

BONE FRACTURE DETECTION USING X RAY IMAGE

Deepika PS¹, Vinay Patel G.L²

^[1] Student, Department of MCA, BIET, Davangere ^[2] Assistant Professor, Department of MCA, BIET, Davangere

ABSTRACT

Effective patient care depends on early diagnosis of bone fractures and a decrease in diagnostic mistakes. This paper investigates how One sort of deep learning method called convolutional neural network networks (CNNs) can increase the speed as well as precision of bone fracture identification from X-ray pictures. in CNNs helping To differentiate between healthy and sick bones, the suggested method could help in the early identification of irregularities in the bones. To construct the ideal model, substantial potential in healthcare settings with an accuracy rate close to 90% and a sensitivity of 89.865% is required.Selective hyperparameters comprised of learning rates and epoch counts. The CNN framework displayed This superior performance level demonstrates the system's ability to provide consistent and timely diagnostic support, reducing errors and improving patient outcomes.

Keywords: classification of images, analysis of medical images, image processing techniques, and X-ray imaging.

1. INTRODUCTION

Radiologists often assess patients in emergency departments of hospitals who have fractures in various body parts, such as the arm and wrist. Open fractures, wherein the bone breaks through the skin, and closed fractures, wherein the bone breaks but the dermis remains intact, are the two primary types of fractures. These conditions are common in the practice of medicine. To correctly identify fractures before surgery, surgeons need to perform a comprehensive examination and review the patient's medical history. Modern medical imaging uses computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and X-rays as its three main instrument kinds to diagnose fractures. Because it is the least expensive of them, X-ray is the best often utilized. In emergency rooms, pediatric wrist trauma is a common occurrence that frequently results in ulna and distal radius fractures.

While well-funded hospitals with seasoned radiologists are capable of effectively interpreting X-ray pictures, smaller and emerging facilities frequently encounter difficulties. Because of their youth and inexperience, the surgeons who are accessible in these circumstances may misread X-ray scans. Timely and efficient patient care is seriously threatened by the lack of qualified radiologists.



The problem is most noticeable in many hospitals in Africa, where there is very little access to professional radiological reports. The success rate of surgical procedures is greatly impacted by this limitation. Research shows that in certain areas, up to 25% of X-ray pictures are misread, emphasizing the critical need for trustworthy and precise diagnostic assistance.

This study looks at the potential applications of deep learning techniques to increase the precision and efficiency of X-ray picture interpretation, meeting the pressing need for improved fracture identification and diagnosis, particularly in situations with limited resources. The endeavor intends to offer a reliable solution that may help both seasoned and inexperienced practitioners correctly diagnose fractures by utilizing neural networks that combine convolutions (CNNs). Both patient outcomes and the chance of diagnostic errors would decrease as a result.

Objective:

Our method's primary goal is to develop an automatic system capable of recognizing X-ray pictures showing bone fractures. This method consists of two primary stages: pre-processing and categorization. During the pre-processing stage, we employ augmentation and normalization approaches to raise the standard and diversity of the incoming data. In the classification step, we employ a CNN, or Neural Convolutional Topology model to separate the X-ray images into two categories: broken and non-broken. By optimizing the CNN model's parameter values, we hope to attain accurate and reliable fracture recognition, facilitating quicker and more effective diagnosis in clinical settings.

2. RELATED WORK

Basic pre-processing methods, segmentation strategies, and Rinisha Bagaria et al. [1] provide an error backpropagation neural networks categorization of the X-ray picture dataset.

Justin Ker et al. conducted a survey of machine learning methods that can be used in medical image processing. Most of these techniques make use of convolutional neural networks. and concentrate on clinical parameters within the relevant industry [2].

The current architecture of deep neural networks and how to optimize it for classification of images and clinical picture segmentation were described by Muhammad Umar Razzak et al. They also give a summary of the challenges and possible uses of neural networks with deep layers in medical image processing [3].

ZhiFei Lai & HuiFang Deng created a powerful neural network-based model that combined the numerous feature groups they had collected in both the first and second phases, additionally to achieving high classification accuracy overall [4].

T. MacKinnon and D. H. Kim [5] investigated the application of transfer learning from deeply embedded convolutional neural networks layers to machine learning for fractures diagnosis on radiographs.

