

Artificial Intelligence Methods Used in Sand Casting in Foundry: A Review

Author: Rasik Upadhye, Assistant Professor,

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nagarjuna Institute of Engineering, Technology and Management,
Affiliated to Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University

Abstract: With the objective to ensure casting quality and reduce casting rejection, this study reviews the literature on several AI techniques utilized in the metal casting industry. Artificial intelligence techniques such as decision trees, rough set theory, fuzzy logic, case-based reasoning, and various artificial neural networks

The foundries have made use of networks. These tools provide machines the power to learn and make decisions. 32 research publications in all have been cited in this work and are categorized into two categories. First designing a sand mold, then reducing defects. A survey of the literature from 1989 to 2017 is conducted, and the contributions of various computational intelligence techniques are then examined.

Keywords: Sand casting, Artificial intelligence, casting defect

Artificial Neural Network

The counterfeit brain organization (ANN) is an adaptable device, equipped for demonstrating non-direct cycles by laying out connections among info and result process boundaries — in light of the suitable measure of information — which portray the cycle. Crafted by the counterfeit brain organization (ANN) depends on the impersonation of the human cerebrum's capability, described by its high execution speed and elevated degree of capacity in taking care of complex and non-straight issues. A counterfeit brain organization (ANN) is a numerical portrayal of the human mind's capability. The two most significant attributes of the counterfeit brain organization (ANN) are the organization design, i.e., its construction, which incorporates the quantity of secret layers and the neurons in them, and the preparation calculation. The essential component of the fake brain organization (ANN) is a neuron, and neurons are interconnected through neurotransmitters, as described by synaptic weight. The standards of their working, and the sorts and learning of the calculations of brain networks have been an examination challenge since the earliest reference point of the improvement of brain network during the 20th hundred years, as a matter of fact from the principal studies led by McCulloch, Pitts, Hebb,

Widrow, Hoff and von Neumann, and later by Rumelhart and his exploration group. These days, these examination studies are accessible in different abstract structures [1, 2].

Genetic algorithm

Hereditary calculations (GA) are an effective advancement strategy that has a place with the group of developmental calculations. The attributes of this calculation depend on the impersonation of the organic development process. To be specific, the underlying populace is haphazardly framed, and it addresses a bunch of expected arrangements, the validities of which are evaluated on premise of the wellness capability. The arrangements with the best attributes are moved to the new populace, and hereditary administrators, for example, choice, hybrid and change are applied to them. In the following emphases, another evaluation and another hereditary administrator's application are done, until the comparing models of the improvement cycle are fulfilled. During the 1960s, Holland advanced the GA as an improvement apparatus, and afterward worked with his partners on its further turn of events, which was introduced in various accessible artistic sources [3, 4, 5, 6, and 7]. Hereditary calculations, these days, have tracked down applications in many designing applications because of their capacity to determine various advancement issues effectively.

Particle Swarm Optimization or Molecule Swarm Optimization

Molecule swarm improvement (PSO) is a stochastic streamlining method which was created by Eberhart and Kennedy in 1995, and was enlivened by the social way of behaving of birds running and fishes tutoring [8, 9]. In particular, their improvement calculation fundamentally contains a reenactment of birds rushing around food sources. The place of the food source is the arrangement of the advancement issue, and the areas of the people looking for food are expected answers for each cycle of the calculation. As a matter of fact, the calculation starts its work by making introductory particles to which it relegates beginning speeds. In each new emphasis, the calculation assesses the area of every molecule in light of the goal capability and characterizes the best area. From that point, it follows another determination of molecule speeds in view of the ongoing speed, the singular best areas, and the best areas of their neighbors. Along these lines, it dully refreshes the molecule areas. The calculation closes its work when it arrives at the halting rules [10, 11]

Fuzzy Logic

The possibility of fluffy rationale (FL) was advanced by Teacher Zadeh of the College of California at Berkeley in 1965 [12]. Teacher Mamdani utilized a fluffy rationale (FL) idea to control a programmed steam motor [13]. Since the 1980s, there has been an expansion in fluffy rationale's application in modern assembling, programmed control, car creation, banks, and medical clinics and so forth. The use of fluffy rationale depends

on advances, for example, fuzzification, the fluffy surmising interaction, and defuzzification. Fuzzification is an interaction that includes the change of traditional information into a type of fluffy information or participation capabilities (MFs). The fluffy surmising process creates fluffy result in light of a mix participation capabilities and fluffy standards. Defuzzification is an interaction that changes a fluffy end or result, which is an etymological variable, into a fresh factor [14].

