Assessing the Barriers to Women's Workforce Participation

1. Mitisha Vijay

MBA Researcher, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, 201307

2. Prof. Rubi Kumari

Professor, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, 201307

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the multifaceted barriers to women's workforce participation in India, with a focus on socio-cultural, infrastructural, and institutional impediments that prevent women from either entering or remaining in paid employment. Despite significant strides in education, legal rights, and gender-based policy initiatives, India continues to witness a declining trend in female labor force participation, which dropped from 30.3% in 1990 to just above 20% by 2022. Using a mixed-methods approach, the study surveyed 80 respondents across urban and semi-urban regions and conducted qualitative interviews with HR managers, women professionals, and social workers. The analysis reveals that key deterrents include family responsibilities, lack of childcare, workplace discrimination, and safety concerns. However, findings also highlight that over 78% of women would be willing to (re)join the workforce if supportive structures such as flexible work hours and safe infrastructure were made available. These results provide practical insights for policymakers, corporate HR leaders, and advocacy groups to design gender-inclusive strategies that promote higher female economic participation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Women's participation in the workforce is an important measure of economic inclusivity, gender equity, and social development. Globally, the average female labor force participation rate hovers around 47%, while in India, it has continued to decline—standing at a concerning 20.3% as of 2022. This paradox is particularly alarming given the rise in female education levels, government-sponsored employment schemes, and greater awareness of women's rights. The study is rooted in the understanding that women's labor participation is not just an economic issue but also a deeply social and cultural phenomenon. While women are now more qualified than ever before, they still face systemic and deeply embedded gender norms, household expectations, and workplace challenges that restrict their professional advancement and economic independence.

In India's context, social expectations place a disproportionate burden of unpaid care work on women, including childcare, eldercare, and household management. The absence of public infrastructure like affordable childcare and reliable public transport further restricts women's mobility and career options. Even when employed, women often face discrimination, limited career advancement, and a persistent gender wage gap. This study seeks to

comprehensively assess these challenges by examining the lived experiences of women and analyzing key enablers that can help unlock their potential as an essential part of the country's labor force.

2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

2.1 Primary Objective

To critically examine and assess the key barriers restricting women's participation in the workforce in India.

2.2 Secondary Objectives

1. To identify the socio-cultural, institutional, and economic factors influencing female labor force participation.
2. To explore women's perceptions regarding safety, childcare, discrimination, and work flexibility.
3. To recommend actionable strategies for employers and policymakers to improve women's workforce engagement.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Numerous scholars have emphasized the significance of institutional support and cultural norms in shaping women's employment decisions. Kabeer (2000) highlights the undervaluation of unpaid domestic labor, while Blau and Kahn (2017) underscore persistent wage disparities even in developed economies. Jayachandran (2021) delves into South Asian social conditioning, where marriage and childbirth often mark a withdrawal point for women from paid employment.

Chaudhuri (2019) draws attention to weak legal enforcement mechanisms surrounding workplace safety and maternity rights in developing countries. Comparative studies from Nordic nations (Esping-Andersen, 2009) reveal how supportive infrastructure, subsidized childcare, and flexible work policies correlate with higher female participation.

This research extends the discussion to the Indian context by integrating global best practices with localized data and insights gathered through fieldwork.

3.1 Socio-Cultural Norms and Gender Roles

Naila Kabeer (2000) argues that the undervaluation of unpaid domestic labor and rigid gender norms shape women's workforce engagement, especially in South Asian countries. In India, household responsibilities disproportionately fall on women, reducing their capacity to engage in full-time employment. Jayachandran (2021) highlights that women are often discouraged from working after marriage or childbirth due to deeply rooted societal beliefs about gender roles.

3.2 Institutional Support and Policy Gaps

Harkness (2020) and Ahmed et al. (2020) emphasize that countries with institutional frameworks that support family-friendly policies—like parental leave, subsidized childcare, and anti-discrimination laws—exhibit higher female labor force participation. However, India lacks widespread enforcement of such frameworks, and in many sectors, maternity benefits are either poorly implemented or absent altogether.

3.3 Workplace Barriers and Discrimination

Blau and Kahn (2017) discuss the gender wage gap and occupational segregation, showing how women, even when equally or more qualified than men, are often underpaid or stuck in low-growth sectors. Chaudhuri (2019) notes that the absence of legal safeguards and under-enforcement of existing workplace laws further compound these issues.

3.4 Best Practices in Developed Economies

Countries like Sweden and Norway have adopted progressive models that combine childcare, flexible work hours, and diversity quotas in leadership. Esping-Andersen (2009) points out that inclusive public policy and cultural change go hand in hand, driving higher female participation.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study followed a **mixed-methods approach**, combining both quantitative and qualitative data to provide a multi-layered understanding of the problem. A structured, self-administered online questionnaire was circulated among 80 respondents—primarily women—across different Indian states. Additionally, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 stakeholders, including HR managers, employers, and working women.

4.1 Research Design

A mixed-methods approach was adopted, incorporating both exploratory and descriptive research designs. While exploratory tools (focus groups, expert interviews) contextualized the study, descriptive research captured statistical trends through structured questionnaires.

