Artificial Intelligence driven Agri-Business Innovation Ecosystems:
A Strategic Framework for Scaling Rural Women's Self-Help Group Enterprises

Ms R Priyadharshini Dr R Vanathi

Research Scholar, Department of Business Management, Erode Arts & Science College (Autonomous),Erode – 09

Assistant Professor (SS) & Head, Department of Business Management, Erode Arts & Science College (Autonomous), Erode -09

Abstract

Women-led Self-Help Groups (SHGs) have significantly advanced financial inclusion in India; however, most remain constrained within low-productivity and subsistence-oriented enterprise structures. Simultaneously, advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI), digital agriculture, and data-driven value chains are reshaping global agri-business systems. This study proposes an Artificial Intelligence–driven Agri-Business Innovation Ecosystem framework designed to transition rural women's SHGs from welfare-oriented collectives to scalable, competitive, data-enabled enterprises.

Grounded in the Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991), Technology Adoption Model (Davis, 1989), and empowerment theory (Kabeer, 1999), the study synthesizes global evidence on digital agriculture transformation (FAO, 2022; World Bank, 2019; Klerkx et al., 2019) and emerging AI-enabled agricultural innovation (Zhang et al., 2023; Liakos et al., 2024). The framework integrates AI-based yield analytics, demand forecasting, dynamic pricing, supply-chain intelligence, and digital credit scoring within institutional ecosystems such as the National Rural Livelihoods Mission.

The proposed model contributes to SDG 5 (Gender Equality), SDG 8 (Decent Work), and SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure) by positioning SHGs as innovation actors within rural digital economies.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Digital Agriculture, Rural Women Entrepreneurship, Self-Help Groups, Innovation Ecosystems, Inclusive Growth

1. Introduction

Gender equality is central to sustainable development and inclusive economic growth (UN Women, 2020). Despite representing nearly half the global population, women continue to experience economic and structural disparities (Hausmann et al., 2022). In India, female labour force participation remains low relative to global benchmarks (International Labour Organisation [ILO], 2022).

The Self-Help Group (SHG) movement has emerged as a foundational instrument of financial inclusion and collective mobilisation (Government of India, 2022). However, while access to credit has expanded, enterprise scalability remains limited due to informational asymmetry, market fragmentation, and digital capability gaps.

Concurrently, digital agriculture and AI-driven analytics are transforming agri-food systems worldwide (FAO, 2022; World Bank, 2019). Emerging research highlights AI's role in yield optimisation, risk forecasting, supply-chain traceability, and decision intelligence (Zhang et al., 2023; Liakos et al., 2024; Wolfert et al., 2017). Yet, integration of such technologies within women-led grassroots enterprises remains underdeveloped.

This study proposes an innovation-centric ecosystem framework embedding AI capabilities within SHG-based Agri-enterprises.

2. Literature Review
2.1 Women's Empowerment and Enterprise Development
Empowerment is conceptualised through access to resources, agency, and achievements (Kabeer, 1999). Agarwal (1997) emphasises bargaining power within households as critical to economic equality. Institutional mechanisms such as SHGs enhance financial inclusion but often lack strategic enterprise orientation (Mukherjee, 2017).

2.2 Digital Agriculture and AI Transformation
Digital agriculture integrates AI, machine learning, IoT, predictive modelling, and big data analytics (Klerkx et al., 2019). AI applications in agriculture include:

- Crop disease detection
- Yield prediction modelling
- Precision irrigation systems
- Dynamic market analytics

Recent studies (Zhang et al., 2023; Liakos et al., 2024) demonstrate that AI-driven agriculture significantly enhances productivity and reduces risk exposure.

2.3 Theoretical Foundations

Resource-Based View (RBV): Firms gain sustained advantage through unique, valuable, and inimitable resources (Barney, 1991). AI capabilities function as strategic intangible assets.

Technology Adoption Model (TAM): Adoption depends on perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use (Davis, 1989).

Innovation Ecosystem Theory: Innovation emerges from interdependent networks of actors, institutions, and technologies (Adner, 2017).

3. Structural Constraints in SHG-Based Enterprises

Table 1: Structural Bottlenecks in Rural Women's SHG Enterprises

Constraint	Manifestation	Economic Effect
Informational Asymmetry	Limited real-time price intelligence	Reduced profit margins
Market Fragmentation	Dependence on intermediaries	Low value capture
Digital Divide	Limited AI literacy	Low adoption
Informal Governance	Weak accounting systems	Scalability constraints
Credit Dependence	Loan-driven survival	Financial vulnerability

These constraints necessitate capability-based intervention.

