

AI Solution Generator for Specific Model Architecture

Nayeem Hubli Surana College PG Departments Bangalore,India nayeemhubli@gmail.com

Talatam Venkata Sai Vivek Surana College PG Departments Bangalore,India vivektalatam2000@gmail.com

Abstract—Artificial intelligence (AI) has rapidly advanced, leading to the development of AI systems that can generate solutions tailored to specific model architectures. This study focuses on comparing the accuracy and efficacy of AI-generated solutions to those created by human experts during model construction. While human-generated solutions are generally expected to be more accurate due to a better understanding of the underlying physics, AI-generated solutions may exhibit partial correctness. This study emphasizes the essential role of human expertise by analysing the benefits and drawbacks of AI systems in offering technical solutions for specific model architectures. Examining the interactions between AI-generated and human generated solutions further highlights this requirement.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, artificial intelligence (AI) has made considerable strides, paving the way for the development of AI systems that can produce solutions that are tailored to certain model designs. Automating different aspects of model building, from code generation to algorithm optimization, has shown promise for these systems. There are still questions about how accurate and practical AI-generated solutions are compared to those created by human experts.

In order to produce better models, this study intends to investigate the intricate interactions between AI-generated and humangenerated solutions. Human-generated solutions are seen to have a greater understanding of the basic concepts and nuances of the model, even while AI-generated answers may only offer partial accuracy. In order to highlight the critical importance of human knowledge in certain circumstances, the major aim is to study the potential and constraints of AI systems in creating model-specific technological solutions. The main claim is that, despite the fact that AI systems can speed up some model generation phases and provide short-term fixes, they could not have the precision needed to comprehend the true nature of the issue. On the other hand, contextual awareness, domain knowledge, and a fundamental comprehension of the inner workings of the model are advantages for human-generated solutions, resulting in more hemaprabha.mca@suranacollege.edu.in

Mandava Sai Kumar Surana College PG Departments Bangalore,India saimandava1999@gmail.com

> Mrs. A Hema Prabha Department of MCA Surana College PG Departments Bangalore, India

accurate and reliable outcomes. Delivering the best results requires a thorough understanding of the relationships and opportunities for cooperation between AI-generated solutions and human input.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A emerging field of research is the examination of AI solution generators for specific model designs. In-depth research on important themes have already been published, shedding light on both the achievements and challenges in this sector. A method for searching neural architectures using reinforcement learning. This approach automates the process of searching for neural network topologies, allowing for the creation of ideal models[1]. A progressive search strategy to neural architecture search allows for the examination of more elaborate architectures, which improves model performance[2]. The notion of regularised evolution for image classifier architecture search is utilised to identify the optimal designs for image classification challenges by making efficient use of evolutionary concepts[3]. Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville's 2016 book "Deep Learning" offers helpful information on neural architecture search. The issue is explicitly covered in Chapter 11 of the book, which also provides an overview of the various techniques and strategies used in the industry[4]. a comprehensive examination of automated machine learning approaches, particularly those related to architectural search This source gives a better understanding of the challenges and approaches involved in developing an AI solution generator[5].

III. CHARACTERISTICS

AI solution generators for particular model designs have the following crucial traits:

Automation: By automating tasks like code creation and algorithm optimization, these systems lessen the amount of human effort required from subject-matter experts.

Effectiveness: Model production is sped up by AI solution generators, allowing for quicker iterations and shorter development cycles.



Partial accuracy: AI-generated solutions could be somewhat accurate, but frequently they don't fully comprehend the model's complicated nuances and underlying physics. Contextual Awareness: Human-generated solutions produce results that are more accurate and dependable because to contextual awareness, subject expertise, and a better understanding of the inner workings of the model.

Scalability: AI solution generators are capable of successfully managing enormous amounts of data, which enables them to manage complicated models and adjust to growing data volumes. Solution Space Exploration: AI models can sift through a sizable issue space, producing a variety of viable solutions and spotting creative concepts that human experts would pass over.

Bias Reduction: By relying on data-driven procedures rather than arbitrary human judgment, AI solution generators seek to eradicate bias. Through the use of various datasets, they offer objective solutions that take into account a variety of opinions.

Integration with Human abilities: AI solution generators may work along with human experts, utilizing their expertise and subject-matter abilities. The effectiveness and quickness of AI are combined with the deep knowledge of human specialists in this partnership.

