

# **BOP** Adjustments through Monetary and Fiscal Policy Coordination

Dr. Kiran Kumar M, P R Krsan, Adit Harish, Nishok A Assistant Professor – Finance, MBA Student of Finance, MBA Student of Finance Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, Jain (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru, Karnataka -560009

Kiranm287@gmail.com, pr krsan23@cms.ac.in, adit harish23@cms.ac.in, nishok a23@cms.ac.in

#### Abstract

Balance of Payments (BOP) adjustments play a crucial role in maintaining economic stability, particularly in developing economies facing external imbalances. This study investigates the use of fiscal and monetary policy coordination as a successful tactic for reaching BOP equilibrium.

By integrating fiscal stimulus with monetary policy adjustments, governments can enhance their capacity to address trade deficits and capital account vulnerabilities. The study reviews existing literature from peer-reviewed journals, highlighting case studies where coordinated policies have successfully mitigated external shocks. Evidence indicates that coordinated approaches not only improve BOP positions but also foster overall economic growth by stabilizing inflation and exchange rates. Moreover, the research underscores the importance of institutional frameworks that support policy coherence, facilitating timely responses to external economic changes. Ultimately, the findings suggest that a synergistic relationship between fiscal and monetary policies can significantly contribute to sustainable BOP adjustments.

**Keywords:** Balance of Payments, Monetary Policy, Fiscal Policy, Economic Stability, Policy Coordination, External Imbalances.

## Introduction

The Balance of Payments (BOP) is a critical framework for understanding a country's economic interactions with the rest of the world, encompassing transactions related to trade, services, income, and financial transfers. Maintaining a stable BOP is vital for economic health, as persistent imbalances can lead to adverse outcomes such as currency depreciation, inflation, and diminished investor confidence. Recent global economic challenges, exacerbated by events such as the COVID-19 pandemic and geopolitical tensions, have reignited interest in effective strategies for BOP adjustments. In this context, the coordination of monetary and fiscal policies has emerged as a promising avenue for achieving balance and stability.

Traditionally, BOP adjustments were primarily pursued through direct measures such as exchange rate adjustments and trade tariffs. However, these mechanisms have limitations, particularly in the face of complex global economic interdependencies. The 2008 financial crisis highlighted the inadequacies of relying solely on exchange rate adjustments, leading to a growing consensus that coordinated policy approaches are necessary for effective BOP management (Khan & Sadiq, 2020). The integration of monetary policy, which governs interest rates and money supply, with fiscal policy, encompassing government spending and taxation, can create a more cohesive response to external economic pressures.

The synergy between monetary and fiscal policies can be particularly effective in mitigating the impacts of external shocks. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, many nations adopted coordinated fiscal stimulus measures alongside accommodative monetary policies to support domestic demand and stabilize their economies (World Bank, 2021). This approach not only cushioned the immediate economic impacts but also facilitated a more stable BOP position. Empirical studies suggest that countries employing such integrated strategies experienced less volatility in their BOP compared to those that operated with disjointed policies (Lee & Chan, 2021).

A critical aspect of successful policy coordination is the institutional framework that underpins it. Strong institutions that promote transparency, accountability, and collaboration between monetary and fiscal authorities are essential for effective BOP management. Research indicates that countries with well-established central banks and clear communication channels between policymakers are better positioned to achieve favorable BOP outcomes (Sharma & Gupta, 2022). The independence of central banks, in particular, plays a crucial role in enhancing the credibility of both monetary and fiscal policies, fostering trust among investors and reducing the risks associated with external deficits.

However, while the theoretical benefits of coordinated monetary and fiscal policies are widely acknowledged, practical implementation poses several challenges. Political constraints, differing objectives among policymakers, and varying economic conditions can hinder the efficacy of collaborative efforts. Moreover, the increasing influence of global economic dynamics complicates domestic policy decisions, making it essential for policymakers to maintain flexibility and adaptability in their approaches (Johnson & Smith, 2019).

The distinction between advanced and developing economies further highlights the nuances in applying coordinated policy strategies. In advanced economies, where financial markets are generally more stable, the focus may be on fine-tuning interest rates and fiscal measures to sustain equilibrium. In contrast, developing economies often face more significant external vulnerabilities, necessitating robust coordination to buffer against capital flight and currency fluctuations (Zhao & Li, 2023). This divergence underscores the need for tailored policy frameworks that consider each country's unique economic realities.

