

Beyond Compliance: Embedding Green HRM in Corporate Sustainability Strategies – A Case-Based Exploration

Sneha Dutta

State Aided College Teacher,
Department of BBA, Asutosh College;
Research Scholar, Amity University

Dr. Rajib Dutta

Associate Professor
Amity Business School, Amity University Kolkata

Abstract - This paper explores the emerging role of Green Human Resource Management (Green HRM) as a strategic driver for sustainability in Indian industries. Using secondary data and qualitative content analysis, it examines how Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC), and Suzlon Energy incorporate green HRM principles and sustainability practices to align with environmental, social, and economic goals. The study highlights sector-specific initiatives, including workforce training, carbon footprint reduction, renewable energy investments, and biodiversity efforts. By analyzing corporate reports and scholarly literature, the paper provides insights into how HR practices can be leveraged to advance sustainable development and operational excellence in diverse industrial contexts.

Key Words: Sustainable Developmental Goals, Green HRM, Management Practices, Corporate Sustainability, Operational Excellence

1. INTRODUCTION

Sustainability and environmental stewardship are increasingly becoming core business imperatives. With climate change and social responsibility reshaping organizational agendas, businesses are under pressure to adopt practices that go beyond profit-making to address environmental and societal concerns. Within this broader framework, Green Human Resource Management (Green HRM) has emerged as a crucial enabler of sustainable development, linking human resource policies with ecological consciousness. Green HRM aligns employee engagement with green goals, promoting eco-friendly behaviors, practices, and values across the workforce.

This paper aims to examine how Indian companies like TCS, ONGC, and Suzlon Energy implement Green HRM and sustainability strategies. Through a detailed analysis of secondary sources, the paper offers insights into the interrelationship between HR functions and sustainability outcomes, thereby contributing to the discourse on responsible corporate governance.

In the contemporary business landscape, the imperative for sustainable development has become increasingly central to corporate strategy and governance. As the global community grapples with escalating environmental challenges—ranging from climate change to resource depletion—organizations are under mounting pressure to integrate sustainability into their core operations. One significant outcome of this shift is the emergence of Green Human Resource Management (Green

HRM), a paradigm that aligns human resource functions with ecological goals to foster an environmentally responsible workforce and organizational culture (Renwick et al., 2013; Jabbour & Santos, 2008).

Green HRM refers to the application of environmentally conscious HR policies and practices that support sustainable business strategies and promote the pro-environmental behavior of employees. These include green recruitment and selection, training, performance management, and compensation practices that motivate employees to participate in environmental initiatives (Jackson et al., 2011). As organizations increasingly recognize the role of human capital in achieving sustainability goals, Green HRM has gained prominence as a strategic tool that merges people management with environmental stewardship (Wehrmeyer, 1996).

Parallely, the broader concept of sustainability in business—rooted in the Triple Bottom Line framework (Elkington, 1998)—emphasizes the balance between economic growth, social equity, and environmental protection. The three pillars of sustainability—environmental, social, and economic—serve as the foundation for long-term corporate viability. Environmental sustainability ensures responsible resource consumption and minimal ecological footprint, while social sustainability advocates for equity, well-being, and community development. Economic sustainability, meanwhile, focuses on profitability and efficient use of capital resources (Purvis, Mao, & Robinson, 2019).

The integration of sustainability and HRM is no longer a peripheral concern; it has become a strategic necessity. Studies show that environmentally proactive organizations enjoy enhanced reputation, improved employee engagement, regulatory compliance, innovation, and competitive advantage (Daily, Bishop, & Steiner, 2007; Opatha & Arulrajah, 2014). Moreover, employees increasingly prefer organizations that align with their environmental and ethical values, making Green HRM not just a tool for sustainability but also for talent acquisition and retention (Milliman et al., 2013).

In India, where environmental degradation and social disparity coexist with rapid industrialization, the role of corporate sustainability has never been more crucial. Indian companies are increasingly aligning their operations with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), integrating green practices into their governance and workforce strategies. The incorporation of Green HRM practices into Indian corporations—especially within sectors like IT (TCS), energy (ONGC), and renewables (Suzlon Energy)—presents a unique opportunity to examine how strategic HR initiatives can support sustainability transitions.