Iterative Improvement: By continuously improving their models, AI solution generators can get better at what they do. The accuracy and quality of the created solutions are improved with the aid of user and expert feedback. Interpretability and **Explainability:** Attention is increasingly being paid to enhancing the interpretability and explainability of AI solution generators. In order to increase openness and confidence in the results produced by AI models, methodologies are being created to offer insights into how they produce their solutions.

Transferability: AI solution generators are capable of transferring knowledge and insights between various model architectures. For linked architectures, trained models may be modified and enhanced, promoting knowledge transfer and using previous knowledge.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION AND WORKING

Progressive Neural Architecture Search" (PNAS) algorithm by [2] Liu et al. (2018) as an example for testing.

Algorithm Overview:

- 1. Initialize a small search space of base architectures.
- 2. Train an initial base architecture using standard deep learning techniques.
- 3. Select the best-performing base architecture and duplicate it.
- 4. Mutate the duplicated architecture to create a child architecture.
- 5. Train the child architecture while keeping the parent architecture fixed.
- 6. Evaluate the performance of the child architecture on a validation set.

- 7. If the child architecture performs better, replace the parent with the child for the next iteration.
- 8. Repeat steps 4-7 for a certain number of iterations, gradually increasing the complexity of the architecture.



Fig. 1. Working example 1 of the algorithm.[1]

Example Problem: Medical Diagnosis - Predicting Diabetes In this example, we want to predict whether a patient has diabetes based on certain medical features.

AI Solution: Logistic Regression

The AI solution uses logistic regression, a simple machine learning algorithm for binary classification.

Human Expert Solution: Ensemble Model with Feature Engineering The human expert decides to build an ensemble model, combining logistic regression with other models, and performs feature engineering to enhance the performance.

Hypothetical Data:

Let's assume the following hypothetical data for training and testing:

Training Data:

Glucose Level	BMI	AGE	Blood Pressure	Diabetes(Label)
130	28.6	45	80	1
102	23.2	32	70	0
115	26.5	50	78	1
150	31.8	55	90	1
90	20	28	65	0
140	27.9	38	85	1

Testing Data:

Glucose Level	BMI	AGE	Blood Pressure	Diabetes(Label)
110	25	38	75	0
140	31.2	42	85	1
120	27.8	30	72	0
135	29.5	48	80	1
95	22.4	22	68	0
125	26.1	40	78	1

Now, let's calculate the performance metrics for both AI and Human Expert solutions.

AI Solution (Logistic Regression): Assuming predicted values for illustrations purposes:

International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM) SJIF Rating: 8.176 **ISSN: 2582-3930**

Volume: 07 Issue: 08 | August - 2023

Glucose Level	BMI	Age	Blood Pressure	Diabetes (Label)	Predicted (Human Expert)
110	25.0	38	75	0	0
140	31.2	42	85	1	1
120	27.8	30	72	0	0
135	29.5	48	80	1	1
95	22.4	22	68	0	0
125	26.1	40	78	1	1

Glucose Level	вмі	Age	Blood Pressure	Diabetes (Label)	Predicted (Human Expert)
110	25.0	38	75	0	0
140	31.2	42	85	1	1
120	27.8	30	72	0	0
135	29.5	48	80	1	1
95	22.4	22	68	0	0
125	26.1	40	78	1	1

Calculations for AI Solution (Logistic Regression):

AI Solution (Logistic Regression):

Assuming predicted values for illustration purposes:

• Iteration 1:

True Positives (TP) = 0 (No positive instances were correctly classified) False Positives (FP) = 0 (No negative instances were incorrectly classified as positive) True Negatives (TN) = 1 (One negative instance was correctly classified as negative) False Negatives (FN) = 1 (One positive instance was incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = TP / (TP + FP) = 0 / (0 + 0) = N/A(Division by zero is not defined) Recall (Sensitivity) = TP / (TP + FN) = 0 / (0 + 1) =0.0000 Specificity = TN / (TN + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN) = (0 + TP + TN)1) / (0 + 1 + 0 + 1) = 0.5000• Iteration 2: True Positives (TP) = 1 (One positive instance was correctly classified) False Positives (FP) = 0 (No negative instances were incorrectly classified as positive) True Negatives (TN) = 1 (One negative instance was correctly classified as negative) False Negatives (FN) = 0 (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = TP / (TP + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000

Recall (Sensitivity) = TP / (TP + FN) = 1 / (1 + 0) =

1.0000

Specificity = TN / (TN + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN) = (1 + TN) + (TP + TN1) /(1 + 1 + 0 + 0) = 1.0000Continue the iterations...

