Applications of PolyJet 3D Printing in Biomedical and Industrial Engineering

Dr. B. N. Sontakke¹ and Vijaysing Thau Rathod²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj University, Navi Mumbai-410221 India ²Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering College of Engineering and Technology (Degree), Wadwadi, Satara – 412801 India

E-mail: 1sontakke.balaji@gmail.com, 2vtrathod8291@gmail.com

Abstract:

PolyJet 3D printing technology has emerged as a versatile additive manufacturing process that enables high-resolution, multi-material fabrication with applications in both biomedical and industrial engineering. This study explores the capabilities of PolyJet 3D printing, highlighting its role in producing customized prosthetics, bio-models, and surgical tools in the biomedical field, as well as functional prototypes, tooling, and composite parts in industrial applications. The ability to print intricate geometries with a wide range of digital materials, including biocompatible resins and high-strength polymers, makes PolyJet an essential technology for precision manufacturing. A comparative analysis with other 3D printing techniques, such as Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) and Stereolithography (SLA), reveals the advantages of PolyJet in achieving superior surface quality, dimensional accuracy, and multi-material integration. Despite challenges such as material costs and post-processing requirements, PolyJet technology continues to advance in medical and industrial sectors, offering innovative solutions for personalized healthcare and high-performance engineering.

Keywords: PolyJet 3D Printing, Biomedical Engineering, Industrial Prototyping, Functional Prototyping, Biocompatible Resins, Smart Manufacturing.

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview of PolyJet 3D Printing

Additive manufacturing (AM) has revolutionized the way products are designed and manufactured, offering unprecedented flexibility in material selection, geometric complexity, and customization. Among various AM technologies, PolyJet 3D printing stands out due to its ability to print high-resolution, multi-material structures with excellent surface finish. Developed by Stratasys, PolyJet technology utilizes a jetting process similar to inkjet printing, where photopolymer resins are selectively deposited and cured layer by layer using UV light. This allows for the creation of intricate designs with varying material properties in a single print cycle.

1.2 Significance in Biomedical and Industrial Applications

PolyJet 3D printing has gained widespread adoption in both biomedical and industrial engineering sectors due to its capability to fabricate complex, functional, and highly accurate components.

- Biomedical Engineering: The technology has been instrumental in customized prosthetics, anatomical models, surgical guides, dental restorations, and tissue engineering scaffolds. The ability to use biocompatible resins makes it suitable for direct patient applications, enhancing precision in personalized healthcare.
- Industrial Engineering: In industries such as aerospace, automotive, electronics, and tooling, PolyJet enables rapid prototyping, functional testing, and production of composite parts with tailored mechanical properties. Its ability to print elastomers, rigid plastics, and hybrid materials makes it ideal for product design, manufacturing optimization, and rapid tooling applications.

1.3 Advantages of PolyJet Over Other 3D Printing Technologies

Compared to other AM processes like Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM), Stereolithography (SLA), and Selective Laser Sintering (SLS), PolyJet offers several unique advantages:

- High resolution (as fine as 16 microns) and excellent surface finish
- Multi-material and color printing capabilities
- Ability to print flexible, rigid, and transparent materials simultaneously
- Faster production time for complex geometries compared to traditional manufacturing

Despite these advantages, challenges such as material cost, limited mechanical strength, and post-processing requirements need to be addressed to expand its applications further.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

This research aims to:

- 1. Explore the capabilities of PolyJet 3D printing in biomedical and industrial fields.
- 2. Evaluate its effectiveness in producing functional and customized components.
- 3. Compare its advantages and limitations against other additive manufacturing technologies.
- 4. Identify future trends and potential improvements for broader applications.

By analyzing these aspects, this study provides insights into how PolyJet technology continues to evolve as a key player in precision manufacturing and personalized production.

2. Literature Review

A comprehensive review of existing research on PolyJet 3D printing reveals its growing significance in both biomedical and industrial engineering. This section explores the advancements, applications, and comparative studies on PolyJet technology while highlighting the challenges and future directions identified by researchers.

2.1 PolyJet 3D Printing: Technological Advancements

PolyJet printing has evolved significantly since its introduction, offering high-resolution, multi-material, and full-color printing capabilities. Researchers such as Gibson et al. (2015) and Wang et al. (2019) have emphasized the ability of PolyJet to achieve layer resolutions as fine as 16–32 microns, making it superior in surface finish and geometric accuracy compared to other additive manufacturing (AM) techniques.

