

Co-living Spaces

Mo. Farhan Khan¹, Ar. Shefali Soni², Ar. Dhaneshwar Prasad Kosey³

¹*U.G. Student, School of Architecture, Rajiv Gandhi Proudyogiki Vishwavidyalaya, Bhopal*

²*Assistant Professor, School of Architecture, Rajiv Gandhi Proudyogiki Vishwavidyalaya, Bhopal*

³*Assistant Professor, School of Architecture, Rajiv Gandhi Proudyogiki Vishwavidyalaya, Bhopal*

Abstract - Urbanization, rising costs of housing, and lifestyle changes have drastically impacted the need for residential spaces in contemporary urban settings. Traditional housing typologies have not been able to provide solutions for affordability, adaptability, and socialization, especially for students, young professionals, and immigrants. As a result, co-living has emerged as a new housing typology that offers private residential units along with shared facilities.

This research paper explores co-living spaces from an architectural and socio-spatial perspective. The paper examines the spatial organization, architectural design, social interaction, and sustainability strategies of co-living spaces. By conducting a comprehensive literature review and analyzing international and Indian case studies, this paper critically assesses the potential of co-living spaces in providing solutions to contemporary urban housing needs. The paper discusses how architectural design can provide a balance between privacy and social living, ensuring efficient use of land and social well-being.

Key Words: Co-Living Spaces, Shared Housing, Urban Architecture, Community Living, Spatial Planning

1. INTRODUCTION

Cities across the globe are undergoing rapid expansion because of urbanization, economic development, and mass migration. This has put a tremendous strain on the urban housing infrastructure, causing an increase in housing costs, a shortage of housing, and a degradation of living standards. The conventional housing typology, which focuses on individual ownership and long-term possession, is becoming less relevant in a society that prioritizes flexibility, mobility, and affordability.

Recently, the concept of co-living has gained popularity as a modern housing solution that addresses the changing urban reality. Co-living spaces offer residents private rooms or apartments, as well as shared facilities such as kitchens, living rooms, workspaces, and recreational areas. Unlike hostels or paying guest accommodations, co-living spaces are specifically designed to facilitate community engagement and interaction while still preserving individual privacy.

From an architectural point of view, co-living is a new direction in collective and efficient spatial planning. This

research proposes to examine the concept of co-living as a new housing typology and explore its architectural relevance in the context of modern urban living.

2. Literature review

The idea of shared living has been extensively explored in architectural and sociological literature. The earliest examples of shared living spaces can be found in joint family systems, boarding houses, and dormitories, where everything was shared. According to Durrett (2009), shared living models facilitate socialization and space/resource optimization.

Recent literature on the subject points to co-living as a reaction to the changing lifestyle trends of delayed homeownership, the rise of the work-from-home culture, and the trend of migrating to urban areas. The literature points to the critical role of space design in making co-living spaces successful. Well-designed shared spaces foster socialization, while poorly designed spaces can cause conflict and a lack of privacy.

Urban housing literature also points to the sustainability benefits of co-living. Shared resources mean less energy, water, and material consumption per capita. Architectural literature further points to the critical role of spatial hierarchy, zoning, and movement patterns in achieving a balance between private and shared spaces. These studies form the theoretical underpinning for the social and architectural construct of co-living.

3. Research Methodology

This study uses a qualitative approach with secondary data sources. The approach comprises:

Analysis of academic journals, books, and architectural literature on co-living and shared housing.

Analysis of chosen international and Indian co-living schemes through published drawings, reports, and articles.

Comparative analysis between conventional housing and co-living schemes to identify differences in spatial organization and social interaction.

This study is more concerned with architectural planning principles, spatial relationships, and user experiences than statistical analysis.

4. Concept and evolution of co-living spaces

Co-living can be defined as a living arrangement where people have private residential spaces but share common facilities such as kitchens, dining areas, living rooms,

workspaces, and recreational areas. The idea of co-living goes beyond just a shared living space and focuses on creating a community through design and spatial interactions.

The development of co-living spaces is closely associated with the socio-economic shift in urban society. The rise in land costs, reduction in family sizes, mobility, and the flexible work culture have all contributed to the success of co-living spaces. Contemporary co-living spaces combine co-working spaces, fitness areas, and social areas, which are a result of the changing lifestyle of urban dwellers.

