Computer Graphics Techniques for Virtual Reality (VR)

Goldi Soni
Assistant professor
Amity university Raipur, Chhattisgarh
gsoni@rpr.amity.edu

Harshita Verma
Department of CSE
Amity university Raipur, Chhattisgarh
vermaharshita157@gmail.com

Sakina Ali
Department of CSE
Amity university Raipur, Chhattisgarh
alisakina822@gmail.com

Abstract - VR is an emerging technology in which people engage with a simulated 3D environment. VR has grown rapidly; its success hinges on using advanced computer graphics methods to produce high-quality visual output in real-time. However, producing both visually realistic output and high-performance output is significantly challenging due to the requirement for high frame rates (60 frames per second or more), low latency, as well as real-time responsiveness. All of these criteria must be met to provide seamless interaction and avoid adverse side effects such as motion sickness. The present paper provides a comprehensive overview of the recent advancements related to computer graphics for use in VR applications between 2023-2025.

The overview addresses how addition of new computer graphic rendering methods including Foveated Rendering (where detail density is concentrated in the region where the user is visually directing their gaze), Neural Rendering (utilization of machine learning models to create very realistic scenes), and Real-Time Rate Tracing (real time lighting and advanced visual effects) all contribute to the advancement of computer graphics for VR applications. Also described herein are the use of remote resources such as cloud computing and edge rendering to offload the burden of computation away from VR user's local machine to produce increased productivity benefits. Further, this paper discusses many important optimization techniques including reduced latency; Adaptive Rendering; and Memory Management strategies.

These methods play a crucial role in balancing rendering quality with system performance. The review also identifies key challenges such as handling complex scenes, maintaining synchronization, and achieving scalability across different devices.

Overall, this paper provides insights into the current state of VR graphics technologies and outlines future directions for developing more efficient, scalable, and immersive virtual reality systems.

Keywords -- Virtual Reality (VR), Computer Graphics, Real-Time Rendering, Foveated Rendering, Neural Rendering, Ray Tracing, Cloud Rendering, Low Latency, GPU Optimization, Immersive Systems

I.INTRODUCTION

Virtual Reality (VR) is an advanced technology that creates immersive digital environments for users. Computer graphics plays a vital role in VR by generating realistic visuals in real time. VR systems require high frame rates and low latency to ensure smooth and comfortable user experiences, which makes rendering a challenging task.

Various techniques such as foveated rendering, neural rendering, and cloud-based rendering are used to improve performance and visual quality.

II.LITERATURE REVIEW

This document will focus on examining the latency requirements of foveated rendering based on your survey of existing experiments regarding how eye tracking delays impact visual rendering quality and immersive experience in virtual reality (VR) systems. To accomplish this goal, we will conduct experiments that will be used to create an understanding of what latency levels are necessary for preserving visual quality and reducing the likelihood of experiencing motion sickness when using VR systems, as demonstrated by findings from [1].

This paper is a survey of the current state of technology in foveated rendering methods found in both computer graphics and real-time applications involving VR. Each method reviewed is categorized based on the type of perceptual model utilized and used in conjunction with a given rendering paradigm (raster-based and ray-tracing). In addition, within the scope of this research, we will be able to demonstrate how the characteristics of the human visual system can be applied to reduce computational demands while still maintaining high image quality standards. As noted in [2].

In this study, we introduce an experimental method of gaze contingent ocular parallax foveated rendering. It is a new method for achieving the same degree of subtle depth cues created by a user's eye movements as occurs naturally by their eye rotation. Based on the results obtained from the ensuing experimental evaluations, we will provide adequate evidence that will support our assertion that the simulated depth cue can significantly enhance both the realism associated with a user's VR experience and improve their ability to perceive depth in a VR environment. See [3].

The study provided in this work offered an individualized foveated rendering technique using eye-tracking based head-mounted displays. The development of this technique produces the ability to modify or adapt how a scene is rendered to the specific visual characteristics of the viewer. The results of the experiment substantiate the assertion that rendering through an individualized method significantly improves the efficiency of the rendering and positively enhances the user's experience while using the VR system under study. Reference: [4].

