

Characterization of μ^{**} - R_1 Spaces

R. Saranya

Assistant Professor , Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts & Science for Women – Coimbatore Email id : tsrsaranya@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: In this paper we introduce $\mu^{**}R_1$ - spaces and we study some characterization of $\mu^{**}R_1$ - spaces. We analyse the relation between μ^{**} -closed sets with already existing closed sets.

KEYWORDS: μ^{**} -closed sets, μ^{**} -open sets, μ^{**} -closure, μ^{**} - R_0 spaces.

I INTRODUCTION

Levine[7] introduced generalized closed sets (briefly g-closed sets) in topological spaces and studied their basic properties. R. Devi[4] introduced and studied μ^* -closed sets. Veerakumar [10] introduced g^* -closed sets in topological spaces and studied their properties. Pauline Mary Helan [8] introduced and studied g^{**} -closed sets in topological spaces. The aim of this paper is to introduce a $\mu^{**}R_1$ - spaces and we investigate some characterization of $\mu^{**}R_1$ - spaces.

II PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called

- (i) a semi-open set if $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$ and a semi-closed set if $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$,
- (ii) a preopen set if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and a preclosed set if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq A$,
- (iii) an α - open set if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$ and an α -closed set if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq A$,
- (iv) a semi-preopen set if $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))$ and a semi-preclosed set if $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A))) \subseteq A$
- (v) a regular open set if $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and a regular closed set if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) = A$.

The semi-closure (resp.preclosure , semi-preclosure) of a subset A of a space (X, τ) is the intersection of all semi-closed(resp. preclosed , α -closed, semi-preclosed) sets that contain A and is denoted by $\text{scl}(A)$ (resp. $\text{pcl}(A)$, $\text{Acl}(A)$, $\text{spcl}(A)$).

Definition 2.2 A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called

- (i) a generalized closed (briefly g-closed) set[10] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) ; the compliment of a g-closed set is called a g-open set,
- (ii) a semi-generalized closed (briefly sg-closed) set[2] if $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open in (X, τ) ; the compliment of sg-closed set is called a sg-open set,
- (iii) a generalized semi-closed (briefly gs-closed) set if $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ)

- (iv) an α -generalized closed (briefly αg -closed) set [3] if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α -open in (X, τ) ,
- (v) a generalized α -closed (briefly $g\alpha$ -closed) set [3] if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α -open in (X, τ)
- (vi) a g^* - closed set [10] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is g -open in (X, τ) ,
- (vii) a g^{**} -closed set [8] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is g^* -open in (X, τ) ,
- (viii) a generalized preclosed (briefly gp -closed) set if $pcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) ,
- (ix) a generalized semi-preclosed (briefly gsp -closed) set [5] if $spcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ)
- (x) a generalized pre regular closed (briefly gpr -closed) set [6] if $pcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is regular open in (X, τ) ,
- (xi) a $g^\#$ -closed set [11] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is αg -open in (X, τ) ,
- (xii) a generalized α^{**} -closed (briefly $g\alpha^{**}$ -closed) set [3] if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq \text{int}(cl(U))$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α -open in (X, τ) ,
- (xiii) a μ^* -closed set [4] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $g\alpha^{**}$ -open in (X, τ) ,
- (xiv) a g^*s - closed set [9] if $scl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is gs -open in (X, τ) .

The compliment of the above mentioned sets are called their respective open sets.

III. μ^{**} - R_1 Spaces

Definition 3.1. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be μ^{**} - R_1 if for x, y in X with $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint μ^{**} -open sets U and V such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$ is a subset of U and $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$ is a subset of V .

Theorem 3.1: If (X, τ) is μ^{**} - R_1 , then (X, τ) is μ^{**} - R_0 .

Proof: Let U be μ^{**} -open and $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then since $x \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$, $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Hence, there exists a μ^{**} -open v_y such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset v_y$ and $x \notin v_y$, which implies $y \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$. Thus $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$. Therefore (X, τ) is μ^{**} - R_0 .