This paper, therefore, seeks to explore the intersection of Green HRM and sustainability practices in Indian companies. Through secondary research and content analysis of ESG reports and literature, it investigates how selected Indian firms are adopting Green HRM as part of their sustainability journey, what strategies they employ, and what sector-specific outcomes are achieved. The study contributes to a growing body of knowledge that positions HRM as a pivotal actor in sustainable development and responsible corporate conduct.

2. Objectives:

- To understand the concept of Green HRM and sustainability practices.
- To explore the implementation of Green HRM and sustainability within industries.
- To analyze how TCS, ONGC, and Suzlon Energy have adopted and utilized Green HRM and sustainability practices.

3. Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative secondary research design through content analysis of:

- Annual ESG Reports (FY 2023–24) of TCS, ONGC, and Suzlon Energy
- Scholarly articles sourced via Google Scholar
- Thematic analysis of sustainability strategies and Green HRM practices
- The cross-case comparison allows evaluation across different industrial contexts: IT (TCS), energy (ONGC), and renewables (Suzlon). The method ensures a triangulated, valid, and comprehensive understanding of corporate environmental practices.

Literature Review :

As businesses worldwide confront intensifying environmental, social, and governance (ESG) pressures, the need for sustainable business models has become paramount. A core response to this need is the evolution of Green Human Resource Management (Green HRM)—a strategic alignment of HR policies and practices with environmental goals. This review systematically synthesizes literature on Green HRM and sustainability to understand how organizations integrate green principles into HR functions and how this integration contributes to long-term sustainability. By focusing on Indian companies like TCS, ONGC, and Suzlon, this review directly supports the paper's aim: to analyze the intersection of Green HRM and sustainability practices within the Indian industrial context.

2.2 Research Questions (RQ) Framing the Review

RQ1: How is Green HRM conceptualized and defined in literature?

RQ2: What HRM functions are most closely associated with sustainability outcomes?

RQ3: What organizational benefits are derived from integrating Green HRM and sustainability?

RQ4: What is the status and uniqueness of Green HRM practices in Indian companies?

These questions help explore both global theoretical frameworks and contextual Indian implementations, in alignment with the title's focus on Indian firms across varied sectors.

2.3 Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

Databases Accessed: Google Scholar, Scopus, ScienceDirect, JSTOR, Emerald Insight

Keywords Used: “Green HRM,” “Green human resource management India,” “sustainability in business,” “HRM and ESG,” “green workplace,” “corporate environmental strategy”

Search Filters:

- Timeframe: 2005–2024
- Language: English
- Article Type: Peer-reviewed, empirical and conceptual

Inclusion Criteria:

- Articles focusing on the integration of HRM and sustainability
- Indian and international contexts with relevance to corporate sustainability

Exclusion Criteria:

- Articles not peer-reviewed
- Irrelevant to HRM or environmental practices

2.4 Thematic Synthesis of Literature

Theme 1: Evolution and Definition of Green HRM

Green HRM has evolved as a response to growing environmental consciousness in management. Wehrmeyer (1996), a pioneer in the domain, posited that HR functions must actively incorporate ecological values to enhance employee motivation and organizational ethicality. Building on this, Mathapati (2013) defined Green HRM as the process of creating a green workforce that understands and executes sustainability through hiring, training, performance appraisals, and rewards systems.

Renwick et al. (2013) emphasized the strategic role of Green HRM in addressing climate goals through workforce behavior. In the Indian context, scholars like Opatha and Arulrajah (2014) advocated for a culturally sensitive adaptation of Green HRM where local ecological concerns and employment ethics are addressed simultaneously.

Synthesis for Title: The conceptual evolution supports the comparative study's aim by framing TCS, ONGC, and Suzlon's practices as part of a global but context-sensitive shift toward sustainability.

Theme 2: HRM Functions Aligned with Sustainability

A significant body of literature identifies five primary HRM functions that promote sustainability:

Green Recruitment and Selection: Organizations can hire employees whose values align with environmental responsibility. Job descriptions now reflect ecological duties (Wehrmeyer, 1996; Renwick et al., 2013).

