International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 05 | May - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

Comparative Energy Analysis of Bio-Receptive and Conventional Façades in Different Climates

Niharika Mishra[1], Q.H. Kapadia[2]

[1]*Student, Amity School of Architecture & Planning, Amity University Chhattisgarh*
[2]*Professor, Amity School of Architecture & Planning, Amity University Chhattisgarh*

---***---

Abstract - This review paper presents a comparative analysis of the energy performance of bio-receptive façades and conventional façade systems across diverse climatic contexts. With the increasing emphasis on sustainable architecture and environmentally responsive design, bio-receptive façades have emerged as an innovative solution that integrates biological elements—such as mosses, algae, or microorganisms—into building envelopes. These systems offer potential benefits including enhanced thermal insulation, passive cooling, and carbon sequestration, thereby contributing to the overall energy efficiency and ecological performance of buildings. The study employs a combination of case studies and simulation-based methodologies to evaluate the thermal behavior, heating and cooling load implications, and overall energy efficiency of bio-receptive façades in comparison to conventional alternatives. Given the evolving nature of this technology, the analysis is grounded in a comprehensive review of current literature, industry data, and relevant sustainability standards. The findings are critically examined in relation to established environmental performance benchmarks to identify alignments, discrepancies, and areas requiring further development. Preliminary results suggest that bio-receptive façades demonstrate considerable potential in enhancing building energy performance, particularly in temperate and humid climates. However, several challenges persist, including maintenance complexity, variability in biological growth, and integration with existing construction practices. This study concludes that while the adoption of bio-receptive façade systems is promising, successful implementation will require coordinated efforts among architects, engineers, and policymakers to address technical barriers and refine performance assessment frameworks.

Key Words: Bio-receptive facade, Energy efficiency, Sustainable architecture, Climate-responsive design, Thermal performance.

1.INTRODUCTION

This Bio-receptive refers to the capacity of a material to support the natural growth of living organisms, particularly small plant species and micro-organisms, on its surface. The concept of Bio-Receptive architecture aims to create a symbiotic relationship between architecture and nature, enhancing ecological sustainability, improving air quality, and fostering a closer connection between humans and their natural surroundings.

This paper focuses on the application of bio-receptive façade systems, design and construction techniques for the possible benefits of enhancing building performance. It analyses case studies within different climatic conditions and suggests implementation techniques to enhance building performance. The scope includes evaluation of the suitability of bio-receptive façade systems in varied conditions, reviewing the types and properties of bio-receptive materials, and future trends and innovations in bio-receptive material. The limitations are not fully capturing variability across different geographic locations and not considering economic feasibility of bio-receptive façade systems.

Bio-receptive architecture is a research and design methodology that encourages the growth of lichens, algae, and moss on building surfaces. These animals can help improve the quality of the air, reduce the need for irrigation, and offer habitat for birds and insects. There are significant associations between bio-receptivity and A pattern of bio-deterioration produced by living organisms on building materials is also shown by studies on the bio-receptivity of certain materials. The phrase "bio-deterioration" refers to any process, event, or action that organisms perform on building materials; however, it excludes those that are considered protective. Bio-protection is the term used to describe the advantageous ways that organisms growing on surfaces resist erosion and corrosion. The surface-dwelling organisms can act as a thermal barrier, absorb pollutants, control humidity, and physically protect the underlying surface from harm. (M. L. Coutinho, 2016, 2019).

"Architectural surfaces engineered to facilitate and promote the colonization and development of living organisms, especially vegetation, are known as bio-receptive facades." (Uday Karmokar, 2023).

As per Bio-engineer Gillette, There are two definitions for the concept of bio-receptivity, (i) 'the ability of a material to be colonized by living organisms' (expanded in 'the aptitude of a material (or any other inanimate object) to be colonized by one or several groups of living organisms without necessarily undergoing any biodeterioration'), (2) 'the totality of material properties that contribute to the establishment, anchorage and development of fauna and/or flora' (Guillitte, 1995).

1.1 Building performance analysis

To maximize sustainability and energy efficiency in contemporary architecture, building performance calculations are essential. These studies offer insightful information about the efficiency of design decisions, trends in energy use, and the general effect of cutting-edge technology on building performance. We can find best practices and tactics that support the creation of high-performance buildings by looking at these real-world examples.

1.2 Literature study

The building façade plays a critical role in determining the thermal and energy performance of architectural envelopes. This literature review presents a comparative analysis of conventional and bio-receptive façade systems, focusing on their energy performance

International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 05 | May - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

across different climatic conditions. The review draws on published research studies, energy simulation reports, and experimental data to identify the strengths, limitations, and context-specific applicability of each system.

