

An Empirical Study on Logistics Management Practices and Performance of Organized Grocery Retail Firms in Andhra Pradesh

1)ABRAHAM GUTTULA

Research Scholar

Acharya Nagarjuna university

Guntur, A.P.,India

Assistant professor

Aditya Degree and PG College For Women Kakinada ,

2) Dr. J. REVATHY ,

Supervisor

PRINICIPAL,

V.R.S & Y.R.N COLLEGE,

CHIRALA, A.P., India

ABSTRACT

The organized grocery retail sector in Andhra Pradesh has witnessed remarkable structural transformation over the past decade, driven by rapid urbanization, changing consumer preferences, and intensifying competitive dynamics. Logistics management practices — encompassing procurement, warehousing, transportation, inventory control, cold chain operations, and last-mile delivery — have emerged as critical determinants of operational performance and sustainable competitive advantage. This empirical study investigates the relationship between logistics management practices and organizational performance among 142 organized grocery retail firms operating across six major districts of Andhra Pradesh. Data were collected through a structured questionnaire administered to logistics managers, store operations heads, and supply chain executives during 2023–2024. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was employed to test the hypothesized relationships. Findings reveal that warehouse management efficiency ($\beta = 0.48, p < 0.001$), last-mile delivery optimization ($\beta = 0.41, p < 0.001$), and cold chain integration ($\beta = 0.36, p < 0.01$) are the strongest predictors of retail performance. Technology adoption — particularly IoT-enabled tracking and AI-driven demand forecasting — moderated these relationships significantly. The study contributes a context-specific logistics performance framework for emerging market grocery retail and offers strategic implications for practitioners and policymakers aiming to strengthen the retail supply chain ecosystem in Andhra Pradesh.

Keywords: Logistics Management, Grocery Retail, Supply Chain Performance, Cold Chain, Last-Mile Delivery, Andhra Pradesh, Organized Retail, Structural Equation Modeling

1. Introduction

The Indian organized retail landscape has undergone a paradigm shift in the post-liberalization era, with grocery retail emerging as the single largest category accounting for over 60% of total retail spending. Andhra Pradesh, with a GDP exceeding ₹12.5 lakh crore in 2023–24 and a rapidly growing urban middle-class population, represents one of the most commercially vibrant retail markets in peninsular India. Cities such as Visakhapatnam, Vijayawada, Guntur, Tirupati, Kurnool, and Nellore have witnessed proliferation of organized grocery chains — both national chains like Reliance Fresh, Big Bazaar, and More Supermarket, and regional players such as Ratnadeep, Sri Srinivasa Stores, and Heritage Fresh.

Despite the sector's growth trajectory, organized grocery retailers in Andhra Pradesh face unique structural challenges that distinguish them from their counterparts in metropolitan markets. The geographical diversity of the state — spanning the Godavari delta, the Rayalaseema plateau, and the coastal plains — imposes distinctive logistics imperatives. Seasonal agricultural supply patterns, inadequate cold chain infrastructure in tier-2 and tier-3 towns, high perishability of the product mix (fresh produce constituting approximately 35–40% of grocery SKUs), and fragmented last-mile connectivity collectively complicate logistics operations (Mohan & Krishnamurthy, 2022; Reddy & Rao, 2023).

Logistics management, defined as the integrated process of planning, implementing, and controlling the efficient flow and storage of goods, services, and related information from the point of origin to the point of consumption, lies at the heart of retail competitiveness (Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals, 2023). For grocery retailers where margins are notoriously thin — typically 2–5% net profit — logistics efficiency is not merely an operational concern but a strategic imperative. Even marginal improvements in logistics costs (which constitute 12–18% of revenue for organized grocery firms) can translate into significant gains in profitability (Deloitte India, 2023).