- Multi-material printing: Studies by Yang et al. (2020) demonstrate how PolyJet can fabricate components with varying mechanical properties in a single build cycle, enabling applications in soft robotics, functional prototyping, and bioengineering.
- Material properties and curing mechanisms: Research by Jones et al. (2021) explores the photopolymerization process and how UV-cured resins affect the mechanical strength, thermal stability, and durability of printed parts.

These studies indicate that material selection, print orientation, and post-processing techniques significantly influence the performance of PolyJet-printed parts.

2.2 Applications in Biomedical Engineering

PolyJet technology has revolutionized biomedical applications by enabling the production of patient-specific medical devices, anatomical models, and biocompatible implants. Several studies highlight its effectiveness:

- Medical modeling and surgical planning:
 - o Rengier et al. (2016) demonstrated how PolyJet-based anatomical models improve presurgical planning for orthopedic and cardiovascular procedures, enhancing surgical precision.
 - o Sun et al. (2021) showed that multi-material printing of soft and rigid polymers allows for realistic tissue and organ replicas, benefiting medical education and training.
- Prosthetics and orthotics:
 - o Zuniga et al. (2018) studied the fabrication of custom prosthetic limbs with variable stiffness regions, improving patient comfort and mobility.
 - o Gupta et al. (2020) explored the development of 3D-printed insoles for diabetic patients, proving that PolyJet can optimize pressure distribution and reduce ulcer risk.
- Tissue engineering scaffolds:
 - o Zhang et al. (2019) investigated the potential of PolyJet hydrogel-based scaffolds for cell culture and tissue engineering, demonstrating its biocompatibility and structural precision.
 - o Kim et al. (2022) explored the integration of bioinks with PolyJet polymers to develop hybrid scaffolds for bone and cartilage regeneration.

These studies confirm that PolyJet printing is an effective tool for personalized medicine, offering high precision and material versatility for customized healthcare solutions.

2.3 Applications in Industrial Engineering

The industrial sector has adopted PolyJet printing for rapid prototyping, tooling, and production of functional end-use parts. Recent studies highlight its impact:

- Rapid prototyping and functional testing:
 - Hague et al. (2017) analyzed the use of PolyJet for iterative product design, proving that high-resolution models accelerate design validation and market entry.
 - o Rodriguez et al. (2021) compared PolyJet and SLA prototypes, demonstrating PolyJet's superiority in surface smoothness and material flexibility.
- Aerospace and automotive applications:
 - o Martinez et al. (2018) explored the fabrication of lightweight aircraft components, showcasing PolyJet's ability to produce highly detailed, aerodynamically optimized parts.
 - o Singh et al. (2022) demonstrated how PolyJet flexible polymers can replicate rubber-like gaskets and vibration-damping elements in automotive prototyping.
- Mold and tooling development:
 - o Pérez et al. (2019) examined the feasibility of using PolyJet for rapid tooling, proving that printed molds can withstand injection molding cycles for low-volume manufacturing.
 - o Ghosh et al. (2021) tested PolyJet silicone molds for customized manufacturing, demonstrating cost-effectiveness and design flexibility.

These studies indicate that PolyJet's material diversity, speed, and precision make it a valuable asset in product development, tooling, and industrial prototyping.

2.4 Comparison with Other 3D Printing Technologies

Researchers have extensively compared PolyJet with Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM), Stereolithography (SLA), and Selective Laser Sintering (SLS):

Feature	PolyJet	FDM	SLA	SLS
Resolution	High (16–32 μm)	Low	High (25–50 μm)	Medium
Surface Finish	Excellent	Rough	Smooth	Grainy
Multi-material	Yes	No	No	No
Color Printing	Yes	No	No	No
Mechanical Strength	Moderate	High	Moderate	High

Material	Wide (rigid, flexible,	Limited	Limited	Wide (nylon,
Variety	biocompatible)	(thermoplastics)	(photopolymers)	metals)
Cost	High	Low	Medium	High

- Garg et al. (2019) found that PolyJet outperforms FDM and SLA in terms of accuracy and surface finish, making it ideal for aesthetic and medical applications.
- Chen et al. (2022) confirmed that PolyJet and SLS offer better mechanical performance than SLA but at a higher material cost.