Ι



A brand-new deep learning technique built on the distorted convolution feature pyramid net (DCFPN) was employed by Bin Guan et al.

to construct knee fracture diagnosis. The likelihood of this strategy being applied in actual clinical settings is low [6].

Liang Jin et al.'s deep learning network, known as FracNet, can recognize and categorize fractures of the ribs. They developed a method for using CT scans to diagnose rib fractures [7].

Utilizing a deep learning technique, Tomi Nissinen et al. predict fracture risk while recognizing pathological features from X-ray scans [8].

As established by Sylvain Guy et al. [9], there are limitations and programming issues associated with the deep learning approach to diagnosing proximal femur fractures.

A cutting-edge machine learning method for fracture diagnosis in forearm bone X-rays was proposed by Bin Guan et al., which may be useful in clinical settings [10].

Create a revolutionary deep learning method to locate and precisely identify arm bone fractures in Xray pictures by segmenting the images using YOLACT++ and detecting them with YOLOv4. Adaptive Histogram Equalization in Combination with Contrast Limitation (CLAHE), we aim to improve picture preprocessing and show that we can outperform Faster-RCNN on a small dataset, with a maximum accuracy of 81.91% [11].

3. METHODOLOGY

The head, neck, and backbone of our model design are depicted in Figure 4. In the ensuing subsections, we go over the ideas for each component's design of the model architecture as well as the modules that comprise the various components. Our computerized method for identifying fractures in the bone is predicated on the YOLOv model.



Figure 1: A collection of X-ray pictures that are either fractured or not

1. Backbone: The model's core divides The map of features in two using the Cross Stage Partial (CSP) architecture. Methods of convolution are employed. CNNs can learn more thanks to the CSP design, which also reduces the model's processing cost. YOLOv836 introduces the C2f module, which combines the ELAN idea from YOLOv732 with the C3 module to give the model more accurate gradient flow information. Conv-BN-SiLU is the ConvModule. As seen in Figure 4, the C2f module consists of two ConvModules and one DarknetBottleNeck linked by Split and Concat.

Three ConvModule and one DarknetBottleNeck make up the C3 module. Unlike YOLOv553, the C2f module is being used in place of the C3 module. Compared to YOLOv5, we remove some of the



blocks in each stage to further reduce computing costs. We also employ the Spatial Pyramid Pooling -Fast (SPPF) module in Stage 4, It is an improvement over Spatial Pyramid Pooling (SPP) and accelerates the inference of the model even further. These changes enable our model to learn more efficiently and to infer conclusions more quickly.

2. Neck:

Deeper networks typically obtain more feature information, which leads to better dense predictions. On the other side, overly deep networks lose track of an object's location, and an excessive number of convolution operations will cause information loss for small objects. Thus, how the Feature is used Pyramid Network (FPN) and Path Aggregation Network (PAN) architectures is necessary for multiscale feature fusion. Figure 4 illustrates how the Neck portion of our model architecture uses multi-scale feature fusion to incorporate features from multiple network layers. While the lower layers have fewer convolution layers that help them preserve location information, the upper layers have more network layers that enable them to gather more information. This method, which leverages FPN upsampling from top to bottom to enhance feature information in the bottom feature map and PAN downsampling from bottom to top to obtain extra information in the top feature map, was inspired by YOLOv5. When these two feature outputs are combined, precise predictions for images of various sizes are ensured. To reduce processing costs, we employ FP-PAN (Feature Pyramid-Path Aggregation Network) in our model and do away with convolution operations during upsampling.



Figure 4: Shows the head, neck, and backbone, which are the constituent elements of our model design.

3. We describe each phase of our methodology below.

Our method includes numerous important steps, such as creating the model, preparing the dataset, enhancing the data, training, validating, and testing the model. We provided each phase of our procedure below.

A. Data Preprocessing:

Data preparation, or getting raw X-ray pictures ready for input into the machine learning model, is the initial stage in the architecture. The procedure includes several procedures, such as scaling, normalization, and augmentation of the image. Resizing photos to Ensuring uniformity in size aids in both model training and inference. standardized to a same size. Normalization enhances convergence during training by adjusting pixel values to a standard scale, usually ranging from 0 to 1. It is suggested to artificially increase the variety of the training dataset by augmentation techniques including flipping, rotation, and cropping. This lowers the likelihood of overfitting and improves model generalization.