Theorem 3.2: A topological space (X, τ) is μ^{**} - R_1 if and only if for $x, y \in X$, $\mu^{**}\text{-Ker}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Ker}(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint μ^{**} -open sets U and V such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$ and $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset V$.

Proof : It follows from Definition.

Theorem 3.3 : If (X, τ) is μ^{**} - T_2 , then (X, τ) is μ^{**} - R_1 .

Proof. Since X is μ^{**} - T_2 , then X is μ^{**} - R_1 . If $x, y \in X$ such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$, then $x \neq y$. There exists disjoint μ^{**} -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$; hence $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = \{x\} \subset U$ and $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) = \{y\} \subset V$. Hence X is μ^{**} - R_1 .

Definition 3.2: A topological space (X, τ) is called $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_2$ if for any distinct pair of points x and y in X , there exist μ^{**} -open sets U and V in X containing x and y , respectively, such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.4. If (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_2$, then (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$.

Proof. Since X is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_2$, then X is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$. If $x, y \in X$ such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$, then $x \neq y$. There exists disjoint μ^{**} -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$; hence $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = \{x\} \subset U$ and $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) = \{y\} \subset V$. Hence X is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$.

Theorem 3.5. For a topological space (X, τ) , the following statements are equivalent :

- (1) (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$;
- (2) If $x, y \in X$ such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$, then there exists μ^{**} -closed sets F_1 and F_2 such that $x \in F_1, y \notin F_1, y \in F_2, x \notin F_2$ and $X = F_1 \cup F_2$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Let $x, y \in X$ such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$, and hence $x \neq y$. Therefore, there exists disjoint μ^{**} -open sets U_1 and U_2 such that $x \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset U_1$ and $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset U_2$. Then $F_1 = X - U_2$ and $F_2 = X - U_1$ are μ^{**} -closed sets such that $x \in F_1, y \notin F_1, y \in F_2, x \notin F_2$ and $X = F_1 \cup F_2$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : Suppose that x and y are distinct points of X , such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Therefore there exist μ^{**} -closed sets F_1 and F_2 such that $x \in F_1, y \notin F_1, y \in F_2, x \notin F_2$ and $X = F_1 \cup F_2$. Now, we set $U_1 = X - F_2$ and $U_2 = X - F_1$, then we obtain that $x \in U_1, y \in U_2, U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and U_1, U_2 are μ^{**} -open. This shows that (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_2$. Therefore (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$.

Theorem 3.6. A topological space (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$ if and only if for $x, y \in X$, $\mu^{**}\text{-Ker}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Ker}(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint μ^{**} -open sets U and V such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$ and $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset V$.

Proof. Proof follows from the definition.

Definition 3.3: A point x of a topological space (X, τ) is a $\mu^{**}\text{-}\theta$ -accumulation point of a subset $A \subset X$, if for each μ^{**} -open U of X containing x , $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. The set $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(A)$ of all $\mu^{**}\text{-}\theta$ -accumulation points of A is called the $\mu^{**}\text{-}\theta$ -closure of A . The set A is said to be $\mu^{**}\text{-}\theta$ -closed if $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(A) = A$. Complement of a $\mu^{**}\text{-}\theta$ -closed set is said to be $\mu^{**}\text{-}\theta$ -open.

Lemma 3.1: For any subset A of a topological space (X, τ) , $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(A) \subset \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(A)$.

Lemma 3.2: Let x and y are points in a topological space (X, τ) . Then $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{x\})$ if and only if $x \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{y\})$.

Theorem 3.7. A topological space (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$ if and only if for each $x \in X$, $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{x\})$.

Proof: Necessity: Assume that X is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$ and $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{x\}) - \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$.

Then there exists a μ^{**} -open set U containing y such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(U) \cap \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ but $U \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$. Thus $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset U$, $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \cap U = \emptyset$. Hence $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Since X is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$, there exist disjoint μ^{**} -open sets U_1 and U_2 such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset U_1$ and $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset U_2$. Therefore $X - U_1$ is a μ^{**} -closed μ^{**} -neighbourhood at y which does not contain x . Thus $y \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{x\})$. This is a contradiction.

