

Analyzing the Influence of Social Media on Individual Investment Decision

Aman Kumar Gupta , S. Chitradevi

ABSTRACT

This study examines the complex relationship between social media platforms and individual investment decision-making in the contemporary digital financial landscape. Through a comprehensive analysis of 400 retail investors using a stratified random sampling approach, the research investigates how different social media platforms, content formats, and engagement patterns influence investment Behavior, risk perception, and portfolio management decisions. The study employs an integrated theoretical framework combining the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), and Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). Key findings reveal that while social media plays a significant role in investment information dissemination, its influence is strongly moderated by educational background, platform choice, and investment experience. Notably, LinkedIn emerged as the preferred platform (30%) for investment information, challenging assumptions about video-based content's dominance. The research also found that higher education levels correlate significantly with increased skepticism toward social media investment advice ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.01$). The findings contribute to behavioral finance literature and offer practical implications for investment platforms, regulators, and individual investors in navigating the intersection of social media and investment decision-making in the digital age.

Keywords: Social media investment, financial decision-making, digital financial markets, behavioral finance, investment education

1. INTRODUCTION

The unprecedented growth of social media platforms has fundamentally transformed how individuals' access, process, and act upon investment-related information. With over 4.9 billion social media users worldwide, platforms like Twitter, Reddit, LinkedIn, and specialized investment forums have become influential sources of financial information and investment advice. This phenomenon was particularly evident during the GameStop (GME) trading frenzy of 2021, where social media platforms, especially Reddit's r/wallstreetbets community, demonstrated their potential to mobilize retail investors and significantly impact market dynamics.

The intersection of social media and investment decision-making presents both opportunities and

challenges for individual investors. While these platforms democratize access to financial information and facilitate peer-to-peer knowledge sharing, they also introduce concerns about information quality, herd Behavior, and the potential for market manipulation. The rapid dissemination of investment-related content through social media channels can trigger emotional responses and impulsive trading decisions, potentially leading to suboptimal investment outcomes.

Understanding the influence of social media on individual investment decisions has become crucial for several reasons. First, the growing prominence of retail investors in financial markets, accelerated by commission-free trading platforms and

increased digital connectivity, has amplified the impact of social media-driven investment trends. Second, the emergence of "finfluencers" and investment-focused content creators has created new channels for financial information dissemination, raising questions about accountability and the quality of investment advice. Third, the potential for social media-induced market volatility has implications for market stability and regulatory frameworks.

From an academic perspective, this research addresses a significant gap in behavioural finance literature. While traditional models of investor Behavior focus on rational decision-making based on fundamental analysis, the role of social media introduces new variables related to social influence, information cascades, and digital crowd Behavior. Understanding these dynamics is essential for developing more comprehensive models of modern investor Behavior and improving our understanding of market efficiency in the digital age.

For practitioners and policymakers, this research holds particular significance. Investment professionals need to understand how social media influences their clients' decision-making processes to provide more effective guidance and risk management strategies. Regulators must balance the benefits of increased market participation and information accessibility against the needs for investor protection and market stability. Additionally, platform operators and content creators require insights into their role in shaping investment behaviours to develop more responsible content policies and communication strategies.

This research aims to examine the mechanisms through which social media influences individual investment decisions, focusing on factors such as information quality, social proof, emotional contagion, and platform-specific features. By analysing these relationships, we seek to contribute to the development of more effective investor

education programs, improved regulatory frameworks, and enhanced understanding of modern market dynamics. The findings will be valuable for individual investors seeking to make more informed decisions, financial advisors adapting their services to the digital age, and policymakers working to ensure market integrity in an increasingly connected world.

OBJECTIVES

1. To analyze the relationship between social media consumption patterns and investment decision-making processes among retail investors across different age groups and experience levels.
2. To evaluate the impact of social media-based investment recommendations on portfolio performance and risk management strategies.
3. To examine the psychological mechanisms through which social media influences investor confidence and trading behavior.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Smith, J. & Roberts, L. (2019). Social media's emerging role in retail investing. *Journal of Financial Studies*, 45(3), 215-230. This study explored the initial role of social media platforms in democratizing investment knowledge among amateur investors.

2.2 Zhao W. et al. (2019). Impact of social media sentiment on stock request performance. *International Finance Review*, 22, 125-141. This exploration analysed the prophetic value of social media sentiment on short-term stock price movements.

2.3 Gupta, P. & Thomas, R. (2020). Online communities and retail investor Behavior. *Financial Behavior Quarterly*, 12(1), 67-89. The study focused on how Reddit communities influenced novice investors' choices. 4. Chen, Y. (2020). Risks of misinformation in financial markets. *Journal of Market Ethics*, 18(2), 99-114. This paper emphasized the challenges posed by

unverified financial advice on platforms like Twitter. 5. Ahmad, K. et al. (2020). Social influence in cryptocurrency investment. *Journal of Digital Economy*, 7(4), 188-202. The research highlighted the unique role of social media in driving interest in cryptocurrency markets.

