

AI AS A TEACHING ASSISTANT: ENHANCING EDUCATOR CAPABILITIES THROUGH REAL-TIME VISUAL CONTENT

**PRADEEP J*1, RAJUGOUDA K*2, SHIVARAYANAGOUDA*3 , SAMARTH S P*4 , DR
BALAJI CHETTY*5, DR KRISHNA KUMAR P R*6**

^{1,2,3,4}Students Dept of CSE,SEA College of Engineering & Technology,Bangalore-560049.

^{5,6}Faculty, Dept of CSE,SEA College of Engineering & Technology,Bangalore-560049.

Abstract- The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the educational sector is revolutionizing conventional methods of teaching through new means of aid for teachers as well as students. This research paper examines how and why an AI-based system that helps teachers automatically produce and suggest images and videos related to the subject matter being taught at the moment can be developed and harnessed. The objective is to increase the level of teaching quality, especially in under-equipped or less fortunate schools where availability of digital learning resources is low and professional development regarding sophisticated pedagogical strategies might be scarce. The system as suggested utilizes natural language processing (NLP) to decipher the teacher's oral or written input and dynamically fetch visual content related to the topic. learning process for students. Our system bridges that by redirecting the attention to empowering instructors with easy-to- access

adaptive content presentation.

The approach is to create a prototype system that can capture classroom instruction context through voice or text input, match it against an educational media database with categories, and project the best-relevant multimedia content. The system also learns from teacher preferences and previous interactions and enhances its recommendations over time using machine learning algorithms.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIEd), AI-Assisted Teaching, Context-Aware Content Generation, Visual Learning Aids, Multimedia Content Recommendation, Natural Language Processing (NLP), Smart Classroom Tools, Educational Technology (EdTech).

I. REVIEW OF PAPERS

The confluence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and learning has picked up considerable momentum in recent years, largely following the advancements in adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), and content suggestion engines. From a deep dive into 30 research studies, it is apparent that there exists an increasingly large body of work focused on personalized student experiences, albeit relatively fewer systems are specifically designed to support teachers as they teach in real-time, especially through visual content creation.

1. AI in Education: Trends

Current research (e.g., Luckin et al., 2016; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019) emphasizes the application of AI to develop custom learning pathways for students based on analytics, behavior monitoring, and adaptive testing. Initiatives such as IBM's Watson Education and Squirrel AI illustrate how AI can recognize learning styles and forecast student performance. Yet these systems tend to be developed from the student's point of view, sometimes bypassing the teacher's role as a dynamic learning facilitator.

2. Multimedia and Visual Learning in Teaching

The utility of visual aids to teach is widely established. Mayer's Cognitive Theory of

Multimedia Learning (2005) posits that students learn better when information comes through both verbal and pictorial channels. Several studies (e.g., Moreno & Mayer, 2007; Clark & Lyons, 2010) highlight the way images and video can make abstract concepts simple and engage students better. However, educators themselves may not necessarily have the time or resources to find adequate multimedia content targeting particular lesson goals, particularly in live instances.

3. AI-Based Content Recommendation Systems

Recommendation systems, which are prevalent in e-commerce and entertainment services (e.g., YouTube, Netflix), have also been applied to educational content provision (Li et al., 2018). Platforms like Edmodo and Smart Sparrow include elementary recommendation functionalities to recommend lessons or materials to students. But not many of these platforms are teacher-centric, particularly in a classroom setting where recommendations must be context-sensitive and rapid. In addition, most depend on pre-tagged metadata and do not possess actual-time Natural Language Processing (NLP) functionality to analyze teacher speech or typed text.

4. Natural Language Processing in Learning Tools

Emerging research has examined NLP to aid in question generation, automated grading, and learning through chatbots (e.g., Winkler & Söllner, 2018). Although these tools have potential for content generation, they are seldom embedded within a system that scans live teaching input to recommend multimedia supports. There is an evident lack of AI systems that incorporate NLP to aid instructors while teaching, not just in planning phases.

5. Gaps in Low-Resource Contexts A number of studies (e.g., UNESCO, 2020; World Bank EdTech Review, 2021) identify challenges for teachers in low-resource settings. Teachers in these environments frequently do not have training in digital technologies, access to high-speed networks, or infrastructure to access traditional EdTech platforms. As AI for education becomes more popular, few studies concentrate on lightweight AI systems that are offline capable and can produce educational images and videos in rural or disadvantaged schools.

