

ANALYTICAL STUDY ON VARIOUS BRACING SYSTEM FOR TRANSMISSION TOWER Ms. Monisha R¹, Mrs. Usha K N²

¹Student, Master of technology, Department of Civil Engineering, East West Institute of Technology, Bangalore. ²Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, East West Institute of Technology, Bangalore.

Abstract- Transmission line towers and monopoles. Transmission line towers and monopoles are often used for high steel structures used for electric transmission. The transmission line tower or monopole should be carefully planned so that they won't fail over their whole lifespan and should be followed both nationally and internationally. This paper describes the transmission tower for different spans, such as 3m, 5m, 7m, and 9m, and with 3 types of bracing systems (K, X, and K & X). Comparing each brace with each other and observing the displacement of each tower to bring out the best self-supporting and most economical transmission tower based on the displacement values. The results show that displacement was observed to be high in K-bracing and low in K & X bracing combined.

Keywords. Transmission tower, K-bracing, X-bracing, K & X bracing.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Electric force utilization has become more and more necessary in every country, with developing countries showing a greater pace of interest. Transmission tower lines are one of the most significant life-line infrastructure projects. Transmission towers are necessary for flexible power distribution across the nation's many regions. Due to this, the organization of intensity stations has changed, and as a result, there are now more power transmission lines connecting the various producing stations with the many regions where it is needed. Interconnections between frameworks are also growing in order to increase consistency and economy. Transmission line should be steady and painstakingly structured with the goal that they don't fall flat during catastrophic event. It ought to likewise comply with the national and worldwide norm. In the arranging and plan of a transmission line, various prerequisites must be met from both auxiliary and electrical perspective.

From the electrical perspective, the most significant necessity is protection and safe clearances of the force conveying conveyors from the ground. The cross-section of the transmitters, the spacing between conduits, and the location of the ground wires in relation to the channels will all influence the design of the towers and installations. Transmission line components such as conduits, ground wires, protection, towers, and institutions are crucial. Transmission lines are frequently built for wind. One proceeds with a research of the powers in various people with the aim of mending their sizes once the external burdens following the peak are resolved. Because the major power source for a bracket component is the hub, the part should be designed for either pressure or strain. Certain persons may be subjected to both compressive and tractable powers under diverse stacking configurations when there are many heap conditions. Inversion of burdens may likewise actuate exchange nature of powers; thus these individuals are to be intended for both pressure and tension. The complete power following up on any individual part under typical

stract- Transmission line towers and monopoles. Insmission line towers and monopoles are often used for the steel structures used for electric transmission. The

2. MODELLING & ANALYSIS:

In the present study, 12 models (A, 1A, 2A, B, 1B, 2B, C, 1C, 2C, D, 1D & 2D) are considered which are created using STADD Pro software, where in each models have different bracing systems Model A- (K Bracing with 3m base width), Model 1A- (X Bracing with 3m base width), Model 2A- (Combination of X&K Bracings with 3m base width), Model B- (K bracing with 5m width), Model 1B- (X bracing with 5m base width), Model 2B- (Combination of X&K with 5m base width), similarly Model C&D with 7&9 base with respectively. Height of each model is 34m.

I P	roperties - V	Vhole Struc	ture		\times		
Sect	ion Beta An	gle					
Ref	Section		Material				
1 2	ISA100×100 ISA60×60×1	00×100×15 0×60×10 SD					
3 4 5	ISA/5X/5X1 ISA120X120	X15	STEEL				
67	ISA120X120 ISA45X45X6	A120X120X10 STEEL A120X120X10 STEEL A45X45X6 STEEL					
	lighlight Assig	ned Geometr	ry -				
		E	Edit		Delete		
	Values		Section Database		Define		
	Materials		Thickness		User Table		
As	Assignment Method						
O Assign To Selected Beams O Use Cursor To Assign							
O Assign To Edit List O Assign To View							
		Assian	Clos	•	Help		
		Assign	Clos	C	help		

Material Properties

Loads Applied

D De	finitions
L Lo	ad Cases Details
+ · · · L	1 : RELIABILTY
+ L	2 : SECURITY GW BROKEN
+	3 : SECURITY TOP COND BROKEN
+ ··· I.	4 : SECURITY MID CONDUCTOR BROKEN
+ L	5 : SECURITY BOTTOM CONDUCTOR BROKEN
+ 1	6 : SAFETY NORMAL
+ IL	7 : SAFETY GW BROKEN
+ 1	8 : SAFETY TOP COND BROKEN
+ 1	9 : SAFETY MID COND BROKEN
+	10 : SAFETY BOTTOM COND BROKEN
L Lo	ad Envelopes



Step by Step Procedure for Analysis of Transmission Tower

- 1. Steel Structure (Transmission Tower) is Modelled in STAAD Pro.
- 2. Material Properties & Loads are assigned to the models.
- 3. Models are analysed and 3 different zones i.e zone 2, 3, 4 & 5.
- 4. Results are extracted from each model (i.e Displacement, Base Shear & Bending moment).



Bending Moment



Shear Force



Displacement

L



3. RESULTS:

Maximum Displacement in the X Direction.