Given the complex interplay between global and domestic factors influencing BOP stability, the topic of monetary and fiscal policy coordination warrants further investigation. Research could focus on developing specific guidelines for effective coordination that account for the diverse economic contexts and institutional structures of different countries. Furthermore, empirical studies examining case studies of successful policy coordination can provide valuable insights into best practices and lessons learned.

The impact of globalization on BOP adjustments also presents an important avenue for research. As economies become increasingly interconnected, understanding how international trade agreements, foreign direct investment, and capital flows interact with domestic policy measures is crucial for developing effective BOP management strategies. Additionally, the role of technological advancements and digital currencies in shaping monetary and fiscal policy frameworks could provide new perspectives on achieving BOP stability.

The integration of monetary and fiscal policies represents a vital strategy for achieving sustainable BOP adjustments. By fostering collaboration between these policy realms, countries can create a more resilient economic framework capable of responding to external shocks and maintaining stability. As global economic conditions continue to evolve, the necessity for coordinated policy responses will only intensify. Continued research in this area is essential to enhance understanding and develop practical guidelines for policymakers facing the challenges of an increasingly complex global economic landscape.



## **Review of Literature**

1. **Khan, M., & Sadiq, M. (2020)** explored the impact of global financial crises on the Balance of Payments (BOP) in developing economies. They highlighted the necessity of coordinated monetary and fiscal policies as essential mechanisms for achieving BOP stability, particularly during periods of external shocks. The study emphasizes that isolated policy measures often fall short in addressing complex economic challenges, thus advocating for integrated approaches.

2. Lee, T., & Chan, K. (2021) examined case studies from emerging economies to assess the effectiveness of coordinated fiscal and monetary policies. Their findings suggest that countries that successfully integrated these policies were able to buffer against capital flight and currency depreciation, thereby achieving better BOP outcomes. This research underscores the importance of policy coherence in fostering economic resilience.

3. **Johnson, H., & Smith, R. (2019)** provided a comprehensive review of fiscal policy's role in BOP adjustments. They argued that fiscal expansion during economic downturns, when complemented by accommodative monetary policy, can effectively stabilize BOP. Their analysis demonstrated that coordinated efforts resulted in less volatility and more predictable economic environments.

4. **Sharma, P., & Gupta, M. (2022)** focused on the institutional frameworks necessary for effective policy coordination. They found that countries with independent central banks and strong fiscal institutions experienced better BOP stabilization. Their work highlights the need for robust governance structures to support coordinated policy efforts.

5. **Zhao, Y., & Li, Q. (2023)** investigated the monetary-fiscal nexus and its implications for BOP stability. Their research indicates that effective communication between fiscal and monetary authorities significantly enhances the credibility of both policies, which is crucial for attracting foreign investment and maintaining capital flows.

6. **Cohen, D., & Ghosh, A. (2021)** analyzed the implications of global economic integration for BOP adjustments. They posited that globalization necessitates a more nuanced approach to monetary and fiscal policy coordination, especially in the context of international trade agreements and capital movements. Their findings advocate for further research into how global interdependencies affect domestic policy effectiveness.

7. **Rogoff, K. (2019)** discussed the historical context of BOP adjustments and the evolution of policy frameworks. He emphasized that traditional methods are increasingly insufficient in a globalized economy, reinforcing the argument for coordinated approaches that combine fiscal stimulus with monetary easing.

8. **IMF (2020)** published a report that assesses various countries' responses to BOP challenges during economic crises. The report emphasizes that nations employing coordinated monetary and fiscal policies fared better in stabilizing their BOP positions, thus providing empirical evidence supporting the integration of these policies.

9. Eichengreen, B., & Gupta, P. (2020) explored the role of institutional quality in the effectiveness of coordinated policy efforts. They concluded that higher institutional quality enhances the synergy between monetary and fiscal policies, leading to more effective BOP adjustments, particularly in developing economies.

10. **Aizenman, J., & Marion, N. (2021)** examined the historical relationship between fiscal deficits and BOP stability. Their findings suggest that effective coordination between fiscal and monetary authorities can mitigate the adverse effects of fiscal deficits on BOP, reinforcing the need for integrated policy frameworks.

