

Consumer Acceptance of Green Personal Care Products: A Study of Sustainable Skincare Practices in Nainital District, Uttarakhand

Pooja Adhikari, Dr. Garima Jaiswal Chandra

Abstract

This study examines consumer acceptance and adaptability of green marketing initiatives in the personal care products sector within the Nainital District, Uttarakhand. The study employed a quantitative research methodology with a sample of 250 respondents selected through stratified random sampling. Data analysis revealed significant positive correlations between environmental awareness and purchase intention for green personal care products. The findings indicate that 68.4% of consumers in Nainital District demonstrate moderate to high acceptance of green marketing initiatives, with price sensitivity and product availability emerging as primary barriers to adoption. The study contributes to understanding regional consumer behaviour patterns in sustainable consumption and provides actionable recommendations for companies implementing green marketing strategies in tier-2 and tier-3 Indian markets.

Keywords: Green marketing, consumer behavior, personal care products, environmental awareness, sustainable consumption, Nainital District

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The personal care industry has witnessed a paradigm shift toward sustainable practices in response to growing environmental concerns and changing consumer preferences. Green marketing, defined as the promotion of products and services based on environmental benefits, has become increasingly relevant in the Indian market (Polonsky, 2011). The Nainital District, located in the ecologically sensitive Himalayan region of Uttarakhand, presents a unique context for studying consumer responses to green marketing initiatives owing to its environmental significance and tourism-dependent economy.

The global personal care market is projected to reach \$716.6 billion by 2025, with green and organic segments growing at a CAGR of 9.5% (Grand View Research, 2019). Indian consumers, particularly in smaller cities and districts, are gradually becoming more environmentally conscious, although their acceptance of green products remains influenced by various socioeconomic factors.

1.2 Research Problem

Despite increasing awareness about environmental issues, the adoption rate of green personal care products in tier-2 and tier-3 cities in India remains relatively low compared to metropolitan areas. Companies investing in green marketing strategies must understand regional consumer acceptance patterns, barriers to adoption, and factors influencing purchase decisions to effectively position their products.

1.3 Research Objectives

- a) To assess the level of consumer awareness regarding green marketing initiatives in the personal care products sector
- b) To examine the relationship between demographic variables and acceptance of green personal care products
- c) To identify factors influencing consumer purchase intentions toward green personal care products
- d) To evaluate the effectiveness of current green marketing strategies employed by companies
- e) To analyze barriers preventing wider adoption of green personal care products
- f) To provide recommendations for companies to enhance consumer acceptance and market penetration

1.4 Significance of the Study

This study contributes to the limited body of literature on green marketing in regional Indian markets, particularly in ecologically sensitive areas. The findings provide valuable insights for personal care companies seeking to expand their green product lines in similar markets, while also informing policymakers about consumer readiness for sustainable consumption patterns.

1.5 Scope and Limitations

The study focuses exclusively on Nainital District and may not be generalisable to other regions with different socioeconomic characteristics. This research examines consumer perceptions and intentions rather than actual purchase behaviour, which may be subject to intention-behaviour gaps. The study period was limited to six months (January-June 2024), and seasonal variations in tourist populations may have influenced some responses.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Framework of Green Marketing

Green marketing encompasses all marketing activities designed to generate and facilitate exchanges intended to satisfy human needs while minimising the detrimental impact on the natural environment (Polonsky, 1994). The concept has evolved from a focus on environmental protection to a broader sustainability paradigm that incorporates social and economic dimensions (Ottman et al., 2006).

2.2 Consumer Behavior and Green Products

The Theory (Ajzen, 1991) provides a theoretical foundation for understanding green consumption, suggesting that attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control influence intentions and subsequent behaviour. Research indicates that while environmental concern is widespread, it does not always translate into green purchasing behaviour because of various barriers (Gupta & Ogden, 2009).

Studies have identified several factors influencing green product adoption, including environmental knowledge (Mostafa, 2007), perceived effectiveness (Vermeir & Verbeke, 2006), price sensitivity (D'Souza et al., 2006), and product availability (Gleim et al., 2013). The attitude-behaviour gap remains a significant challenge in green marketing, with consumers expressing positive attitudes toward environmental issues but failing to translate these into consistent purchasing patterns (Carrington et al., 2010).

2.3 Green Marketing in the Indian Context

The Indian market presents unique challenges and opportunities for green marketing. Sharma and Iyer (2012) found that Indian consumers demonstrate high environmental concern but lower willingness to pay premium prices for green products than Western consumers do. Regional variations exist, with urban consumers showing higher awareness, but rural and semi-urban consumers demonstrating stronger community-oriented values that can support collective environmental action (Jain & Kaur, 2004).

2.4 Personal Care Products Sector

The personal care industry has faced increasing scrutiny regarding the environmental and health impacts of synthetic ingredients, packaging waste, and testing practices (Cervellon & Carey, 2011). Major companies, including Hindustan Unilever, Patanjali, and international brands, have introduced green product lines, employing various marketing strategies to communicate environmental benefits (Kumar & Ghodeswar, 2015).

2.5 Research Gap

While numerous studies have examined green marketing in metropolitan Indian cities, limited research exists on consumer responses in ecologically sensitive regions such as the Nainital District. This study addresses this gap by providing empirical evidence of consumer acceptance patterns in a unique geographical and cultural context.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a descriptive and analytical research design utilising quantitative methods to examine consumer acceptance and adaptability of green marketing initiatives in the personal care product sector. The research design was selected for its ability to systematically describe the characteristics of the target population while enabling statistical analysis to identify the relationships between variables.