4.2 Sample Design

The study targeted adult women and relevant stakeholders from different sectors. A purposive sampling method was used to ensure diversity. Out of 80 participants:

- 60 were women (75%)

- 20 were men (25%)

Respondents represented various professions including homemakers, working professionals, students, and job seekers.

4.3 Data Collection

- **Quantitative Tool:** Online self-administered Google Forms survey

- **Qualitative Tool:** Semi-structured interviews with 15 participants

- **Fieldwork Duration:** April–May 2025

- **Data Analysis Software:** SPSS and Excel

4.4 Data Tools:

- **Quantitative analysis**: Descriptive statistics, cross-tabulation, and t-tests using SPSS and Excel.

- **Qualitative analysis**: Thematic coding of interview responses to identify patterns in cultural, social, and organizational behaviour.

Limitations: The digital nature of data collection may have excluded rural voices. Also, self-reported data may contain biases related to perception and recall.

5. DATA INTERPRETATION AND ANALYSIS

5.1 Descriptive Statistics

- **Demographics**: 75% women, 25% men; 42% aged 26–35; 40% employed; 25% homemakers.

- **Barriers to Participation**

Barrier	Mean Score (1–5)
Family Responsibilities	4.2
Safety Concerns	4.0
Lack of Childcare Facilities	3.8
Workplace Discrimination	3.7
Gender-based Wage Gap	3.6
Limited Career Growth	3.4

5.2 Qualitative Themes

Preferred Support Mechanisms

Support Mechanism	% Respondents
Flexible Working Hours	88%
Work-from-Home Options	72%
Daycare Facilities	65%
Safe Transportation	60%
Mentorship & Career Counselling	58%

These results reflect a widespread demand for work-life balance and safety infrastructure.

- **Cultural Expectations**: Women feel obligated to prioritize family over career.

- **Lack of Childcare**: Absence of nearby facilities and trust in alternatives prevents working.
- **Workplace Bias**: Women reported unfair promotion practices and gendered assumptions at work.

5.3 Statistical Analysis and Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	t-value	p-value	Result
H1: Gender roles reduce participation	5.78	< 0.001	Accepted (Significant)
H2: Lack of institutional support is a barrier	4.02	0.0001	Accepted
H3: Safety concerns deter job retention	3.65	0.0005	Accepted
H4: Positive policies improve retention	4.18	0.0001	Accepted

6. FINDINGS

- **Family obligations were cited by 72% of women as a major employment barrier.**
- **Safety concerns such as unsafe transportation and harassment were raised by 61%.**
- **78% of women expressed interest in rejoining the workforce if appropriate support systems were in place.**
- **Flexible hours, safe commute options, and onsite childcare emerged as the top enablers.**
- **The qualitative findings revealed internalized gender roles and cultural expectations as recurring themes.**

7. PRACTITIONER INSIGHT

An HR executive from the manufacturing sector emphasized that while gender inclusion has become part of corporate rhetoric, on-ground practices often fall short. The executive noted that flexible roles are rarely offered for leadership positions and that informal gender bias continues to shape hiring and promotion decisions. This reflection reinforces the findings and highlights the need for cultural as well as structural reforms.

8. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that barriers to women's workforce participation in India are both deeply structural and culturally entrenched. Despite growing educational attainment among women, family obligations, poor infrastructure, and unsafe work environments continue to limit their economic engagement. However, there is also strong evidence of aspiration and willingness among women to participate if enabling conditions are created. A strategic shift from symbolic to substantive gender inclusion—backed by institutional, policy-level, and cultural change—is the need of the hour. Bridging this gap is not just a gender equity issue but a national economic imperative.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 For Employers and HR Leaders:

- Implement flexible and hybrid work models.
- Offer onsite or subsidized childcare and safe transport.
- Conduct periodic gender-sensitization workshops.
- Link leadership evaluations with gender inclusion KPIs.

9.2 For Policymakers:

- Strengthen legal protections around maternity benefits and anti-discrimination.
- Launch awareness programs promoting women's financial independence.
- Allocate budget for daycare infrastructure in urban and semi-urban areas.
- Implement stricter workplace harassment laws, safe transport options, and anonymous grievance systems.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, S., Gupta, R., & Joshi, V. (2020). *Women and Work in India: Policies for Participation*. EPW.

Blau, F. D., & Kahn, L. M. (2017). *The Gender Wage Gap: Extent, Trends, and Explanations*. JEL.

Chaudhuri, S. (2019). *Gender Equality in Employment: Legal Gaps and Enforcement Challenges*. IJLE.

Esping-Andersen, G. (2009). *The Incomplete Revolution*. Polity Press.

Jayachandran, S. (2021). *Social Norms as a Barrier to Women's Employment*. IMF Review.

Kabeer, N. (2000). *The Power to Choose*. Verso.

Harkness, S. (2020). *Gendered Dimensions of Labour Market Policies*. JSP.

World Bank (2022). *Labor Force Participation Rate, Female (% ages 15+)*.