Sufficiency: Suppose that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{x\})$ for each $x \in X$. We first prove that X is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_0$. Let x belong to the μ^{**} -open set U and $y \notin U$.

Since $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{y\}) = \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \subset X - U$, we have $x \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{y\})$ and $y \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}\theta(\{x\}) = \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$. It follows that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$. Therefore (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_0$. Now, let $a, b \in$

X with $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{a\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{b\})$. (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_1$ and $b \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{a\})$ and hence there exists a μ^{**} -open set U containing b such that $a \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(U)$. Therefore, we obtain $b \in U$, $a \in X - \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(U)$ and $U \cap (X - \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(U)) = \emptyset$. This shows that (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_2$. It follows that (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$.

Theorem 3.8: For a topological space (X, τ) the following are equivalent: (1) (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$; (2) (X, τ) is μ^{**} -symmetric.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2). If $x \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Then there exist a μ^{**} -open set U containing x such that $y \notin U$. Hence $y \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(U)$. The converse is similarly shown. (2) \Rightarrow (1) Let U be a μ^{**} -open set and $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then $x \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$ and hence $y \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$. This implies that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$. Hence (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$.

Theorem 3.9: For a topological space (X, τ) , the following statements are equivalent:

(1) (X, τ) is a $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$ space; (2) If $x, y \in X$, then $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$ if and only if every net in X μ^{**} -converging to y μ^{**} -converges to x .

Proof: (1) \rightarrow (2): Let $x, y \in X$ such that $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$. Suppose that $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a net in X such that $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ μ^{**} -converges to y . Since $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$, we have $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Therefore $x \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. This means that $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ μ^{**} -converges to x . Conversely, let $x, y \in X$ such that every net in X μ^{**} -converging to y μ^{**} -converges to x . Then $x \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. We have $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Therefore $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$. (2) \rightarrow (1): Assume that x and y are any two points of X such that $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. So there exists a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$ such that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ μ^{**} -converges to z . Since $z \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$, then $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ μ^{**} -converges to y . It follows that $y \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\})$. By the same token we obtain $x \in \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Therefore $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$ and (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}R_1$.

4. OTHER PROPERTIES OF μ^{**} -OPEN SETS

Definition 4.1: A subset A of a topological space X is called a $\mu^{**}D$ -set if there are two $U, V \in \mu^{**}O(X, \tau)$ such that $U \neq X$ and $A = U - V$. One can observe that every μ^{**} -open set U different from X is a $\mu^{**}D$ -set if $A = U$ and $V = \emptyset$.

Definition 4.2: A topological space (X, τ) is called: (i) $\mu^{**}D_0$ if for any distinct pair of points x and y of X there exists a $\mu^{**}D$ -set of X containing x but not y or a $\mu^{**}D$ -set of X containing y but not x . (ii) $\mu^{**}D_1$ if for any distinct pair of points x and y of X there exists a $\mu^{**}D$ -set of X containing x but not y and a $\mu^{**}D$ -set of X containing y but not x . (iii) $\mu^{**}D_2$ if for any distinct pair of points x and y of X there exists disjoint $\mu^{**}D$ -sets G and E of X containing x and y , respectively. (iv) $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_0$ if for any distinct pair of points in X , there is a μ^{**} -open set containing one of the points but not the other.

Remark 4.1: (i) If (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_i$, then it is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2$. (ii) If (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_i$, then (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}D_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. (iii) If (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}D_i$, then it is $\mu^{**}\text{-}D_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 4.1: For a topological space (X, τ) the following statements are true: (1) (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}D_0$ if and only if it is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_0$. (2) (X, τ) is $\mu^{**}\text{-}D_1$ if and only if it is $\mu^{**}\text{-}D_2$.

