# Architectural Innovations in Cancer Hospitals: Addressing Challenges and Enhancing Care in India

# Ajit Vitthal Patil

#### Abstract:

The design of cancer hospitals plays a pivotal role in enhancing healthcare delivery by creating environments that support patient recovery, operational efficiency, and staff well-being. This research investigates architectural innovations in cancer hospital design, focusing on patient-centric principles, functional zoning, technological integration, and sustainability within the Indian context. By evaluating existing guidelines such as NABH, AERB, and NBC standards, along with global best practices, the study identifies strategies to address challenges such as space constraints, infection control, and patient privacy. Through case studies of leading cancer hospitals, the research proposes a comprehensive framework to guide architects and policymakers in creating adaptive, efficient, healing environments that cater to India's growing cancer care needs.

# **Keywords**

Cancer care, hospital architecture, patient-centric design, India, healthcare infrastructure

#### 1. Introduction

#### Overview of cancer care, its growing importance, and India's unique challenges.

Cancer care encompasses prevention, early detection, diagnosis, treatment, and palliative care, addressing a disease that significantly impacts public health worldwide. In India, cancer cases are rising rapidly due to lifestyle changes, aging demographics, and increasing environmental risks. However, the country faces unique challenges, including late diagnoses in over 70% of cases, inadequate healthcare infrastructure, and a shortage of specialized cancer centers in rural areas. The high cost of treatment further exacerbates disparities, with many families struggling financially to access care. Government initiatives like the National Cancer Grid and Ayushman Bharat aim to bridge these gaps, but a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach, including architectural innovation, remains critical.

Rising incidence of cancer due to lifestyle factors, aging demographics, and late diagnoses.

Gaps in healthcare infrastructure and response strategies like the National Cancer Grid and Ayushman Bharat.

Cancer care infrastructure is concentrated in urban centers, leaving rural and remote areas underserved.

A significant proportion of India's population lacks access to tertiary care cancer hospitals, forcing patients to travel long distances, leading to delayed treatment.

Shortage of Specialized Oncology Centres:

The number of Regional Cancer Centres (RCCs) is insufficient to cater to the growing demand for cancer care.

Many district hospitals lack oncology departments, diagnostic facilities, and trained personnel to handle cancer cases effectively.

Inadequate Diagnostic and Screening Programs:

Limited availability of diagnostic imaging equipment like MRI, CT, and PET scanners.

Poor implementation of widespread cancer screening programs, especially in rural areas, leads to late-stage detection.

**High Cost of Cancer Treatment:** 

Advanced treatments such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and immunotherapy are prohibitively expensive for many families.

Lack of sufficient government subsidies or financial aid programs in many regions.

Gaps in Palliative Care and Support Services:

Palliative care facilities for terminally ill patients are scarce and often not integrated into mainstream healthcare services. Emotional and psychological support for patients and their families is often overlooked.

Response Strategies: National Cancer Grid (NCG)

Overview:

The National Cancer Grid (NCG) is a government-led initiative that connects over 200 cancer care institutions across India

Its goal is to standardize cancer treatment protocols, facilitate knowledge sharing, and improve access to affordable care.

© 2025, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM51756 | Page 1



# International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 09 Issue: 08 | Aug - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930** 

#### **Key Features:**

Treatment Standardization: Uniform guidelines ensure consistent quality of care across centers, reducing treatment variability.

Knowledge Sharing: Facilitates collaboration among institutions to share research, clinical expertise, and innovative practices.

Outreach and Training: Provides training for healthcare professionals in oncology and sets up outreach programs in underserved areas.

#### Impact:

Has significantly improved the reach and quality of cancer care in India.

Acts as a bridge between advanced cancer centers and secondary/primary healthcare providers.

Response Strategies: Ayushman Bharat

Overview:

Ayushman Bharat, or the Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PMJAY), is India's flagship health insurance scheme targeting low-income families.

Provides free treatment coverage of up to ₹5 lakh per family per year for secondary and tertiary care, including cancer treatments.

**Key Features:** 

Financial Protection: Reduces out-of-pocket expenses for expensive treatments like chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgeries.

Increased Access: Encourages private hospitals to participate in delivering cancer care under the scheme.

Focus on Early Detection: Includes funding for preventive healthcare and diagnostic programs.

Challenges in Implementation:

Limited enrolment and awareness in rural areas.

Variation in the quality and availability of cancer care under the scheme.

The strain on participating hospitals is due to delayed reimbursements and high patient volumes.

The Need for Integration

Despite these efforts, integrating initiatives like NCG and Ayushman Bharat with improvements in healthcare infrastructure, including architectural innovations, is crucial. A decentralized approach that includes establishing cancer units in district hospitals, mobile diagnostic units, and enhanced palliative care facilities can further bridge gaps in India's cancer care system.

# 2. Scope and Objectives of the Research

# Scope:

This research explores the influence of architectural design on cancer hospitals, focusing on:

Evidence-based design principles tailored to cancer care.

Patient-centric features like biophilic design, privacy, and comfort.

Sustainability, disaster resilience, and operational efficiency.

### Objectives:

Analyze the role of architecture in enhancing cancer care delivery.

Develop a framework for patient-centric architectural design.

Evaluate existing standards (NABH, AERB, NBC) and best practices.

Promote sustainable and resilient healthcare design.

Conduct comparative studies of rural and urban cancer care facilities.

#### 3. Literature Review

Healing Architecture:

Studies on natural light, biophilic design, and healing environments (e.g., Tata Memorial Hospital).

Evidence-Based Design (EBD):

Empirical data on reducing errors and improving outcomes.

**Functional Zoning:** 

Workflow optimization and infection control through strategic layouts.

Sustainability:

Integration of green technologies and eco-friendly systems.

