

## ASPECTS AND ACTIVITIES OF EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT

**Dr. P. Jeyabharathy**

Assistant Professor,  
School of Youth Empowerment  
Madurai Kamaraj University

### Abstract

*Employee engagement is serious to any organization that wants to sustain its Appreciated workers. There is Very much Important for the Useful application of the man Balanced movement of resources and organization. Without employee involvement, The system cannot survive for long. Employee engagement is significant for any service industry because it is workforce diversity in the service sector and more employees work inside a single system. It makes sense to deal with it from a human resources perspective. The employees of their organization need to focus on variables such as situations. From a selection perspective, it makes sense to focus on those that are more trait-like or stable variables. There are countless Reasons to believe that engagement is related to work results. While examining the determinants of performance, both extra-role and task performance mediate engagement outcomes, Self-efficacy, and peer support. Likewise, engagement moderately mediated the associations between work resources and institutional commitment.*

**Keywords:** Employee Engagement, Aspects of Employee Engagement, Activities of Employee Engagement.

### Introduction

In today's rapidly changing business environment, one of the toughest challenges That's business leaders face is elevated Long-term performance status and Achieving timely and better business results. The number of projects continues. Increasingly, resource-constrained businesses need more than just an extra pillTry. They must achieve a high level of consistent performance over is long Period.

"People are our most important asset" is one of the oldest sayings in commercials. Since the beginning of "scientific management," researchers have had difficulty understanding how aspects of human relations are affected in the workplace bottom line. Advances in the past decade and how we work have changed Beautiful Dramatically. A Census conducted By Gallup1 points out that On day A, only 20% of a group is fully engaged and enthusiastic about their work. Potential manufacturing power is a vast opportunity waiting To be used and exploited. So, how can we utilize this 80% potential? What can One need to maximize performance in the short term and, more importantly, sustain it over the long Period? The Answer lies In "Employee Engagement."

Employee engagement first appeared as a concept in management theory. The 1990s, became widely Inside management Practice Inside The 2000s, But still It is is competitive. It is in an unspecified relationship to the previous structure as suchMorale and job satisfaction. In 1993, Schmidt et al. proposed a bridge between pre-existing Comment of 'Work satisfied' And Employee Engagement with The Definition: "An employee's involvement , commitment and satisfaction Work. Employee Engagement There is A area of Employee Retention." This is definition Integrates The Centaram constructs of Work Satisfied (Smith And Al., 1969), And Institutional Dedication (mayor & Allen, 1991). Employee Engagemnet has become a widely used and popular term (Robinsonet al., 2004). However, most of what

has been written about employee engagement It can be found in practitioner magazines which have their basis in practice rather than that theory And experiential Research. as Mentioned By Robinson And Al. (2004), there has There has been surprisingly little academic and empirical research on the topic popular. As a result, employee engagement appears to be marginal Dim Or What some Call it "old wine". A New bottle."

## Reviews of related literature

Maintaining a good employer brand image is important for companies has Abundant Benefits Such as The best recruitment, retention And Employee engagement and commitment (Mead, 2018; Mone and London, 2018). Employee happiness Or well being There is Important Inside Any system as happily workers There are said They should be more dedicated in their work. In measuring happiness, an important one Factors to consider include the level of employee engagement ( Othman et al Al., 2018). study By Sharma & Rajput (2017) Size The condition of Employee Engagement in the IT industry in India. Data has been collected through From five Indians IT organizations using stratified random sampling. This is the result The survey shows the average employee engagement level of 100 respondents Above average value of five companies in Indian IT industry Favorable. The result also showed that there was no significant difference in level Employee Engagement by Gender, Age and Years of Experience of Employees. It has also been found that employees in the Indian IT industry believe in accreditation. Appreciation and reward are the motivating factor to keep them committed to work The Least important factor is in cash Benefits.

Benjamin & Carmeli (2017) pointed out the importance of social climate to nurture Employee Engagement at Work. however, workers' And Perceptions of managers can are different And These are may want Unique Impacts for Employee Engagement . Findings indicate that managers' perceptions of social climate Continuity is related to organizational identification and development satisfaction Promotes engagement in work. Findings also indicated no significant relationships between workers' Collaboration perception of Social Climate And Employee Engagement.

Anthony - McMann And Al. (2017) Clarify The Very much discussed relationship between Employee Engagement And Burn it And Challenge That Engagement activities That There are Conceptually grounded Inside A Burn-resistant structure. Impacts And ways for Future research There are Further provided

Bailey et al. (2017) conducted a systematic collection of narrative evidence related to 214 studies attention On that day The Meaning Pioneer And Results of Engagement. The authors recognized six distinct conceptualizations of Engagement, In a field dominated by the 'work engagement' framework of the Utrecht Group and measurement, and through the 'job demands-resources' theory of engagement structure. Five groups of Factors served as Pioneer to do engagement: psychological conditions; job design; leadership; organizational and group factors; And Organizational interventions. Engagement was found to be positively related Personal willpower, task efficiency, Additional stock performance And Institutional efficiency, And The Evidence was Most Strong In connection with that to do task performance.

Safety climate is a measure of the degree to which safety is perceived Employees should be a priority in their organization, often implicated as a key factor in injury -reducing behavior and a safer work environment. Using community A study by Huang (2016) considers exchange theory as a theoretical basis Safety climate refers to employee job satisfaction, Engagement and The turnover rate highlights the beneficial effects of a typical safety climate Security Results.