Green Training and Development: Training in waste management, energy saving, and carbon neutrality is now common. Jabbour and Santos (2008) argue that such training increases employee participation in green initiatives.

Performance Management: Green Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are being incorporated to measure an employee's environmental contribution (Epstein & Buhovac, 2010).

Compensation and Incentives: Both monetary and non-monetary incentives are used to reinforce eco-friendly behavior. Gift cards, days off, or public recognition have proven effective (Banerjee, 1998).

Employee Engagement and Relations: Empowering employees to contribute to sustainability decisions leads to stronger green cultures (Perron et al., 2006).

Synthesis for Title: These HRM functions form the analytical basis for examining the selected Indian companies' practices—highlighting the procedural pathways through which sustainability is internalized.

2.7 SLR Summary Table

Theme 3: Green HRM as a Strategic Sustainability Driver

Green HRM goes beyond compliance; it drives innovation, enhances corporate reputation, and builds resilience. Studies (Daily et al., 2007; Milliman et al., 2013) found that Green HRM leads to improved retention, reduced absenteeism, and stronger employee loyalty. Elkington's (1998) Triple Bottom Line model (People, Planet, Profit) illustrates that integrating environmental concerns can enhance profitability without sacrificing human and ecological welfare.

Companies using Green HRM also report better investor relations, increased market share, and access to global markets where sustainability is a regulatory or ethical requirement (Epstein & Buhovac, 2010).

Synthesis for Title: This directly supports the paper's comparison of TCS, ONGC, and Suzlon—three firms that have used Green HRM to boost both ESG performance and organizational reputation.

Theme 4: Green HRM in the Indian Industrial Context

India presents a unique landscape where sustainability must intersect with development goals. Leading Indian companies are embedding Green HRM into their business models:

TCS demonstrates strong employee engagement in sustainability through virtual collaboration, eco-friendly commuting, and on-campus biodiversity programs.

ONGC focuses on capacity building and green energy upskilling via its in-house LMS.

Suzlon Energy adopts rural employment-based HRM in renewable infrastructure development.

These firms align their HRM with national missions like Aatmanirbhar Bharat, Swachh Bharat, and India's 500 GW renewable energy target by 2030.

Synthesis for Title: These company-specific practices reinforce the need for a comparative lens in the Indian context, which this study adopts.

Research Question (RQ)	Key Findings from Literature	Supporting Literature
RQ1: How is Green HRM conceptualized and defined in literature?	Green HRM is defined as the strategic alignment of human resource policies and practices with environmental goals. It emerged from the broader Green Movement and aims to develop a green workforce that contributes actively to environmental management objectives. Core elements include green competencies, attitudes, behaviors, and measurable results. The concept emphasizes not just environmental responsibility but also employee involvement in fostering a sustainable organizational culture.	Wehrmeyer (1996) conceptualized Green HRM as bridging HRM and environmental management. Mathapati (2013) defined Green HRM as creating a green workforce that aligns with the firm's sustainability goals. Renwick et al. (2013) emphasized its role in organizational transformation toward environmental stewardship.
RQ2: What HRM functions are most aligned with sustainability outcomes?	Key HRM functions aligned with sustainability include: 1) Green Recruitment and Selection: Hiring candidates with eco-conscious values. 2) Green Training and Development: Educating employees about ecological practices such as waste reduction, energy conservation, and carbon footprint awareness. 3) Performance Management: Setting environmental KPIs and monitoring compliance. 4) Compensation and Rewards: Using both financial and non-financial incentives to encourage green behaviors. 5) Employee Relations and Empowerment: Involving employees in sustainability initiatives, which fosters ownership and innovation.	Jabbour & Santos (2008) found training to be essential in embedding green values. Renwick et al. (2013) highlighted green recruitment and rewards. Epstein & Buhovac (2010) emphasized the use of performance metrics. Perron et al. (2006) advocated employee involvement as a means to reduce workplace pollution and increase participation in environmental decision-making.
RQ3: What organizational benefits are derived from integrating Green HRM and sustainability?	Integrating Green HRM leads to: - Enhanced employer branding - Higher employee engagement and retention - Cost savings through energy and resource efficiency - Increased organizational innovation - Improved compliance with environmental laws - Long-term competitive advantage Green HRM also enhances stakeholder trust and investor confidence by signaling commitment to ESG principles.	Daily et al. (2007) reported improved employee motivation and satisfaction from green initiatives. Elkington (1998) introduced the triple bottom line that connects people, planet, and profit. Milliman et al. (2013) observed that Green HRM contributes to a more adaptive, innovative workforce. Opatha & Arulrajah (2014) emphasized increased retention and ethical positioning.
RQ4: What is the	Indian organizations demonstrate sector-	ONGC's FY 2023–24 Annual Report illustrates massive