The following paper reports on new perceptual foveated rendering methods, which are designed to take advantage of the limitations of human vision (specifically the ability to see things from side to side). The technique creates high-quality images in the area of the user's gaze, while rendering lower-quality images outside this region. Overall, this technique uses less GPU power while retaining an acceptable level of perceived image quality. [5]

These studies also explored applying foveated path tracing techniques in virtual reality. These studies have demonstrated performance increases when resources are allocated to rendering in the areas of the user's gaze. Furthermore, they have shown that very large amounts of computational time can be saved without showing any degradation in perceived visual quality. [6]

This paper reports on new efficient stereoscopic rendering techniques for building information models in virtual reality. These stereoscopic rendering techniques use stereo instancing to generate two images for one scene at the same time. This will result in significant reductions of the number of rendering cycles required to create both images and will improve real-time rendering speeds. [7]

This paper presents an optimized volume rendering approach called VR-Pipe, which is an optimized graphics pipeline (GPU) for rendering volume data and rendering radiant energy fields. The authors propose that by lowering the number of fragments and blending operations that must remain in the GPU graphics pipeline, the new architecture improvements will provide faster rendering times. [8]

A real-time stereo matching algorithm is presented for use in extended reality applications. The new solution uses temporal redundancy between consecutive time-frames to enhance the precision of the depth estimate. The results show that the new algorithm provides a frame rate that supports interactive virtual reality environments.[9]

The second piece of research examines how to optimize the cost aggregation functions used in stereo matching algorithms, thereby reducing the amount of computational redundancy needed while maintaining accurate disparity estimates. The computed results from using optimized cost aggregation methods also provide increased efficiency for rendering and computer vision applications.[10]

This research paper demonstrates a hardware-based method of measuring latency within the context of virtual reality systems. The authors point out that measuring latency accurately from the start to the end of a virtual reality system pipeline is critical, and the results indicate that precise latency measurement can be used to enhance rendering efficiency and performance.[11]

This research paper presents a method for measuring motion-to-photon latency using high-speed cameras and sensor tracking systems. The authors analyze variations in latency due to user motion, and demonstrate how latency can impact the accuracy of interaction with a virtual reality system.[12]

This research study investigates predictive rendering techniques that can be used with computer graphics systems. The study investigates the potential to predict future visual states to compensate for the lag inherently associated with rendering latency. The authors conclude that the application of predictive rendering techniques may lead to increased responsiveness or realism in real-time graphics applications.[13]

The research proposed focuses on low latency rendering through distributed dataflow computing architecture for rendering by splitting up tasks for rendering over dedicated hardware in order to minimize the time for processing. The results of this experiment show improvement in temporal performance using VR systems. [14]

The authors propose a framework for rendering in virtual reality (VR) applications that is time-dependent; that is, that changes as the available time for rendering changes to produce a representation of the object rendered. The framework is designed to maintain stable and consistent frame rates while maximising the overall visual quality. [15]

The research examines methods of predicting motion of the user's head to improve the head tracking system used in VR. The findings of this research indicate that by predicting the anticipated head motion of the user, there will be significant perceived reductions in latency in the rendering pipeline for VR systems. [16]

The research presented introduces a technique called Asynchronous Timewarp (ATW) that reprojects previously rendered frames with new head tracking data. The method provides reduced motion to photon latency as well as enhanced image appearance stability for VR displays. [17]

The research investigates the use of real-time ray tracing using graphics processing units (GPUs) for interactive rendering in VR applications. The study demonstrates that GPU based optimised architectures are able to deliver photorealistic rendering within VR applications in real time. [18]

In this study, the researchers looked at the noticeable effects of latency in virtual environments. Their results indicate that if latency increases in the VR systems, the user will be less immersed, perform less effectively, and experience discomfort. [19]

This study describes methods of foveated rendering using eye tracking for head-mounted displays. By adapting the resolution according to the wearer's gaze, rendering efficiency can be improved through dynamic adjustments in the rendered resolution based on where the user's eyes are located. [20]

The technique of asynchronous spacewarp is presented in this paper as a way to create intermediate frames for smoother frame rates by utilizing motion vectors to create these frames when the rendering performance drops in our systems. [21]

The work discussed here investigates the various latencies and latency compensation techniques as they pertain to head-mounted displays. One of the techniques discussed is to combine motion prediction with image reprojection in order to reduce the amount of perceived delay in the VR (virtual reality) environment. [22]

Through an investigation of level of detail (LOD) optimization techniques in real-time rendering, this research looks at geometrical detail and its relationship to distance from viewer as well as importance of scene. These types of methods allow for dynamically changing the level of detail (LOD) in real-time by adapting the amount of detail to each user's perspective/viewpoint. [23]