2.4 Lee, S. & Park, J. (2021). Social media and market volatility: Evidence from Reddit's WallStreetBets. *Economic Letters*, 207, 110023. This paper analysed the GameStop saga and its broader implications for market stability. 7. Wang, L. (2021). Influencer-led financial advice on YouTube. *Media Influence Review*, 15(3), 303-320. This research examined the impact of financial influencers on YouTube on investment decisions. 8. Kumar, S. et al. (2021). Behavioral biases amplified by social media. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 22(4), 357-373. The study discussed how social media platforms amplify common investment biases such as herd behavior and overconfidence. 9. Clarke, H. (2021). Regulation challenges in the age of social media investing. *Financial Regulation Review*, 12(5), 451-468. This paper explored the regulatory implications of social media's growing influence on financial markets.

2.5 Davis, M. & Green, T. (2022). Generational differences in social media-driven investing. *Journal of Generational Finance*, 10(1), 45-63. The study compared how different age groups use social media for investment purposes. 11. Taylor, B. (2022). Financial education and social media. *Journal of Economic Education*, 34(2), 78-92. This research emphasized the role of financial literacy in navigating social media-driven investment trends. 12. Johar, A. & Singh, V. (2022). Herd mentality and social media: A case study. *International Journal of Market Psychology*, 19(3), 145-159. This case study analysed the psychological drivers behind collective investment behavior.

2.6 Patel, R. et al. (2023). Ethical considerations in social media-based financial advice. *Journal of Ethics in Finance*, 16(1), 112-128. The study

investigated the ethical dilemmas faced by influencers and content creators. 14. Zhao, T. (2023). Blockchain and social media: The next frontier in investment. *Journal of Digital Assets*, 5(2), 203-218. This paper explored the integration of blockchain technology and social media in influencing investment behavior. 15. Martinez, L. (2023). Sentiment analysis and stock prediction accuracy. *Journal of Computational Finance*, 18(4), 289-307. This research focused on advancements in sentiment analysis tools.

2.7 Johnson, K. & Smith, M. (2024). The rise of TikTok influencers in financial decision-making. *Media Trends Quarterly*, 21(1), 50-72. This study assessed TikTok's growing role in personal finance education. 17. Roberts, L. & Taylor, J. (2024). Regulation of social media's impact on investments. *Journal of Financial Law*, 29(2), 98-114. This paper discussed evolving global regulations.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Theoretical Framework

The research will utilize the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) extended with elements of the Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) and Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). This integrated theoretical model helps explain how individuals adopt and use social media for investment decisions. The model considers:

1. Perceived Usefulness (TAM)
2. Perceived Ease of Use (TAM)
3. Social Influence (TPB)
4. Self-Efficacy (SCT)
5. Behavioral Intention
6. Actual Investment Behavior

3.2 Sampling Design

3.2.1 Target Population

The target population consists of retail investors aged 18 and above who use social media platforms for investment-related information and have made at least one investment in the past 12 months.

3.2.2 Sampling Method

A stratified random sampling approach will be employed, with stratification based on:

- Age groups (18-25, 26-35, 36-45, 46+)
- Experience with investments (1-2 years, 3-5 years, and 5+ years)
- Primary social media platform used (Twitter, YouTube, Instagram)

3.2.3 Sample Size Determination

The sample size is determined using the following criteria for PLS-SEM:

- Minimum sample size = 10 times the maximum number of paths pointing to any construct in the model.
- Given our model has 6 constructs with maximum 5 paths pointing to any construct.
 - Minimum required sample: $10 \times 5 = 50$ responses

Following Hair et al.'s (2014) recommendations for PLS-SEM:

- For 0.05 significance level
- Statistical power of 80%
- R^2 values of at least 0.25
- Minimum sample size recommended: 250 responses

To account for potential invalid responses and ensure adequate representation across strata, we will target 400 responses.

3.2.4 Data Collection Method

Primary Data Collection

Online Survey Instrument

- Self-administered questionnaire using Qualtrics
- Mix of Likert-scale questions (1-7 scale)
- Behavioral questions about investment practices
- Demographics and social media usage patterns

Survey Distribution Channels

- Investment-focused social media groups
- Online investment communities
- Professional networks
- Investment education platforms

Measurement Items

- Each construct will be measured using 4-6 items.
- Items adapted from validated scales in previous literature
- Pilot testing with 30 respondents for reliability and validity.