Existing literature, while extensive in the context of Western markets and large Indian metros, offers limited empirical insights into the logistics-performance nexus for organized grocery retail in states like Andhra Pradesh that are at an intermediate stage of retail formalization. This study addresses this gap by empirically examining how specific logistics management dimensions — procurement optimization, warehouse management, cold chain integration, transportation efficiency, inventory control, and last-mile delivery — influence the operational and financial performance of organized grocery retail firms in Andhra Pradesh.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Logistics Management and Retail Performance: Conceptual Foundations

The relationship between logistics capability and retail performance has been theoretically grounded in the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Dynamic Capabilities Theory (Teece, Pisano & Shuen, 1997). Logistics capability — encompassing physical infrastructure, process competencies, and information systems — constitutes

a strategic resource that is valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable, thereby conferring sustainable competitive advantage. Bowersox, Closs & Cooper (2002) established the foundational framework linking logistics service quality to customer satisfaction and financial performance, a framework subsequently validated across multiple retail contexts.

In the Indian context, Sahay & Mohan (2003) were among the first to document the strategic importance of logistics for retail competitiveness, noting that Indian firms lagged significantly in logistics capabilities compared to global benchmarks. More recent work by Chandra & Jain (2020) demonstrated that logistics efficiency explained approximately 34% of variance in retail profitability across a sample of 320 organized retailers across India, underscoring its primacy as a performance driver.

2.2 Cold Chain Management in Grocery Retail

Cold chain management represents a particularly critical logistics dimension for grocery retailers given the high proportion of fresh, chilled, and frozen SKUs. Kumar & Singh (2021) documented that cold chain disruptions in Indian grocery retail result in post-harvest losses of 15–25%, representing a substantial drag on both profitability and food security. Their study of 215 grocery retailers across four Southern Indian states found that retailers with end-to-end cold chain integration reported 22% lower wastage rates and 18% higher gross margins on fresh categories.

Sharma, Verma & Patel (2022) conducted a comprehensive assessment of cold chain maturity in organized Indian grocery retail, identifying five dimensions of cold chain performance: temperature compliance, cold storage capacity utilization, reefer fleet management, monitoring technology deployment, and supplier cold chain integration. Their findings revealed that technology-enabled temperature monitoring had the strongest marginal effect on cold chain performance outcomes. In Andhra Pradesh specifically, Naidu & Krishnaswamy (2023) observed that while large national chains had achieved adequate cold chain integration, regional players operated with significant cold chain gaps, particularly in secondary cities.

2.3 Warehouse Management Systems and Retail Operations

Warehouse management constitutes the operational backbone of retail logistics, influencing order fulfilment speed, inventory accuracy, and labour productivity. Frazelle (2002) established the canonical framework for warehouse performance measurement, encompassing throughput, storage density, order accuracy, and cost per unit handled. Subsequent empirical work by Gu, Goetschalckx & McGinnis (2010) demonstrated that warehouse layout optimization alone could improve throughput by 15–20% without additional capital investment.

In the Indian retail context, Goswami & Mishra (2021) examined warehouse management practices among 180 grocery retailers in South India and found that adoption of Warehouse Management Systems (WMS) was associated with 28% reduction in order processing time and 35% improvement in inventory accuracy. However, their study also documented a significant technology adoption gap among smaller regional retailers, who relied predominantly on manual systems. Recent work by Banerjee & Roy (2023) highlighted that cloud-based WMS adoption among

mid-sized Indian grocery retailers accelerated significantly post-pandemic, driven by e-commerce integration requirements.

2.4 Last-Mile Delivery in Urban and Semi-Urban Grocery Retail

Last-mile delivery — the final leg of the supply chain connecting the distribution centre to the end customer — has emerged as both the most expensive (accounting for 40–53% of total logistics costs) and most strategically differentiated component of grocery retail logistics (Savelsbergh & Van Woensel, 2016). The rapid growth of quick-commerce (q-commerce) models promising 10–30 minute delivery has dramatically elevated consumer expectations around last-mile speed, even for traditional grocery formats.

Mehta & Sharma (2022) examined last-mile delivery efficiency among organized grocery retailers in Tier-1 and Tier-2 Indian cities, finding that route optimization technologies reduced delivery costs by 18–24% and improved on-time delivery rates from 76% to 92%. Their study of Andhra Pradesh specifically noted that Visakhapatnam and Vijayawada had seen significant uptake of hyperlocal delivery models among organized chains, while cities like Kurnool and Nellore lagged due to lower digital penetration and infrastructure constraints.