Adaptive based fluffy deduction framework

The versatile organization based fluffy deduction framework (ANFIS) is a particular neuro-fluffy procedure which created the combination between the brain organization and the fluffy induction framework [15, 16, and 17]. Fluffy rationale, as a feature of the ANFIS procedure, considers the mistake and vulnerability of the framework being displayed, and the brain network gives the chance of the variation and change of the fluffy presentation. In this way, the brain network is a transformation system that can play out the change of control manages, the adjustment of the essential fluffy sets, and the determination of the defuzzification strategy, and so forth. The use of ANFIS in assembling advancements is reflected in the displaying of various non-direct cycles, and in the utilization of this technique in the plan of control frameworks. The plan of projecting framework was initially subject to the experience and ability of the designers; to lessen these reliance bunches of exploration work has been performed. [Ravi B. also, Srinivasan M.N., (1990), Nainy Nejad M., Creese R.C.et. all, (1997)] referenced the colossal future extent of PC created programs and calculations for distinguishing proof and examination of separating line, surfaces of sand shape.

Design using Artificial Intelligence in Sand Casting

A few PC based programming were likewise utilized for sand projecting mold plan using modulus strategy thinking about math of the given projecting [Knight B.et.al,(1995)] made a model for freezing estimation utilizing case-based thinking in light of arranged data set (of past modern cases).[Ravi B. (1997)] Introduced rule based approach for planning gating channel: including gating channel design choice, calculation of various gating framework boundaries and reproduction of filling of liquid metal in to the shape. In any case, the endeavors made by above written works for fixing framework configuration was restricted up to control based frameworks and cycles demonstrating thus for riser plan and improvement [Guleyupoglu S. (1995)] used Hereditary Calculations. [Ravi B, et.al, (1999)] Introduced reenactment programming Auto CAST for sand shape configuration was useful in plan alteration, quality improvement and tooling, fabricating cost decrease. To compute taking care of distance of riser of low alloy steel projecting sand shape [Carlson K.D. et.al, (2002), and Shouzhu Ou et.al, 2002)] fostered some taking care of length rules in light of Niyama Measure. The idea of

feeder configuration involving demonstrating strategies for feeder aspect assessment was proposed by [Jacib E. et.al, (2004)] he additionally used Hereditary Calculations for feeder improvement. For gating framework plan [Mohamed Ibrahim shukri , and Adil Mohamed Elbasheer, (2006)] fostered an information based master framework and [Adil Mohamed Elbasheer Ahmed (2011)] advanced fluffy based master framework which were useful for concluding appropriate gating and feeder for sand projecting also, complete number of entryway and feeder required.

Table 1. Artificial intelligence methods used for the improvement of the sand casting process.

Authors, Year	Short Description	Artificial Intelligence Methods				
		GA	PSO	ANN	FL	ANFIS
Karunakar and Datta (2007)	Optimization of the composition of green sand mixture.	•		•		
Karunakar and Datta (2007)	Prediction of the major casting part defects.			•		
Kotas et al. (2010)	Optimization of the gravity sand casting process.	•				
Surekha et al. (2011)	Optimization of the green sand mold system.	•			•	
Surekha et al. (2013)	Optimization of the green sand mold system.	•	•			
Parappagoudar et al. (2013)	Prediction of the sand mold characteristics.	•		•		
Chen et al. (2016)	Reduction of the defect of casting part and improve the quality of casting part.		•			
Dučić et al. (2016)	Optimization of the feeder’s geometry.	•				
Dučić et al. (2016)	Optimization of the gating system design.	•				
Dučić et al. (2016)	Intelligent systems for automatic control of mold filling.				•	•
Ktari and Elmansori (2020)	Optimization of the gating system design.			•		