Rationale for the Quantitative Approach: The quantitative methodology was chosen because it allows for

- Systematic collection of numerical data from a large sample size
- Statistical testing of hypotheses regarding relationships between demographic variables and green product acceptance
- Generalization of findings to the broader population of Nainital District
- Objective measurement of consumer attitudes, awareness, and behavioral intentions
- Standardized data collection facilitating replication and comparison with other studies

Research Philosophy: This study adopts a positivist research philosophy, which assumes that reality can be objectively measured and that relationships between variables can be empirically tested. This philosophical approach aligns with this study's objectives of quantifying consumer acceptance levels and identifying statistically significant predictors of purchase intentions.

Type of Research:

- **Descriptive Research:** To profile consumer demographics, awareness levels, and current usage patterns of green personal care products
- **Analytical Research:** To examine causal relationships between independent variables (awareness, knowledge, demographics) and dependent variables (purchase intentions, adoption behavior)
- **Cross-sectional Design:** Data collected at a single point in time (though over six months) to capture current consumer perceptions and behaviors

3.2 Population and Sampling

3.2.1 Target Population

Population Definition: The target population comprises adult consumers (18+ years) residing in the Nainital District, Uttarakhand, who purchase personal care products for themselves or their households.

Population Characteristics

- Geographic boundary: Nainital District (including Nainital city, Haldwani, Bhimtal, Ramnagar, and surrounding areas)
- Population size: Approximately 954,605 (Census 2011, projected to 1.1 million in 2024)
- Adult population (18+): Estimated 650,000 individuals
- Target consumer base: Adults who purchase personal care products regularly

Inclusion Criteria:

1. Age 18 years and above (legal adult status for independent purchase decisions)
2. Permanent or long-term residents of Nainital District (minimum 6 months of continuous residence)
3. Regular purchasers of personal care products (minimum one purchase per month)
4. Ability to understand Hindi or English (for questionnaire comprehension)
5. Willingness to provide informed consent for participation

Exclusion Criteria:

1. Tourists or temporary visitors (less than 6 months residence)
2. Individuals below 18 years of age
3. Non-purchasers of personal care products
4. Individuals unable to comprehend survey questions due to language barriers
5. Respondents who declined to provide informed consent

3.2.2 Sampling Technique

Stratified Random Sampling was employed to ensure representative coverage across different demographic segments. This probability sampling technique was selected as follows:

- Reduce sampling bias by ensuring all demographic groups are proportionally represented
- Enable subgroup analysis based on age, gender, income, and education
- Improve precision of population estimates
- Facilitate statistical comparisons between demographic categories

Stratification Variables: The population was stratified based on four key demographic variables:

1. **Gender:** Male and Female (approximately 50:50 ratio)
2. **Age Groups:** 18-25, 26-35, 36-45, 46-55, and 55+ years
3. **Geographic Location:** Urban (Nainital city, Haldwani) and Semi-urban/Rural areas
4. **Income Levels:** Based on monthly household income categories

Stratification Process:

Table: Sampling Frame and Stratification (N=250)

Stratum	Target %	Target n	Actual n	Achievement %
Gender				
Male	48%	120	118	98.3%
Female	52%	130	132	101.5%
Age Groups				
18-25 years	27%	68	68	100.0%
26-35 years	37%	92	92	100.0%
36-45 years	22%	54	54	100.0%
46-55 years	11%	28	28	100.0%
Above 55 years	3%	8	8	100.0%
Location				
Urban	65%	162	165	101.9%
Semi-urban/Rural	35%	88	85	96.6%

3.2.3 Sample Size Determination

Sample Size Calculation: The sample size was determined using Yamane's formula for finite populations:

$$n = N / [1 + N(e)^2]$$

Where:

- n = Sample size
- N = Population size (650,000 adult consumers)
- e = Margin of error (0.062 or 6.2%)

$$n = 650,000 / [1 + 650,000(0.062)^2] \quad n = 650,000 / [1 + 650,000(0.003844)] \quad n = 650,000 / 2,498.6 \quad n \approx 260 \text{ respondents}$$

Final Sample Size: 250 respondents (allowing for a 6.2% margin of error at 95% confidence level)

Sample Size Justification

- A sample size of 250 provides adequate statistical power (>0.80) for detecting medium effect sizes in multivariate analyses
- Similar studies in green marketing have utilized samples ranging from 200-400 respondents (Mostafa, 2007; Kumar & Ghodeswar, 2015)
- The sample size allows for meaningful subgroup analysis across demographic categories
- Sufficient sample for multiple regression analysis (minimum 15-20 cases per predictor variable)

3.2.4 Sampling Procedure

Step 1: Sampling Frame Development

- Identified major residential areas, markets, and shopping centers across Nainital District
- Created a list of 25 primary data collection locations covering urban and rural areas

- Obtained demographic estimates for each location from census data and local administrative records

Step 2: Proportionate Allocation

- Allocated sample quotas to each stratum based on population proportions
- Distributed sample across geographic locations proportionally

Step 3: Random Selection

- Within each stratum, respondents were randomly selected using systematic random sampling
- Every 5th eligible consumer in targeted locations was approached for participation
- Replacements were made for non-responses or incomplete surveys using the next eligible respondent

Step 4: Quality Control

- Monitored daily sampling to ensure adherence to stratification quotas
- Conducted interim analysis to check for demographic balance
- Made adjustments in final week of data collection to achieve target proportions

3.3 Data Collection**3.3.1 Primary Data Collection**

Primary data constitute the core empirical evidence for this study, collected directly from consumers through structured questionnaires.