2.5 Technology Integration in Grocery Logistics

The digitalization of logistics operations — encompassing Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems, IoT-enabled asset tracking, AI-driven demand forecasting, and blockchain-based supply chain transparency — has fundamentally altered the logistics performance frontier for grocery retailers (Azadegan & Teich, 2010; Xu, Lu & Li, 2021). Rajput & Garg (2023) found that AI-based demand forecasting reduced inventory holding costs by 14–19% among organized Indian grocery retailers, primarily through reduction of overstock and stockout events.

Pillai & Menon (2024) conducted a systematic review of technology adoption in Indian grocery supply chains, documenting significant heterogeneity in adoption patterns across firm size, geography, and ownership type. Their meta-analysis of 67 studies confirmed that technology adoption had a significant positive effect on logistics performance (effect size $d = 0.72$), with inventory management technologies showing the strongest impact.

3. Research Framework and Hypotheses

Based on the synthesis of extant literature, this study proposes a logistics performance framework adapted to the organized grocery retail context of Andhra Pradesh. The framework conceptualizes six logistics management dimensions as independent variables, retail performance as the dependent variable (operationalized across financial performance, operational efficiency, and customer service quality), and technology adoption as a moderating variable.

The following hypotheses are tested:

H1: Procurement and sourcing efficiency has a significant positive effect on organized grocery retail performance in Andhra Pradesh.

H2: Warehouse management efficiency has a significant positive effect on retail performance.

H3: Cold chain integration quality has a significant positive effect on retail performance.

H4: Transportation and fleet management efficiency has a significant positive effect on retail performance.

H5: Inventory management practices have a significant positive effect on retail performance.

H6: Last-mile delivery optimization has a significant positive effect on retail performance.

H7: Technology adoption moderates the relationship between logistics management practices and retail performance, such that the positive effect is stronger for firms with higher technology adoption.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design and Sample

This study adopts a positivist, explanatory research design employing a cross-sectional survey approach. The target population comprised organized grocery retail firms — defined as retailers operating at least three outlets with formal supply chain and logistics structures — in Andhra Pradesh. A stratified random sampling strategy was employed, with strata defined by firm size (large: annual revenue > ₹50 crore; medium: ₹10–50 crore; small: < ₹10 crore) and geographic region (coastal Andhra, Rayalaseema, and north Andhra).

From a sampling frame of approximately 380 firms identified through the Andhra Pradesh Retailers' Association, State Industries Directory, and field enumeration, 180 firms were selected. Of these, 142 returned complete and usable questionnaires, yielding a response rate of 78.9%, considered adequate for SEM analysis (Hair et al., 2019). Data collection was conducted between October 2023 and March 2024 through structured questionnaires administered to senior logistics or supply chain executives, supplemented by face-to-face interviews with 35 respondents.

Table 1: Sample Profile of Surveyed Grocery Retail Firms (n = 142)

Category	Sub-Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Firm Size	Large (Revenue > ₹50 Cr)	38	26.8
	Medium (₹10–50 Cr)	67	47.2
	Small (< ₹10 Cr)	37	26.1
Region	Coastal Andhra	68	47.9
	Rayalaseema	42	29.6
	North Andhra	32	22.5
Ownership	National Chain	41	28.9
	Regional Chain	58	40.8
	Independent (≥ 3 outlets)	43	30.3

Years of Operation	< 5 years	22	15.5
	5–10 years	61	43.0
	> 10 years	59	41.5

4.2 Measurement Instrument

The questionnaire comprised 68 items across eight constructs: six logistics management dimensions (Procurement Efficiency, Warehouse Management, Cold Chain Integration, Transportation Efficiency, Inventory Management, and Last-Mile Delivery), Technology Adoption (moderator), and Retail Performance (dependent variable). All items were measured on a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree), with scale items adapted from validated instruments in logistics and retail management research. Retail Performance was measured through a composite index incorporating self-reported financial performance (sales growth, gross margin), operational efficiency (order fill rate, inventory turnover), and customer service quality (on-time delivery rate, complaint resolution rate).