Analyze defects in casting using Artificial Intelligence

Information based master framework was created with the target of assessment of plausible imperfection in hub symmetric castings [You I.C., Chu C.N., (1989)]. For discovery of problem area in castings while cementing [Ravi B. furthermore, Srinivasan M.N, (1990)] proposed PC realistic strategy using warm vector estimation along the projecting area math. [Viswanath R. furthermore, Jaluria Y., (1991)] Laid out an information Based Framework for planning the ingot projecting interaction and effectively communicated computational module of plan with the mechanized independent direction. A model Choice Emotionally supportive network was created [Robert T. Plant and Hu Qing (1992)] for deciding boundaries answerable for specific imperfections in steel projecting while creation processes itself. [Ransing S.R., Shrinivasan M.N., et. al., (1995)] laid out Shrewd PC Helped deformity examination framework for recognizable proof of boundaries liable for abandons in dark iron sand castings by using three level organized diagram, Bayesian examination and chill climbing search procedure .Ultrasonic ID strategy for grouping of a few little deformities like indents and metal balls and Brain Organization plan to order quality projecting was given by [Lilziaro A. et.al, (1998)]. For expectation of physical and substance nature of pliable cast iron [Perzyk Marcin and Kochanski W. Andrzej, (2001)] used Fake Brain Organization displaying of dissolving process under the commonsense state of foundry. For cause location of gas porosity deserts in sand projecting [Perzyk M. what's more, Kochanski A., (2003)] used Fake Brain Organization preparing utilizing process boundaries, materials utilized and laborers engaged with creation as contributions of organizations. The traditional rule based Master Frameworks were disengaged one and projecting imperfection examination and finding is a complex and multidisciplinary issue and Information based frameworks had a few impediments to manage this degree of intricacy consequently one Item based master framework outfitted with web openness was expected by [Moynihan G.P., et.al. (2001) and Moynihan G.P. et al, (2003)] for covering surface and entrance deserts examination in metal projecting. [Dwivedi S. N. furthermore, Sharan A., (2003)] created rule based master frameworks for understanding of imperfections by using non-damaging testing techniques. [Larranaga P. et.all, (2008)] introduced a warm examination data set for pre-evaluation of shrinkage porosity in bendable iron castings. To foresee a few significant imperfections in castings [Karnakaran Benny D. et. all, (2008)] utilized back spread neural network. For shrinkage pore position discovery [Pei Zhang, Zhiqiang Xu, Feng Shan Du, (2008)] prepared back propagation brain network with the FEM results and the program was stuck by hereditary calculation. [Senthilkumar B et. all, (2009)]used Plan of Analyses for assessing the elements influencing the draw down surrenders and the factor values were dissected by ANOVA and the outcomes were approved utilizing Fisher's test. [Singaram L. (2010)] offered Brain

Organization Modular to look at effect of interaction boundaries on quality qualities of green sand castings. [Mares E. furthermore, Sokolowski J.H. (2010)] Created Man-made reasoning based control framework for forecast of projecting properties by using some Measurable Interaction Control Techniques and Case Based Thinking Strategies. Devices associated with projecting deformity Recognizable proof and investigation needs to manage fragmented and questionable information. For deciding the sort of projecting deformity happening a model PC framework utilizing case Base Thinking roll dev. for deciding the kind of projecting deformity happening [D. Wilk-Kołodziejczyka, Rojeka G. also, Regulska K. (2014)]. [S.Kluska-nawarecka et. (201 4)] Introduced a model framework utilizing ETL-concentrate change burden process for information joining with regards to projecting deformity cause. A specialist framework (ESVOD) for projecting imperfection investigation was made by [T. Elbel et.all, (2015)] in the data framework Visual FoxPro and some more on line master frameworks like Web CADAS [Pandit H. C. (2015)] have likewise been utilized for projecting deformities ID, examination and anticipation. To foresee a shrinkage boundary (characterized as the general volume decrease of produced gatherings. From the pouring temperature to the room temperature) [Gutierrez J.M. et.all, (2017)] fabricated Bayesian organizations model as an element of the info handling factors

Conclusion

Various techniques for computational knowledge have been embraced by the foundries for first sand shape plan (for taking choices in regards to separating line determination as well as number, type and rise area and gating framework) second recognizable proof, determination, investigation and assessment of sand projecting deserts (surface deformities, gas related and cementing related surrenders). All computational techniques have contributed in building shrewd and navigation

Capacity in the foundries shall increase with lesser defects and including new technologies will have more skilled professionals, Material selection, costs, global demand, more stability will lead to new innovations. Artificial intelligence in manufacturing can improve productivity and yield of an organization.

References

1. Zilouchian, A.; Jamshidi, M. *Intelligent Control Systems Using Soft Computing Methodologies*; CRC Press LLC: New York, NY, USA, 2001. [[Google Scholar](#)]
2. Bishop, C.M. *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2006. [[Google Scholar](#)]Holland, J.H. *Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems: An Introductory Analysis with Applications to Biology, Control, and Artificial Intelligence*; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA USA, 1992. [[Google Scholar](#)]