Data Collection Methods**1. Face-to-Face Interviews (60% - 150 respondents)****Locations:**

- Shopping centers and supermarkets in Nainital city and Haldwani
- Local markets and retail stores selling personal care products
- Community centers and public gathering spaces
- Educational institutions (for 18-25 age group)

Advantages:

- Higher response rate and completeness of responses
- Ability to clarify questions and ensure comprehension
- Better engagement with semi-literate respondents
- Opportunity to observe non-verbal cues and probe responses
- Reduced selection bias toward digitally literate consumers

Process:

- Trained enumerators approached potential respondents at selected locations
- Obtained informed consent before survey administration
- Average interview duration: 15-20 minutes
- Spot-checked 10% of completed surveys for quality assurance

2. Online Surveys (40% - 100 respondents)**Platforms:**

- Google Forms distributed via email and WhatsApp
- Social media platforms (Facebook groups, Instagram)
- Professional networks and community forums

Advantages:

- Cost-effective and faster data collection
- Access to younger, urban, and tech-savvy respondents
- Reduced interviewer bias
- Automatic data compilation and error reduction
- Wider geographic reach including remote areas

Process:

- Survey link distributed through multiple channels
- IP address tracking to prevent duplicate responses

- Mandatory fields ensured completeness
- Average completion time: 12-15 minutes
- Response rate: 68% (147 responses from 216 invitations)

Quality Control Measures

1. **Enumerator Training:** Four trained researchers conducted face-to-face interviews after comprehensive training on survey administration, ethical considerations, and data recording protocols
2. **Pilot Testing:** Questionnaire pilot-tested with 30 respondents (not included in final sample) to identify ambiguities and refine questions
3. **Data Validation:** Daily review of completed questionnaires to identify incomplete or inconsistent responses
4. **Replacement Protocol:** Incomplete surveys (less than 80% completion) were discarded and replaced
5. **Response Verification:** Random callbacks to 5% of face-to-face interview respondents to verify authenticity

Response Rate:

- Face-to-face interviews: 87% response rate (150 completed from 172 approached)
- Online surveys: 68% response rate (100 completed from 147 who opened survey)
- Overall response rate: 78%

3.3.2 Secondary Data Collection

Secondary data provided a contextual background, theoretical foundation, and comparative benchmarks for the study.

Sources:

1. Academic Literature:

- Peer-reviewed journals: Journal of Business Ethics, Journal of Consumer Marketing, Journal of Retailing
- Conference proceedings on green marketing and sustainable consumption
- Doctoral dissertations and theses on related topics
- Meta-analyses and systematic reviews of green consumer behavior

2. Industry Reports:

- Market research reports from Grand View Research, Nielsen, Euromonitor
- Company annual reports and sustainability reports (Hindustan Unilever, Patanjali, ITC)
- Industry association publications (FICCI, CII reports on FMCG and personal care sectors)
- Trade magazines and industry newsletters

3. Government Publications

- Census of India data for demographic profiling
- Economic surveys and statistical yearbooks
- Ministry of Environment publications on environmental policies
- State government reports on Uttarakhand's economy and demographics
- Bureau of Indian Standards publications on eco-labeling

4. Online Databases:

- Company websites and product information
- E-commerce platform data (Amazon, Flipkart) for product reviews and pricing
- Social media platforms for brand sentiment analysis
- News articles and press releases on green marketing initiatives

Data Collection Period:

- Literature review: November 2023 to January 2024
- Primary data collection: January 2024 to June 2024
- Secondary data updates: Ongoing throughout the study period

3.4 Research Instrument

3.4.1 Questionnaire Development

A structured, self-administered questionnaire was developed as the primary research instrument, consisting of 35 questions organised into five distinct sections.

Design Principles:

- Clear, unambiguous language avoiding technical jargon
- Logical flow from general to specific questions
- Mix of open-ended and closed-ended questions for comprehensive data
- Balanced use of question formats to maintain respondent engagement
- Bilingual design (English and Hindi) to accommodate language preferences

3.4.2 Questionnaire Structure

Section A: Demographic Profile (7 questions)

Purpose: Collect background information for sample description and demographic analysis

Questions:

1. Gender (Categorical)
2. Age group (Ordinal)
3. Education level (Ordinal)
4. Occupation (Nominal)
5. Monthly household income (Ordinal)
6. Family size (Ordinal)
7. Duration of residence in Nainital (Ordinal)

Question Type: Multiple choice with mutually exclusive categories

Section B: Environmental Awareness (8 questions)

Purpose: Measure respondents' environmental consciousness and concern levels

Measurement Scale: 5-point Likert scale (1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree)

Sample Items:

- "I am concerned about environmental degradation and climate change"
- "Personal care products have significant environmental impacts"
- "I understand what 'green' or 'eco-friendly' products mean"
- "Companies should adopt environmentally responsible practices"
- "I actively seek information about environmental issues"

Scoring: Items summed to create composite Environmental Awareness Score (Range: 8-40)

- Low Awareness: 8-20
- Moderate Awareness: 21-30
- High Awareness: 31-40

Section C: Product Knowledge and Usage (7 questions)

Purpose: Assess familiarity with green personal care products and current usage patterns

Question Types:

- Dichotomous (Yes/No): Previous purchase experience
- Multiple response: Brand awareness and product categories used
- Frequency scale: Purchase frequency
- Rating scale: Self-assessed knowledge level

Key Measures:

- Brand recognition (aided and unaided recall)
- Product category usage
- Purchase frequency classification
- Information source preferences

Section D: Purchase Intentions and Behavior (8 questions)

Purpose: Evaluate factors influencing purchase decisions and future behavioral intentions

Measurement Approaches:

1. **Factor Importance Rating:** 5-point scale (1=Not Important to 5=Very Important)
 - Product quality, price, environmental benefits, brand reputation, certifications, packaging, availability, recommendations
2. **Purchase Intention:** 5-point scale (1=Definitely will not purchase to 5=Definitely will purchase)
3. **Willingness to Pay Premium:** Percentage ranges (0%, up to 10%, 11-20%, 21-30%, 30%+)
4. **Barrier Identification:** Ranking exercise (top 3 from list of 7 barriers)
5. **Satisfaction Assessment:** 5-point scale for past users

Section E: Marketing Strategy Evaluation (5 questions)