Albrecht et al. (2015) argue in favor of a four-key how-to model HRM Procedures attention On that day Engagement Influence Institutional climate, Job demands and job resources, safety, meaningful psychological experiences And Available at work, Employee engagement, And personal, group And Institutional performance And Competition Advantage. This is concept Review Focuses on research

evidence that shows interactions between organizations Environmental factors, job factors, individual employee psychology and inspiring factors, employee outcomes, organizational outcomes and competitive advantage. The proposed model Integrates Structures That want Before Run freely In the HR and engagement literature. Authors conclude that HRM practitioners Go beyond annual engagement surveys and routine management HRM policies and practices should embed engagement, such as personnel selection, socialization, performance management, and training and development

Anita's study (2014) All identified factors were identified They were predictors Employee engagement ( $r^2$ , 0.672), however, was the variable with the greatest impact were Work environment And Team And co-worker relationship Employee Engagement had a significant effect on employee performance ( $r^2$ , 0.597). Special Attention and effort are especially needed Factors include work-environment and They have shown significantly greater impact on team and co-worker relationships Employee engagement and hence employee performance. Organizations should focus on providing the best environment for employees to work and develop projects That improve Co relationships.

### Aspects of Employee Engagement

Three elementary aspects of employee engagement according to the Global Studies are

- The employees and their own unique psychological makeup and experience.
- The employers and their ability of create the conditions the promote employee engagement.
- Interaction between employees at all levels.

Thus it is largely the organization's responsibility's to create an environment and culture conducive to this partnership, and a win-win situation.

### Employee Engagement Activities

- Picnic at regular intervals
- Movies at interval of 2 months
- A daily column, written by CEO, on the intranet with company announcements/programs etc.
- Update via an overhead paging system, which is used to recognize employees for significant business achievements.
- Employee suggestion systems/quick responses.
- Live version of internal house magazine.
- CEO spending time in face to face communication with staff.
- CEO based FAQ questions on company business.
- Celebration of Employees Birthday
- Monthly Staff award

Employee Engagement Samples And theory was given The Defined Research On that day Employee engagement, there has was Little Inside The the way of model Or theory Development. however, there There are Two Streams of Research That provide Samples Employee engagement. In his qualitative study of the psychological conditions of personal involvement and work involvement, Khan (1990)

interviewed summer Camp counselors and company members of an architectural firm Moments of Engagement and disengagement from work. Kahn (1990) found that there Three are psychological Conditions relating to engagement or disengagement At Work: Meaningfulness, Security and Availability. In other words, there were more workers They are employed in situations that give them more psychological meaning And psychological safety, Then when They are were Further Psychologically Available.

In the only study empirically testing Khan's (1990) model, May et al. (2004) detected That meaningful, security, And Availability was Significantly related to to do engagement. They are Further detected That Work Enrichment And Stock Fit were Positive Predictors of meaningfulness; Rewarding co-worker And Supportive Supervisor Relationships were positive predictors of safety while adhering to coworking norms Self-esteem was a negative predictor; And available resources are positive A predictor of psychological availability through participation in outdoor activities a Negative Predictor.

## Conclusion

Engaged employees are more probable to stay with their organization 20 percent perform better than their colleagues, and they act as their advocates system. Employee Engagement is bringing A lot Positive consequences for The Organizations and employees. There is an acceptance that employee engagement Correlates with business results. There are countless Reasons to do believe That Engagement There is related to to do Work Results. While examining the determinants of performance was discovered Both extra-role performance and task performance mediate engagement outcomes Self-efficacy and peer support. Likewise, engagement moderately mediated the associations between Work resources And Institutional Commitment.

## Notes

1. AbuKhalifeh , AN, & Som , APM (2013). Precursor to affect Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance. *Asian Social Sciences*, 9 (7), 41- 46.
2. Axdell, CM, Holman, DJ, Unsworth , KL, Wall, TD, Watterson , PE, & Harrington, E. (2000). Storefront innovation: Facilitating consultation and implementation of ideas. *Journal of Industry and Organization psychology* , 73 (3), 265-285.
3. Bucker , AB, & Scheufeli , WB (2008). Positive Organizational Behavior :Employee involved in successful companies. *Journal of Organizational behavior* , 29, 147-154.
4. Baumruk , R. (2004), "The Missing Link: The Role of Employee Engagement Commercial Victory", Engraving , Vol. 47, p. 48-52.
5. Albrecht, SL, Bakker, AB, Gruman , JA, Macey, WH, & Saks, AM (2015) Employee Engagement, Human Resource Management Practices and Competitive Advantage: An Integrated Approach. *Journal of Organizational Performance: People and Performance* , 2 (1), 7-35.
6. Anita , J. (2014). Determinants of employee engagement and their impact Employee performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance management* , 63 (3), 308.
7. Anthony McMann , \_ B. E., Ellinger , A. D., Astakova , M., & Halbesleben , J.R. (2017). Exploring various functions of employee engagement and their relationships with workplace stress and burnout. *Human resources Development quarter* , 28 (2), 163-195.
8. Bailey, C., Madden, A., Alfes , K., & Fletcher, L. (2017). material, Antecedents and Consequences of Employee Engagement: A Narrative Synthesis. *International Journal of Management Reviews* , 19 (1), 31-53.