Proof: (1) We prove only the necessity condition since the sufficiency condition is stated in Remark 4.1(ii). Necessity. Let (X, τ) be $\mu^{**}\text{-}D_0$. Then for each distinct pair $x, y \in X$, at least one of x, y , say x , belongs to a $\mu^{**}D$ -set G but $y \notin G$. Let $G = U_1 \setminus U_2$ where $U_1 \neq X$ and $U_1, U_2 \in \mu^{**}O(X, \tau)$. Then $x \in U_1$, and for $y \notin G$ we have two cases: (a) $y \notin U_1$; (b) $y \in U_1$ and $y \in U_2$. In case (a), U_1 contains x but not y ; In case (b), U_2 contains y but not x . Hence X is $\mu^{**}\text{-}T_0$. (2) Sufficiency. Remark 5.1(iii). Necessity. Let X be a

μ^{**} - D_1 topological space. Then for each distinct pair $x, y \in X$, we have $\mu^{**}D$ -sets G_1, G_2 such that $x \in G_1, y \notin G_1; y \in G_2, x \notin G_2$. Let $G_1 = U_1 \setminus U_2, G_2 = U_3 \setminus U_4$. From $x \notin G_2$, we have either $x \notin U_3$ or $x \in U_3$ and $x \in U_4$. Now we consider the following two cases separately (1) $x \notin U_3$. From $y \notin G_1$ we have two subcases: (a) $y \notin U_1$. From $x \in U_1 \setminus U_2$ we have $x \in U_1 \setminus (U_2 \cup U_3)$ and from $y \in U_3 \setminus U_4$ we have $y \in U_3 \setminus (U_1 \cup U_4)$. Therefore, $(U_1 \setminus (U_2 \cup U_3)) \cap (U_3 \setminus (U_1 \cup U_4)) = \emptyset$. (b) $y \in U_1$ and $y \in U_2$. We have $x \in U_1 \setminus U_2, y \in U_2$. $(U_1 \setminus U_2) \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. (2) $x \in U_3$ and $x \in U_4$. We have $y \in U_3 \setminus U_4, x \in U_4$. $(U_3 \setminus U_4) \cap U_4 = \emptyset$.

From the discussion above we know that the space X is μ^{**} - D_2 .

Theorem 4.2: For a μ^{**} - T_0 topological space (X, τ) each pair of distinct points x, y of X , $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$.

Proof: Let x, y be any two distinct points of X . Since X is μ^{**} - T_0 , there exists a μ^{**} -open set G containing x or y , say x but not y . Then G^c is a μ^{**} -closed set which does not contain x but contains y . Since $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$ is the smallest μ^{**} -closed set containing y , $\text{Cl}_b(\{y\}) \subset G^c$, and so $x \notin \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$. Consequently $\mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mu^{**}\text{-Cl}(\{y\})$.

Theorem 4.3: A topological space X is μ^{**} - T_2 if and only if the intersection of all μ^{**} -closed μ^{**} -neighbourhood of each point of X is reduced to that point.

Proof: Necessity: Let X be μ^{**} - T_2 and $x \in X$. Then for each $y \in X$ which is distinct from x , there exist μ^{**} -open sets G and H such that $x \in G, y \in H$ and $G \cap H = \emptyset$. Since $x \in G \subset H^c$, hence H^c is a μ^{**} -closed μ^{**} -neighbourhood of x to which y does not belong. Consequently, the intersection of all μ^{**} -closed μ^{**} -neighbourhood of x is reduced to $\{x\}$.

Sufficiency: Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Then by hypothesis there exists a μ^{**} -closed μ^{**} -neighbourhood U of x such that $y \notin U$. Now there is a μ^{**} -open set G such that $x \in G \subset U$. Thus G and G^c are disjoint μ^{**} -open sets containing x and y respectively. Hence X is μ^{**} - T_2 .

Definition 4.3: A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is μ^{**} -irresolute if the inverse image of each μ^{**} -open set is μ^{**} -open.

Theorem 4.4: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a μ^{**} -irresolute surjective function and E is a $\mu^{**}D$ -set in Y , then the inverse image of E is a $\mu^{**}D$ -set in X .

