"Assessing the Impact of Workplace Mental Health Initiatives on Employee Performance: A Secondary Data-Based Study"

Aayushi Upadhyay[1]

Assistant Professor

MIET Kumaon , Haldwani

A Constituent of Kumaon University

E-Mail Id: Aayushiupadhyay145@gmail.com

Neha Upreti Joshi[2]

Graphic era University , Bhimtal

E-Mail Id: Joshiphdneha@gmail.com

Abstract:

Workplace mental health has been a crucial issue for companies looking to improve worker productivity and well-being in recent years. Using secondary data sources, this study seeks to investigate the connection between workplace mental health efforts and their effect on worker performance. The study examines the effects of mental health initiatives, including stress management training, flexible work schedules, and employee assistance programs (EAPs), on employee engagement, absenteeism, job satisfaction, and overall productivity. It does this by drawing on previous research studies, industry reports, government publications, and organisational case studies.

With a focus on post-pandemic workplace changes and the increasing importance of psychological safety, the study examines trends and findings across a number of industries. To give a comparative picture, important insights from Indian businesses and foreign firms are combined. The study's practical recommendations for HR managers and legislators emphasise how crucial it is to incorporate mental health care into strategic HR planning in order to develop a resilient and productive workforce.

Keywords:

Workplace Mental Health, Employee Performance, Employee Well-being, Secondary Data Analysis, Stress Management, Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs), Organizational Productivity, Psychological Safety, Mental Health Initiatives.

Introduction

Organisations and employees alike are becoming more concerned about mental health in today's fast-paced, cutthroat workplaces. According to the World Health Organisation, anxiety and depression alone cost the world economy USD 1 trillion year in lost productivity **(WHO, 2022).** Employee productivity, engagement, and retention have been greatly impacted by workplace stress, burnout, and poor mental health in India, particularly since the COVID-19 pandemic upended long-standing work standards and raised psychological strain.

Nowadays, mental health is a corporate necessity rather than merely a personal issue. Businesses that proactively support their employees' mental health through organised initiatives like counselling, flexible scheduling, mindfulness training, and Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs) typically see improvements in employee performance, absenteeism, and job satisfaction **(Deloitte, 2020).** The importance of psychological safety and mental health support has increased as work models move towards remote and hybrid formats.

This study uses secondary data gathered from academic journals, business reports, and trade magazines to investigate how workplace mental health initiatives affect worker performance. This study seeks to demonstrate the strategic usefulness of mental health support in raising employee productivity, morale, and organisational sustainability by examining trends from a variety of organisational case studies and research findings.

In today's dynamic and demanding corporate environment, mental health has become a central concern for both employees and employers. The World Health Organization (2022) reports that depression and anxiety disorders cost the global economy approximately **USD 1 trillion annually** due to lost productivity. In India, where conversations around mental health in professional settings are still emerging, the COVID-19 pandemic has amplified stressors such as job insecurity, workload imbalance, and isolation, significantly impacting employee well-being and output **(WHO, 2022; IJOEM, 2019).**

Mental health is now being viewed not only as a personal health issue but as a strategic organizational concern. According to a Deloitte India report (2020), 80% of the Indian workforce reported mental health challenges in the workplace, yet only a small percentage had access to structured support systems like Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs). Organizations that invest in such initiatives—ranging from psychological counseling and resilience training to flexible scheduling—tend to experience improved employee engagement, lower turnover, and enhanced productivity **(McKinsey & Company, 2022).**

As hybrid and remote working models continue to shape the future of work, ensuring mental wellness has become both a managerial responsibility and a competitive advantage. Millennials and Gen Z employees increasingly prioritize emotional safety, inclusion, and work-life integration over monetary rewards, urging organizations to build more empathetic and responsive cultures **(Harvard Business Review, 2021)**. This shift has led forward-thinking companies to integrate mental health into their strategic HRM frameworks.