II. PROCESSES USED IN THE PAST

Past studies in the area of AI-aided education, specifically those which deal with content recommendation, intelligent

tutoring systems, and visual learning tools, have utilized various methodologies. These studies serve as the basis for which the present work is developed. A survey of methodologies employed for existing projects of relevance evinces prevailing tendencies in system design, processing of data, choice of AI models, and user testing strategies.

1. Rule-Based and Metadata-Driven Content Recommendation Systems

Early systems (e.g., Smart Tutor, 2010; Edmodo AI Add-on, 2014) were based on rule-based engines and metadata-tagged educational materials. These systems were matching keywords from teacher search queries to predetermined tags on images or videos within repositories like YouTube Education or Khan Academy. Although easy to implement, these systems didn't have contextual intelligence, frequently delivering irrelevant or way-too-generic results.

- Method Used: Keyword matching using TF-IDF or Boolean logic
- Limitations: No semantic content understanding; wasn't able to manage paraphrasing or speech-based input
- Strength: Simple to implement in controlled settings with pre-tagged materials

2. Natural Language Processing for Content Matching

Later methods (e.g., Li et al., 2018; Winkler & Söllner, 2019) included NLP models to improve interpretation of teacher questions.

These models used BERT, Word2Vec, or GPT-like embeddings to mine semantic meaning from input strings or transcriptions of speech. Content matching was carried out using cosine similarity between the teacher's topic and captions/descriptions of multimedia objects.

- **Method Used:** NLP models for topic detection + semantic similarity-based matching
- **Data Input:** Teacher typed input, ASR-transcribed speech
- **Content Sources:** YouTube API, proprietary instructional content datasets
- **Limitation:** Required strong internet connectivity and large datasets to perform well
- **Strength:** Excellent contextual accuracy; improved matching of teacher intent

3. AI Chatbot-Based Support Systems

Other research (e.g., Amershi et al., 2020; IBM Watson Classroom) explored chatbot-like AI assistants that aided instructors

with recommendations during lesson planning or student interactive sessions. These systems employed intent identification and entity recognition to provide suggestions, such as diagrams, short videos, and simulation URLs.

- **Methods Used:** Dialogflow/Watson-based NLP pipelines for interaction + retrieval-based backend
- **Evaluation Method:** Usability testing with educators in controlled lab settings
- **Limitations:** Mainly utilized during planning phases, not for actual class presentation
- **Strength:** Comfortable, conversational tone facilitated teacher acceptance

4. Hybrid Machine Learning + Human-in-the-Loop Systems

In some emerging research works (e.g., Spector et al., 2021; Human-AI Co-Teaching Model), systems used hybrid models where AI suggested materials and teachers approved or edited recommendations. The human-in-the-loop method would enable the system to develop preferences for teachers through reinforcement learning or active learning models over time.

- Method Used: User feedback loop reinforcement learning
- Feedback Source: Teacher upvotes/downvotes, usage patterns on content
- Strength: Personalization and adaptability
- Limitation: First-time cold-start problem; needs continuous data gathering

5. Low-Resource Deployment

Few studies (e.g., UNESCO AI4Ed pilot, 2020) tested offline or edge-deployable AI models in rural schools. These pilots commonly leveraged compressed NLP models, local data, and low hardware to execute educational assistants on tablets or Raspberry Pi devices.

- Lightweight NLP (e.g., DistilBERT), edge computing
- Strength: Suits low-infrastructure schools well
- Weakness: Reduced model accuracy and limited content diversity
- Success Factor: Community-crafted, curriculum-mapped content collections

III. PROS

1. Easy to Implement (Rule-Based Systems):

- Lightning-fast setup with keyword matching.

- Low computational resource needs.

2. Improved Context Understanding (NLP-Based Systems):

- Can comprehend teacher questions in natural language.

- More precise multimedia suggestions.

- Works for both typed and oral input.

3. Teacher Engagement (Chatbot Systems):

- Conversational interfaces simplify adoption.

- Can assist teachers while planning lessons.

- Approachable and scalable with cloud infrastructure.

4. Personalization (Human-in-the-Loop Systems):

- Learns from teachers' preferences over time.

- Increases confidence in AI recommendations.

- Adjusts recommendations to individual classroom styles.

5. Teachability of Rural Areas (Lightweight/Offline Systems):

- Does not require internet connectivity.
- Can be used on low-end hardware (e.g., Raspberry Pi).
- Can support digital education in disadvantaged schools.

IV. CONS:

1. Lack of Context (Rule-Based Systems):

- Can't grasp meaning beyond keywords.
- Frequent returns irrelevant images or videos.

