

An Investigation into How Corruption Affects Foreign Direct Investment in India

1. **AILA VINEETH GOUD** (24UJ1E0065), Student of 2nd Year MBA,
Malla Reddy Engineering College and Management Sciences, Medchal, Hyderabad, Telangana – 501 401.

2. **Y MAHESHWARI**, Assistant Professor Dept of MBA,
Malla Reddy Engineering College and Management Sciences, Medchal, Hyderabad – 501 401.

Abstract

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) plays a vital role in promoting economic growth, industrial development, employment generation, and technology transfer in India. This study investigates how corruption influences Foreign Direct Investment inflows by examining investor confidence, governance quality, transparency, and business operations. A descriptive research design was adopted using primary and secondary data collected from 100 respondents through a structured questionnaire. The original project employed **Percentage Analysis** and **Tabular Analysis** for interpreting the collected information. The findings indicate that corruption negatively influences investor confidence, increases transaction costs, reduces transparency, and adversely affects India's attractiveness as a destination for foreign investment.

Keywords: Foreign Direct Investment, Corruption, Governance, Transparency, Investor Confidence

1. Introduction

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has become one of the most significant drivers of economic development in the modern global economy. It facilitates the movement of capital, technology, managerial expertise, and business practices across national boundaries, thereby contributing to industrial development, employment generation, infrastructure expansion, productivity enhancement, and sustainable economic growth. Developing countries actively compete to attract Foreign Direct Investment because it supplements domestic capital, strengthens industrial competitiveness, promotes innovation, and enhances international economic integration. India has emerged as one of the leading destinations for global investment due to its expanding consumer market, skilled workforce, economic reforms, technological capabilities, and favourable long-term growth prospects.

The economic liberalization reforms introduced in 1991 significantly transformed India's investment environment by reducing regulatory restrictions and encouraging foreign participation across multiple sectors of the economy. Since then, Foreign Direct Investment has contributed substantially to manufacturing, infrastructure development, information technology, telecommunications, pharmaceuticals, financial services, retail, and other strategic industries. Apart from providing financial resources, FDI facilitates technology transfer, modern management practices, global production networks, export promotion, and knowledge sharing, thereby strengthening India's international competitiveness and economic performance.

Despite these advantages, several institutional, political, legal, and administrative factors influence investment decisions made by multinational corporations. Investors evaluate market size, economic stability, regulatory quality, infrastructure, taxation policies, labour availability, legal protection, governance standards, and political conditions before committing long-term investments. Among these determinants, corruption has emerged as one of the most significant challenges affecting investor confidence and business operations. Corruption increases uncertainty, creates administrative delays, raises transaction costs, reduces transparency, weakens institutional effectiveness, and negatively influences the overall business environment. Such conditions may discourage foreign investors from expanding existing operations or initiating new investment projects.

Corruption generally refers to the misuse of public authority or official position for private benefit through practices such as bribery, fraud, favouritism, abuse of power, embezzlement, and unethical administrative behaviour. These practices distort market competition, reduce administrative efficiency, weaken institutional credibility, and create

unpredictable regulatory environments. Foreign investors generally prefer countries possessing transparent governance systems, effective legal frameworks, efficient public administration, and stable regulatory institutions because these conditions reduce operational risks and improve investment security. Consequently, countries maintaining stronger governance standards generally succeed in attracting higher levels of Foreign Direct Investment.

Recognizing these challenges, India has implemented several governance reforms, digital administration initiatives, regulatory improvements, online approval systems, direct benefit transfer mechanisms, and anti-corruption measures to strengthen transparency and improve the ease of doing business. These initiatives aim to reduce bureaucratic inefficiencies, enhance accountability, improve service delivery, and create a more favourable investment climate. International indicators relating to governance quality and corruption perception also influence investor perceptions regarding investment destinations. Therefore, improving governance standards remains an important policy objective for sustaining long-term foreign investment inflows.

The present study investigates how corruption affects Foreign Direct Investment inflows in India by examining investor perceptions regarding governance quality, transparency, accountability, administrative efficiency, business operations, and anti-corruption initiatives. It also evaluates whether improvements in governance contribute to strengthening investor confidence and increasing Foreign Direct Investment. The findings provide useful insights for policymakers, investors, researchers, academicians, and business organizations interested in promoting transparent governance, improving the investment climate, and supporting sustainable economic development in India.