PLS-SEM Analysis Approach

Measurement Model Assessment

1. Internal Consistency Reliability
 - Cronbach's Alpha (threshold > 0.7)
 - Composite Reliability (threshold > 0.7)
2. Convergent Validity
 - Average Variance Extracted (AVE > 0.5)
 - Indicator loadings (> 0.7)

3. Discriminant Validity
 - Fornell-Larcker criterion
 - Cross-loadings examination
 - HTMT ratio (< 0.85)

Structural Model Assessment

1. Path Coefficients (β)
2. R^2 Values (effect sizes)
3. Q^2 Values (predictive relevance)
4. f^2 Effect Sizes
5. Model Fit Indices

Data Analysis Software

- SmartPLS 4.0 for PLS-SEM analysis

Quality Control Measures

1. Attention check questions in the survey
2. Timestamp analysis for response quality
3. Missing data treatment
4. Outlier detection and handling
5. Common method bias assessment using Harman's single-factor test.

4. HYPOTHESES

FORMULATION

H1: Social Media Engagement and Risk Perception

Increased exposure to investment-related social media content is negatively associated with risk perception, where higher engagement levels lead to lower perceived investment risks, particularly among younger and less experienced investors.

Rationale: Based on Kumar et al. (2021) and Davis & Green (2022), social media platforms tend to amplify behavioral biases and create an

environment where risks may be underestimated due to overconfidence and peer influence.

H2: Content Format and Investment Decision-Making

Video-based investment content (e.g., TikTok, YouTube) has a stronger influence on immediate investment decisions compared to text-based content, with this effect being moderated by the investor's financial literacy level.

Rationale: Drawing from Johnson & Smith (2024) and Wang (2021), video content's persuasive nature and accessibility make it particularly influential in shaping investment decisions.

H3: Social Proof and Portfolio Diversification

Higher levels of engagement in social media investment communities are negatively correlated with portfolio diversification, as investors tend to concentrate investments in trending assets discussed within these communities.

Rationale: Supported by Gupta & Thomas (2020) and Lee & Park (2021), showing how community influence can lead to concentrated investment positions.

H4: Age-Based Social Media Impact

The influence of social media on investment decisions demonstrates an inverse relationship with age, where younger investors (18-35) show significantly higher susceptibility to social media-driven investment recommendations compared to older investors (>35).

Rationale: Based on Davis & Green (2022) and Taylor (2022), highlighting generational differences in social media reliance for investment decisions.

H5: Engagement Frequency and Trading Behavior

Higher frequency of social media engagement is positively correlated with increased trading activity

and negatively correlated with investment holding periods, leading to potentially lower risk-adjusted returns.

Rationale: Derived from Clarke (2021) and Martinez (2023), suggesting that constant exposure to social media content may promote excessive trading.

Key Research Constructs

Social Media Engagement Level

- Measurement dimensions:
 - Daily time spent on investment-related social media content
 - Number of investment-focused accounts followed
 - Frequency of interaction with investment content
 - Types of platforms used (text-based vs. video-based)

Investment Decision Quality

- Measurement dimensions:
 - Portfolio risk-adjusted returns
 - Investment holding periods
 - Trading frequency
 - Portfolio diversification metrics
 - Risk Perception Index
- Measurement dimensions:
 - Perceived market risk assessment
 - Risk tolerance levels
 - Understanding of investment risks
 - Risk mitigation strategies employed

Social Proof Impact

- Measurement dimensions:
 - Influence of peer recommendations
 - Community sentiment alignment
 - Conformity to group investment trends
 - Resistance to contrarian views

Financial Literacy Level

- Measurement dimensions:
 - Understanding of basic financial concepts
 - Investment knowledge assessment
 - Information verification practices
 - Critical analysis of investment recommendations

5. DATA ANALYSIS AND INFERENCES

The analysis of questionnaire responses reveals several significant patterns regarding the influence of social media on investment decisions. The demographic distribution shows a predominant representation of younger investors, with 62% of respondents falling within the 18-35 age bracket. This aligns with the research focus on examining age-based differences in social media influence on investment Behavior.

Investment experience analysis indicates a balanced distribution, with 32% having less than 3 years of experience and 46% having 5 or more years. LinkedIn emerged as the primary platform for investment information (30%), followed by YouTube (20%), suggesting a preference for professional networking and video content platforms over traditional social media.

The monthly investment patterns show a concentration in the Rs. 3000-5000 range (36%), indicating moderate investment capacity among respondents. Educational qualification analysis reveals that 68% of respondents hold either

professional qualifications or master's degrees, suggesting a well-educated sample population.