4.3 Analytical Methods

Data analysis proceeded in two stages. First, descriptive statistics and reliability analysis (Cronbach's alpha) were computed using SPSS 27.0. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) was conducted in AMOS 24.0 to assess construct validity, evaluating convergent validity (Average Variance Extracted > 0.50, Composite Reliability > 0.70) and discriminant validity (Fornell-Larcker criterion). Second, Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was employed to test the hypothesized relationships, with technology adoption incorporated as a moderator via multi-group analysis. Model fit was evaluated using CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and SRMR indices.

5. Results and Analysis

5.1 Descriptive Statistics and Reliability

Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics and reliability coefficients for all constructs. Cronbach's alpha values ranged from 0.79 (Transportation Efficiency) to 0.91 (Warehouse Management), all exceeding the threshold of 0.70 recommended by Hair et al. (2019). Mean scores indicate that respondents rated Procurement Efficiency and Inventory Management relatively higher ($M = 3.72$ and 3.65 respectively), while Cold Chain Integration received the lowest mean rating ($M = 3.11$), suggesting this dimension remains the most underdeveloped aspect of logistics management among surveyed firms.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics, Reliability, and Construct Validity

Construct	Items	Mean	SD	α	CR	AVE
Procurement Efficiency (PE)	8	3.72	0.68	0.86	0.88	0.55
Warehouse Management (WM)	10	3.58	0.71	0.91	0.92	0.61

Cold Chain Integration (CC)	9	3.11	0.84	0.88	0.89	0.58
Transportation Efficiency (TE)	7	3.47	0.73	0.79	0.82	0.53
Inventory Management (IM)	8	3.65	0.66	0.84	0.86	0.56
Last-Mile Delivery (LM)	9	3.39	0.77	0.87	0.89	0.57
Technology Adoption (TA)	8	3.28	0.79	0.85	0.87	0.55
Retail Performance (RP)	9	3.51	0.69	0.89	0.91	0.59

5.2 Model Fit and Structural Results

The CFA model demonstrated adequate fit: CFI = 0.953, TLI = 0.948, RMSEA = 0.061 (90% CI: 0.051–0.071), SRMR = 0.062. These indices confirm acceptable model fit per established benchmarks (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Hair et al., 2019). All factor loadings were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) and exceeded 0.55, supporting convergent validity. The SEM results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Structural Equation Modeling Results — Path Coefficients

Hypothesis	Path	β	SE	t-value	Result
H1	PE → Retail Performance	0.28	0.07	3.91***	Supported
H2	WM → Retail Performance	0.48	0.06	7.82***	Supported
H3	CC → Retail Performance	0.36	0.08	4.53***	Supported
H4	TE → Retail Performance	0.22	0.08	2.74**	Supported
H5	IM → Retail Performance	0.31	0.07	4.38***	Supported
H6	LM → Retail Performance	0.41	0.07	5.97***	Supported
H7	TA moderates logistics → RP	0.24	0.09	2.66**	Supported

Note: *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; β = standardized path coefficient; SE = standard error.

5.3 Moderating Effect of Technology Adoption

Multi-group SEM analysis revealed that technology adoption significantly strengthened the relationship between logistics management practices and retail performance. For high technology adopters (above median TA score), the path coefficient for Warehouse Management → Retail Performance increased from $\beta = 0.38$ (low adopters) to $\beta = 0.61$ (high adopters), a difference confirmed significant through chi-square difference testing ($\Delta\chi^2 = 14.3$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, the Last-Mile Delivery → Retail Performance path showed a moderation effect of comparable magnitude (low adopters: $\beta = 0.29$; high adopters: $\beta = 0.54$).

These results underscore the complementary nature of logistics capabilities and digital technologies — the performance value of logistics investments is substantially amplified when firms also invest in enabling technologies such as WMS, route optimization software, demand forecasting algorithms, and IoT-based tracking systems.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study offer rich empirical insights into the logistics-performance relationship in the organized grocery retail context of Andhra Pradesh. The primacy of warehouse management efficiency ($\beta = 0.48$) as the strongest predictor of retail performance is consistent with prior work by Goswami & Mishra (2021) and reinforces the centrality of distribution centre operations in grocery retail logistics. For Andhra Pradesh-based retailers whose supply chains must accommodate significant seasonal agricultural produce volumes — particularly during Krishna and Godavari delta harvests — warehouse flexibility and throughput capacity are especially critical.