Purpose: Evaluate effectiveness of current green marketing strategies and communication preferences

Measures:

- Perceived effectiveness of various marketing strategies (5-point scale)
- Preferred message types (multiple choice)
- Most influential advertising media (single choice)
- Trust in environmental claims (5-point scale)
- Motivators for increased purchases (multiple response)

3.4.3 Question Format Distribution

Format Type	Number of Questions	Percentage
Multiple Choice (Single Response)	12	34.3%
Likert Scale (5-point)	16	45.7%
Multiple Response	4	11.4%
Ranking	2	5.7%
Open-ended	1	2.9%
Total	35	100%

3.4.4 Questionnaire Validation

Content Validity:

- Expert panel review: Three academics specializing in marketing and consumer behavior
- Practitioner review: Two marketing managers from personal care companies
- Revisions based on feedback regarding question clarity, relevance, and comprehensiveness

Face Validity:

- Pilot testing with 30 respondents matching target population characteristics
- Cognitive interviews with 5 respondents to assess question interpretation
- Modifications to eliminate ambiguous wording and improve flow

Construct Validity:

- Scales adapted from validated instruments in prior green marketing research (Mostafa, 2007; Kumar & Ghodeswar, 2015)
- Correlation analysis between related constructs to confirm theoretical relationships

3.4.5 Translation and Adaptation

Bilingual Design:

- Original questionnaire developed in English
- Professional translation to Hindi by certified translator
- Back-translation to English to verify accuracy
- Pre-testing of both versions with bilingual respondents

Cultural Adaptation:

- Examples and product names contextualized to Indian market
- Currency in Indian Rupees
- Local brand names included in awareness questions
- Appropriate terminologies for regional context

3.5 Data Analysis Techniques

3.5.1 Data Preparation

Data Entry and Cleaning

1. Data Entry:

- Face-to-face interview data manually entered into SPSS 26.0
- Online survey data imported directly from Google Forms
- Double-entry verification for 20% of manual entries

2. Data Cleaning:

- Checked for missing values (no survey had >20% missing data)
- Identified and examined outliers using box plots and z-scores
- Verified logical consistency (e.g., income appropriate to occupation)
- Coded open-ended responses into categorical variables

3. Data Coding:

- Created coding scheme for all categorical variables
- Reverse-coded negatively worded items
- Computed composite scores for multi-item scales
- Created dummy variables for categorical predictors in regression analysis

Missing Data Handling:

- Missing completely at random (MCAR) test performed
- Listwise deletion used for cases with >20% missing data (3 cases excluded)
- Mean imputation for isolated missing values in scale items (<2% per variable)

3.5.2 Statistical Analysis Software

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.0, was used for all statistical analyses.

Justification:

- Comprehensive statistical capabilities for descriptive and inferential analysis
- User-friendly interface for complex multivariate procedures
- Robust handling of categorical and continuous data
- Standardized output format facilitating interpretation and reporting
- Industry standard for social science research

3.5.3 Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Frequency Analysis:

- Frequency distributions and percentages for all categorical variables
- Cross-tabulation for examining relationships between demographic variables

Measures of Central Tendency

- Mean, median, and mode calculated for continuous variables
- Identified appropriate measures based on data distribution

Measures of Dispersion:

- Standard deviation for understanding variability
- Range and interquartile range for scale variables
- Coefficient of variation for comparing variability across different scales

Graphical Representation:

- Bar charts for categorical data
- Histograms for continuous data distribution
- Pie charts for proportional representation
- Box plots for identifying outliers and distribution patterns

3.5.4 Inferential Statistical Techniques

1. Chi-Square Test of Independence (χ^2)

Purpose: Examine associations between categorical variables

Applications in This Study:

- Gender and green product usage patterns
- Education level and environmental awareness categories
- Occupation and purchase frequency
- Income level and willingness to pay premium categories

Assumptions:

- Independent observations
- Expected frequency ≥ 5 in at least 80% of cells
- Random sampling from population

Interpretation:

- $p < 0.05$ indicates significant association
- Cramer's V used to measure effect size

2. Independent Samples t-test

Purpose: Compare means between two independent groups

Applications:

- Gender differences in environmental awareness scores
- Gender differences in purchase intention scores
- Urban vs. rural differences in product knowledge

Assumptions:

- Independent samples
- Normal distribution of dependent variable
- Homogeneity of variances (Levene's test)

Effect Size: Cohen's d calculated to measure practical significance

3. One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Purpose: Compare means across three or more independent groups

Applications:

- Age group differences in environmental awareness
- Income level differences in willingness to pay premium
- Education level differences in product knowledge
- Occupation differences in purchase intentions

Assumptions:

- Independence of observations
- Normal distribution within groups
- Homogeneity of variances (Levene's test)

Post-hoc Analysis:

- Tukey HSD test for pairwise comparisons when ANOVA significant
- Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons

Effect Size: Eta-squared (η^2) calculated

4. Pearson Correlation Analysis

Purpose: Examine linear relationships between continuous variables

Applications:

- Relationship between environmental awareness and purchase intention
- Relationship between product knowledge and purchase intention
- Relationship between price sensitivity and purchase intention
- Inter-correlations among predictor variables

Interpretation:

- $r = 0.10$ to 0.29 : Small correlation
- $r = 0.30$ to 0.49 : Medium correlation
- $r = 0.50$ to 1.00 : Large correlation

Significance Testing: Two-tailed test at $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\alpha = 0.01$ levels

5. Multiple Regression Analysis

Purpose: Identify predictors of purchase intention and assess their relative importance

Model Specification:

Purchase Intention = $\beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Environmental Awareness}) + \beta_2(\text{Product Knowledge}) + \beta_3(\text{Perceived Quality}) + \beta_4(\text{Price Sensitivity}) + \beta_5(\text{Brand Trust}) + \beta_6(\text{Availability}) + \varepsilon$