The purpose of the current study is on using eye tracking to develop tracking technologies for improving interactions and rendering within virtual reality systems. Results obtained demonstrate that implementing gaze-based rendering techniques will increase both interaction efficiency and also improve compute performance. [24]

This research investigates energy efficiency rendering techniques for mobile VR devices to reduce both GPU workload and power consumption while maintaining an acceptable level of computer generated image quality (CGI). [25]

An investigation into optimizing rendering with AI (artificial intelligence) methods for real-time graphics systems is the subject matter of this paper. The study includes an approach to expedite the rendering process by utilizing ML models to accelerate the rendering process and produce a higher level of performance. [26]

This study investigates cloud-based rendering architectures designed specifically for VR applications by transferring heavy computationally-intensive rendering tasks from a VR device itself to a remote server or data centre in order to ensure that lightweight VR devices will continue to be able to operate efficiently. [27]

Neural rendering techniques, such as Neural Radiance Fields (NRF), have been studied through this research to create extremely realistic virtual environments using connections between advanced deep learning methodologies and existing rendering methods. [28]

The following research has focused on improving the capability of modern VR systems by way of hardware-accelerated graphics processing units (GPUs) and other associated technologies available within current graphics-processing units (GPUs). As a result, the addition of specialized graphics processing units (GPUs), which use low power and low latency than generic graphics processing GPUs, significantly improves the rendering performance and efficiency personalized output of existing VR hardware. [29]

Adaptive rendering techniques will have been studied in this research and have been found to dynamically adjust rendering quality based upon both the resulting performance of the associated computer system or device and user interactions, thereby producing consistent fluid frame rates in highly complex virtual environments. [30]

III. Objective

The main objective of this review paper is to study and analyse recent computer graphics techniques used in Virtual Reality (VR) systems. It aims to understand how different rendering methods improve performance, reduce latency, and enhance visual quality. The paper also focuses on comparing modern approaches and identifying challenges and future directions in VR rendering technologies.

IV. Comparison of past published research papers

To better understand the strengths and limitations of existing approaches, it is important to compare the techniques proposed in different research papers. Each study focuses on improving specific aspects of virtual reality rendering such as latency reduction, rendering efficiency, visual quality, and computational performance. By comparing these methods, it becomes possible to identify the most effective techniques, understand current research trends, and highlight areas that require further improvement. Therefore, a comparative analysis of the reviewed research papers is conducted in order to evaluate their methodologies, advantages, and limitations

Table 1: comparative summary of past published research papers.

Author (Year)	Technique Focus	Key Concepts Advantages Limitations	Advantages
Zhao et al. (2025)	Efficient VR Rendering	Foveated rendering, stereo rendering, cloud rendering, low-latency techniques	Improves overall performance, reduces computational load, enhances VR experience
Kumar et al. (2025)	Low-Latency & Adaptive Rendering	Predictive tracking, late latching, dynamic resolution scaling	Reduces motion-to-photon latency, improves responsiveness and comfort
Shi et al. (2024)	Cloud-Based Rendering	Remote computation, streaming, edge computing	Enables high-quality VR on low-power devices, scalable
Zhao et al. (2023)	Web-Based VR Rendering	WebGL, progressive loading, level-of-detail (LOD)	Cross-platform compatibility, efficient browser-based VR
Patney et al. (2021)	Foveated Rendering	Eye-tracking, dynamic & fixed foveation	Significant reduction in computation, maintains visual quality

V. Conclusion

Computer graphics techniques play a crucial role in the development of efficient and immersive Virtual Reality (VR) systems. This review highlights various approaches such as foveated rendering, low-latency and adaptive rendering, cloud-based rendering, and web-based optimization techniques. These methods focus on improving performance, reducing computational load, and maintaining high visual quality.

The study shows that achieving real-time rendering with low latency is essential for a smooth and comfortable user experience. While modern techniques have significantly improved VR performance, challenges such as hardware limitations, network dependency, and balancing quality with efficiency still remain.

Overall, continuous advancements in rendering technologies and optimization strategies will further enhance VR systems, making them more realistic, accessible, and scalable in the future.