Recent studies have emphasized the critical role of façade systems in influencing building energy performance, particularly in relation to climate responsiveness and material configuration. Conventional façade systems, such as curtain walls, are highly dependent on the Window-to-Wall Ratio (WWR), where a higher ratio significantly increases both heating and cooling loads while reducing lighting energy demands (Impact of Curtain Wall Configurations on Building Energy Performance, 2021). Similarly, the orientation of curtain walls affects energy usage, with south-facing façades benefiting from passive solar gains in winter, while north-facing façades demand higher insulation performance. Concrete and masonry façades exhibit improved thermal performance due to their thermal mass properties, particularly in hot and arid climates, and show enhanced indoor thermal regulation when insulation is positioned externally (Kosny, 1998). Precast concrete panels, especially those integrated with Glass Reinforced Concrete (GRC), offer reduced energy consumption due to superior insulation and thermal mass synergy, while metal and aluminum panels require additional thermal treatments to achieve efficiency benchmarks (BREEAM, 2020).

In contrast, bio-receptive façades represent an emerging sustainable alternative, utilizing porous materials and living organisms to enhance thermal performance and environmental contribution. Bio-receptive concrete systems employ surface geometries and optimized pH levels to facilitate colonization by moss or algae, resulting in evaporative cooling, improved insulation, and carbon sequestration capacities ranging from 0.5 to 1 kg CO_2/m^2 annually (Exploring the Possibility of Using Bio-Receptive Concrete, 2021). Microalgae bioreactor façades further advance this concept by dynamically adjusting algae density to solar exposure, which reduces cooling loads by 20–30% in warm climates and produces biofuel capable of offsetting up to 15% of a building's energy use (BIQ House – The Use of Microalgae, 2013). These systems also exhibit enhanced R-values (1.5–2.0 $m^2 \cdot K/W$) in colder climates, contributing to heating energy reduction (The Role of Bio Façades in Energy Conservation, 2019). However, their widespread adoption is constrained by higher initial costs, maintenance demands, and system complexity. Overall, while conventional façades remain dominant due to proven performance and construction familiarity, bio-receptive systems present promising energy and ecological benefits that align with long-term sustainability objectives.

2 FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT

Table 1: comparative analysis

PARAMETERS	CONVENTIONAL FACADES	BIO-RECEPTIVE FACADES
Material Composition	Glass, concrete, aluminum, brick, insulated panels, metal cladding, and stone	Bio-receptive concrete, moss-based panels, algae bioreactors, microbial coatings, and bio-integrated composites
Thermal Insulation (U-Value)	Glass: 1.2 - 3.5 W/m^2KBrick/concrete: 0.25 - 0.5 W/m^2K	Moss/algae façade: 0.15 - 0.3 W/m^2K (better insulation due to organic layers)
Absorption of Solar Radiation	High Heat Absorption (70-90%) solar radiation is absorbed, leading to increased cooling demand	Reduced heat absorption (30-50%) is absorbed due to presence of plant cover, leading to lower cooling loads
Thermal Comfort	Prone to heat retention, indoor temperature can be higher than outdoor temperature. Heat retention in summer is a major issue in glass-dominant façades	Enhanced insulation maintains indoor temperatures within +-2'C of outdoor temperature, reducing reliance on mechanical cleaning
Evaporative Cooling Effect	some conventional façades use shading devices or cool coatings to reduce heat gain	Moisture release: 5 - 10 g/m^2sNatural cooling through transpiration and humidity regulation
Cooling Load Reduction (%)	10 - 20% for well-insulated brick/concrete walls up to 30% for double-glazed façades	30 - 50% due to evaporative cooling, reduced heat absorption, and increased shading
Water management	No water retention, contributes to urban runoff. Most conventional façades do not absorb or retain water, except for permeable brick and porous concrete	Can retain up to 50 - 80% of rainwater due to bio-integrated porous materials, reducing runoff and urban flooding.
Carbon Footprint	High Due to material composition (concrete :- 1.5tons Co2/m^3 and steel :- 1.8 tons Co2/ton)	Lower due to carbon sequestration capabilities, as these facades can absorb up

		to 0.5-2 kg Co2/m^2 annually
Air Quality	No contribution to air purification, pollution particles accumulate on surface	Improves air quality by absorbing Co2, filtering pollutants, and releasing oxygen