Regression Method: Enter method (all predictors entered simultaneously)

Assumptions Tested:

- Linearity (scatter plots)
- Independence of errors (Durbin-Watson statistic)
- Homoscedasticity (residual plots)
- Normality of residuals (histogram, Q-Q plot)
- Multicollinearity (VIF values <5 , Tolerance >0.2)

Model Evaluation:

- R^2 and Adjusted R^2 for explained variance
- F-statistic for overall model significance
- Individual β coefficients and their significance
- Standardized β for comparing relative importance

Diagnostics:

- Outlier detection (standardized residuals $>\pm 3$)
- Influential cases (Cook's distance)
- Residual analysis for assumption violations

3.5.5 Reliability and Validity Analysis

Reliability Assessment:

Cronbach's Alpha (α): Internal consistency reliability for multi-item scales

Scales Tested:

- Environmental Awareness Scale (8 items): $\alpha = 0.862$
- Product Knowledge Scale (6 items): $\alpha = 0.824$
- Purchase Intention Scale (4 items): $\alpha = 0.791$
- Overall Questionnaire: $\alpha = 0.847$

Interpretation:

- $\alpha \geq 0.90$: Excellent reliability
- $\alpha \geq 0.80$: Good reliability
- $\alpha \geq 0.70$: Acceptable reliability
- $\alpha < 0.70$: Questionable reliability

Item-Total Correlation: Examined for each scale item (all items >0.30 , confirming contribution)

Validity Assessment:

Content Validity:

- Expert panel evaluation (as described in Section 3.4.4)
- Items based on established theoretical frameworks and prior research

Construct Validity:

- Convergent validity: High correlations between related constructs (e.g., awareness and knowledge)
- Discriminant validity: Lower correlations between theoretically distinct constructs
- Factor analysis could be performed (though not reported here due to sample size constraints)

Criterion Validity:

- Concurrent validity: Correlation between purchase intention and reported current usage patterns ($r = 0.64$, $p < 0.01$)

3.5.6 Significance Levels

Statistical Significance Criteria:

- $\alpha = 0.05$ (5% significance level): $*p < 0.05$
- $\alpha = 0.01$ (1% significance level): $**p < 0.01$
- $\alpha = 0.001$ (0.1% significance level): $***p < 0.001$

Reporting Conventions:

- Exact p-values reported when $p > 0.001$
- Stated as $p < 0.001$ for very small p-values
- Non-significant results reported as $p > 0.05$ (or ns)

3.6 Ethical Considerations

Informed Consent:

- All participants provided informed consent before survey participation
- Purpose of research explained clearly
- Voluntary participation emphasized with right to withdraw anytime

Confidentiality and Anonymity:

- No personally identifiable information collected
- Data stored securely with access limited to research team
- Aggregate reporting ensuring individual anonymity

Data Protection:

- Compliance with data protection principles
- Secure storage of physical questionnaires
- Password-protected digital data files

Ethical Approval:

- Research protocol reviewed and approved by institutional ethics committee
- Adherence to ethical guidelines for social science research

No Harm Principle:

- Survey questions designed to avoid causing distress or discomfort
- No deceptive practices employed
- Respondents' time respected (average 15-20 minutes)

3.7 Limitations of Methodology

Sampling Limitations:

- Non-probability convenience sampling within strata may introduce selection bias
- Tourist exclusion may underrepresent seasonal population influences
- Online survey bias toward younger, educated, tech-savvy respondents

Self-Report Bias:

- Social desirability bias may inflate environmental concern responses
- Recall bias regarding past purchase behavior
- Intention-behavior gap not directly measured (stated vs. actual behavior)

Temporal Limitations:

- Cross-sectional design captures snapshot, not behavioral change over time
- Six-month data collection may introduce temporal variations
- Seasonal effects on product availability and purchase patterns not fully captured

Measurement Limitations

- Reliance on single-item measures for some constructs
- Likert scales subject to acquiescence bias and central tendency bias
- Limited depth compared to qualitative approaches

Generalizability:

- Findings specific to Nainital District context
- May not generalize to urban metros or other geographic regions
- Personal care product focus may not apply to other green product categories

4. Data Analysis and Results

4.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (N=250)

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	118	47.2%
	Female	132	52.8%
Age Group	18-25 years	68	27.2%
	26-35 years	92	36.8%
	36-45 years	54	21.6%
	46-55 years	28	11.2%
	Above 55 years	8	3.2%
Education	High School	42	16.8%
	Graduate	118	47.2%
	Post-graduate	74	29.6%
	Professional	16	6.4%
Occupation	Student	56	22.4%
	Salaried	108	43.2%
	Business	38	15.2%
	Self-employed	32	12.8%
	Homemaker	16	6.4%
Monthly Income	Below ₹20,000	64	25.6%
	₹20,000-₹40,000	94	37.6%
	₹40,000-₹60,000	58	23.2%
	Above ₹60,000	34	13.6%

(Authors' Compilation)

4.2 Environmental Awareness Analysis

Table 2: Environmental Awareness Levels (N=250)

Awareness Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	SD
I am concerned about environmental issues	112 (44.8%)	98 (39.2%)	28 (11.2%)	8 (3.2%)	4 (1.6%)	4.22	0.89
Personal care products impact environment	88 (35.2%)	106 (42.4%)	42 (16.8%)	10 (4.0%)	4 (1.6%)	4.06	0.91
I understand what green products are	76 (30.4%)	94 (37.6%)	52 (20.8%)	22 (8.8%)	6 (2.4%)	3.85	1.04
Companies should adopt green practices	124 (49.6%)	92 (36.8%)	26 (10.4%)	6 (2.4%)	2 (0.8%)	4.32	0.81
I actively seek environmental information	58 (23.2%)	82 (32.8%)	64 (25.6%)	36 (14.4%)	10 (4.0%)	3.57	1.12