Ι



B. Model Development:

Choosing and creating an appropriate machine learning architecture for fracture detection is part of the phase of model development. Due to CNNs' ability to automatically learn a feature sequence from raw pixel data, which are utilized often for problems involving picture segmentation. A CNN architecture is chosen or designed specifically for the intention of detecting bone fractures in the suggested system. A softmax activation external layer for classification may come after several layers of convolutional, pooling, and fully linked layers in this architecture.

C. Training:

Using tagged X-ray images,After establishing its architecture, the model is trained. During the training process, The model picks up how to map input pictures to corresponding fracture labels by optimizing itself to minimize a predefined loss function. For method of optimization, gradient descent-based algorithms such as stochastic gradient descent (SGD) or Adam optimizer are typically employed. In the training stage, the model is iteratively fed batches of previously processed images, the loss is computed, and the model's parameters are modified in response to the gradients that are generated.

D. Experimental Result

A separate validation dataset is employed to assess the trained model's performance after training. This assessment provides information on accuracy, precision, recall, and other performance parameters as well as an evaluation of the model's ability to hypothesize the unknown facts. In addition, techniques such as cross-validation can be used to get more reliable model performance estimates. Evaluation metrics are critical to determining the efficacy of the proposed system and identifying areas that require improvement.

E. Deployment:

The model is prepared for implementation in actual healthcare settings after It's been trained and assessed. The trained model must be integrated into a production environment during deployment in order for it to handle incoming X-ray pictures and produce fracture detection findings instantly. This can entail developing an intuitive systems for data entry, processing, and output in addition to designing a healthcare providers' user interface to interact with the system. In addition, elements like upkeep, oversight, and model versioning are essential to ensuring the established system's scalability and dependability.

3.1: Algorithm

ResNet-50 Algorithm:

ResNet-50, often A deep convolutional neural network design known as the Residual Network with 50 layers has demonstrated appreciable performance gains in applications for image categorization and feature extraction. It belongs to the family of ResNet models, which Microsoft Research developed in 2015 to address the challenges of training incredibly deep neural networks. ResNet models are the ones who first introduced residual learning.

Method for Detecting Bone Fractures Using the ResNet-50 Algorithm:

Input: X-ray pictures of bones with labels indicating Breaks are the input.

Ι



Output: Testing, training, and validation sets are the output.

1. Preparing data:

Input: Original X-ray pictures of the bones were used as input.

Results: Prepared pictures ready to serve as a model input.

Steps:

- Set pixel values to a normal range, such as [0, 1].
- Resize photos to a fixed resolution (e.g., 224x224 pixels) that works well with ResNet-50.
- If needed, add more diversity to the data by rotating, flipping, or adjusting the brightness and contrast.

2. ResNet-50 Model Start-Up:

Input: Preprocessed X-ray pictures are the input.

Output: A starting ResNet-50 model for the identification of bone fractures.

Actions:

- Pretrained ResNet-50 model (trained on ImageNet or a comparable dataset) should be loaded.
- For binary classification (fracture or nonfracture), create a new layer and replace the previous fully linked layer with it.

3. Training Models:

Input: Training collection of previously processed X-ray pictures with matching fracture classifications as input.

Output: A bone fracture-detecting trained ResNet-50 model.

Actions:

- Send picture feeds to the ResNet-50 network.
- Determine the loss (the binary cross-entropy, for example) between the actual and anticipated labels.
- Update model weights and backpropagate gradients with an optimizer (like Adam, for example).
- Increase The precision of the prototype by iterating over several epochs.

4. Validation of the Model:

Input: Validation set of labels and preprocessed X-ray pictures as input.

Output: Validation measures, such as accuracy, precision, and recall, are the output.

Steps:

 Monitor overfitting by assessing the model's performance regarding the validation set and adjusting the hyperparameters as needed.

5. Testing Models:

Test set of previously processed X-ray pictures (not used in instruction or validation) is the input.

Forecasted fracture probabilities or labels are the output.



Actions:

- To predict the existence of cracks in test photos, apply the trained ResNet-50 model.
- Determine performance criteria (such as sensitivity and accuracy) To evaluate the model's efficacy in practical situations.