11. **Fischer, S. (2022)** provided insights into the challenges of implementing coordinated monetary and fiscal policies. He pointed out that political constraints and differing objectives among policymakers often impede effective collaboration, highlighting the need for further investigation into the dynamics of policy coordination.



12. **Klein, M. (2021)** analyzed the effects of interest rate policies on BOP adjustments. He argued that synchronized interest rate adjustments, in line with fiscal policy changes, are critical for achieving favorable BOP outcomes, especially in open economies facing external vulnerabilities.

13. **Ghosh, A. R., & Ostry, J. D. (2019)** explored the concept of policy space in the context of BOP adjustments. They argued that effective policy coordination expands the policy space available to governments, enabling them to respond more flexibly to external shocks.

14. **Thompson, G. (2023)** discussed the implications of digital currencies on monetary policy and BOP adjustments. He suggested that the rise of digital currencies could further complicate the coordination of monetary and fiscal policies, making this an essential area for future research.

15. **World Bank (2021)** conducted a comprehensive review of global economic prospects, emphasizing the importance of integrated fiscal and monetary policies for BOP stability. Their report highlighted the experiences of various countries in managing BOP challenges during crises, providing valuable lessons for policymakers.

# **Objective of Study**

1. To analyze the effectiveness of coordinated monetary and fiscal policies in stabilizing the Balance of Payments (BOP) during economic downturns, focusing on case studies from emerging economies that implemented these strategies successfully (Lee & Chan, 2021).

2. **To evaluate the role of institutional frameworks in enhancing the synergy between monetary and fiscal policies**, investigating how strong governance and independent central banks contribute to better BOP outcomes (Sharma & Gupta, 2022).

3. To assess the impact of global economic integration on the necessity for policy coordination, particularly examining how international trade agreements and capital flows influence domestic policy effectiveness in maintaining BOP stability (Cohen & Ghosh, 2021).

4. **To identify the challenges and barriers to effective policy coordination in the context of BOP adjustments**, focusing on political constraints and differing objectives among policymakers, which can impede collaborative efforts (Fischer, 2022).

# Hypotheses

H1: Coordinated monetary and fiscal policies significantly improve the Balance of Payments (BOP) stability in emerging economies during periods of economic downturns. This hypothesis posits that when fiscal stimulus is paired with accommodative monetary policy, BOP outcomes are enhanced, reducing deficits and improving overall economic resilience (Lee & Chan, 2021).

H2: Strong institutional frameworks enhance the effectiveness of policy coordination between monetary and fiscal authorities, leading to improved BOP outcomes. This suggests that countries with independent central banks and effective governance structures are better positioned to achieve BOP stability through coordinated policies (Sharma & Gupta, 2022).

H3: Global economic integration necessitates greater policy coordination between monetary and fiscal authorities, which directly influences BOP stability. This hypothesis proposes that increased interdependence in trade and finance amplifies the need for synchronized policy measures to manage external imbalances effectively

(Cohen & Ghosh, 2021).

H4: Political constraints and differing objectives among policymakers negatively impact the effectiveness of coordinated monetary and fiscal policies on BOP adjustments. This hypothesis posits that divergent goals and political influences can hinder collaborative efforts, leading to suboptimal BOP outcomes (Fischer, 2022).

# **Research Methodology for Hypothesis Testing**

1. Research Design

This study will employ a quantitative research design to test the proposed hypotheses concerning the relationship between monetary and fiscal policy coordination and Balance of Payments (BOP) adjustments. A cross-sectional analysis will be conducted using data from multiple countries to ensure the generalizability of the findings.

2. Data Collection

Secondary data will be collected from reputable databases such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and national statistical agencies. Key variables to be collected include:

- **BOP data:** Current account balances, capital account balances, and overall BOP statistics.
- Monetary policy indicators: Interest rates, money supply growth, and inflation rates.
- **Fiscal policy indicators:** Government expenditure, tax revenue, and fiscal deficits.
- Institutional quality metrics: Governance indicators from the World Governance Indicators (WGI).

This approach aligns with previous studies that underscore the importance of data integrity and source reliability in economic research (Zhao & Li, 2023).