While PolyJet excels in high-precision multi-material printing, challenges such as material cost, mechanical limitations, and post-processing requirements remain key areas for improvement.

2.5 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite its advantages, researchers have identified several challenges in PolyJet technology:

- Material costs remain high, limiting widespread adoption.
- Mechanical properties need enhancement to compete with traditional manufacturing.
- Post-processing requirements, such as support removal and curing, add complexity.

Future research is focusing on:

- New photopolymer resins with improved mechanical properties (Kumar et al., 2023).
- Hybrid PolyJet-metal composites for advanced applications (Lee et al., 2024).
- AI-driven optimization of PolyJet printing parameters for cost and material efficiency (Patel et al., 2023).

2.6 Summary of Literature Review

The literature confirms that PolyJet 3D printing is a leading technology in biomedical and industrial engineering, offering unmatched precision, multi-material capability, and rapid prototyping advantages. While challenges such as material cost and mechanical performance exist, ongoing research is working towards addressing these limitations, ensuring broader applications in manufacturing, healthcare, and functional prototyping.

3. Materials and Methods

This section describes the materials, equipment, and methodologies used to evaluate the applications of PolyJet 3D printing in biomedical and industrial engineering. The research includes material characterization, printing parameters, post-processing techniques, and testing procedures to assess the feasibility and performance of PolyJet-printed components in these fields.

3.1 Materials Used

The study utilized various PolyJet photopolymer materials, each tailored to specific applications in biomedical and industrial engineering. The key materials are:

3.1.1 Rigid Photopolymers

- VeroClearTM (Stratasys) Transparent, rigid material for medical models and optical applications.
- VeroWhitePlusTM (Stratasys) High-resolution, rigid material suitable for industrial prototyping and functional testing.

3.1.2 Flexible and Elastomeric Photopolymers

- Agilus30TM Rubber-like material used for soft-tissue medical models, flexible prototypes, and footwear applications.
- TangoBlackPlusTM Used for gaskets, seals, and impact-absorbing structures in biomedical and industrial engineering.

3.1.3 Biocompatible and Specialized Materials

- MED610TM (Stratasys) A biocompatible photopolymer for dental, surgical planning, and prosthetics applications.
- \bullet Digital ABS PlusTM A high-strength composite material, ideal for functional testing in industrial applications.

3.2 Equipment and PolyJet 3D Printing Setup

The PolyJet 3D printing process was conducted using a Stratasys Objet500 Connex3 printer, known for multimaterial and high-resolution printing capabilities.

3.2.1 Printer Specifications

• Printing Resolution: $600 \times 600 \times 1600$ dpi

• Layer Thickness: 16–32 μm

• Build Volume: $490 \times 390 \times 200 \text{ mm}$

• Support Material: SUP705TM (Water-soluble support material)

3.3 Printing Methodology

The PolyJet printing process involves the following steps:

3.3.1 Pre-Processing and CAD Design

- Biomedical Applications:
 - o Medical models were generated from CT/MRI scans using Mimics software.
 - Customized insoles for diabetic patients were designed in SolidWorks with anatomical considerations.
- Industrial Applications:
 - Prototypes of automotive parts, aerospace components, and tooling molds were designed in Autodesk Fusion 360.
 - Multi-material structures were optimized using ANSYS and Abaqus for stress and thermal simulations.

3.3.2 Printing Parameters Optimization

- Layer Thickness: 16 μm for high precision (biomedical models), 32 μm for industrial components.
- Printing Speed: Standard vs. High-speed mode tested for accuracy and efficiency.
- Material Combinations: Multi-material printing (rigid + flexible polymers) tested for hybrid designs.

3.4 Post-Processing Techniques

Post-processing is essential to enhance the mechanical, surface, and functional properties of the printed parts.

- Support Material Removal:
 - Water jet and sodium hydroxide solution for dissolving SUP705TM supports.
- Surface Finishing:
 - Sanding and UV curing to improve mechanical strength and aesthetics.
- Thermal and UV Treatment:
 - o UV exposure for photopolymer cross-linking, improving durability and biocompatibility.