Research Question (RQ)	Key Findings from Literature	Supporting Literature
status and uniqueness of Green HRM practices in Indian companies?	<p>specific approaches:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - TCS: Emphasizes digital tools for remote collaboration, green campuses, EV commuting, and biodiversity projects to embed sustainability in everyday work culture. - ONGC: Focuses on green energy upskilling, LMS-based training, and the launch of ONGC Green Ltd. to diversify into renewable energy. - Suzlon Energy: Integrates green HRM with rural employment, renewable project management, and local upskilling to serve both environmental and social sustainability goals. <p>These companies also link their HRM practices to India's national missions (e.g., SDGs, Aatmanirbhar Bharat) and global frameworks (e.g., GRI, SASB).</p>	<p>investment in green training and carbon neutrality (ONGC, 2024). TCS has embedded environmental goals into employee KPIs and wellness initiatives (TCS Sustainability Report, 2024). Suzlon's ESG strategies highlight grassroots empowerment and green innovation, aligned with India's renewable goals (Suzlon, 2024). These cases reflect how Indian companies tailor Green HRM to suit their operational context and stakeholder expectations.</p>

5. Discussion

The growing urgency of environmental protection, climate change mitigation, and sustainable development has positioned Green Human Resource Management (Green HRM) as a key strategic lever in modern organizational governance. This study explored the integration of Green HRM and sustainability in three Indian industry leaders—Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC), and Suzlon Energy—to demonstrate how HR functions are reshaped to support environmental and social responsibility goals.

5.1 Strategic Role of Green HRM in Corporate Sustainability

The findings of this study affirm that Green HRM is not a peripheral practice but a strategic enabler of sustainability outcomes. By aligning HRM practices such as recruitment, training, performance management, and employee engagement with ecological goals, organizations can institutionalize green values and create a sustainability-oriented workforce (Renwick et al., 2013; Jackson et al., 2011).

Each of the three companies studied exemplifies this in a unique way:

TCS has embedded sustainability into employee performance indicators and workplace infrastructure (e.g., energy-efficient

buildings, digital collaboration to reduce carbon-intensive travel).

ONGC has established a full-fledged green subsidiary and invested in upskilling employees to support the energy transition.

Suzlon integrates sustainability into rural job creation and technology innovation, thus simultaneously addressing environmental and social sustainability.

This cross-sectoral analysis highlights that while Green HRM principles are shared, the implementation is context-dependent, shaped by sectoral priorities, stakeholder expectations, and environmental risks.

5.2 Academic Significance and Theoretical Implications

From an academic standpoint, this research makes several important contributions:

1. Operationalizing the Triple Bottom Line through HRM

The study demonstrates how HRM functions operationalize Elkington's (1998) Triple Bottom Line (People, Planet, Profit) by building eco-conscious workforce practices that also advance employee well-being and long-term profitability. This positions Green HRM as a mechanism for embedding sustainability within the organizational fabric (Epstein & Buhovac, 2010).

2. Institutional Theory and Sectoral Adaptation

Drawing from institutional theory, organizations respond to external pressures (e.g., SDGs, ESG regulations) by internalizing green practices into HR systems. The study shows how Indian companies—especially public sector enterprises like ONGC—engage in institutional isomorphism by aligning their practices with international standards such as GRI and SASB (Daily et al., 2007).

3. Bridging the HRM–Sustainability Gap in Emerging Economies

Most literature on Green HRM originates from Western contexts. This study contributes to bridging that gap by presenting sector-specific Indian case studies, thereby enriching the discourse on sustainability in emerging economies (Opatha & Arulrajah, 2014).