Type of	Displacement in X- direction				
bracing	3m	5m	7m	9m	
K- type bracing	68.852	48.585	31.122	26.04	
X- type bracing	64.934	37.733	30.304	24.901	
K & X type bracing	65.32	37.582	30.375	25.181	

Table 1 Displacement in X-direction



Figure: Displacement in X-direction

The results for 3m span displacement in X-direction were obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing. They were observed to be reduced by 5% compared to that of K-bracing, and comparing X-bracing with K & X bracing, there was an increase of 1% compared to X-bracing.

Maximum Displacement in the Z Direction.

Type of	Displacement in Z- direction				
bracing	3m	5m	7m	9m	
K- type bracing	27.937	10.441	17.106	14.982	
X- type bracing	23.48	16.277	14.335	12.87	
K & X type bracing	24.499	17.573	16.066	14.956	

Table 2 Displacement Z-direction



Figure: Displacement in Z-direction

The results for 3m span displacement in Z-direction were obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing. They were observed to be reduced by 14% compared to that of K-bracing, and comparing X-bracing with K & X bracing, there was an increase of 4.2% compared to X-bracing.



Volume: 06 Issue: 09 | September - 2022

ISSN: 2582-3930



Type of	Base-shear in X- direction				
bracing	3m	5m	7m	9m	
K- type bracing	78.231	112.872	78.232	78.233	
X- type bracing	78.232	78.232	78.232	78.231	
K & X type bracing	78.232	78.231	78.232	78.232	

Table 3 Base shear in X-direction



Figure Base shear in X-direction

Maximum Base shear in the Z Direction.

Type of	Base-shear in Z- direction				
bracing	3m	5m	7m	9m	
K- type bracing	11.9	11.9	11.9	11.9	
X- type bracing	11.9	11.9	11.9	11.9	
K & X type bracing	11.9	11.9	11.9	11.9	

Table 4 Base shear in Z-direction



Figure Base shear in Z-direction

The base shear in both X and Z directions was observed to have no variations in terms of X-bracing, K-bracing, and X & K bracing combined.

4. CONCLUSION:

- The displacement results for 3m, 5m, 7m & 9m span bracings were compared in such a way that K-bracing was compared with K & X bracing. Similarly, X-bracing was compared with X & K bracing. Similarly, even for base share, the results are compared.
- 2. The results for 5m span displacement in x-direction were obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing. It was observed to be reduced by 23% compared to that of K-bracing, and comparing X-bracing with K & X bracing, it was further reduced by 0.4% compared to X-bracing. The results for 5m span displacement in Z-direction were obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing. They were observed to be reduced by 40% compared to that of K-bracing, it was further increased by 7.4% compared to X-bracing.
- 3. The results for 7m span displacement in X-direction were obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing. They were observed to be reduced by in 2.4% compared to that of K-bracing, and comparing X-bracing with K & X bracing, there was an increase of 0.2% compared to X-

I



bracing. The results for 7m span displacement in Xdirection was obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing were observed to be reduced by 6.5% compared to that of K-bracing, and comparing X-bracing with K & X bracing, there was an increase by 10% compared to Xbracing.

- 4. The results for 9m span displacement in X-direction were obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing. They were observed to be reduced by 3.3% compared to that of K-bracing, and comparing X-bracing with K & X bracing, there was an increase of 1.1% compared to X-bracing. The results for 9m span displacement in Z-direction were obtained comparing K-bracing with K & X bracing. They were observed to be reduced by 0.2% compared to that of K-bracing, and comparing X-bracing with K & X bracing with K & X bracing.
- 5. The base shear in both X and Z directions was observed to have no variations in terms of X-bracing, K-bracing, and X & K bracing combined.
- By comparing the above obtained results displacement in X-direction is less for larger width when compared to smaller widths and the displacement in Z- Direction approximately same for considered widths.

4. REFERENCES:

- Ghodrati Amiri (2004) Behaviour of 4-Legged Self-Supporting Telecommunication Towe published on 13th world conference on earthquake engineering, August 2004.
- Joyson Silva P, Dhilip Kumar R G, Binu Sukumar, Ram Shankar P(2019)-Effect of Wind and Earthquake Loading on transmission Tower (IJITEE) ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-9 Issue-1S, November 2019.
- A.Jesumi (2013)-Optimal Bracing System for Steel Towers published on international journal of engineering research and applications, March-April 2013.
- 4. Keshav Kr Sharma, S K Duggal, Deepak Kumar Singh and A K Sachan (2015)-Comparative Analysis of Steel

transmission Tower Subjected to Seismic & Wind Loading Civil Engineering and Urban Planning: An International Journal (CiVEJ) Vol.2, No.3, September 2015.

- Heera Lal Bhardwaj, Amit, Yogesh Kaushik (2015), "Analysis and Design of Four Leg Steel Transmission Tower using Staad. Pro".
- Sujeeth M Banavekar, Bhavyashree B N (2020), "Analysis and Design of Transmission Tower using Staad. Pro".

I