

Comparative Study of Corporate Governance Disclosure Practices: With References to Selected Indian IT Companies

Author: Aadya Gupta

Submitted to: Dr. Sumit Koul

Researcher, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University

Professor, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

This research investigates and compares the corporate governance disclosure practices of three major Indian IT companies: Infosys, TCS, and Wipro. By evaluating disclosures such as board structure, audit committees, CSR activities, and shareholder rights, the study identifies the extent of compliance with regulatory requirements and voluntary best practices. The findings reveal a high level of governance compliance, with Infosys leading in voluntary transparency. Recommendations are offered to improve standardization and shareholder engagement across the IT sector.

INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance refers to the framework of rules, practices, and processes by which a company is directed and controlled. It ensures transparency, accountability, and ethical decision-making across all levels of an organization. Strong corporate governance builds investor confidence, minimizes risks of corporate fraud, and improves overall operational performance. In India, regulatory reforms like the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI's Clause 49 have strengthened governance standards, making disclosures a central aspect of compliance. This study focuses on evaluating and comparing the corporate governance disclosure practices of three leading Indian IT firms—Infosys, TCS, and Wipro—based on their 2022–2023 annual reports. These companies are recognized for their scale and influence in the global IT market and are subject to high expectations for governance excellence. The aim of this research is to assess the transparency, consistency, and depth of their disclosures, both mandatory and voluntary, and suggest improvements for more effective governance reporting.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This section summarizes key existing research and theories around corporate governance and its disclosure practices, particularly in India and the IT sector.

3.1 Corporate Governance Theories

Several theories explain why corporate governance is essential:

- Agency Theory: Focuses on resolving conflicts between management (agents) and shareholders (principals).
- Stewardship Theory: Assumes managers are stewards of the company and act in shareholders' best interest.
- Stakeholder Theory: Emphasizes the role of multiple stakeholders beyond shareholders.

3.2 SEBI and Clause 49

SEBI introduced Clause 49 to the Listing Agreement, making it mandatory for listed companies to disclose governance practices. This includes board composition, independent directors, audit committees, and risk management disclosures.

3.3 The Companies Act, 2013

This act institutionalized governance reforms such as:

- Mandatory board committees
- Corporate social responsibility (CSR) obligations
- Disclosure of related party transactions
- Rotation of auditors

3.4 Role of Audit Committees

Audit committees serve as key mechanisms for financial integrity. Literature shows that companies with well-functioning audit committees are less prone to financial manipulation.

3.5 Voluntary Disclosures

Studies have found a positive relationship between voluntary disclosures and investor confidence. Companies that exceed statutory requirements are seen as more trustworthy.

3.6 Corporate Governance in Indian IT Sector

Indian IT firms are often viewed as governance leaders. Research on Infosys and TCS shows consistent adoption of global best practices like independent directors, ESG reporting, and code of conduct enforcement.

3.7 Stakeholder Engagement

Modern governance goes beyond financial transparency and includes social and environmental disclosures (ESG). Stakeholder theory suggests firms perform better when they actively engage all parties.

3.8 Gaps in Literature

While many studies exist on governance in banks or manufacturing, comparative studies across Indian IT companies remain limited. Also, few address differences in voluntary disclosure depth.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This section explains the methodology used to carry out the comparative analysis.

4.1 Type of Research

The study is descriptive and analytical in nature. It describes disclosure practices and analyzes their similarities and differences.

4.2 Research Design

A comparative case study approach was used to evaluate governance practices across Infosys, TCS, and Wipro for the financial year 2022–23.

4.3 Tools Used

The following tools were applied:

- Scoring system (0–1 or 0–2 scale) based on 10 governance parameters
- Ratio of mandatory vs. voluntary disclosures
- Textual analysis of governance sections in annual reports

4.4 Data Collection Technique

Secondary data from company websites, published annual reports, and regulatory filings were collected and organized into a scoring framework.

