materials for next-generation supercapacitors due to their high conductivity, capacitance, and cycling stability. This comparative study highlights the superior performance of MXenes in several key electrochemical parameters, including capacitance, power density, and cycle life, when compared to other materials such as graphene, conducting polymers, and metal oxides. Theoretical insights into ion intercalation, surface chemistry, and electrode architecture provide guidance for further optimizing MXene-based supercapacitors for practical applications.

References:

[1] Naguib, M.: "Two-dimensional nanocrystals produced by exfoliation of Ti_3AlC_2." Advanced Materials, 23(37) (2011), 4248-4253.

[2] Ghidiu, M.: "Conductive two-dimensional titanium carbide 'clay' with high volumetric capacitance." Nature, 516 (2014), 78-81.

[3] Lukatskaya, M. R.: "Ultra-high-rate pseudocapacitive energy storage in two-dimensional transition metal carbides." Nature Energy, 2(8) (2013), 17105.

[4] Akhter, R, Maktedar, S.S.: MXenes: A comprehensive review of synthesis, properties, and progress in supercapacitor applications, Journal of Materiomics 9 (2023) 1196-1241.

[5] Mazzocchetti L, Benelli T, D'Angelo E, Ligi S, Minak G, Poodts E.: Managing heat phenomena in epoxy composites production via graphenic derivatives: synthesis, properties and industrial production simulation of graphene and graphene oxide containing composites. 2D Mate.4 (2016) 015020.

[6] Burke A.: R&D considerations for the performance and application of electrochemical capacitors. Electrochim Acta 53(2007) 1083-91.

[7] Zhang, C.: "MXene and conductive polymers: Opportunities and challenges in the development of supercapacitors." Journal of Power Sources, 472 (2020) 228390.

[8] Wang X, Zhang D, Zhang H.: In situ polymerizedpolyaniline/MXene (V_2C) as building blocks of supercapacitor and ammonia sensor self-powered byelectromagnetic-triboelectric hybrid generator. Nano Energy. 88(2021):106242.

[9] Chen H, Yu L, Lin Z.: Carbon nanotubes enhance flexible MXene films for high-rate supercapacitors. J Mater Sci. 55(3) (2020)1148–1156.

[10] Shi, T.Z, Feng, Y.L, Peng, T.: Sea urchin-shaped Fe_2O_3 coupled with 2D MXene nanosheets as nega-tive electrode for high-performance asymmetricsupercapacitors. Electrochimica Acta. 381(2021)138245.

[11] Wei, Y, Zheng, Luo, W, Dai, B, Ren, J, Ma, M, Li, T, Ma, Y.: All pseudocapacitive MXene-MnO_2 flexible asymmetric supercapacitor, Journal of Energy Storage. 45(2022) 103715

[12] Khan, J., Tariq, R., Ahmad, M., Yu, Q., Liu, H., Khan U., & Liu, B.: A La_2O_3/MXene composite electrode for supercapacitors with improved capacitance and cycling performance, Science and Technology of Advanced Materials, 24:1(2023) 224226224

BIOGRAPHIES

1'st Author

Dr. Pravin Mahadeo Kharade has currently working as an assistant professor in Physics at Fabtech Technical Campus, College of Engineering and Research. He completed his M.Sc. in subject Physics (Applied Electronics) during the year 2011 from Punyashlok Ahilyadevi Holkar Solapur University, Solapur. Then he completed Ph.D from Punyashlok Ahilyadevi Holkar Solapur University, Solapur during the year 2017 under the guidance of Prof.(Dr.) D.J. Salunkhe. He has published 23 research papers in international and national journals.