4. Workforce-Centered Environmental Strategy

The integration of Green HRM across all three companies shows that environmental strategy is most effective when rooted in workforce behavior and capability. As seen in ONGC's LMS platform or TCS's digital tools, sustainability becomes more achievable when employees are empowered through structured HR mechanisms (Jabbour & Santos, 2008).

5.3 Practical Implications

The insights from this study have broad practical implications for HR leaders, sustainability officers, and policymakers:

HR leaders must reconfigure traditional roles and metrics to include environmental KPIs, thus aligning employee incentives with corporate sustainability goals.

Policy makers and regulators can incentivize industry-wide adoption of Green HRM by integrating it into ESG rating frameworks.

Business strategists should recognize the potential of HRM in driving green innovation and ensuring compliance with both national goals (e.g., India's 500 GW target by 2030) and global agreements (e.g., Paris Agreement).

6. Conclusion

This study has explored the integration of Green HRM and sustainability practices in Indian companies, focusing on TCS, ONGC, and Suzlon Energy. The analysis revealed that Green HRM serves as a powerful bridge between human capital management and environmental responsibility. Each of the studied companies demonstrates that the strategic embedding of green values in HR functions leads to measurable improvements in sustainability performance.

TCS focuses on digital enablement and behavioral change, ONGC invests in green skills and organizational transformation, while Suzlon emphasizes grassroots development and green technology innovation. Collectively, these cases highlight how Green HRM enables sector-specific sustainability while aligning with broader national and global objectives.

Academically, the paper contributes by contextualizing Green HRM in the Indian industrial landscape and demonstrating its role as a transformative force for corporate sustainability. Future research could focus on empirical assessments using primary data, sector-wise HR benchmarking, or longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of Green HRM on organizational resilience and ecological performance.

In closing, Green HRM is not just an HR initiative—it is a cornerstone of sustainable business in the 21st century, and its adoption will determine how future-ready and responsible organizations truly are.

References :

- v. Banerjee, S. B. (1998). Corporate environmentalism: Perspectives from organizational learning. *Management Learning*, 29(3), 331–350.
- vi. Daily, B. F., Bishop, J. W., & Steiner, R. (2007). The mediating role of employee attitudes in the relationship between EMS and environmental performance. *Journal of Business Strategies*, 24(2), 27–54.
- vii. Del Brío, J. Á., Junquera, B., & Ordiz, M. (2008). Human resources in advanced environmental approaches—A case analysis. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(6), 1164–1183.
- viii. Dumont, J., Shen, J., & Deng, X. (2017). Effects of green HRM practices on employee workplace green behavior: The role of psychological green climate and employee green values. *Human Resource Management*, 56(4), 613–627.
- ix. Elkington, J. (1998). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st-century business*. New Society Publishers.
- x. Epstein, M. J., & Buhovac, A. R. (2010). *Implementing sustainability: The role of leadership and organizational culture*. Society for Human Resource Management Foundation.
- xi. Fayyazia, M., Shahbazmoradi, S., Afrazeh, A., & Mohammadfam, I. (2015). Investigating the effect of green human resource management practices on employee engagement. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 11(2), 190–203.