4. Proposed AI-Driven Agri-Business Innovation Ecosystem

The framework integrates five structural layers:

1. Policy Alignment
2. Technology Infrastructure
3. Capacity Development
4. Market Integration
5. Monitoring & Evaluation

Table 2: AI Capability Integration Framework

Dimension	AI Tool	Functional Outcome	Strategic Advantage
Production	Yield prediction models	Output optimization	Risk mitigation
Market	Demand forecasting	Reduced inventory loss	Price advantage
Pricing	Dynamic algorithms	Real-time price response	Revenue maximization
Finance	AI credit scoring	Improved capital access	Formalization
Supply Chain	Blockchain traceability	Transparency	Premium market entry

5. Expanded AI Applications

Table 3: AI Applications in Production Systems

AI System	Data Input	Enterprise Benefit	Long-Term Impact
Machine Learning Yield Models	Soil & weather data	Higher crop productivity	Stability
Pest Detection AI	Image analytics	Reduced loss	Cost control
Climate Forecast AI	Satellite data	Resilience planning	Sustainability

Table 4: AI in Market & Pricing Intelligence

AI Application	Function	Enterprise Outcome	Innovation Effect
Predictive Demand Analytics	Seasonal forecasting	Reduced wastage	Planning accuracy
Price Optimization Algorithms	Market data mining	Margin enhancement	Competitive positioning
Consumer Analytics	Behaviour analysis	Target marketing	Brand building

Table 5: AI in Financial & Supply Chain Optimisation

Application	Mechanism	Economic Outcome	Scalability
AI Credit Risk Scoring	Transaction analytics	Faster loan approval	Growth financing
Logistics AI	Route optimization	Cost reduction	Geographic expansion
Real-Time Dashboards	Profit analytics	Strategic control	Sustainable scaling

6. Actionable Institutional Pathways

Table 6: Policy-Level Strategies

Strategy	Execution Mechanism	Stakeholder	Outcome
AI Integration in NRLM	Digital advisory systems	Ministry	Systematic adoption
Agri-Tech PPP	Startup collaboration	Private firms	Innovation infusion
Rural AI Labs	Incubation centres	Universities	Ecosystem creation

Table 7: Capacity Building Framework

Program	Focus	Impact
Digital Literacy	App usage	Increased adoption
AI Awareness	Data culture	Behavioural shift
Enterprise Analytics	Profit dashboards	Financial discipline

7. Multi-Dimensional Impact Analysis

Table 8: Economic Impact

Indicator	Baseline	Transformation
Revenue	Low margins	Data-driven growth
Credit Dependence	High	Profit reinvestment
Productivity	Variable	AI optimization

Table 9: Social & Empowerment Impact

Dimension	Outcome	Citation
Agency	Decision autonomy	Kabeer (1999)
Bargaining Power	Household authority	Agarwal (1997)
Digital Inclusion	Reduced divide	OECD (2020)

8. Conclusion

Embedding AI within rural women's SHG ecosystems transforms collective credit groups into innovation-enabled enterprises. Unlike traditional microfinance models, AI-driven ecosystems create strategic capabilities, reduce informational asymmetry, and enhance sustainable value creation.

This model supports SDG 5, SDG 8, SDG 9, and India's Viksit Bharat 2047 vision.

Future empirical research may validate the framework through structural equation modelling and field experimentation.

References (APA 7th Edition)

Adner, R. (2017). Ecosystem as structure: An actionable construct for strategy. *Journal of Management, 43*(1), 39–58.

Agarwal, B. (1997). Bargaining and gender relations. *Feminist Economics, 3*(1), 1–51.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness and user acceptance of IT. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319–340.

FAO. (2022). *The state of food and agriculture 2022*.

Hausmann, R., Tyson, L., & Zahidi, S. (2022). *Global gender gap report 2022*. World Economic Forum.

ILO. (2022). *Global wage report 2022–23*.

Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, agency, achievements. *Development and Change, 30*(3), 435–464.

Klerkx, L., Jakku, E., & Labarthe, P. (2019). Digital agriculture review. *Agricultural Systems, 173*, 1–15.

Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., & Bochtis, D. (2024). Machine learning in agriculture: A review. *Sensors, 24*(2), 389–412.*

Mukherjee, D. (2017). Women's empowerment and gender equity in India. *Indian Journal of Public Administration, 63*(2), 162–177.

OECD. (2020). *Bridging the digital gender divide*.

UN Women. (2020). *Gender equality and SDGs*.

World Bank. (2019). *Digital agriculture report*.

Zhang, C., Li, Y., & Wang, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence applications in smart agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 205*, 107655.*