Human Expert Solution (Ensemble Model with Feature **Engineering**):

Assuming predicted values for illustration purposes:

• Iteration 1:

True Positives (TP) = 1 (One positive instance was correctly classified)
Ealso Desitives $(ED) = 0$ (No negative instances were
raise rositives (rr) = 0 (no negative instances were incorrectly classified as positive)
True Negatives $(TN) = 1$ (One negative instance was
$rac{1}{2}$ $rac{$
Ealea Nagatiyas $(FN) = 0$ (No positive instances were
False Regatives $(FR) = 0$ (Ro positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative)
Dragician = $TD / (TD + ED) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000$
$P = \frac{11}{(1 - 1)^{2}} (1P + PP) = \frac{1}{(1 + 0)} = \frac{1}{(1 + 0)}$
Recall (Sensitivity) = $1P/(1P + FN) = 1/(1+0) =$
Specificity = $TN / (TN + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000$
Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN) = (1 + TN)
1) / (1 + 1 + 0 + 0) = 1.0000
• Iteration 2:
True Positives $(TP) = 1$ (One positive instance was
correctly classified)
False Positives $(FP) = 0$ (No negative instances were
incorrectly classified as positive)
True Negatives $(TN) = 2$ (Two negative instances were
correctly classified as negative)
concerty classified as negative)
False Negatives $(FN) = 0$ (No positive instances were
False Negatives $(FN) = 0$ (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative)
False Negatives (FN) = 0 (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = $TP / (TP + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000$
False Negatives (FN) = 0 (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = $TP / (TP + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000$ Recall (Sensitivity) = $TP / (TP + FN) = 1 / (1 + 0) =$
False Negatives (FN) = 0 (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = TP / (TP + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000 Recall (Sensitivity) = TP / (TP + FN) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000
False Negatives (FN) = 0 (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = TP / (TP + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000 Recall (Sensitivity) = TP / (TP + FN) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000 Specificity = TN / (TN + FP) = 2 / (2 + 0) = 1.0000
False Negatives (FN) = 0 (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = TP / (TP + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000 Recall (Sensitivity) = TP / (TP + FN) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000 Specificity = TN / (TN + FP) = 2 / (2 + 0) = 1.0000 Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN) = (1 + 2) /
False Negatives (FN) = 0 (No positive instances were incorrectly classified as negative) Precision = TP / (TP + FP) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000 Recall (Sensitivity) = TP / (TP + FN) = 1 / (1 + 0) = 1.0000 Specificity = TN / (TN + FP) = 2 / (2 + 0) = 1.0000 Accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN) = (1 + 2) / (1 + 2 + 0 + 0) = 1.0000

Ι



Fig. 2. Working Example 2 of the algorithm.[1]

V. BOTTLENECKS

Currently, there are a number of obstacles and limitations, including:

Lack of Domain Knowledge: When presented with intricate model designs that demand in-depth domain knowledge, AI systems may find it difficult to produce precise solutions. Interpretability: It might be difficult to comprehend how and why specific solutions are developed when AI models lack interpretability.

Generalization: Generalization problems may limit the ability of AI systems to apply their discoveries to novel or previously unidentified model structures. Data Restrictions: Training data utilized by AI solution generators must be sufficient and of high quality. Solutions that are wrong or unreliable can be produced by incomplete or unbalanced data. Labelled or annotated data collecting can occasionally be time-consuming and expensive for specific model architectures.

Data Privacy and Security: Managing sensitive data is frequently necessary when using AI solution generators. It is crucial to ensure data confidentiality and privacy during the processes of data collection, preprocessing, and training. Compliance with data protection rules and lowering the risk of data breaches are ongoing challenges. Ethics: When developing AI solutions, developers may run into problems with fairness in decision-making, bias in training data, and unforeseen consequences. It is essential to make sure that ethical frameworks and norms are in place to address these challenges in order to sustain trust and responsibility.

Limited Contextual Awareness: AI models can provide answers based on patterns and data, but it's likely that they don't fully understand the bigger context, which includes practical constraints, personal preferences, and subjective factors. It's still challenging to integrate contextual awareness.

Adversarial Attacks: AI models may be the target of adversarial assaults, in which evildoers alter input data to deceive the model and provide inaccurate or damaging conclusions. Ongoing research is being done to develop strong defences against these types of assaults. Lack of **Explainability:** Because AI solution generators are not very explainable, it can be challenging to comprehend the reasoning behind the created solutions. The development of interpretable and explicable AI strategies, which will enable people to trust and comprehend the judgments made by AI models, is addressing this issue. Dependence on Human Validation: AI-generated solutions are frequently improved and validated by human experts. When a person is involved, the process takes longer and involves more work, which hinders AI solution generators from fully automating activities.