- xii. Govindarajulu, N., & Daily, B. F. (2004). Motivating employees for environmental improvement. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 104(4), 364–372.
- xiii. Haden, S. S. P., Oyler, J. D., & Humphreys, J. H. (2009). Historical, practical, and theoretical perspectives on green management: An exploratory analysis. *Management Decision*, 47(7), 1041–1055.
- xiv. Jabbour, C. J. C., & Santos, F. C. A. (2008). The central role of human resource management in the search for sustainable organizations. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(12), 2133–2154.
- xv. Jackson, S. E., Renwick, D. W. S., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Muller-Camen, M. (2011). State-of-the-art and future directions for Green HRM. *Zeitschrift für Personalforschung*, 25(2), 99–116.
- xvi. Kramar, R. (2014). Beyond strategic human resource management: Is sustainable human resource management the next approach? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(8), 1069–1089.
- xvii. Mandip, G. (2012). Green HRM: People management commitment to environmental sustainability. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, 1(ISC-2011), 244–252.
- xviii. Mathapati, C. M. (2013). Green HRM: A strategic facet. *Tactful Management Research Journal*, 2(2), 1–6.
- xix. Milliman, J., Clair, J., & Mitchelson, J. (2013). Environmental management: The role of HRM. In D. Renwick, T. Redman, & S. Maguire (Eds.), *Managing human resources for environmental sustainability* (pp. 109–128). Wiley.
- xx. Mishra, P., & Sharma, R. R. K. (2010). Green manufacturing—A new paradigm. *Asian Journal of Technology & Management Research*, 1(1), 83–91.
- xxi. Mishra, M., & Suar, D. (2010). Does corporate social responsibility influence firm performance of Indian companies? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(4), 571–601.
- xxii. Opatha, H. H. D. N. P., & Arulrajah, A. A. (2014). Green human resource management: Simplified general reflections. *International Business Research*, 7(8), 101–112.
- xxiii. Paillé, P., Chen, Y., Boiral, O., & Jin, J. (2014). The impact of human resource management on environmental performance: An employee-level study. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 121(3), 451–466.
- xxiv. Perron, G. M., Côté, R. P., & Duffy, J. F. (2006). Improving environmental awareness training in business. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14(6–7), 551–562.
- xxv. Pham, N. T., Hoang, H. T., & Phan, Q. P. T. (2019). Green human resource management: A comprehensive review and future research agenda. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 247, 119–122.
- xxvi. Ren, S., Tang, G., & Jackson, S. E. (2018). Green human resource management research in emergence: A review and future directions. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 35, 769–803.
- xxvii. Renwick, D. W. S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). Green human resource management: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15(1), 1–14.
- xxviii. Reuter, C., Foerstl, K., Hartmann, E., & Blome, C. (2010). Sustainable global supplier management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 46(2), 45–63.
- xxix. Sharma, E., & Sharma, V. (2020). A study on green HRM practices in India. *Mukt Shabd Journal*, 9(6), 1–8.
- xxx. Singh, A., & Bhatnagar, J. (2021). Green HRM and sustainability: A review of literature and future directions. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 29(5), 1321–1342.
- xxxi. Stoughton, A. M., & Ludema, J. D. (2012). The driving forces of sustainability. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 25(4), 501–517.
- xxxii. TCS. (2024). TCS Sustainability Report 2023–2024. Tata Consultancy Services. Retrieved from <https://www.tcs.com>
- xxxiii. ONGC. (2024). ONGC Annual Report 2023–24. Oil and Natural Gas Corporation. Retrieved from <https://ongcindia.com>
- xxxiv. Suzlon Energy. (2024). Suzlon Sustainability Report 2023–24. Retrieved from <https://www.suzlon.com>
- xxxv. Tang, G., Chen, Y., Jiang, Y., Paillé, P., & Jia, J. (2018). Green human resource management practices: Scale development and validity. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 56(1), 31–55.
- xxxvi. Tiwari, S., & Pathak, P. (2013). Environmental sustainability through green HRM: A review. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management (IJAIEM)*, 2(7), 1–5.
- xxxvii. Unnikrishnan, S., & Hegde, D. (2007). Environmental training and cleaner production in Indian

industry—A micro-level study. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 50(4), 427–446.

xxxviii. United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. Retrieved from <https://sdgs.un.org>

xxxix. Wehrmeyer, W. (1996). *Greening people: Human resources and environmental management*. Greenleaf Publishing.

xl. Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., & Othman, N. (2015). Why examining adoption factors of green human resource management practices? *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 9(S), 51–65.

xli. Zibarras, L. D., & Coan, P. (2015). HRM practices used to promote pro-environmental behavior: A UK survey. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(16), 2121–2142.

xlii. Zoogah, D. B. (2011). The dynamics of Green HRM behaviors: A cognitive social information processing approach. *Zeitschrift für Personalforschung*, 25(2), 117–139.

xliii. Zhou, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Linking green human resource management practices to employee green behavior. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 260, 121137.

xliv. Ahmad, S. (2015). Green human resource management: Policies and practices. *Cogent Business & Management*, 2(1), 1030817.