(Authors' Compilation)

Overall Environmental Awareness Score: Mean = 4.00, SD = 0.74 (Scale: 1=Very Low, 5=Very High)

Table 3: Classification of Environmental Awareness (N=250)

Awareness Level	Score Range	Frequency	Percentage
Low (1.0-2.5)	Below average awareness	18	7.2%
Moderate (2.6-3.5)	Average awareness	86	34.4%
High (3.6-5.0)	Above average awareness	146	58.4%

(Authors' Compilation)

4.3 Green Product Knowledge and Usage

Table 4: Current Usage of Green Personal Care Products (N=250)

Usage Pattern	Frequency	Percentage
Regular users (use green products exclusively)	42	16.8%
Occasional users (mix of green and conventional)	128	51.2%
Rare users (tried once or twice)	54	21.6%
Never used	26	10.4%

(Authors' Compilation)

Table 5: Awareness of Green Personal Care Brands (N=250)

Brand	Aware	Not Aware	Ever Purchased
Himalaya Herbals	232 (92.8%)	18 (7.2%)	186 (74.4%)
Patanjali	246 (98.4%)	4 (1.6%)	198 (79.2%)
The Body Shop	164 (65.6%)	86 (34.4%)	78 (31.2%)
Forest Essentials	118 (47.2%)	132 (52.8%)	42 (16.8%)
Biotique	198 (79.2%)	52 (20.8%)	124 (49.6%)
Khadi Natural	176 (70.4%)	74 (29.6%)	98 (39.2%)

(Authors' Compilation)

4.4 Factors Influencing Purchase Decisions

Table 6: Importance of Factors in Green Product Purchase Decision (N=250)

Factor	Very Important	Important	Moderately Important	Less Important	Not Important	Mean	SD
Environmental benefits	94 (37.6%)	108 (43.2%)	36 (14.4%)	10 (4.0%)	2 (0.8%)	4.13	0.86
Product quality	148 (59.2%)	86 (34.4%)	14 (5.6%)	2 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4.52	0.63
Price	136 (54.4%)	82 (32.8%)	24 (9.6%)	6 (2.4%)	2 (0.8%)	4.38	0.81
Brand reputation	102 (40.8%)	98 (39.2%)	38 (15.2%)	10 (4.0%)	2 (0.8%)	4.15	0.88
Certification/eco-labels	78 (31.2%)	92 (36.8%)	58 (23.2%)	18 (7.2%)	4 (1.6%)	3.89	1.00
Packaging	68 (27.2%)	104 (41.6%)	56 (22.4%)	18 (7.2%)	4 (1.6%)	3.85	0.97
Availability	114 (45.6%)	96 (38.4%)	32 (12.8%)	6 (2.4%)	2 (0.8%)	4.26	0.83
Recommendations	72 (28.8%)	96 (38.4%)	62 (24.8%)	16 (6.4%)	4 (1.6%)	3.86	0.98

(Authors' Compilation)

4.5 Purchase Intention Analysis

Table 7: Green Product Purchase Intention (N=250)

Intention Level	Frequency	Percentage
Definitely will purchase	76	30.4%
Probably will purchase	118	47.2%
Uncertain	38	15.2%
Probably will not purchase	14	5.6%
Definitely will not purchase	4	1.6%

(Authors' Compilation)

Table 8: Willingness to Pay Premium for Green Products (N=250)

Premium Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
0% (no premium)	42	16.8%
Up to 10%	128	51.2%
11-20%	54	21.6%
21-30%	18	7.2%
Above 30%	8	3.2%

(Authors' Compilation)

4.6 Barriers to Green Product Adoption

Table 9: Barriers Preventing Green Product Purchase (N=250)

Barrier	Major Barrier	Moderate Barrier	Minor Barrier	Not a Barrier	Mean	SD
Higher price	152 (60.8%)	68 (27.2%)	22 (8.8%)	8 (3.2%)	3.46	0.78
Limited availability	118 (47.2%)	86 (34.4%)	34 (13.6%)	12 (4.8%)	3.24	0.88
Doubt about effectiveness	94 (37.6%)	102 (40.8%)	42 (16.8%)	12 (4.8%)	3.11	0.87
Lack of information	86 (34.4%)	96 (38.4%)	52 (20.8%)	16 (6.4%)	3.01	0.92
Limited product variety	74 (29.6%)	98 (39.2%)	58 (23.2%)	20 (8.0%)	2.90	0.94
Greenwashing concerns	68 (27.2%)	88 (35.2%)	72 (28.8%)	22 (8.8%)	2.81	0.95
Inconvenience	48 (19.2%)	82 (32.8%)	84 (33.6%)	36 (14.4%)	2.57	0.97

(Authors' Compilation)

4.7 Inferential Statistical Analysis

Table 10: Chi-Square Test - Association between Gender and Green Product Usage

Gender	Regular Users	Occasional Users	Rare Users	Never Used	Total	χ^2	p-value
Male	16 (13.6%)	58 (49.2%)	28 (23.7%)	16 (13.6%)	118	8.742	0.033*
Female	26 (19.7%)	70 (53.0%)	26 (19.7%)	10 (7.6%)	132		
Total	42	128	54	26	250		

(Authors' Compilation)

Interpretation: There was a statistically significant association between gender and green product usage patterns. Female respondents showed higher rates of regular usage than male respondents.

Table 11: Chi-Square Test - Association between Education Level and Environmental Awareness

Education	Low Awareness	Moderate Awareness	High Awareness	Total	χ^2	p-value
High School	8 (19.0%)	22 (52.4%)	12 (28.6%)	42	24.156	0.001**
Graduate	8 (6.8%)	46 (39.0%)	64 (54.2%)	118		
Post-graduate	2 (2.7%)	16 (21.6%)	56 (75.7%)	74		
Professional	0 (0.0%)	2 (12.5%)	14 (87.5%)	16		
Total	18	86	146	250		

(Authors' Compilation)

Interpretation: Education level has a highly significant association with environmental awareness. Higher education levels are correlated with greater environmental awareness.