5. Architectural design principles of co-living

5.1 Spatial Organization

Co-living spaces are organized in a hierarchical manner with respect to their usage: private, semi-private, and public. Private spaces are designed to provide comfort and privacy to the residents, while common areas like kitchens and lounges serve as social hubs. Well-designed circulation routes between these spaces facilitate interaction.

5.2 Privacy and Community Balance

The design of co-living spaces faces the challenge of balancing privacy and community living. Architectural design strategies like zoning, soundproofing, visual screening, and access control help to strike this balance.

5.3 Flexibility and Adaptability

Flexible space planning, modular furniture, and multi-functional spaces enable co-living spaces to be adaptable to the needs of the residents. This adaptability extends the functional life of the building.

5.4 Sustainability

Co-living spaces are sustainable in nature due to shared resources, energy efficiency, natural ventilation, daylighting, and efficient land use. The addition of green spaces further improves the sustainability of the spaces and the health of the residents.

6. Case study

6.1 The Collective Old Oak, London

The Collective Old Oak is one of the largest co-living spaces in the world. The project provides compact private rooms with a range of shared facilities like communal kitchens, lounges, libraries, and fitness areas. The project is designed to promote social interaction among residents while also providing privacy.

6.2 Zoku, Amsterdam

Zoku is a mixed-use co-living and co-working space for professionals and digital nomads. The project provides a combined living and working space. The project includes shared social spaces that are designed to facilitate collaboration and interaction among residents.

6.3 Co-Living Spaces in Indian Cities

Co-living spaces have become popular in Indian cities like Bengaluru, Pune, Gurugram, and Hyderabad. These projects are mainly targeted at students and working professionals. The Indian co-living spaces are designed to suit the local climate, culture, and budget, making the concept highly relevant in the Indian context.

7. Comparison with conventional housing

Traditional housing designs are centered on individual ownership and private space, leading to increased costs and reduced social interaction. Co-living, on the other hand, is centered on shared facilities, affordability, flexibility, and social interaction. Co-living is more space-efficient and thus ideal for urban settings.

8. Challenges in co-living design

Although co-living has many benefits, there are still some challenges that it encounters. These are the following: lack of building regulations, privacy and noise issues, acceptance by culture, and managing shared spaces. These challenges must be addressed in order for co-living to be successful.

9. Future scope of co-living architecture

The scope of co-living architecture is immense in the field of student housing, affordable rental housing, and mixed-use projects. The adaptive reuse of existing buildings like hostels, offices, and hotels is another area that has immense potential. If planned properly, co-living architecture can help in sustainable development.

10. CONCLUSION

Co-living spaces are a progressive and flexible solution to the modern-day challenges of urban housing. In terms of architecture, the success of co-living spaces relies on spatial planning, privacy and community, and sustainable design. As cities expand and lifestyles change, co-living spaces are a viable and inclusive solution to the future of housing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my faculty members for their valuable guidance, encouragement, and continuous support throughout the completion of this research paper. Their insights and suggestions greatly contributed to shaping this study.

I am also thankful to my institution for providing the necessary academic resources and learning environment that made this research possible. Special appreciation is extended to the authors and researchers whose work in the field of architecture and urban housing provided a strong foundation for this study.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my peers and family members for their motivation and support during the course of this research

REFERENCES

1. Durrett, C. (2009). *The Senior Cohousing Handbook*. Wiley.
2. Scanlon, K. (2014). Shared Living and Housing Innovation. *Urban Studies Journal*.
3. UN-Habitat. *Urban Housing and Sustainable Development Reports*.
4. ArchDaily. Articles on Co-Living and Shared Housing.
5. Tummers, L. (2016). The Re-emergence of Self-Managed Co-Housing in Europe. *Urban Studies Journal*, 53(10), 2023–2040.
6. Vestbro, D. U. (2010). Living Together – Cohousing Ideas and Realities Around the World. *Proceedings of the International Collaborative Housing Conference*.
7. Williams, J. (2008). Predicting an American Future for Cohousing. *Futures*, 40(3), 268–286.
8. Fromm, D. (2012). *Collaborative Communities: Co-housing, Central Living, and Other New Forms of Housing*. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.