2. High Resource Requirement (Advanced NLP Systems):

- Requires good internet and fast hardware.
- Not suitable for schools with limited infra.

3. Limited to Planning Phase (Chatbot Systems):

- Primarily used pre-class, not in live teaching.
- Not appropriate for real-time classroom assistance.

4. Slow Initial Learning (Human-in-the-Loop Systems):

- Requires time and feedback for learning.
- Cold start problem — low-quality results at the start.

5. Content Limitations (Offline Systems):

- Smaller image/database of videos.
- Lower precision due to the fact that models are compressed.
- Updates and scalability are difficult.

Table1 : AI-Powered Teaching with Visual Material

Component	Description
Objective	To assist teachers by supplying context-specific images and videos relevant to the topic being taught in the current moment.
User	School teachers, particularly in low-resource or disadvantaged classrooms.
Input Type	Verbal (via microphone) or typed topic input by teacher.

Core Technology	Natural Language Processing (NLP), Machine Learning, Content Recommendation.
-----------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Component	Description
Data Sources	YouTube Education, Internal Media Libraries, Open Educational Repositories.
Steps for Processing	1. Input → 2. NLP Analysis → 3. AI Search → 4. Filtering → 5. Display → 6. Feedback.
Output	Context-specific images and videos shown to the teacher in real-time.
Benefits	Improves quality of teaching, reduces preparation time, stimulates visually.
Drawbacks Overcome	Unavailability of multimedia hardware in classrooms, particularly in rural/under-resourced areas.
Improvement Mechanism	Teacher feedback loop for ongoing learning and customization.

V. CONCLUSION

The fusion of Artificial Intelligence in teaching has the capability to transform how educators provide knowledge in the classroom. This study investigated how an AI-driven system engineered precisely to aid instructors through the automation of suggesting and providing images and videos related to the context of what is being taught could be developed. Through the use of natural language processing and smart content recommendation methods, the system facilitates a

better teaching process through making subjects easier to understand and lessons more interactive for the students. The literature review verified a vast research gap—most current AI tools are centered around learners, with minimal real-time support tools for teachers. Previous methods have held promise in content recommendation, NLP-based topic detection, and feedback-based system adjustment. Yet issues such as shallow contextual understanding, offline inabilities, and teacher flexibility continue to be major problems.

with an adaptive instructor feedback loop, the system presents a scalable and inclusive solution. Finally, this study will reframe AI as a smart teaching partner rather than a replacement for teachers, empowering them—particularly those in underprivileged schools—to develop visually compelling, engaging, and powerful learning experiences. The future of learning does not only lie in digitalization, but in human-machine collaboration—where humans and machines assist each other to make learning more inclusive, effective, and inspiring.

REFERENCES

- [1] Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson Education.
- [2] Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1–27.
- [3] Mayer, R. E. (2005). *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning*. Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Chen, X., Xie, H., Zou, D., & Hwang, G. J. (2020). Application and theory gaps during the rise of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 100002.
- [5] Winkler, R., & Söllner, M. (2018). Unleashing the potential of chatbots in education: A state-of-the-art analysis. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Wirtschaftsinformatik*.
- [6] Amershi, S., Weld, D., Vorvoreanu, M., Fourney, A., Nushi, B., Collisson, P., ... & Horvitz, E. (2019). Guidelines for human-AI interaction. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–13).
- [7] Li, L., Chen, G., & He, W. (2018). Recommendation systems in online education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 13(11), 150–164.
- [8] UNESCO. (2020). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- [9] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

[10] Chassignol, M., Khoroshavin, A., Klimova, A., & Bilyatdinova, A. (2018). Artificial Intelligence trends in education: A narrative overview. *Procedia Computer Science*, 136, 16–24.

[11] Spector, J. M., Ifenthaler, D., Sampson, D. G., Yang, L., & Fluck, A. (2021). Building AI competencies in teacher education: Issues and perspectives. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(1), 1–5.

[12] Clark, R. C., & Lyons, C. (2010). *Graphics for learning: Proven guidelines for planning, designing, and evaluating visuals in training materials*. Wiley.

[13] Huang, R., Tlili, A., Chang, T.-W., Zhang, X., Nascimbeni, F., & Burgos, D. (2022). Disrupted classes, undisrupted learning during COVID- 19: How can intelligent systems help teachers deliver multimedia-rich learning remotely? *Smart Learning Environments*, 9(1), 1–16.