3. De Jong, K. Adaptive System Design. A Genetic Approach. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* **1980**, *10*, 566–574. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)]
4. De Jong, K. In Proceedings of the First International Conference on Genetic Algorithms Lawrence Erlbaum, Broadway Hillsdale, NJ, USA, 24–26 July 1985; Genetic Algorithms: A 10 Year Perspective. Psychology Press: Hove, UK, 1985; pp. 169–177.
5. Goldberg, E.D. *Genetic Algorithms*; Pearson Education: Sholinganallur, India, 2006. [[Google Scholar](#)]
6. Melanie, M. *An Introduction to Genetic Algorithms*; the MIT Press: London, UK, 1998. [[Google Scholar](#)]
7. Eberhart, R.; Kennedy, J. New optimizer using particle swarm theory. *Proc. Int. Symp. Micro Mach. Hum. Sci.* **1995**, 39–43. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)]
8. Kennedy, J.; Eberhart, R. Particle swarm optimization. In Proceedings of the ICNN'95-International Conference on Neural Networks, Perth, Australia, 27 November–1 December 1995; Volume 4, pp. 1942–1948. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)]
9. Parsopoulos, E.K.; Vrahatis, N.M. *Particle Swarm Optimization and Intelligence: Advances and Applications*; IGI Global: Hershey, PA, USA, 2010. [[Google Scholar](#)]
10. Clerc, M. *Particle Swarm Optimization*; ISTE Ltd.: London, UK, 2006. [[Google Scholar](#)]
11. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control.* **1965**, *8*, 338–353. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)][[Green Version](#)]
12. Mamdani, E.H.; Assilian, S. An experiment in linguistic synthesis with a fuzzy logic controller. *Int. J. Man-Mach. Stud.* **1975**, *7*, 1–13. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)]
13. Bai, Y.; Wang, D. Fundamentals of Fuzzy Logic Control—Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Rules and Defuzzifications. In *Advances in Industrial Control*; Springer: London, UK, 2006; pp. 17–36. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)]
14. Jang, J.S.R.; Sun, C.T.; Mizutani, E. *Neuro-Fuzzy and Soft Computing: A Computational Approach to Learning and Machine Intelligence*; Prentice Hall Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1997. [[Google Scholar](#)]
15. Jang, J.S.R.; Sun, C.T. Neuro-Fuzzy Modeling and Control. *Proc. IEEE* **1995**, *83*, 378–406. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)]
16. Jang, J.S.R. ANFIS: Adaptive-Network-Based Fuzzy Inference System. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* **1993**, *23*, 665–685. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Cross Ref](#)]
17. Perzyk Marcin and Kochanski W. Andrzej, (2001), “Prediction of Ductile Cast Iron Quality by Artificial Neural Networks”, Journal of Material Processing Technology, 109, pp. 305-307.
18. Perzyk M. and Kochanski A., (2003), “Detection of Causes of Casting Defects Assisted by Artificial Neural Networks”, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 217, 9, ProQuest Journals, pp. 1279-1284.
19. Moynihan G.P. et al.,(2001), “Development of an Expert System to Diagnose Penetration and Coating Surface Defects on Castings, American Foundry Society Transactions, 01-002, pp. 1-5.
20. Moynihan G.P. et al, (2003), “A web-based expert system for casting defect diagnosis and analysis” American Foundry Society Transactions, Paper 03-008, pp5-8.
21. Dwivedi S. N. and Sharan A., (2003) “Development of Knowledge-Based Engineering Module for Diagnosis of Defects in Casting and Interpretation of Defects by Nondestructive Testing”, Journal of Materials Technology, Vol.141, pp.155-162.
22. P. Larranaga et.al, (2008), “A Computer-Aided System for Melt Quality and Shrinkage Propensity Evaluation Based on the Solidification Process of Ductile Iron” American Foundry Society.
23. Karnakaran Benny D. and Datta D.L., (2008), “Prevention of defects in casting using back propagation neural networks”, International Journal Advanced Manufacturing Technologies.
24. Pei Zhang, Zhiqiang Xu, Fengshan Du, (2008), “Optimizing Casting Parameters of Ingot Based on Neural Network and Genetic Algorithm”, 4th International Conference on Natural Computation, IEEE Computer Society, pp. 545-548.

25. Senthilkumar B et. all,(2009), “Process factor optimization for controlling pull-down defects in iron castings”, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 209, pp. 554-560.
26. Singaram L., (2010), “Improving Quality of Sand Casting using Taguchi Method and ANN Analysis”, International Journal on Design and Manufacturing Technology, Vol .4.
27. Mares E., and Sokolowski J.H., (2010), “Artificial intelligence-based control system for the analysis of metal casting properties”, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering, Vol.40, Issue2, pp149-153.
28. D. Wilk-Kołodziejczyk, Rojeka G. And K. Regulskia K., (2014), “The Decision Support System in the Domain of Casting Defects Diagnosis”, Archives of Foundry Engineering Volume 14 Issue 3 pp.107 –110.
29. S. Kluska-nawarecka et. all, (2014), “Computer-Assisted Integration of Knowledge in the context of identification of the causes of defects in castings”, Archives of metallurgy and materials, Vol. 59, issue 2
30. . T. Elbel et.all, (2015), “Expert System for Analysis of Casting - ESVOD ”, Archives of Foundry Engineering, Vol. 15, Issue 1, pp.17 – 20.
31. Pandit H. C., (2015), “WebCADAS: A New Online Education System for Casting Defect Identification, Analysis and Optimisation of Parameters”, International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology, Vol. 5 Issue 4, pp. 246-253.
32. Gutierrez J.M. et.all,(2017), “Statistical Study to Evaluate the Effect of Processing Variables on Shrinkage Incidence During Solidification of Nodular Cast Irons”, Minerals, Metals & Materials Society and ASM International, Vol. 48,pp. 1827-1840.