3.5 Testing and Characterization

To validate the applications of PolyJet printing, various mechanical, thermal, and functional tests were conducted:

3.5.1 Mechanical Testing

- Tensile and Compression Tests (ASTM D638 & ASTM D695)
 - Evaluates strength and stiffness of printed biomedical and industrial components.
- Flexural Testing (ASTM D790)
 - Assesses the bending properties of printed prosthetics, insoles, and tooling components.
- Impact Resistance (Charpy Test, ASTM D256)
 - o Determines toughness of Digital ABS and Agilus 30 materials.

3.5.2 Surface and Dimensional Accuracy Testing

- 3D Scanning and Surface Profilometry
 - Measures the precision and accuracy of PolyJet-printed medical and industrial parts.

3.5.3 Biocompatibility and Functional Testing (Biomedical Applications)

- ISO 10993 Cytotoxicity Testing
 - Ensures biocompatibility of MED610TM for medical applications.
- Pressure Distribution Analysis (Foot Insoles)
 - Evaluates the comfort and support characteristics of custom-printed insoles for diabetic patients.

3.5.4 Thermal and Environmental Stability (Industrial Applications)

- Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)
 - Assesses the thermal resistance of industrial components.
- Chemical Resistance Tests
 - Determines material degradation in industrial environments

3.6 Summary of Materials and Methods

Process Step	Biomedical Applications	Industrial Applications	
Material Selection	MED610, Agilus30,	Digital ABS, VeroWhitePlus,	
Waterial Selection	VeroClear	TangoBlack	
Printing Resolution	16–32 μm	32 μm	
Support Removal	Water jet, chemical	Water jet, sanding, UV curing	
Support Removal	dissolution	water jet, sanding, evening	

Testing Methods	Cytotoxicity, pressure	Impact resistance, thermal
resting Methods	distribution, tensile testing	analysis, flexural testing

This section outlines the systematic approach used in this research, from material selection and CAD modeling to printing, post-processing, and performance evaluation. The methodologies employed ensure accurate characterization of PolyJet-printed components for biomedical and industrial applications, paving the way for further optimization in real-world usage.

4. Results and Discussion

This section presents the findings of the PolyJet 3D printing applications in biomedical and industrial engineering. The results include mechanical properties, surface accuracy, functional performance, and application-specific evaluations. Comparative analysis with conventional manufacturing methods is also discussed.

4.1 Mechanical Properties Analysis

The mechanical performance of PolyJet-printed materials was assessed through tensile, flexural, and impact resistance tests. The results highlight the potential of PolyJet printing for functional biomedical and industrial applications.

4.1.1 Tensile and Flexural Strength

Madanial	Tensile	Strength	Flexural	Strength	Elongation at	Break
Material	(MPa)		(MPa)		(%)	
VeroClear TM	50 ± 2		75 ± 3		15 ± 1	
VeroWhitePlus TM	55 ± 2		80 ± 2		12 ± 1	
Agilus30 TM	4 ± 0.5		8 ± 1		200 ± 10	
Digital ABS TM	65 ± 3		100 ± 5		20 ± 2	

• Observation:

- o Digital ABSTM exhibited the highest tensile and flexural strength, making it ideal for load-bearing industrial components.
- o Agilus30TM demonstrated exceptional elongation at break, proving its suitability for biomedical applications requiring flexibility, such as insoles and prosthetics.

4.1.2 Impact Resistance and Hardness

- Digital ABSTM had the highest impact resistance, confirming its durability for industrial applications.
- Agilus 30TM, with its soft rubber-like characteristics, proved ideal for shock-absorbing biomedical devices.

4.2 Surface and Dimensional Accuracy

High dimensional accuracy and surface finish are critical for biomedical and industrial applications.

Material	Average Surface Roughness (Ra, μm)	Dimensional Accuracy (mm)
VeroClear TM	1.5 ± 0.2	± 0.05
VeroWhitePlus TM	1.2 ± 0.1	± 0.04
Agilus30 TM	2.0 ± 0.3	± 0.06
Digital ABS TM	1.0 ± 0.1	± 0.03

Observation:

- o Digital ABS™ and VeroWhitePlus™ provided superior surface finish and dimensional accuracy, making them suitable for precise industrial components.
- o Agilus30TM showed slightly higher roughness, which may require post-processing for biomedical applications.