2. Literature Review

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) is widely recognized as an important contributor to economic growth, industrial development, employment generation, technology transfer, and infrastructure expansion. Numerous studies have examined the institutional, political, and economic factors influencing Foreign Direct Investment inflows, with corruption emerging as one of the most significant determinants affecting investor confidence and investment decisions. Previous research consistently indicates that transparent governance, administrative efficiency, accountability, and strong institutional frameworks encourage foreign investment, whereas corruption increases uncertainty, transaction costs, and operational risks.

Mauro (1995) conducted one of the earliest studies examining the relationship between corruption and economic growth. The study concluded that corruption reduces investment, weakens institutional efficiency, and slows economic development. Countries experiencing higher corruption levels generally attract lower investment because investors perceive greater uncertainty and increased operational costs. Wei (2000) analysed the impact of corruption on Foreign Direct Investment across several countries and reported that multinational corporations prefer investment destinations with transparent governance systems and lower corruption levels. The study emphasized that corruption significantly increases transaction costs and discourages long-term foreign investment.

Habib and Zurawicki (2002) investigated the relationship between corruption and Foreign Direct Investment inflows and concluded that higher corruption reduces investor confidence and decreases the attractiveness of investment destinations. Lambsdorff (2003) explained that corruption distorts market competition, weakens institutional efficiency, and negatively affects business operations. The study recommended strengthening governance systems and regulatory transparency to improve international investment competitiveness. Asiedu (2006) examined Foreign Direct Investment determinants in developing economies and identified governance quality, political stability, and institutional effectiveness as major factors influencing investment decisions. The findings indicated that corruption increases business uncertainty and discourages international investors.

Pradhan and Kumar (2009) analysed factors influencing Foreign Direct Investment inflows into emerging economies and concluded that governance quality plays a significant role in attracting foreign capital. Mitra and Sharma (2015) reported that anti-corruption initiatives and digital governance reforms improve transparency and investor confidence. Verma and Gupta (2018) observed that countries maintaining effective governance, accountability, and transparent administrative systems attract higher levels of Foreign Direct Investment. Rao and Reddy (2021) found that corruption increases compliance costs, delays administrative procedures, and weakens investor confidence. Kiran and Prasad (2024) concluded that digital governance, institutional reforms, accountability, and transparency significantly strengthen India's attractiveness as a destination for Foreign Direct Investment. Overall, previous studies consistently demonstrate that

reducing corruption and improving governance are essential for sustaining long-term Foreign Direct Investment inflows and economic development.

3. Research Objectives

1. To investigate how corruption affects Foreign Direct Investment inflows in India.
2. To examine the relationship between governance quality and Foreign Direct Investment.
3. To analyse the influence of corruption on investor confidence and business operations.
4. To evaluate the effectiveness of government initiatives aimed at reducing corruption and improving transparency.
5. To suggest suitable measures for strengthening governance and increasing Foreign Direct Investment inflows in India.

4. Research Methodology

The study adopted a **descriptive research design** to investigate the impact of corruption on Foreign Direct Investment inflows in India. Both **primary and secondary data** were utilized for the investigation. Primary information was collected through a structured questionnaire administered to respondents possessing knowledge of governance, corruption, economic development, and Foreign Direct Investment. Secondary information was obtained from government reports, economic surveys, research journals, books, academic publications, articles, official websites, and published reports relating to corruption and Foreign Direct Investment. Convenience sampling was employed for selecting respondents, and the final sample consisted of **100 respondents** representing academicians, professionals, researchers, students, and individuals interested in economic development. The original project employed **Percentage Analysis** and **Tabular Analysis** as the statistical techniques for interpreting the collected information. Respondents' opinions regarding corruption, transparency, governance quality, accountability, investor confidence, administrative efficiency, and Foreign Direct Investment were systematically classified, tabulated, analysed, and interpreted according to the research objectives.

5. Data Analysis

Statistical Tools Used: Percentage Analysis and Tabular Analysis

Table 1. Awareness of Corruption Issues Affecting Foreign Direct Investment

Awareness Level	Respondents	Percentage (%)
Extremely Aware	31	31
Aware	39	39
Neutral	14	14
Unaware	10	10
Extremely Unaware	6	6
Total	100	100

Interpretation

The findings indicate that **70%** of respondents are either aware or extremely aware of the influence of corruption on Foreign Direct Investment. The results demonstrate that respondents clearly recognize the economic consequences of corruption and its impact on investor confidence and investment decisions.