Table 12: Independent Samples t-test - Gender Differences in Purchase Intention

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t-value	p-value
Purchase Intention Score	Male	118	3.82	0.94	-2.486	0.014*
(Scale: 1-5)	Female	132	4.08	0.86		

(Authors' Compilation)

*Equal variances assumed, *p < 0.05

Interpretation: Female respondents demonstrated significantly higher purchase intention for green personal care products compared to male respondents (t = -2.486, p = 0.014).

Table 13: One-Way ANOVA - Income Level and Willingness to Pay Premium

Income Group	N	Mean Premium (%)	SD	F-value	p-value
Below ₹20,000	64	8.2	6.4	12.847	0.000**
₹20,000-₹40,000	94	11.6	7.2		
₹40,000-₹60,000	58	14.8	8.1		
Above ₹60,000	34	18.4	9.6		

(Authors' Compilation)

**df = (3, 246), **p < 0.01

Post hoc Analysis (Tukey HSD):

- Significant differences exist between all income groups ($p < 0.05$)
- Higher income groups show greater willingness to pay premium prices

Interpretation: Income level significantly influences willingness to pay premium prices for green products. High-income consumers demonstrate greater price flexibility.

Table 14: One-Way ANOVA - Age Group and Environmental Awareness

Age Group	N	Mean Awareness Score	SD	F-value	p-value
18-25 years	68	4.12	0.68	3.842	0.005**
26-35 years	92	4.18	0.62		
36-45 years	54	3.86	0.82		
46-55 years	28	3.64	0.91		
Above 55 years	8	3.52	0.98		

(Authors' Compilation)

Interpretation: Younger age groups (18-35 years) demonstrated significantly higher environmental awareness compared to older age groups.

Table 15: Pearson Correlation Analysis - Key Variables

Variables	1	2	3	4	5
1. Environmental Awareness	1.000				
2. Product Knowledge	0.624**	1.000			
3. Purchase Intention	0.582**	0.671**	1.000		
4. Perceived Quality	0.418**	0.552**	0.638**	1.000	
5. Price Sensitivity	-0.284**	-0.312**	-0.486**	-0.372**	1.000

(Authors' Compilation)

*** $p < 0.01$ (2-tailed)

Interpretation:

- Strong positive correlation between environmental awareness and product knowledge ($r = 0.624$)
- Strong positive correlation between product knowledge and purchase intention ($r = 0.671$)
- Moderate negative correlation between price sensitivity and purchase intention ($r = -0.486$)
- All correlations are statistically significant at the 0.01 level

Table 16: Multiple Regression Analysis - Predictors of Purchase Intention

Predictor Variable	B	SE	β	t-value	p-value
Constant	0.486	0.282	-	1.724	0.086
Environmental Awareness	0.218	0.064	0.196	3.406	0.001**
Product Knowledge	0.342	0.058	0.356	5.897	0.000**
Perceived Quality	0.284	0.072	0.224	3.944	0.000**
Price Sensitivity	-0.186	0.048	-0.198	-3.875	0.000**
Brand Trust	0.156	0.054	0.142	2.889	0.004**
Availability	0.124	0.062	0.102	2.000	0.047*

(Authors' Compilation)

Model Statistics:

- $R^2 = 0.684$
- Adjusted $R^2 = 0.676$
- $F(6, 243) = 87.542$, $p < 0.001$
- Durbin-Watson = 1.924

Interpretation: The model explains 68.4% of the variance in purchase intention. Product knowledge ($\beta = 0.356$) was the strongest predictor, followed by perceived quality ($\beta = 0.224$) and price sensitivity ($\beta = -0.198$). All predictors were statistically significant.

Table 17: Chi-Square Test - Association between Occupation and Green Product Purchase Frequency

Occupation	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Total	χ^2	p-value
Student	8	12	22	10	4	56	31.642	0.024*
Salaried	8	16	48	26	10	108		
Business	4	6	14	10	4	38		
Self-employed	4	8	12	6	2	32		
Homemaker	2	4	6	3	1	16		
Total	26	46	102	55	21	250		

*df = 16, *p < 0.05

(Authors' Compilation)

Interpretation: Occupation significantly influences green product purchase frequency, with salaried employees exhibiting the highest regular purchase rate.

4.8 Marketing Strategy Effectiveness

Table 18: Evaluation of Current Green Marketing Strategies (N=250)

Strategy	Very Effective	Effective	Neutral	Ineffective	Very Ineffective	Mean	SD
Eco-label/certification display	86 (34.4%)	104 (41.6%)	42 (16.8%)	14 (5.6%)	4 (1.6%)	4.02	0.93
Natural ingredient highlighting	112 (44.8%)	98 (39.2%)	32 (12.8%)	6 (2.4%)	2 (0.8%)	4.25	0.83
Environmental benefit claims	78 (31.2%)	106 (42.4%)	48 (19.2%)	14 (5.6%)	4 (1.6%)	3.96	0.92
Green packaging	94 (37.6%)	102 (40.8%)	38 (15.2%)	12 (4.8%)	4 (1.6%)	4.08	0.91
Social media campaigns	68 (27.2%)	92 (36.8%)	64 (25.6%)	20 (8.0%)	6 (2.4%)	3.78	1.01
Celebrity endorsements	52 (20.8%)	78 (31.2%)	82 (32.8%)	28 (11.2%)	10 (4.0%)	3.54	1.06
In-store promotions	74 (29.6%)	96 (38.4%)	58 (23.2%)	18 (7.2%)	4 (1.6%)	3.87	0.96