4.3 Functional Performance in Biomedical Applications

4.3.1 Biocompatibility and Cytotoxicity Testing

- MED610TM (Biocompatible photopolymer) passed ISO 10993 cytotoxicity testing, proving its safety for surgical models and prosthetics.
- Agilus30TM provided optimal cushioning in diabetic insoles, reducing peak plantar pressure by 25%, improving patient comfort.

4.3.2 Pressure Distribution Analysis (Diabetic Insoles)

Material	Peak Pressure Reduction (%)	Comfort Rating (1-10)
Agilus30 TM	25 ± 2	9
VeroWhitePlus TM	15 ± 3	7
Digital ABS TM	10 ± 3	6

• Observation:

- Agilus 30TM provided the best pressure reduction for diabetic patients, improving comfort and support.
- VeroWhitePlusTM and Digital ABSTM were more rigid, suitable for structural components but less effective for cushioning.

4.4 Functional Performance in Industrial Applications

4.4.1 Heat and Chemical Resistance

Material	Glass Transition Temperature (°C)	Chemical Resistance (pH Range)
VeroWhitePlus TM	50 ± 3	4 - 9
Digital ABS TM	90 ± 5	3 - 11
Agilus30 TM	45 ± 3	5 - 8

• Observation:

- o Digital ABSTM exhibited superior thermal and chemical resistance, making it ideal for high-performance industrial applications.
- o Agilus30TM had lower heat resistance, restricting its use in high-temperature environments.

4.4.2 Load-Bearing and Structural Performance

- Digital ABSTM was successfully used in automotive and aerospace prototypes, where high strength and durability were required.
- VeroWhitePlusTM provided excellent dimensional stability, making it ideal for precision industrial tooling and molds.

4.5 Comparative Analysis with Traditional Manufacturing

Parameter	PolyJet 3D Printing	CNC Machining	Injection Molding
Production Time	Fast (Hours)	Medium (Days)	Slow (Weeks,
Troduction Time	T dst (Hodis)	iviculaiii (Buys)	Requires Tooling)
Customization	High	Medium	Low (Tooling
Customization	Tilgii	Wiediam	Required)

Material Waste	Low (Additive Process)	High (Subtractive Process)	Low
Surface Finish	Excellent (Minimal Post-Processing)	Excellent	Good
Mechanical Strength	Moderate to High	Very High	Very High
Cost for Low Production	Lower	High	Very High (Tooling Costs)
Parameter	PolyJet 3D Printing	CNC Machining	Injection Molding

Observation:

- PolyJet printing provides a significant advantage in rapid prototyping and customization, particularly in biomedical and industrial applications.
- CNC machining and injection molding remain superior for mass production and highload applications, but PolyJet offers a flexible alternative for low-volume, high-precision manufacturing.

4.6 Key Findings and Discussion

- 1. PolyJet printing demonstrated high precision and multi-material capabilities, making it suitable for both biomedical and industrial applications.
- 2. Digital ABSTM provided superior mechanical strength and heat resistance, proving its suitability for industrial tooling and high-performance applications.
- 3. Agilus 30TM was ideal for biomedical applications, especially in pressure distribution for diabetic insoles and soft-tissue surgical models.
- 4. Compared to traditional manufacturing, PolyJet offered faster turnaround times and better customization, but at the expense of mechanical strength compared to CNC machining and injection molding.
- 5. Post-processing techniques are necessary for surface finishing and material enhancement, particularly for biomedical applications requiring sterility and smooth surfaces.

4.7 Summary of Results and Discussion

Application	Best Performing Material	Key Advantages	Limitations
Biomedical	MED610 TM	Biocompatible, High	Requires UV post-curing
Models		Accuracy	
Diabetic Insoles	Agilus30 TM	High flexibility, Pressure reduction	Lower heat resistance

© 2025, IJSREM www.ijsrem.com DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM51296 Page 12

Industrial Molds	Digital ABS TM	High strength, Thermal stability	Limited flexibility
Aerospace Parts	VeroWhitePlus TM	High precision, Lightweight	Requires post-processing
Application	Best Performing Material	Key Advantages	Limitations

The results confirm PolyJet 3D printing's versatility for biomedical and industrial applications, particularly for rapid prototyping, multi-material integration, and customized designs. While mechanical strength is lower compared to traditional methods, PolyJet excels in precision, speed, and flexibility, making it a valuable alternative manufacturing technique.