Resource Needs: AI model deployment and training for problemsolving can be computationally taxing and resource-intensive. To obtain ideal performance, it could be essential to use specialist hardware and fast computing resources, which in some cases can provide practical challenges.



Addressing these challenges and limitations is necessary for the creation and broad application of AI solution generators, and doing so necessitates ongoing research, technological advancements, and ethical considerations to guarantee their effectiveness, dependability, and responsible use.

VI. BENEFITS

Despite these drawbacks, there are some advantages to using AI Solution Generators.

Using AI Solution Generators has several benefits despite these drawbacks:

Efficiency: AI solutions accelerate model development and reduce time to market, allowing for more frequent revisions. Automation: AI solution generators automate regular tasks so that human experts can focus on more innovative and difficult problems.

Scalability: AI systems have the ability to increase their capacity for coming up with solutions to handle large volumes of data and complex model structures. Enhanced Accuracy: For specific model architectures, AI solution generators can produce solutions with high levels of accuracy. They can examine vast amounts of data and identify complex patterns that may be difficult for human experts to see, leading to more accurate and reliable answers. Consistency: AI solution generators can consistently generate solutions using trained models and algorithms. A systematic approach to problem-solving is ensured by maintaining consistency, which reduces variability and increases dependability in a variety of circumstances. Knowledge Capture and Transfer: AI solution generators have the capacity to collect and pass on the knowledge of subject-matter experts to the trained models. This knowledge capture permits the preservation and sharing of expertise even in situations when human specialists might not be conveniently available.

Enhanced Productivity: By automating a number of problemsolving procedures, AI systems increase productivity. They manage repetitive tasks, evaluate data, and generate solutions a great deal faster than manual methods. Consequently, organizations are able to do more work in less time.

Investigating Alternative Approaches: AI solution generators are capable of analysing a range of prospective solutions and alternative strategies. By making it possible to find alternative solutions that may not have been initially considered, this talent encourages innovation and widens the solution area. AI solution generators can assist human experts in making well-informed conclusions. In order to help with decision-making processes and enable better informed and data-driven decisions, they can provide suggestions, insights, and numerous scenarios. AI solution generators are quite good at managing the architectures of complicated models, which may have intricate linkages and interdependencies. They can quickly handle the complexity and evaluate the data, providing solutions that take into account the quirks of the model. **Continuous Development:** AI solution generators can be continuously improved and trained over time. They can learn from criticism, adapt to new information, and develop their aptitude for problem-solving. This continuous improvement ensures that the generated solutions remain current and in accordance with the most recent facts and trends. Cost Savings: By automating tasks and streamlining processes, AI solution generators can save costs. They can increase resource utilization and save operational costs by cutting down on the need for time-consuming human work, shortening development cycles, and optimizing resource allocation. These benefits make AI solution generators valuable tools for accelerating problem-solving, enhancing accuracy, and supporting decision-making processes in a variety of fields.

VII. CONCLUSION

This study looks into how certain model architectures can be solved by AI systems. While AI-generated solutions offer automation and efficiency, they frequently fall short in terms of accuracy and a complete understanding of the model's internals. Human-generated solutions, on the other hand, rely on subject and contextual knowledge to produce more accurate and dependable answers.

By combining the strengths of AI and human skills, model creation can profit from the effectiveness of AI systems while keeping the accuracy and correctness of the responses. The findings of this research add to the corpus of knowledge concerning AI solution generators for particular model designs, highlighting the significance of interaction between AI systems and subject-matter experts.

Researchers and practitioners can increase the effectiveness and efficiency of model building and problem-solving in a variety of disciplines by continuously enhancing and refining AI solution generators. The successful and responsible use of AI solution generators will also be aided by addressing the bottlenecks and taking the ethical implications into account.

REFERENCES

- Zoph, Barret, and Quoc V. Le. "Neural architecture search with reinforcement learning." arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01578 (2016).
- [2] Liu, Chenxi, et al. "Progressive neural architecture search." Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). 2018.
- [3] Real, Esteban, et al. "Regularized evolution for image classifier architecture search." Proceedings of the aaai conference on artificial intelligence. Vol. 33. No. 01. 2019.
- [4] Goodfellow, Ian, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. Deep learning. MIT press, 2016.
- [5] Hutter, Frank, Lars Kotthoff, and Joaquin Vanschoren. Automated machine learning: methods, systems, challenges. Springer Nature, 2019.