3. Sample Selection

The sample will consist of a diverse set of countries, categorized as emerging, developing, and advanced economies. This stratification will allow for comparative analysis and insights into how different economic contexts affect the effectiveness of policy coordination in achieving BOP stability (Khan & Sadiq, 2020).

4. Analytical Techniques

To test the hypotheses, the following statistical methods will be employed:

• **Descriptive Statistics:** Initial analysis to summarize data characteristics and establish trends in BOP, monetary, and fiscal indicators.

• **Correlation Analysis:** To identify relationships between variables, particularly between coordinated monetary and fiscal policies and BOP stability (Lee & Chan, 2021).

• **Regression Analysis:** Multiple regression models will be utilized to test the impact of



monetary and fiscal policy coordination on BOP stability while controlling for institutional quality and external economic factors. This will allow for a more nuanced understanding of the interactions at play (Sharma & Gupta, 2022).

• **Panel Data Analysis:** If applicable, panel data techniques will be used to account for timeseries data across multiple countries, enhancing the robustness of the findings and allowing for a longitudinal view of policy effectiveness (Cohen & Ghosh, 2021).

5. Hypothesis Testing

Each hypothesis will be tested at a significance level of 0.05. Statistical software such as SPSS or Stata will be used to conduct the analyses, providing results that include coefficients, p- values, and confidence intervals.

6. Validity and Reliability

To ensure the validity and reliability of the research findings, the following steps will be taken:

• **Triangulation:** Using multiple data sources and types will help cross-verify findings and enhance robustness (Fischer, 2022).

• Sensitivity Analysis: Testing the stability of results by varying model specifications or using alternative measures of key variables will help assess the reliability of the findings (Zhao & Li, 2023).

## **Data Sources and Variables**

1.	Reserve Bank of India (RBI)
0	Data Type: BOP statistics, monetary policy reports.
0	Variables: Current account balance, capital account balance, interest rates, and
inflation rates	
0	Source Access: RBI's official website ( <u>https://www.rbi.org.in</u> ).
2.	World Bank
0	Data Type: Macroeconomic indicators and governance data.
0	Variables: Government expenditure, fiscal deficits, trade openness, and institutional
quality metric	es (e.g., governance indicators).
0	Source Access: World Bank Data ( <u>https://data.worldbank.org</u> ).
3.	UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development)
0	Data Type: Trade and investment statistics.
0	Variables: Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows, trade volume, and capital account
openness.	
0	Source Access: UNCTAD Statistics (https://unctadstat.unctad.org).
4.	WTO (World Trade Organization)
0	Data Type: International trade statistics.
0	Variables: Trade policies, tariffs, and trade volumes.

L



Source Access: WTO Statistics Database (https://stats.wto.org).						
5.	Statista					
0	Data Type: Economic indicators and forecasts.					
<ul> <li>different countries.</li> </ul>	Variables: Inflation rates, government spending, and GDP growth rates across					
0	Source Access: Statista ( <u>https://www.statista.com</u> ).					

### Justification for Data Selection

These sources provide a comprehensive dataset that encompasses various dimensions of economic policies and their effects on the Balance of Payments (BOP). The choice of data from these institutions is based on their reliability, global recognition, and extensive coverage of relevant economic indicators, which are essential for robust statistical analysis.

#### **Application of Statistical Analysis**

The data collected will be analyzed using several statistical techniques to test the hypotheses:

#### 1. Descriptive Statistics:

• Initial exploration of the data will involve calculating means, medians, and standard deviations to summarize the key indicators and identify trends over time.

## 2. Correlation Analysis:

• Pearson correlation coefficients will be calculated to assess the relationships between BOP stability and various monetary and fiscal indicators (Lee & Chan, 2021).

#### 3. Regression Analysis:

• Multiple regression models will be utilized to investigate the impact of coordinated monetary and fiscal policies on BOP stability while controlling for institutional quality and external economic conditions (Sharma & Gupta, 2022).

#### 4. Panel Data Analysis:

• If the data allows, panel data techniques will be employed to analyze time-series data across multiple countries. This approach helps control for unobserved heterogeneity and provides more nuanced insights (Cohen & Ghosh, 2021).

## 5. Sensitivity Analysis:

• Conducting sensitivity analyses will test the robustness of the findings against alternative model specifications and definitions of key variables (Fischer, 2022).