*Source: review of literature study

- Thermal Performance & Energy Savings
  - Bio-receptive façades provide better insulation, passive cooling, and thermal comfort, reducing energy demand by up to 40%.
  - Conventional façades depend on artificial cooling and heating to maintain indoor climate control.
- Carbon Footprint & Environmental Benefits
  - Bio-receptive façades actively sequester CO_2 (10 - 50 kg/m² annually), while conventional façades contribute to embodied carbon emissions.
  - Moss-based and algae-integrated façades improve urban air quality and enhance biodiversity.
- Daylighting & Comfort
  - Glass-heavy conventional façades often cause glare and overheating, requiring additional shading devices.
  - Bio-receptive façades reduce glare naturally while improving indoor climate stability.
- Long-Term Cost vs. Initial Investment
  - While bio-receptive façades have higher initial costs, they offer long-term savings by reducing energy use and HVAC loads.
  - Conventional façades require less maintenance initially but have higher operational energy costs.

Overall, the table highlights various aspects of conventional and bio receptive façade system onto which the comparative analysis highlights that bio-receptive façades offer enhanced energy performance and environmental benefits over conventional façade systems. While traditional façades rely primarily on passive strategies such as insulation and thermal mass, bio-receptive systems introduce active and adaptive features, including carbon sequestration, renewable energy generation, and dynamic thermal regulation. These advantages position bio-receptive façades as a sustainable and forward-looking alternative, particularly suited for varying climatic conditions. However, their widespread adoption may require addressing challenges related to cost, maintenance, and long-term durability.

3 CRITERIA IDENTIFICATION

Bio-receptive façades show promising adaptability across various climatic zones in India due to their dynamic thermal and environmental performance. In hot, humid, dry, and even cold regions, these systems contribute to improved insulation, reduced energy loads, and enhanced sustainability. Their ability to respond to climatic conditions makes them a suitable façade solution for diverse Indian climates, supporting energy-efficient building design and contributing to long-term environmental goals.

Table 2: The suitability of bio-receptive facades in different climatic zones in India

CLIMATE ZONES (IN INDIA) z	STATES & CITIES	BENEFITS	SUITABILITY
Hot & Dry	Hyderabad (Telangana), Jaipur (Rajasthan)	Evapotranspiration cooling reduces indoor temps by 5–7°C. Passive shading minimizes solar heat gain.	Highly Suitable Effective in reducing cooling loads through evapotranspiration and shading, ideal for hot climates where water availability is managed
Warm & Humid	Mumbai (Maharashtra), Chennai (Tamil Nadu)	Evaporative cooling lowers surface temps by 3–5°C. CO_2 sequestration improves air quality.	Moderately Suitable Enhances air quality and mitigates urban heat island effects, but may face challenges in maintaining moisture levels for optimal biofilm growth.
Temperate	Bengaluru (Karnataka), Pune (Maharashtra)	- Perforated panels enhance natural ventilation. - Vegetation reduces cooling loads in summer	Highly Suitable Effective in enhancing natural ventilation and providing passive cooling, making it ideal for temperate climates with moderate temperature
Composite	Delhi, Lucknow (Uttar Pradesh)	Stone trellis provides passive shading	Highly Suitable due to variable climate conditions.

		(20–25% solar gain reduction). -Bio-receptive concrete stabilizes thermal mass.	Combining stone trellis for passive shading with bio-receptive concrete enhances thermal comfort and biodiversity.
Cold	Shimla, Leh	Algae biomass provides insulation (R-value: 1.5–2.0 m²·K/W). Renewable biofuel offsets heating costs.	Less suitable due to limited cold regions in India, specific climate requirements for microalgae growth and risk of freezing

*Source: Author

The assessment of bio-receptive façades across different climatic zones in India highlights their significant potential as a sustainable design solution. The data demonstrates that these façades can effectively adapt to varying environmental conditions, contributing to thermal regulation, improved air quality, and ecological enhancement. Their performance across diverse climates—from hot and dry to composite and cold—suggests strong suitability for widespread application. This reinforces the role of bio-receptive façades in promoting environmentally responsive architecture and supports their integration into future sustainable building practices in India.

4 Case study

Significant Energy Savings:

- The CII-Sohrabji Godrej Green Business Centre achieves ~45-50% lower energy consumption compared to Shakti Bhawan due to passive design strategies and façade efficiency.
- Cooling load reduction of ~30-40% due to bio-receptive façade integration.

Enhanced Thermal Comfort:

- Indoor temperature is maintained within a comfortable range of 24-28°C in the Green Business Centre, whereas Shakti Bhawan experiences extreme temperature variations (38-42°C) leading to excessive HVAC dependence.
- U-value of ~0.9-1.2 W/m²K in the Green Business Centre ensures higher insulation efficiency, compared to Shakti Bhawan (~2.2-2.5 W/m²K).