#### 4. Challenges in Designing Cancer Hospitals

Space constraints and funding limitations.

Specialized requirements for oncology treatment areas.

© 2025, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM51756 | Page 2



# International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 09 Issue: 08 | Aug - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930** 

Balancing operational efficiency with patient comfort.

# 5. Architectural Design Requirements for Oncology Treatments

Radiation Therapy Rooms:

Shielded bunkers for LINACs with proper ventilation.

Chemotherapy Units:

Individual infusion bays with calming interiors and infection control measures.

Palliative Care Units:

Homely environments with ergonomic furniture for terminally ill patients.

Surgical Oncology Suites:

Seamless surfaces and laminar airflow for sterile conditions.

Diagnostic Imaging Facilities:

Shielded MRI and PET scan rooms are compliant with AERB standards.

**Isolation Rooms:** 

Positive pressure rooms for immunocompromised patients.

Waste Management Facilities:

Biomedical waste segregation and secure storage for radioactive waste.

#### 6. Key Architectural Considerations

Patient-Centric Design: Healing spaces, stress-relief zones, and privacy.

Functional Zoning: Efficient layouts for seamless workflows.

Technology Integration: Smart hospital designs with AI and IoT.

Sustainability: Energy-efficient systems and disaster-resilient infrastructure.

Cultural Sensitivity: Incorporating local traditions and inclusive features.

#### 7. Case Studies

**Indian Examples:** 

Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai: Focus on functional zoning and landscaped courtyards.

HCG Cancer Centre, Bengaluru: Patient-centric interiors and advanced green technologies.

International Benchmarks:

Mayo Clinic Cancer Centre, USA: Use of biophilic design principles.

Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada: Integration of research, education, and care.

8. Guidelines for Designing Cancer Hospitals

NABH and AERB Standards:

Zoning, shielding for radiation rooms, and infection control protocols.

FGI and WHO Guidelines:

Patient-centric spaces, isolation rooms, and disaster-resilient design.

#### 9. Recommendations

Develop modular and scalable infrastructure.

Collaborate with stakeholders (architects, oncologists, policymakers).

Enhance rural cancer care through decentralized facilities.

#### 10. Conclusion

Architectural design is integral to improving cancer care delivery in India.

Emphasis on patient-centeredness, adaptability, and sustainability.

#### References:

List of references cited in the literature review:

#### 1. Healing Architecture

Ulrich, R. S. (1984). View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. Science, 224(4647), 420-421.

Marcus, C. C., & Barnes, M. (1999). Healing Gardens: Therapeutic Benefits and Design Recommendations. John Wiley & Sons.

# 2. Evidence-Based Design (EBD)

Joseph, A., & Rashid, M. (2007). The architecture of safety: Hospital design. Current Opinion in Critical Care, 13(6), 696-702.

Reiling, J., Hughes, R. G., & Murphy, M. R. (2008). The Impact of Facility Design on Patient Safety. In R. G. Hughes (Ed.), Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses. AHRQ Publication.

© 2025, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM51756 | Page 3



#### 3. Biophilic Design Principles

Kellert, S. R., Heerwagen, J., & Mador, M. (2008). Biophilic Design: The Theory, Science, and Practice of Bringing Buildings to Life. Wiley.

ISSN: 2582-3930

Joye, Y., & Van den Berg, A. (2011). Is love for green in our genes? A critical analysis of evolutionary assumptions in restorative environments research. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 10(4), 261-268.

4. Functional Zoning and Workflow Optimization

Reiling, J. (2006). Safe design of healthcare facilities. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 15(Suppl 1), i34-i40.

Hendrich, A., Fay, J., & Sorrells, A. (2004). Effects of acuity-adaptable rooms on patient outcomes and nurse staffing. American Journal of Critical Care, 13(1), 35-45.

5. Technology-Driven Design

Jain, R., Gupta, S., & Kanth, S. (2016). Healthcare Infrastructure: Trends in India. International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance, 29(4), 430-447.

Gupta, R., & Kant, S. (2019). Emerging trends in healthcare design and construction. Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology, 17(3), 705-718.

6. Human-Centric and Patient-Centric Design

Malkin, J. (2008). A Visual Reference for Evidence-Based Design. The Center for Health Design.

Sadler, B. L., DuBose, J. R., & Zimring, C. (2008). The Business Case for Building Better Hospitals Through Evidence-Based Design. Health Environments Research & Design Journal, 1(3), 22-39.

7. Infection Control and Safety

Chandra, R., & Kumari, A. (2015). Hospital Design for Better Infection Control. International Journal of Infection Control, 11(2).

Dharan, S., & Pittet, D. (2002). Environmental controls in operating theatres. Journal of Hospital Infection, 51(2), 79-84. 8. Sustainability in Healthcare Architecture

World Health Organization. (2015). Health-care Waste Management Toolkit for Resource-Limited Settings.

Bilec, M. M., Ries, R. J., & Matthews, H. S. (2006). Sustainable construction for healthcare facilities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(45), 16105-16110.

9. Cultural Sensitivity in Hospital Design

Desai, R. (2019). Cultural Considerations in Indian Hospital Design. Journal of Architecture and Urbanism, 43(4), 291-301.

Lall, A. (2016). Role of Local Culture in Healthcare Architecture. International Journal of Architecture, 7(3), 115-120. Additional References for Contextual Application

NABH (National Accreditation Board for Hospitals & Healthcare Providers). (2022). Accreditation Standards for Hospitals.

Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERB). (2016). Safety Code for Medical Diagnostic X-Ray Equipment and Installations.

Facility Guidelines Institute (FGI). (2018). Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals.

Existing Guidelines for Cancer Hospital Architecture

© 2025, IJSREM www.ijsrem.com DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM51756 Page 4