#### Justification for Research Analysis

The objective of this research is to provide empirical evidence on the effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policy coordination in achieving BOP stability. By using comprehensive secondary data from credible sources, the study aims to yield insights that are not only theoretically significant but also practically applicable for policymakers.

Testing the proposed hypotheses will contribute to existing literature by providing a nuanced understanding of how coordinated economic policies can mitigate external imbalances. Additionally, the findings can inform future research directions, particularly regarding the role of institutional quality and global economic integration in shaping policy outcomes.



#### Data collected from the sources :

<u>Source</u> : Statistical Performance Indicators, The World Bank (datacatalog.worldbank.org/dataset/statisticalperformance-indicators)





## References :

World Bank Open Data. Retrieved from World Bank

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). Retrieved from UNCTAD Reserve Bank of India (R Retrieved from RBI Statista. Retrieved from Statista

World Trade Organization (WTO). Retrieved from WTO

# DATA ANALYSIS

We have taken data for the year on year changes in the number of percentage of BOPs detail to analyze the patterns f 2010-2022, where we have plotted a line graph to visualize it.

Country Name	India
Country Code	IND



YEAR	BOP
2010	-3.2534
2011	-3.42928
2012	-5.00489
2013	-2.64567
2014	-1.33951
2015	-1.06755
2016	-0.52788
2017	-1.43949
2018	-2.42698
2019	-1.04961
2020	1.223621
2021	-1.05524
2022	-0.85202

#### Source: world bank

#### **Descriptive Statistics:**

2010		-3.2534			
			- 1.634541286		
Mean	2016.666667	Mean			
Standard Error	1.116904091	Standard Error	0.455281392		
			- 1.203529015		
Median	2016.5	Median			
Mode	#N/A	Mode	#N/A		
Standard Deviation	1	Standard Deviation			
	3.869069264		1.577141007		
Sample Variance	1 1 0 CO CO CO <b>E</b>	Sample Variance			
	14.96969697		2.487373755		
Vartesia	- 0.996398892	Vantosia	1 200070262		
KUTIOSIS		KURIOSIS	1.309970303		
Skewness	0.190444279	Skewness	- 0.550719917		
Range	12	Range	6.22851083		
Minimum	2011	Minimum	-5.00488972		
Maximum	2023	Maximum	1.22362111		
			- 19.61449543		
Sum	24200	Sum			
Count	12	Count	12		

ternational Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)Volume: 08 Issue: 12 | Dec - 2024SJIF Rating: 8.448ISSN: 2582-3930

Data For Moving Average
-3.82528
-1.99259
-1.20353
-0.79771
-0.98368
-1.93323
-1.73829
0.087005
0.08419
-0.95363



## Carried out Anova analysis and we got the following output

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance		
Column 1	13	26210	2016.153846	17.14102564		
		- 22.86789543				
Column 2	13		-1.759068879	2.481685189		
ANOVA						
Source of					<i>P</i> -	
Variation	SS	df	MS	F	value	F crit
					3.96E-	
Between Groups	26467821.46	1	26467821.46	2697672.273	62	4.25967
Within Groups	235.47253	24	9.811355415			
	26468056.93	25	5			

L

SUMMARY	<u>Count</u>	Sum	Average	<u>Variance</u>
Row 1	2	2006.747	1003.373	2026595
Row 2	2	2007.571	1003.785	2028963
Row 3	2	2006.995	1003.498	2034154
Row 4	2	2010.354	1005.177	2031414
Row 5	2	2012.66	1006.33	2030797
Row 6	2	2013.932	1006.966	2032264
Row 7	2	2015.472	1007.736	2033192
Row 8	2	2015.561	1007.78	2037049
Row 9	2	2015.573	1007.787	2041063
Row 10	2	2017.95	1008.975	2040300
Row 11	2	2021.224	1010.612	2037729
Row 12	2	2020.945	1010.472	2046376
Row 13	2	2022.148	1011.074	2047988
Column 1	13	26210	2016.154	17.14103
Column 2	13	-22.8679	-1.75907	2.481685

Anova: Two Factor without replication

Source of Variation	SS	$d\!f$	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	172.781	12	14.39841	2.756049	0.045927	2.686637
Columns	26467821	1	26467821	5066295	3.98E-35	4.747225
Error	62.69155	12	5.224296			
Total	26468057	25				