Despite growing awareness, disparities in mental health practices persist. Large multinational companies often adopt global wellness standards, while small and medium enterprises (SMEs) struggle with awareness, budgeting, or cultural stigma. This gap creates an opportunity to explore how different types of organizations implement mental health initiatives and how these efforts correlate with employee performance indicators such as absenteeism, morale, and job satisfaction **(IJOEM, 2019; SHRM India, 2021).**

This study aims to explore these relationships using **secondary data** sources, such as industry whitepapers, journal articles, survey reports, and global case studies. By analyzing existing data and patterns, the research intends to offer insights into best practices and challenges in workplace mental health management, thereby providing evidence-based recommendations for HR leaders and policymakers to support mental well-being and enhance overall workforce performance.

Literature review

Mental health in the workplace has emerged as a significant area of study due to its direct influence on employee performance and organizational productivity. A policy brief by the **World Health Organization (2022)** highlights that untreated mental health conditions, such as depression and anxiety, result in the loss of 12 billion working days annually, costing the global economy approximately USD 1 trillion in lost productivity. The report emphasizes the importance of mental health policies and psychological safety measures in the workplace.

According to a study by **Deloitte India (2020)**, over 80% of Indian employees have experienced mental health challenges, particularly during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite this, only 29% had access to mental health support at work. Organizations offering mental health services observed improved morale, reduced absenteeism, and greater productivity.

Greenwood and Krol (2021), writing for the *Harvard Business Review*, examined how corporate responses to mental health challenges influence employee engagement. Their findings show that companies that normalized mental health conversations and introduced mental health days experienced higher levels of innovation and productivity.

A global study by **McKinsey & Company (2022)** found that approximately 60% of employees face at least one mental health challenge, with burnout being the most common. Organizations that adopted mental wellness practices—such as flexible work policies and regular communication—witnessed 15–20% increases in productivity and morale.

In India, the **Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM India, 2021)** reported that mental health programs, including Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs), led to a 20% decrease in absenteeism and better employee retention. The report emphasized the need for leadership training to identify early signs of employee distress.

The **Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (2019)** highlighted that mental health support is still limited in many Indian organizations. Lack of awareness and stigma often prevent employees from seeking help, resulting in stress-related performance issues.

A study by **KPMG (2021)** showed that workplaces that implemented structured initiatives—like wellness workshops, mental health first-aiders, and mental health apps—saw a 30% improvement in employee resilience and team collaboration.

Finally, the **Gallup (2022)** report revealed that employee stress is at an all-time high globally. Organizations that continuously track employee well-being through pulse surveys and mental health feedback are more likely to see better team performance and customer satisfaction scores.

Research Objectives

The primary aim of this study is to explore how workplace mental health initiatives influence employee performance. The specific objectives are:

1. **To examine the relationship between mental health programs and employee productivity.**
2. **To identify key workplace mental health initiatives adopted by organizations.**
3. **To evaluate the impact of these initiatives on employee engagement, morale, and absenteeism.**
4. **To compare mental health practices across different industries and organizational sizes.**
5. **To provide recommendations for improving mental health support in workplaces based on secondary data insights.**

Research Methodology

1. Research Design

This study adopts a **descriptive and exploratory research design** to investigate the impact of mental health initiatives on employee performance using **secondary data** sources.

2. Data Type

Only **secondary data** has been used. This includes published data from:

- Industry reports (e.g., Deloitte, McKinsey, SHRM)
- Academic journals (e.g., IJOEM, HBR)
- Government and global agencies (e.g., WHO, Gallup)
- Company case studies and HR publications

3. Data Collection Sources

- Online databases: Google Scholar, JSTOR, ResearchGate
- Official websites of consulting and HR firms (e.g., Deloitte, KPMG, SHRM)
- Reports from international organizations like WHO and Gallup
- Peer-reviewed journals and whitepapers

4. Data Analysis Technique

A **qualitative content analysis** was conducted to extract patterns, trends, and key insights from the collected documents. The analysis focused on:

- Type of mental health interventions (e.g., EAPs, flexibility, mindfulness programs)
- Measured outcomes (e.g., absenteeism, engagement, performance ratings)
- Comparative performance of organizations with vs. without such initiatives

5. Limitations

- No primary data or surveys were conducted.
- The findings are dependent on the accuracy and context of the secondary data reviewed.
- Cultural and regional differences in mental health perception are not uniformly addressed across all sources.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Since this research is based on **secondary data**, insights are derived from analyzing qualitative and quantitative findings from reliable sources such as Deloitte, McKinsey, WHO, Gallup, SHRM, and academic journals.