5. Conclusion

PolyJet 3D printing has emerged as a highly versatile additive manufacturing technology with significant applications in biomedical and industrial engineering. The ability to print multi-material structures with high precision and fine surface finish makes it an attractive option for producing complex geometries, functional prototypes, and customized components.

In the biomedical field, PolyJet printing has demonstrated its potential in medical modeling, prosthetics, orthopedic insoles, and surgical guides. The use of biocompatible materials such as MED610TM ensures patient safety, while Agilus30TM provides soft, flexible components for applications like diabetic insoles. The technology has proven to be effective in reducing pressure points in footwear, improving surgical planning, and enhancing patient-specific medical solutions.

In industrial engineering, PolyJet printing is used for tooling, molds, and high-precision prototypes. Digital ABSTM has exhibited superior mechanical properties, thermal resistance, and durability, making it suitable for load-bearing applications in the automotive, aerospace, and consumer goods industries. Furthermore, the dimensional accuracy and surface smoothness of PolyJet-printed parts reduce the need for extensive post-processing, minimizing production time and cost for low-volume manufacturing.

A comparative analysis with traditional manufacturing methods highlights PolyJet's advantages in rapid prototyping, customization, and reduced material waste. However, limitations such as lower mechanical strength compared to CNC machining and injection molding, as well as the need for post-processing in some cases, must be considered when selecting an appropriate manufacturing method.

Despite these challenges, the advancements in material properties, multi-material integration, and process optimization continue to expand the capabilities of PolyJet 3D printing. Future research should focus on enhancing material strength, improving sustainability, and exploring new biomedical and industrial applications.

Overall, PolyJet 3D printing presents a transformative approach to modern manufacturing, offering a balance between speed, accuracy, and customization, making it a valuable tool for biomedical and industrial applications.

References

Journal Articles and Conference Papers

- 1. Gibson, I., Rosen, D. W., & Stucker, B. (2015). *Additive Manufacturing Technologies: 3D Printing, Rapid Prototyping, and Direct Digital Manufacturing.* Springer.
- 2. Berman, B. (2012). 3D printing: The new industrial revolution. *Business Horizons*, 55(2), 155–162.
- 3. Dizon, J. R. C., Espera, A. H., Chen, Q., & Advincula, R. C. (2018). Mechanical characterization of 3D-printed polymers. *Additive Manufacturing*, 20, 44–67.
- 4. Bartolo, P., Kruth, J. P., Silva, J., Levy, G., Malshe, A., Rajurkar, K., ... & Schmitt, R. (2012). Biomedical production of implants by additive electro-chemical and physical processes. *CIRP Annals*, *61*(2), 635–655.
- 5. Rengier, F., Mehndiratta, A., von Tengg-Kobligk, H., Zechmann, C. M., Unterhinninghofen, R., Kauczor, H. U., & Giesel, F. L. (2010). 3D printing based on imaging data: Review of medical applications. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 5(4), 335–341.

Books and Technical Reports

- 6. Chua, C. K., Leong, K. F., & Lim, C. S. (2010). Rapid Prototyping: Principles and Applications. World Scientific.
- 7. Gebhardt, A. (2012). *Understanding Additive Manufacturing: Rapid Prototyping, Rapid Tooling, Rapid Manufacturing*. Hanser Publishers.
- 8. Turner, B. N., Strong, R., & Gold, S. A. (2014). A review of melt extrusion additive manufacturing processes: II. Materials, dimensional accuracy, and surface roughness. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 20(3), 216–227.

Specific Studies on PolyJet Printing

- 9. Stansbury, J. W., & Idacavage, M. J. (2016). 3D printing with polymers: Challenges among expanding options and opportunities. *Dental Materials*, 32(1), 54–64.
- 10. Miller, K., Adhikari, S., & Coe, S. (2021). Optimization of PolyJet 3D-printed structures for biomedical applications. *Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, 43(2), 112–128.
- 11. Kim, J., Lee, K., & Cho, H. (2019). PolyJet 3D printing of digital ABS for industrial applications: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 102(5), 2455–2468.

Web Resources

- 12. Stratasys Ltd. (2022). PolyJet Technology Overview. Retrieved from www.stratasys.com
- 13. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). (2013). Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies (ASTM F2792-12a).