## **Results:**

The data includes the year-wise Balance of Payments (BOP) details for India from 2010 to 2022. Here is a concise result based on this dataset: Key Findings from BOP Data (2010-2022): 1. **Overall Trend:** The BOP showed a deficit trend from 2010 to 2019, indicating economic outflows were 0 higher than inflows during these years. 2. Significant Deficits: The largest BOP deficit was in 2012 at -5.00% of GDP, reflecting external imbalances 0 possibly due to high import demand and capital outflows. 3. Surplus Period: In 2020, the BOP turned positive, recording a 1.22% surplus of GDP, likely influenced 0 by reduced imports during the COVID-19 pandemic and increased remittances. 4. Post-Pandemic Adjustment: The BOP returned to a deficit in 2021 and 2022 but at a lower rate compared to pre-0 pandemic levels, showing some stabilization efforts.

# Conclusion

Balance of Payments (BOP) adjustments depend heavily on the coordinated use of monetary and fiscal policies. Research highlights that aligning monetary measures, such as interest rate changes and currency management, with fiscal policies aimed at managing government spending and taxation, is critical for stabilizing external imbalances (Aizenman et al., 2020; Svensson, 2018). This coordination mitigates risks like inflation and capital flight, fostering macroeconomic stability. Future research, using secondary data, should explore how policy synchronization across different economies affects BOP outcomes and offers lessons for mitigating global financial instability.



#### References

International Monetary Fund. (2020). Fiscal and Monetary Policy Coordination: A Review.
 IMF Working Papers. Retrieved from IMF website

Johnson, H., & Smith, R. (2019). The Role of Fiscal Policy in Balance of PaymentsAdjustments.JournalofEconomicPolicy,34(2),123-145.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2018.11.002

 Lee, T., & Chan, K. (2021). Coordinated Fiscal and Monetary Policies in Emerging Economies: Lessons from Case Studies. Economic Review, 42(3), 65-87.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econrev.2020.08.004

Sharma, P., & Gupta, M. (2022). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Policy Coordination on External Stability. Journal of International Trade, 56(1), 45-67. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2021.1932534

 Zhao, Y., & Li, Q. (2023). Monetary-Fiscal Nexus and Its Impact on Balance of Payments. Asian Economic Policy Review, 18(4), 303-321.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/aepr.12245

Khan, M., & Sadiq, M. (2020). Global financial crises and their impact on balance of payments. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 8(4), 53-67. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs8040053

World Bank. (2021). Global economic prospects: A long-run perspective. Retrieved from World Bank website

Aizenman, J., & Marion, N. (2021). Fiscal deficits and balance of payments stability: A historical perspective.
 Journal of International Economics, 134, 103637.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2021.103637

Cohen, D., & Ghosh, A. (2021). Globalization and BOP adjustments: A new framework. *World Economy*, 44(1), 54-78. https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.13031

Eichengreen, B., & Gupta, P. (2020). Institutional quality and BOP stability: Evidence from developing countries. *Journal of Development Economics*, 144, 102422.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2019.102422

Fischer, S. (2022). Challenges in coordinating monetary and fiscal policies.

International Journal of Central Banking, 18(1), 23-45. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3565079

Ghosh, A. R., & Ostry, J. D. (2019). The policy space for managing balance of payments: A theoretical perspective. *Finance and Development*, 56(3), 10-13.

MIMF. (2020). Fiscal and monetary policy coordination: Lessons from recent crises.

International Monetary Fund. Retrieved from IMF website

Klein, M. (2021). Interest rate policies and balance of payments adjustments. *Review of International Economics*, 29(2), 342-360. https://doi.org/10.1111/roie.12468

Rogoff, K. (2019). The evolving landscape of balance of payments adjustments.

Journal of Economic Perspectives, 33(4), 37-58. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.20181009

 $\triangleright$ 

Thompson, G. (2023). Digital currencies and their implications for monetary policy.



Journal of Financial Stability, 51, 100885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2021.100885

World Bank. (2021). Global economic prospects: A long-run perspective. Retrieved from World Bank website

Cohen, D., & Ghosh, A. (2021). Globalization and BOP adjustments: A new framework. *World Economy*, 44(1), 54-78. https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.13031