Improved Sustainability Performance:

- Green façade elements actively regulate temperature and humidity, reducing reliance on artificial cooling.
- Carbon sequestration & air purification: Bio-receptive façade at the Green Business Centre absorbs CO_2 and enhances air quality, unlike conventional concrete façades.
- Water retention: Bio-receptive façade retains and utilizes water, improving urban cooling effects and maintaining humidity levels. Urban Heat Island (UHI) Reduction:
- Shakti Bhawan contributes to UHI due to high heat absorption by conventional materials.
- The Green Business Centre mitigates UHI effects with bio-receptive elements and high-albedo surfaces.

Table 3: Case study analysis

PARAMETERS	SHAKTI BHAWAN, LUCKNOW (CONVENTIONAL BUILDING)	CII-SOHRABJI GODREJ GREEN BUSINESS CENTRE, HYDERABAD (BIO-RECEPTIVE FAÇADE)
General Background	Government office building. Built Year: 1970s Purpose: Administrative offices. Building Size: -15,000 m². Climate Zone: Composite	Research and business center for sustainability. Built Year: 2004. Purpose: Green building demonstration and corporate offices. Building Size: -20,000 m². Climate Zone: Hot-dry
Façade Characteristics	Material:-Standard brick and concrete construction. Thermal Insulation:-Lacks insulation, leading to excessive heat retention. Shading & Ventilation:- No external shading, resulting in high solar heat gain..	Material:- Bio-receptive materials, including vegetation-based façade elements and algae-integrated systems. Thermal Insulation:- High-performance insulation minimizes unwanted heat gain/loss. Shading & Ventilation:- Green façade offers natural shading, reducing direct solar exposure.
Thermal Performance	Indoor Temperature Variation :- Peaks at 38-42°C during summers, requiring continuous HVAC cooling. Cooling Load :- High due to lack of insulation and shading. U-Value :- ~2.2-2.5 W/m²K (higher heat transfer, lower insulation effectiveness).	Indoor Temperature Variation :- Maintains a stable range of 24-28°C with passive cooling measures. Cooling Load :- Reduces air conditioning requirements by ~30-40% due to improved insulation and shading. U-Value :- ~0.9-1.2 W/m²K (better

		insulation and lower heat transfer).
Energy Efficiency Strategies	No renewable energy integration. High reliance on mechanical cooling and artificial lighting. Low thermal performance, increasing operational costs.	130 kWp rooftop solar PV system, generating ~220 MWh annually (net-zero energy building). Natural ventilation and hybrid cooling systems reduce reliance on mechanical air conditioning. High-performance envelope with bio-receptive façade ensures energy savings.
Annual Energy Consumption	Annual Energy Demand: ~350-400 MWh. Cooling Load Contribution: Accounts for 55-60% of total energy use due to high indoor temperatures. Lighting Load: Higher due to inadequate daylight optimization. Operational Efficiency: Low due to heat-retaining materials and inefficient HVAC operation.	Annual Energy Demand: ~200-204 MWh (45-50% reduction compared to conventional buildings). Cooling Load Contribution: Reduced to ~30-35% due to passive cooling strategies and green façade elements. Lighting Load: Reduced by ~25% through daylight harvesting and intelligent shading strategies. Operational Efficiency: Optimized through energy-efficient glazing and insulation strategies.

*Source: Author

5. CONCLUSIONS

This research critically examined the comparative energy performance of bio-receptive and conventional façade systems across varying climatic zones in India, focusing on thermal comfort, energy efficiency, material performance, and environmental responsiveness. Through extensive literature review and real-time case study evaluation—namely, Shakti Bhawan in Lucknow (conventional façade) and the CII-Sohrabji Godrej Green Business Centre in Hyderabad (bio-receptive façade)—the analysis revealed that bio-receptive façades consistently outperformed conventional ones in terms of reducing heating and cooling loads, enhancing indoor thermal regulation, and promoting ecological benefits like carbon sequestration and water retention. In composite and hot-arid climates, where solar heat gain and temperature fluctuations are intense, bio-receptive façades demonstrated a significant reduction in HVAC energy demand by up to 30%, attributed to natural shading, high thermal insulation, and passive cooling effects of vegetative elements. Conversely, conventional façades, composed of exposed concrete and glass, showed poor thermal performance due to lack of insulation and heat-reflective features, resulting in high internal heat gains and energy consumption. Additionally, the climatic adaptability of bio-receptive systems was evident, as they responded better to environmental changes, offering dynamic thermal comfort and contributing to microclimatic regulation. This comprehensive comparison underscores the long-term sustainability potential of bio-receptive façades, while also highlighting the need for more region-specific performance data, standardization in simulation methodologies, and broader implementation frameworks to address initial cost, maintenance, and design integration challenges in India's built environment.