Table 2. Impact of Corruption on Foreign Direct Investment Inflows

Response	Respondents	Percentage (%)
Strongly Agree	35	35
Agree	37	37
Neutral	12	12
Disagree	10	10
Strongly Disagree	6	6
Total	100	100

Interpretation

A combined **72%** of respondents agree that corruption adversely affects Foreign Direct Investment inflows into India. The findings indicate that corruption reduces investor confidence, increases business uncertainty, raises transaction costs, and negatively influences India's investment attractiveness.

Table 3. Transparency and Investor Confidence

Response	Respondents	Percentage (%)
Very High	34	34
High	38	38
Moderate	12	12
Low	10	10
Very Low	6	6
Total	100	100

Interpretation

The analysis reveals that **72%** of respondents believe transparency significantly strengthens investor confidence. Transparent governance, efficient administrative procedures, and accountable public institutions are viewed as essential factors for attracting higher Foreign Direct Investment inflows into India.

6. Results

- The majority of respondents possess adequate awareness regarding the impact of corruption on Foreign Direct Investment.
- Most respondents believe that corruption discourages foreign investors from making long-term investments.
- Corruption is perceived to increase business uncertainty and operational costs.
- Transparency is considered an important factor in strengthening investor confidence.
- Good governance positively influences India's attractiveness as an investment destination.
- Administrative efficiency significantly contributes to attracting Foreign Direct Investment.
- Digital governance initiatives are viewed as effective in reducing corruption and improving transparency.
- Anti-corruption measures have strengthened investor confidence in India's investment environment.
- Respondents believe that accountability and transparent regulatory systems support sustainable economic development.
- Overall, reducing corruption and improving governance are considered essential for increasing Foreign Direct Investment inflows and strengthening India's long-term economic growth.

7. Suggestions

- Strengthen anti-corruption policies by ensuring strict implementation of laws, transparent administrative procedures, and effective monitoring mechanisms.
- Expand digital governance initiatives to reduce manual intervention, improve service delivery, and enhance transparency in government operations.
- Simplify regulatory procedures and approval systems to reduce administrative delays and improve the ease of doing business for foreign investors.
- Enhance accountability within public institutions through regular audits, performance evaluation, and stronger institutional oversight.
- Improve coordination among government departments to ensure timely approvals and efficient implementation of investment policies.
- Encourage ethical governance practices by promoting integrity, transparency, and responsible decision-making across public institutions.
- Increase awareness programmes on anti-corruption measures and corporate governance to strengthen public confidence and investor trust.

- Continue implementing business-friendly reforms that enhance regulatory stability, policy consistency, and investment security.
- Strengthen grievance redressal mechanisms to address investor concerns promptly and improve confidence in public administration.
- Periodically evaluate governance reforms and anti-corruption initiatives to ensure continuous improvement in transparency, administrative efficiency, and Foreign Direct Investment inflows.

8. Conclusion

Foreign Direct Investment plays an important role in promoting economic growth, industrial development, employment generation, technology transfer, and global competitiveness in India. The study indicates that corruption remains a significant factor influencing investor confidence, business operations, and investment decisions. Respondents generally believe that corruption increases uncertainty, operational costs, and administrative complexity while reducing the attractiveness of India as a destination for Foreign Direct Investment. The findings further demonstrate that transparency, accountability, effective governance, and administrative efficiency positively influence investor confidence and contribute to higher Foreign Direct Investment inflows. Government initiatives promoting digital governance, regulatory reforms, and anti-corruption measures have strengthened the investment climate by improving transparency and reducing administrative inefficiencies. Continuous improvement in governance quality, institutional effectiveness, and policy implementation will further enhance India's global investment attractiveness. Overall, reducing corruption and strengthening transparent governance remain essential for sustaining long-term Foreign Direct Investment and supporting inclusive economic development.

References

1. Asiedu, E. (2006). Foreign direct investment in Africa: The role of natural resources, market size, government policy, institutions and political instability. *World Economy*, 29(1), 63–77.
2. Habib, M., & Zurawicki, L. (2002). Corruption and foreign direct investment. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 33(2), 291–307.
3. Lambsdorff, J. G. (2003). How corruption affects productivity. *Kyklos*, 56(4), 457–474.
4. Mauro, P. (1995). Corruption and growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(3), 681–712.
5. Wei, S. J. (2000). How taxing is corruption on international investors? *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 82(1), 1–11.
6. Kiran, P., & Prasad, R. (2024). Governance reforms and foreign direct investment in emerging economies. *International Journal of Economic Policy and Development*, 12(1), 28–42.