6. Implementation:

Input: New, unseen X-ray pictures of bones were the input.

Output: Clinically useful predicted fracture probabilities or labeling are the output.

Steps:

- Use the trained ResNet-50 model to automatically detect bone fractures in clinical scenarios.
- Integrate workflow seamlessly by integrating with healthcare systems.

4. RESULT

Step1: The user creates an account and login With the help of a username and password.

Register				
	Email			
	admin@admin.com			
•				
	Re-Password			
	REGISTER			

Step 2: Once the user login and choose bone image from the file and upload it.

← → C © 127.8.0.15000/Achboard	*	0	8	± ±
Bone Fracture Detection				Sign or
			_	
Detection of Bone Fracture				
Upload a file for getting a Detection:				
Choose File No File chosen				
Submets				

♦ → Ø Ø 127.0.0.1.500(predict /mage/butztlou.jp;	\$ 0	5 5 .	
Bone Fracture Prediction		Se	
Fracture detection for given Bone image is:			
Résult: Normal			
Type: Hand			

Step 3: This is page shows uploaded image.

Step 4: This page shows fracture detection and predict image.

Fracture detection for given Bone image is:



5. CONCLUSION

Our methodology centers on developing an automated system capable of identifying bone fractures from X-ray images. This system's two main elements are the categorization and pre-processing operations. We employ augmentation and normalization techniques throughout the preprocessing phase to raise the caliber and variety of the incoming data. A CNN (Neural Convolution) model is utilized to categorize the X-ray pictures into two groups. during this stage: broken and nonbroken.

The goal of working with the CNN model's parameter modification is to reliably and accurately detect fractures. The goal of this automated system is to enhance patient care and treatment outcomes by facilitating quicker and more precise diagnosis in medical environments. Our study shows how cutting-edge image processing methods and machine learning models can be used to enhance the precision and effectiveness of X-ray image-based bone fracture detection. The results indicate that this kind of strategy can greatly progress the field of medical diagnostics and provide medical professionals with a helpful resource.

VI. REFERENCES

 Bagaria, R., Wadhwani, S. and Wadhwani, A.
(2020). A Wavelet-Based Segmentation Technique For Medical Images. In 2nd International Conference on Sustainable and Innovative Solutions for Current Challenges in Engineering & Technology.

[2] Ker, J. et al. (2018). Deep Learning Applications in Medical Image Analysis. IEEE Access, 6:9375-9389.

[3] Razzak, M., Naz, S. and Zaib, A. (2017). Deep Learning for Medical Image Processing: Overview, Challenges and the Future. Lecture Notes in Computational Vision and Biomechanics, 323-350.

[4] Lai, Z. and Deng, H. (2018). Medical Image Classification Based on Deep Features Extracted by Deep Model and Statistic Feature Fusion with Multilayer Perceptron. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 1-13.

[5] Kim, D. and MacKinnon, T. (2018). Artificial intelligence in fracture detection: transfer learning from deep convolutional neural networks. Clinical Radiology, 73(5):439-445.

[6] Guan, B. et al. (2019). Thigh fracture detection using deep learning method based on new dilated convolutional feature pyramid network. Pattern Recognition Letters, 125:521-526.

[7] Jin, L. et al. (2020). Deep-learning-assisted detection and segmentation of rib fractures from CT scans: Development and validation of FracNet. EBioMedicine, 62:103106.

[8] Nissinen, T. et al. (2021). Detecting pathological features and predicting fracture risk from dual-energy



X-ray absorptiometry images using deep learning. Bone Reports, 14:101070.

[9] Guy, S. et al. (2021). Deep learning for the radiographic diagnosis of proximal femur fractures: Limitations and programming issues. Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research, 107(2):102837.

[10] Guan, B. et al. (2020). Arm fracture detection in X-rays based on improved deep convolutional neural network. Computers & Electrica

[11] H. P. Nguyen, T. P. Hoang and H. H. Nguyen, "A deep learning based fracture detection in arm bone X-ray images," 2021 International Conference on Multimedia Analysis and Pattern Recognition (MAPR), Hanoi, Vietnam, 2021, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/MAPR53640.2021.9585292.