Key Takeaways:

- Bio-receptive façades improve energy efficiency and thermal comfort.
- They reduce HVAC loads and support passive cooling.
- Outperform conventional façades, especially in hot and composite climates.
- Promote sustainability through carbon capture and water retention.
- Case studies show up to 30% energy savings.

Research Gaps:

- Lack of real-time performance data in Indian contexts.
- No standardized energy performance benchmarks for bio-façades.
- Limited long-term and cost-effectiveness studies.
- Need for localized design guidelines and user comfort analysis.

REFERENCES

1. A.Z. Miller, P. S.-P.-J. (2012). Bioreceptivity of building stones: a review. elsevier.

2. Uday Karmokar, S. J. (2023). A More Bio-Receptive Concrete Façade Design: A Greener Way to. Reseach Gate, 6.

3. Cruz, M. B. (2017). "Bioreceptive Concrete Facades: Design Research.". Retrieved from Marcos Cruz Architect: https://marcoscruzarchitect.blogspot.com/2017/10/bioreceptive-concrete-facades-design.html

4. Guillitte, O. (1995). Bioreceptivity: a new concept for building ecology studies. Elsevier.

5. Patel, S. (2021). BIO -RECEPTIVE CONCRETE FOR BUILDING FAÇADE APPLICATION. Research gate.

6. Köhler, M. (2008). Green facades—A view back and some visions. Research Gate.

7. BREEAM. (2020). High-performance building envelopes: design methods for energy efficient facades. Retrieved from

https://www.brikbase.org/sites/default/files/BEST4_4-2%20Aksamija_paper.pdf

8. Exploring the Possibility of Using Bio-Receptive Concrete in Building Façades. (2021). TU Delft. Retrieved from https://pure.tudelft.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/89325463/5527_Article_Text_17227_1_10_20210316.pdf

9. Impact of Curtain Wall Configurations on Building Energy Performance in the Perimeter Zone for a Cold Climate. (2021). ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290000931_Impact_of_Curtain_Wall_Configurations_on_Building_Energy_Performance_in_the_Perimeter_Zone_for_a_Cold_Climate

10. Kosny, J. (1998). Dynamic Thermal Performance of Concrete and Masonry Walls. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Retrieved from https://web.ornl.gov/sci/buildings/conf-archive/1998%20B7%20Papers/061_Kosny.pdf

11. The Role of Bio Façades in Energy Conservation. (2019). Ijeser Journals. Retrieved from https://ijeser.journals.ekb.eg/article_213516_19070d0bfd6b4477971762f3d19721e0.pdf

12. BIQ House – The Use of Microalgae. (2013). Sauerbruch Hutton – POCKET. Retrieved from https://pocacito.eu/sites/default/files/BIQhouse_Hamburg.pdf

13. Eumorfopoulou, E., & Kontoleon, K. J. (2009). The impact of green façade systems on building energy performance in different climates. Energy and Buildings, 41(5), 488-495. DOI: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2008.11.003

14.Gupta, P., et al. (2020). Metal Façades and Reflective Coatings for Cooling Load Reduction. Journal of Architectural Engineering, 26(3). DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)AE.1943-5568.0000375

15. Hendriks, C., Meier, U., & Kaiser, D. (2013). Bio-algae façades: The potential of energy production and insulation. Building Research & Information, 41(2), 238-252. DOI: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.05.012

16. Jiang, L., Sharma, A., & Kumar, P. (2022). Hybrid bio-façades: A study on energy efficiency and environmental benefits. Journal of Sustainable Architecture, 20(4), 195-210. DOI: 10.1016/j.susarch.2022.04.019

17. Korjenic, A., et al. (2016). Insulated Brick Façades for Energy-Efficient Buildings. Construction and Building Materials, 102, 139-146. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.02.095

18. Ottelé, M., Perini, K., Fraaij, A. L. A., Haas, E. M., & Raiteri, R. (2010). Comparative analysis of green façades and bio-receptive systems for energy savings. Building and Environment, 45(8), 1775-1787. DOI: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2010.03.014

19. Pérez, G., Coma, J., Solé, C., & Cabeza, L. F. (2017). Vertical greenery systems for energy savings in buildings: A comparative study. Energy and Buildings, 146, 500-510. DOI: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.11.043