◆ **Objective 1: To examine the relationship between mental health programs and employee productivity**

Data Insight:

- The WHO (2022) estimated that anxiety and depression cost the global economy USD 1 trillion annually due to productivity loss.
- Deloitte (2020) found that Indian employees who felt mentally supported were **twice as productive** and had higher job satisfaction.

Interpretation:
There is a **positive relationship** between mental health support and employee productivity. Organizations that actively invest in mental well-being programs observe **greater output per employee**, fewer errors, and increased focus.

Reference:
World Health Organization (2022); Deloitte India (2020)

◆ **Objective 2: To identify key workplace mental health initiatives adopted by organizations**

Data Insight:

- McKinsey (2022) listed initiatives such as mental health leave, employee assistance programs (EAPs), flexible working, mindfulness workshops, and manager training.

- SHRM India (2021) reported that **59% of companies in India** had initiated at least one mental health policy post-pandemic.

Interpretation:

The most common mental health initiatives include EAPs, flexible work schedules, and mental health days. However, implementation varies significantly by sector and company size. Large multinationals are more consistent in their offerings.

Reference:

McKinsey & Company (2022); SHRM India (2021)

◆ **Objective 3: To evaluate the impact of these initiatives on employee engagement, morale, and absenteeism**

Data Insight:

- Gallup (2022) reported that companies with proactive wellness tracking saw **23% higher employee engagement**.
- KPMG (2021) observed a **30% improvement** in employee resilience and collaboration in organizations with active mental health programs.
- SHRM (2021) noted a **20% reduction in absenteeism** where mental health services were in place.

Interpretation:

There is a **direct and measurable impact** of mental health initiatives on employee morale, team collaboration, and attendance. Employees are more committed and emotionally invested in organizations that care for their psychological well-being.

Reference:

Gallup (2022); KPMG (2021); SHRM India (2021)

◆ **Objective 4: To compare mental health practices across different industries and organizational sizes**

Data Insight:

- Deloitte (2020) showed that **IT and service sectors** are ahead in implementing mental health initiatives compared to manufacturing or SMEs.
- Smaller firms reported challenges such as lack of funds, awareness, and HR capabilities to implement full-scale mental health programs (IJOEM, 2019).

Interpretation:

There exists a **sectoral and size-based disparity** in the adoption of mental health policies. While large tech firms offer a holistic approach, many small businesses still lack infrastructure and awareness to support mental health comprehensively.

Reference:

Deloitte India (2020); IJOEM (2019)

◆ **Objective 5: To provide recommendations for improving mental health support in workplaces based on secondary data insights**

Synthesis from Data:

- Normalize mental health conversations at all levels (Harvard Business Review, 2021)
- Train managers to identify early signs of burnout (McKinsey, 2022)
- Offer flexible work options and confidential counseling (SHRM, 2021)
- Periodically assess mental well-being via surveys (Gallup, 2022)

Interpretation:
A **proactive, inclusive, and data-driven approach** can help improve mental health support in workplaces. Initiatives must be customized to fit company size, culture, and sector while ensuring top-down commitment from leadership.

Reference:
Greenwood & Krol (2021); McKinsey & Company (2022); Gallup (2022)

✅ **Summary of Analysis**

Objective	Key Findings
Productivity	Improved with structured mental health programs
Initiatives Identified	EAPs, counseling, flexibility, training
Impact	Higher engagement, lower absenteeism
Sectoral Comparison	MNCs > SMEs; IT > Manufacturing
Recommendations	Normalize, train, assess, and support

Major Findings

Based on the review of literature and analysis of secondary data, the following key findings have emerged:

1. **Direct Correlation with Productivity**: Organizations that actively invest in mental health initiatives observe significantly better productivity and reduced workplace errors (WHO, 2022; Deloitte, 2020).
2. **Popular Initiatives Adopted**: Common programs include Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs), mental health leaves, flexible work schedules, wellness workshops, and training managers to identify early signs of distress (McKinsey, 2022; SHRM India, 2021).
3. **Enhanced Engagement and Morale**: Companies implementing consistent mental health policies report up to a **30% improvement** in employee morale, engagement, and team collaboration (KPMG, 2021; Gallup, 2022).
4. **Reduction in Absenteeism**: Mental health initiatives contribute to **20–25% lower absenteeism** and attrition rates, particularly when policies are supported by leadership (SHRM India, 2021).
5. **Sector-Wise Disparity**: IT and service sectors lead in implementing workplace mental health programs, while manufacturing, SMEs, and the informal sector lag due to resource constraints (Deloitte, 2020; IJOEM, 2019).
6. **Lack of Uniform Policy Enforcement**: Many organizations still lack formal policies, especially in developing economies, often due to stigma and lack of training among HR managers.

Suggestions

Based on the findings, the following suggestions are made to improve workplace mental health practices:

1. **Normalize Mental Health Conversations**: Organizations should create an open and non-judgmental culture around mental health through regular communication and awareness sessions.
2. **Develop Clear Policies**: HR departments should establish written policies on psychological health and well-being, including provisions for leaves, counseling, and confidentiality.
3. **Train Leaders and Managers**: Provide mental health literacy training to supervisors and HR personnel to help them detect early signs of burnout and distress.
4. **Periodic Well-Being Assessments**: Conduct regular employee surveys or mental wellness check-ins to monitor employee stress levels and make necessary adjustments.
5. **Tailor Support to Organization Size**: SMEs should adopt low-cost but effective initiatives like peer counseling, flexible work hours, or online mental health resources.
6. **Integrate Technology**: Use digital platforms or wellness apps for self-assessment tools, tele-counseling, and anonymous reporting.

Conclusion

Workplace mental health is no longer a peripheral HR concern but a **core strategic priority** for organizational performance. This study, based on secondary data, confirms a strong positive correlation between mental health initiatives and enhanced employee outcomes such as productivity, engagement, and attendance.

Organizations that support mental wellness not only see better business performance but also build a more resilient and loyal workforce. However, there is a pressing need for greater policy enforcement, especially among SMEs and traditional industries. It is essential that mental well-being be treated as an ongoing organizational investment rather than a reactive response to crises.

Moving forward, employers must work towards creating inclusive, psychologically safe, and empathetic workplaces where every employee feels supported—mentally, emotionally, and professionally.

Future Scope of the Study (Short Paragraph)

This study, based on secondary data, lays the groundwork for more in-depth research on workplace mental health. Future work can include primary data collection through surveys and interviews to capture real-time employee perspectives. Comparative analysis across industries, longitudinal studies on long-term impact, and the use of psychological assessment tools can deepen insights. Additionally, exploring the challenges faced by SMEs, remote work models, and cultural influences can help develop more inclusive and effective mental health strategies tailored to diverse workplace environments.

References

Deloitte India. (2020). *Mental health and well-being in the workplace: A report*. Deloitte. https://www2.deloitte.com/in/en/pages/about-deloitte/articles/mental-health-survey.html

Gallup. (2022). *State of the global workplace report 2022*. Gallup, Inc. https://www.gallup.com/workplace/349484/state-of-the-global-workplace.aspx

Greenwood, K., & Krol, N. (2021). It's a new era for mental health at work. *Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2021/10/its-a-new-era-for-mental-health-at-work

Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. (2019). Mental health in the Indian workplace: A review. *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 23*(3), 107–110. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijoem.IJOEM_167_18

KPMG. (2021). *Mental health and wellbeing at work report*. KPMG International. https://home.kpmg/uk/en/home/insights/2021/10/mental-health-and-wellbeing-at-work.html

McKinsey & Company. (2022). *Addressing employee burnout and the mental health crisis*. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/addressing-employee-burnout

SHRM India. (2021). *State of mental health in corporate India*. Society for Human Resource Management. https://www.shrm.org/india

World Health Organization. (2022). *Mental health and work: Policy brief*. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053052