VI. Future Scope

Future research in computer graphics techniques for virtual reality is expected to focus on improving rendering efficiency, reducing latency, and enhancing realism in immersive environments. Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence-based rendering, neural radiance fields, and advanced eye-tracking systems are likely to play an important role in optimizing VR performance. Researchers are also exploring cloud-based rendering and distributed graphics processing to support complex virtual environments on lightweight devices. Additionally, improvements in hardware architectures, including specialized GPUs and dedicated VR processors, will enable faster rendering and more realistic visual experiences. As virtual reality continues to expand into fields such as education, healthcare, training, and simulation, developing efficient and scalable rendering techniques will remain an important research direction.

References

[1] Albert, R. A., Patney, A., Luebke, D. P., & Kim, J. (2017). Latency requirements for foveated rendering in virtual reality. *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception*, 14(4).

[2] Wang, L., Shi, X., & Liu, Y. (2023). Foveated rendering: A state-of-the-art survey. Computational Visual Media, 9(2), 195–228

[3] Konrad, R., Angelopoulos, A., & Wetzstein, G. (2020). Gaze-contingent ocular parallax rendering for virtual reality. ACM Transactions on Graphics.

[4] Kim, J., Kim, J., Jung, M., Kwon, T., & Kim, K. K. (2024). Individualized foveated rendering with eye-tracking head-mounted display.

[5] Patney, A., Kim, J., Salvi, M., Kaplanyan, A., Wyman, C., Benty, N., Lefohn, A., & Luebke, D. (2016). Perceptually-based foveated virtual reality. In Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH.

[6] Koskela, M., Viitanen, T., Jääskeläinen, P., & Takala, J. (2017). Foveated path tracing: A literature review and performance gain analysis.

[7] Johansson, M. (2016). Efficient stereoscopic rendering of building information models in virtual reality.

[8] Lee, J., Kim, J., Park, J., & Sim, J. (2025). VR-Pipe: Streamlining hardware graphics pipeline for volume rendering.

[9] Cheng, Z., Yang, J., & Li, H. (2023). Stereo matching in time: 100+ FPS video stereo matching for extended reality.

[10] Min, D., Lu, J., & Do, M. N. (2011). A revisit to cost aggregation in stereo matching: How far can we reduce its computational redundancy? *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*.

[11] Raaen, K., & Kjellmo, I. (2015). Measuring latency in virtual reality systems.

[12] Warburton, M., Mon-Williams, M., Mushtaq, F., & Morehead, J. R. (2022). Measuring motion-to-photon latency for sensorimotor experiments with virtual reality systems.
[13] Wilkie, A. (2020). Predictive rendering.
[14] Friston, S. (2017). Low latency rendering with dataflow architectures.

[15] Green, M. W. (1996). A framework for real-time rendering in virtual reality.
[16] LaValle, S. M., Yershova, A., Katsev, M., & Antonov, M. (2014). Head tracking for the Oculus Rift. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*.
[17] Carmack, J. (2013). Asynchronous timewarp for virtual reality rendering. Oculus VR Technical Report.
[18] Aila, T., & Laine, S. (2009). Understanding the efficiency of ray traversal on GPUs. In *Proceedings of High Performance Graphics*.
[19] Brooks, F. P., & Whitton, M. (2001). Latency in virtual environments. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*.
[20] Stengel, M., McGuire, M., & Luebke, D. (2016). Foveated rendering for head-mounted displays.
[21] Vlachos, A. (2016). Asynchronous spacewarp for virtual reality rendering.
[22] Billinghurst, M., & Kato, H. (2015). Latency compensation techniques for head-mounted displays.
[23] Luebke, D., & Erikson, C. (1997). View-dependent simplification of arbitrary polygonal environments. In *Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH*.
[24] de Koning, P. B., & Stappers, P. J. (2018). Eye-tracking interaction techniques in virtual reality.
[25] Hu, Y., & Levorato, M. (2019). Energy-efficient rendering for mobile virtual reality systems.
[26] Han, J., Müller, M., & Zwicker, M. (2021). Deep learning-based rendering optimization.
[27] Bao, Y., Wu, H., Zhang, T., Ramli, A. A., & Liu, X. (2021). Cloud-based rendering for virtual reality systems.
[28] Mildenhall, B., Srinivasan, P. P., Tancik, M., Barron, J. T., Ramamoorthi, R., & Ng, R. (2020). NeRF: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*.
[29] NVIDIA Corporation. (2022). Advances in GPU architecture for real-time rendering.
[30] Yang, J., Sun, X., & Liu, Q. (2024). Adaptive rendering techniques for virtual reality systems.