The strong effect of last-mile delivery optimization ($\beta = 0.41$) reflects the rapidly evolving consumer expectations landscape in Andhra Pradesh's urban markets. Visakhapatnam and Vijayawada, with growing e-commerce adoption rates and younger demographic profiles, are witnessing increasing demand for home delivery of groceries. Organized retailers that have invested in route optimization and fleet management technologies have achieved meaningful differentiation on this dimension, consistent with findings by Mehta & Sharma (2022).

Cold chain integration, while showing a significant positive effect ($\beta = 0.36$), exhibited the lowest baseline performance scores among surveyed firms ($M = 3.11$), indicating a substantial improvement opportunity. This finding aligns with the documented cold chain infrastructure gaps in non-metropolitan Andhra Pradesh (Naidu & Krishnaswamy, 2023) and has direct implications for both profitability (through wastage reduction) and public health (through food safety assurance). Firms in Rayalaseema reported particularly low cold chain performance scores, reflecting the region's relatively underdeveloped cold storage and reefer transport infrastructure.

The significant moderating effect of technology adoption (H7 supported) has important strategic implications. It suggests that logistics investments yield higher performance returns when accompanied by enabling digital capabilities. However, given the documented technology adoption heterogeneity — national chains averaging significantly higher TA scores ($M = 4.12$) than regional ($M = 3.21$) and independent firms ($M = 2.74$) — smaller regional players face a compounding disadvantage: weaker logistics capabilities amplified by lower technology deployment. Policy interventions targeting this segment could yield disproportionately large supply chain efficiency gains for the sector as a whole.

7. Practical and Policy Implications

The findings yield several actionable implications for grocery retail managers and policymakers in Andhra Pradesh. First, firms should prioritize warehouse management modernization as the highest-return logistics investment, focusing on WMS adoption, layout optimization, and skilled workforce development. The relatively high variance in WMS scores suggests significant performance gains are achievable through targeted interventions.

Second, cold chain development should be treated as a sector-wide priority, given its performance impact and current underdevelopment, particularly in Rayalaseema. The Government of Andhra Pradesh's Agricultural Processing and Food Technology Policy (2022–2027) provides a framework for cold chain infrastructure

development; industry associations should leverage these policy instruments to support regional grocery retailers in closing the cold chain capability gap.

Third, last-mile delivery capability building should be a strategic priority for retailers in Visakhapatnam, Vijayawada, and other cities experiencing rapid urbanization and digital adoption. Partnerships with third-party logistics (3PL) providers, micro-fulfillment centres, and hyperlocal delivery platforms offer viable pathways for smaller retailers to achieve last-mile efficiency without commensurate capital investment.

Fourth, technology adoption — particularly cloud-based WMS, demand forecasting tools, and IoT-enabled tracking — should be actively supported through subsidized access programs, training initiatives, and public-private partnerships. Given the significant moderating effect of technology adoption on logistics-performance relationships, bridging the technology adoption gap between large and small retailers is essential for sector-wide performance improvement.

8. Limitations and Future Research Directions

This study is subject to several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the cross-sectional design precludes causal inference — longitudinal designs tracking the same firms over time would provide stronger evidence of the logistics-performance causal pathway. Second, reliance on self-reported data introduces the risk of common method bias, partially mitigated through procedural remedies (Harman's single factor test confirmed no dominant factor accounting for > 25% of variance) but not fully eliminable. Third, the sample, while adequate for SEM, focused exclusively on organized retail firms and excluded the semi-organized and unorganized sectors, limiting generalizability.

Future research should examine the logistics-performance relationship longitudinally, explore specific firm-level logistics innovation strategies, and extend the framework to include sustainability dimensions — green logistics practices are increasingly important to grocery retailers facing regulatory pressure and consumer demand for environmentally responsible supply chains. Comparative studies across southern Indian states would also help contextualize Andhra Pradesh-specific findings within the broader regional retail landscape.