4.5 Evaluation Parameters

10 governance parameters were chosen:

1. Board Composition
2. Audit Committee Functionality
3. Number and Quality of Board Meetings
4. CEO/CFO Certification
5. Disclosure of Related Party Transactions
6. Stakeholder Grievance Mechanisms
7. CSR Policies and Activities
8. Remuneration Policy
9. Risk Management Disclosures
10. Director's Report and Management Discussion

Sources of Data

- Annual Reports of Infosys, TCS, and Wipro (FY 2022–2023)
- SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015
- The Companies Act, 2013
- Company Disclosures on Investor Relations Portals
- CSR Policy documents and ESG reports

Method of Sampling

6.1 Sampling Technique

Purposive sampling was used to select companies based on:

- Their size and influence in the IT industry
- Reputation for corporate governance
- Public listing and availability of disclosures

6.2 Sample Profile

- Infosys: Known for early adoption of governance reforms
- TCS: Market leader with global operations
- Wipro: Diversified player with extensive ESG reporting

6.3 Justification These companies serve as benchmarks in their sector, ensuring meaningful comparisons and insights into evolving governance norms.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

7.1 Overview

To assess corporate governance disclosure practices, 10 critical parameters were selected. Each company (Infosys, TCS, and Wipro) was scored based on the quality, clarity, and extent of disclosures—mandatory and voluntary—in their annual reports for FY 2022–2023.

7.2 Scoring Framework

Each parameter was scored as follows:

- 1 point for meeting mandatory disclosure
- 2 points for detailed or voluntary enhancement
- 0 points for missing or vague information

7.3 Parameters and Scores

Parameter	Infosys	TCS	Wipro
1. Board Composition	2	2	1
2. Audit Committee Functioning	2	2	1
3. Board Meetings & Attendance	2	2	1
4. Related Party Transactions (RPTs)	2	1	1
5. Risk Management Disclosures	2	2	1
6. Stakeholder Grievance Committee	2	1	1
7. CSR Policies and Execution	2	2	2
8. CEO/CFO Certification	2	2	1
9. Remuneration Policy Transparency	2	1	0
10. Director's Report and MDA	2	2	2
Total Score	20	17	11

7.4 Interpretation

- Infosys scored the highest due to robust voluntary disclosures, consistent with its legacy of corporate ethics.
- TCS followed closely, with strong compliance but slightly less transparency in certain areas like remuneration.
- Wipro met most basic norms but lacked depth in voluntary transparency and board-level disclosures.

FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

8.1 Key Findings

- 1. High Compliance with SEBI Norms :** All companies complied with Clause 49 and Companies Act 2013.
- 2. Infosys as a Voluntary Disclosure Leader :** It provided superior detail in governance reporting, including ESG updates and board performance metrics.
- 3. Variation in Remuneration Disclosure:** Wipro lacked clarity in compensation strategies, which could affect investor sentiment.

4. **CSR as a Strong Area :** All three companies exceeded minimum CSR requirements, showing maturity in sustainability practices.
5. **Risk and Stakeholder Policies:** Infosys and TCS offered comprehensive risk management frameworks, while Wipro had more basic coverage.

8.2 Suggestions

1. **Standardization of Governance Reports:** SEBI could provide a more rigid format for governance disclosures.
2. **Mandatory Reporting on Voluntary Metrics:** Include board evaluation results, ESG scores, and whistleblower activity in annual reports.
3. **Digital Governance Dashboards:** Companies should use interactive portals to disclose updates throughout the year.
4. **Enhanced Shareholder Communication:** Host regular investor calls specifically on governance and ethics.
5. **Board Skill Matrix and Diversity:** Encourage disclosure on expertise, training, and gender/age diversity.

CONCLUSION

This research concludes that corporate governance disclosure practices among leading Indian IT companies are largely compliant with statutory requirements. However, there is a clear differentiation when it comes to voluntary disclosures. Infosys sets an industry benchmark by offering greater transparency, engaging stakeholders proactively, and integrating sustainability into governance.

Wipro and TCS, while compliant, could benefit from greater focus on remuneration transparency, independent board evaluations, and real-time governance disclosures.

As the corporate landscape continues to evolve globally, Indian companies must innovate and adapt governance practices to maintain competitiveness and stakeholder trust.

REFERENCES

1. Infosys Limited. (2023). Annual Report 2022–2023.
2. Tata Consultancy Services. (2023). Annual Report 2022–2023.
3. Wipro Limited. (2023). Annual Report 2022–2023.
4. Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2015). LODR Regulations.
5. Government of India. (2013). The Companies Act, 2013.
6. OECD. (2004). Principles of Corporate Governance.
7. Cadbury Committee. (1992). Report on Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance.
8. Bhasin, M.L. (2016). Corporate Governance and Transparency in Indian Companies.
9. Raghunandan, K., & Rama, D.V. (2007). Audit Committees and Voluntary Disclosures in India.