Proof: Let E be a $\mu^{**}D$ -set in Y . Then there are μ^{**} -open sets U_1 and U_2 in Y such that $E = U_1 \setminus U_2$ and $U_1 \neq Y$. By the μ^{**} -irresoluteness of f , $f^{-1}(U_1)$ and $f^{-1}(U_2)$ are μ^{**} -open in X . Since $U_1 \neq Y$, we have $f^{-1}(U_1) \neq X$. Hence $f^{-1}(E) = f^{-1}(U_1) \setminus f^{-1}(U_2)$ is a $\mu^{**}D$ -set.

Theorem 4.5: If (Y, σ) is μ^{**} - D_1 and $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is g -irresolute and bijective, then (X, τ) is μ^{**} - D_1 .

Proof: Suppose that Y is a μ^{**} - D_1 space. Let x and y be any pair of distinct points in X . Since f is injective and Y is μ^{**} - D_1 , there exist $\mu^{**}D$ -sets G_X and G_Y of Y containing $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ respectively, such that $f(y) \notin G_X$ and $f(x) \notin G_Y$, $f^{-1}(G_X)$ and $f^{-1}(G_Y)$ are $\mu^{**}D$ -sets in X containing x and y respectively. This implies that X is a μ^{**} - D_1 space.

Theorem 4.6: A topological space (X, τ) is μ^{**} - D_1 if and only if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists a g -irresolute surjective function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, where Y is a μ^{**} - D_1 space such that $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are distinct.

Proof: Necessity. For every pair of distinct points of X , it suffices to take the identity function on X .

Sufficiency. Let x and y be any pair of distinct points in X . By hypothesis, there exists a μ^{**} -irresolute,

surjective function f of a space X onto a μ^{**} - D_1 space Y such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.
Therefore, there exist disjoint $\mu^{**}D$ -sets G_X and G_Y in Y such that $f(x) \in G_X$ and $f(y) \in G_Y$. Since f is μ^{**} -irresolute and surjective, $f^{-1}(G_X)$ and $f^{-1}(G_Y)$ are disjoint $\mu^{**}D$ -sets in X containing x and y , respectively. Hence X is μ^{**} - D_1 space.

REFERENCES

- [1]. D.Andrijevic, Semi-pre open sets, Mat. Vesnic,38(1) 1986, 24-32.
[2]. P. Bhattacharya and B.K. Lahri , semi- generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J.Math., 29 (3) (1987) , 375 – 382.
[3].R.Devi , H. Maki and K. Balachandran , α -generalized closed maps and generalized α - closed maps , Indian J . pure . Appl. Math., 29 (1) (1998) , 37 - 49 .
[4]. R.Devi , C.Vanitha and V.Kokilavani , μ^* - closed sets in topological spaces , Bulletin of pure and applied sciences., 26 (1) (2007) , 81 – 87.
[5].J.Dontchev , on generalizing semi-pre open set , Mem . Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ.Ser.A.Math.,16 (1995) , 35 – 48.
[6].Y. Gnanambal , On generalized pre – regular closed sets in topological spaces, Indian J . pure . Appl. Math.,28 (3) (1997) , 351 – 360.
[7]. N . Levin , Semi – open sets and semi – continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963) , 36 – 41.
[8]. Paulin Mary Helen. M , Veronica Vijayan , Ponnuthai Selvarani , g^{**} - closed sets in topological spaces, IJMA 3 (5) , 2012 , 1 – 15.
[9]. Pushpalatha.A and Anitha.k ., g^*s – closed sets in topological spaces , Int. J . Contemp.Math. Sciences., 6 (19) (2011) 917 – 921.
[10].M.K.R.S. Veera Kumar , Between closed sets and g - closed sets , Mem . Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ.Ser.A.Math.,21 (2000) , 1 – 19.
[11].M.K.R.S. Veera Kumar , $g\#$ - closed sets in topological spaces, Mem . Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ.Ser.A.Math.,24 (2003) , 1 – 13.