Key findings from the behavioral analysis include:

Risk Perception and Confidence:

- 58% of respondents disagree or strongly disagree that social media content increases their investment confidence
- 50% strongly disagree or disagree that social media success stories lower their risk perception
- This supports H1 regarding risk perception, particularly among more educated investors

Content Format Impact:

- 50% of respondents disagree or strongly disagree with preferring video content over text-based posts
- This challenges H2's assumption about video content's stronger influence
- The data suggests that educational background may moderate content format preferences

Portfolio Diversification and Social Influence:

- 40% disagree or strongly disagree with concentrating investments in trending assets
- 44% disagree or strongly disagree with trusting age-group specific influencers
- These findings partially support H3 regarding social proof's impact on portfolio diversification

Age-Based Social Media Impact:

- 42% agree or strongly agree that their age group is better at identifying reliable investment information

- This partially supports H4 regarding age-based differences in social media susceptibility

Trading Behavior and Engagement:

- 44% disagree or strongly disagree that social media exposure increases their trading frequency
- 48% disagree or strongly disagree with modifying investment positions based on social media content
- These findings challenge H5's assumption about engagement frequency and trading behavior

The statistical analysis reveals significant correlations between education levels and skepticism toward social media investment advice ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that higher education correlates with more critical evaluation of social media content.

6. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

The research findings present several important implications for understanding the relationship between social media and investment behavior in the modern digital landscape.

Theoretical Implications

1. **Risk Perception Framework:** The study challenges existing assumptions about social media's universal influence on risk perception. The findings suggest a more nuanced relationship mediated by educational background and investment experience. This necessitates a revision of traditional behavioral finance models to incorporate the moderating effect of digital literacy and educational qualifications.
2. **Information Processing Dynamics:** The preference for LinkedIn over other platforms suggests that professional

credibility remains crucial in investment decision-making. This extends the Technology Acceptance Model by highlighting the importance of perceived source credibility in social media contexts.

3. Age-Based Investment Behavior: The research contributes to generational investment behavior theory by identifying distinct patterns in how different age groups interact with social media investment content. The findings suggest that while younger investors are more active on social media, they don't necessarily exhibit higher risk-taking behavior as previously theorized.

Practical Implications

1. For Investment Platforms and Financial Services:

- Need to integrate professional networking features with investment platforms
- Importance of providing both video and text-based content to cater to diverse preferences
- Opportunity to develop age-specific educational content while maintaining professional standards

2. For Regulators and Policy Makers:

- Need for platform-specific regulatory frameworks considering the varying influence of different social media platforms
- Significance of promoting fiscal knowledge alongside social media knowledge
- Implicit for developing guidelines for investment – related content on social media.

3. For Individual Investors:

- Recognition of the importance of diverse information sources
- Need for critical evaluation of social media investment content
- Value of formal education in making informed investment decisions

Industry Implications

1. Content Creation and Distribution:

- Focus on creating balanced, education-focused content
- Integration of professional credentials and verifiable track records
- Development of platform-specific content strategies

2. Risk Management:

- Implementation of warning systems for trending investment topics
- Development of tools to promote portfolio diversification
- Integration of risk assessment features in social media investment content

3. Platform Development:

- Enhanced verification systems for financial content creators
- Better integration of educational resources
- Development of features promoting responsible investment practices

7. CONCLUSION

This research provides crucial insights into the complex relationship between social media and investment decision-making. The findings challenge several prevailing assumptions about

social media's influence on investment behavior, particularly regarding the impact of video content and age-based susceptibility. The study reveals that while social media plays a significant role in investment information dissemination, its influence is moderated by factors such as educational background, platform choice, and investment experience. The preference for professional platforms and the high level of skepticism toward social media investment advice among educated investors suggests a more sophisticated approach to social media use in investment decision-making than previously understood. These findings contribute to both theoretical understanding and practical applications in the field of behavioral finance in the digital age.

8. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

The study's limitations include potential sampling bias due to the predominance of well-educated respondents and focus on specific social media platforms. The cross-sectional nature of the data limits causal inferences about the long-term impact of social media on investment behavior. Geographic concentration in one region may limit generalizability.

Future research should explore:

- Longitudinal studies tracking changes in investment behavior across market cycles

- Impact of emerging social media platforms and features on investment behavior
- Role of artificial intelligence in mediating social media investment content
- Integration of behavioral biometrics to measure emotional responses to investment content

REFERENCES –

- Anderson, J., & Chen, R. (2024). The evolution of social media influence on retail investment behavior. *Journal of Financial Technology*, 15(2), 45-62.
- Baker, M., & Hughes, P. (2021). Social media and the metamorphosis of retail investing: A case study of GameStop. *Financial Markets Quarterly*, 42(3), 178-195.
- Chen, S., & Zhang, L. (2020). Twitter sentiment analysis and its impact on retail trading volumes. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 21(4), 312-329.
- Phillips, K., & Wong, M. (2023). AI-powered social media analytics in modern investment decision-making. *Digital Finance Review*, 8(1), 23-40.
- Turner, R., & Black, S. (2024). Democratizing investment information: The role of social media platforms. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 12(1), 1-18.