9. Conclusion

This empirical study provides systematic evidence on the logistics management-performance relationship among organized grocery retail firms in Andhra Pradesh. All six hypothesized logistics dimensions — procurement efficiency, warehouse management, cold chain integration, transportation efficiency, inventory management, and last-mile delivery — demonstrate significant positive effects on retail performance, with warehouse management and last-mile delivery emerging as the most powerful predictors. Technology adoption significantly moderates these relationships, amplifying the performance returns from logistics capability investments.

These findings underscore the strategic centrality of logistics management as a source of competitive advantage in organized grocery retail and highlight specific performance improvement levers available to firms operating in Andhra Pradesh's diverse and dynamically evolving retail market. As the state continues its economic ascent — driven by infrastructure development, urbanization, and rising consumer incomes — the ability of organized grocery retailers to build robust, technology-enabled logistics capabilities will be a defining determinant of their long-term competitiveness and contribution to the state's food retail ecosystem.

References

- Azadegan, A., & Teich, J. (2010). Effective benchmarking of innovation adoptions: A theoretical framework for e-procurement technologies. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 17(4), 472–490.
- Banerjee, S., & Roy, P. (2023). Cloud-based warehouse management systems and their adoption dynamics among mid-sized Indian grocery retailers. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 51(3), 342–361.
- Bowersox, D. J., Closs, D. J., & Cooper, M. B. (2002). *Supply chain logistics management*. McGraw-Hill.
- Chandra, P., & Jain, R. (2020). Logistics capability and retail profitability in India: An empirical study. *Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers*, 45(2), 78–94.
- Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals. (2023). *CSCMP supply chain management definitions and glossary*. CSCMP.
- Deloitte India. (2023). *Indian retail: Navigating supply chain complexity*. Deloitte Insights Report.
- Frazelle, E. H. (2002). *World-class warehousing and material handling*. McGraw-Hill.
- Goswami, M., & Mishra, S. (2021). Warehouse management practices and operational performance in South Indian grocery retail. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 57(1), 44–61.
- Gu, J., Goetschalckx, M., & McGinnis, L. F. (2010). Research on warehouse design and performance evaluation: A comprehensive review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 203(3), 539–549.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6(1), 1–55.
- Kumar, A., & Singh, R. (2021). Cold chain management and food loss in Indian grocery retail: Evidence from southern states. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 34(2), 115–128.
- Mehta, R., & Sharma, V. (2022). Last-mile delivery efficiency and customer satisfaction in Indian grocery retail: A study of Tier-1 and Tier-2 cities. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 25(6), 849–867.
- Mohan, S., & Krishnamurthy, R. (2022). Supply chain challenges in organized grocery retail: Evidence from Andhra Pradesh and Telangana. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 29(1), 55–79.
- Naidu, P., & Krishnaswamy, G. (2023). Cold chain infrastructure and organized grocery retail performance in Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, 13(4), 512–530.
- Pillai, M., & Menon, A. (2024). Technology adoption in Indian grocery supply chains: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 29(1), 18–41.
- Rajput, S., & Garg, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence in demand forecasting: Evidence from organized grocery retailers in India. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 44(2), 210–229.
- Reddy, K. S., & Rao, V. (2023). Organised grocery retail and logistics infrastructure in coastal Andhra Pradesh: An exploratory analysis. *Indian Journal of Marketing*, 53(5), 26–41.
- Sahay, B. S., & Mohan, R. (2003). 3PL practices: An Indian perspective. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 33(3), 231–254.
- Savelsbergh, M., & Van Woensel, T. (2016). 50th anniversary invited article — city logistics: Challenges and opportunities. *Transportation Science*, 50(2), 579–590.
- Sharma, R., Verma, P., & Patel, A. (2022). Cold chain maturity assessment in Indian organized grocery retail: A multi-dimensional framework. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 25(3), 415–432.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
- Xu, L., Lu, H., & Li, X. (2021). IoT-enabled supply chain management: A systematic review. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 151, 102409.