(Authors' Compilation)

Table 19: Preferred Information Sources for Green Products (N=250)

Information Source	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	Weighted Score
Online reviews	94	68	42	766
Product packaging	72	86	54	714
Social media	58	64	72	608
Word of mouth	86	52	48	702
Television ads	32	48	58	450
Store staff	24	42	56	410

*Weighted Score = (Rank 1 × 3) + (Rank 2 × 2) + (Rank 3 × 1)

(Authors' Compilation)

5. Discussion

5.1 Consumer Awareness and Acceptance

The findings revealed a relatively high level of environmental awareness among Nainital District consumers (mean = 4.00), with 58.4% demonstrating high awareness levels. This is notably higher than previous studies in similar-sized Indian cities (Sharma & Iyer, 2012), potentially attributable to Nainital's location in an ecologically sensitive region and its tourism-driven economy, which emphasises environmental conservation. However, awareness does not directly translate into consistent purchasing behaviour. While 77.6% of respondents expressed positive purchase intentions, only 16.8% were regular users of green personal care products. This attitude-behaviour gap aligns with the existing literature (Carrington et al., 2010) and suggests that intentions are constrained by practical barriers.

5.2 Demographic Influences

The study revealed significant demographic variations in green product acceptance. Female consumers demonstrated significantly higher purchase intentions ($p = 0.014$) and regular usage patterns ($p = 0.033$) than males. This gender difference may reflect the traditional household purchasing roles and greater involvement in personal care products among women.

Education emerged as a highly significant factor ($p = 0.001$) influencing environmental awareness, with post-graduate and professional education groups showing substantially higher awareness (75.7% and 87.5%, respectively). This finding supports the knowledge-attitude-behaviour model, suggesting that education enhances the understanding of environmental issues and their connection to consumption choices.

Age demonstrates an inverse relationship with environmental awareness, with younger consumers (18-35 years) showing significantly higher awareness and engagement with green marketing initiatives. This generational difference likely reflects the greater exposure to environmental education and digital media among younger demographics.

Income level significantly influenced willingness to pay premium prices ($p < 0.001$), with higher-income groups demonstrating greater price flexibility. The mean premium willingness of 12.4% is lower than that reported in metropolitan Indian cities (15-20%), possibly reflecting the economic characteristics of tier-2 markets.

5.3 Factors Influencing Purchase Decisions

Multiple regression analysis identified product knowledge as the strongest predictor of purchase intention ($\beta = 0.356$), followed by perceived quality ($\beta = 0.224$) and environmental awareness ($\beta = 0.196$). The model's explanatory power ($R^2 = 0.684$) suggests that these factors collectively account for approximately 68% of the variance in purchase intentions, indicating a robust predictive model.

Product quality remains the most important factor in purchase decisions (mean = 4.52), surpassing price considerations. This finding contradicts the common assumption that price is the primary barrier to green products. Instead, it suggests that consumers prioritise effectiveness and quality assurance and are willing to pay premiums if quality standards are met.

Price sensitivity emerged as a significant negative predictor ($\beta = -0.198$, $p < 0.001$), with 60.8% of respondents citing higher prices as a major barrier. The mean willingness to pay a premium of 12.4% indicates that while consumers accept some price differential, excessive premiums significantly deter purchase behaviour. This creates a challenge for companies to balance sustainable sourcing costs with market accessibility.

Product availability was identified as both an important decision factor (mean = 4.26) and a major barrier (47.2% citing limited availability). This suggests supply chain and distribution challenges specific to tier-2 markets, where retail infrastructure for specialised green products remains underdeveloped.

5.4 Barriers to Adoption

Barrier analysis reveals a hierarchy of constraints preventing wider green product adoption. Price premiums constitute the most significant barrier (mean = 3.46), followed by limited availability (mean = 3.24), and doubts about product effectiveness (mean = 3.11). These findings suggest that market penetration requires simultaneous attention to pricing strategies, distribution networks, and credit building.

Interestingly, greenwashing concerns ranked relatively lower (mean = 2.81), suggesting either limited awareness of deceptive marketing practices or general trust in current green claims. However, this may also indicate vulnerability to misleading environmental claims, necessitating stronger regulatory frameworks and consumer education.

The relatively low ranking of inconvenience as a barrier (mean = 2.57) suggests that when products are available and reasonably priced, consumers are willing to integrate them into their purchasing routines. This positive indicator suggests that latent demand can be activated through improved market access.

5.5 Brand Awareness and Market Penetration

Brand awareness analysis revealed the dominance of Indian brands, with Patanjali (98.4%) and Himalaya Herbals (92.8%) achieving near-universal recognition. This significantly exceeds the awareness of international green brands such as The Body Shop (65.6%) and Forest Essentials (47.2%). However, awareness

does not directly correlate with the purchase rate, indicating that brand recognition alone is insufficient for market success.

The gap between awareness and purchase behaviour is particularly notable for premium brands such as Forest Essentials, where only 16.8% of aware consumers have made purchases. This suggests that while consumers recognise premium green brands, price points may limit actual purchase behaviour in this market segment. Patanjali's high purchase rate (79.2%) demonstrates successful market penetration through competitive pricing and extensive distribution networks, offering valuable insights for other companies seeking to expand in similar markets.

5.6 Marketing Strategy Effectiveness

Among the marketing strategies evaluated, highlighting natural ingredients received the highest effectiveness rating (mean = 4.25), followed by green packaging (mean = 4.08), and eco-label display (mean = 4.02). This suggests that consumers respond most positively to tangible, verifiable green attributes rather than abstract environmental benefit claims.

Social media campaigns received moderate effectiveness ratings (mean = 3.78), while celebrity endorsements ranked the lowest (mean = 3.54). This finding diverges from broader Indian marketing trends, where celebrity endorsements typically drive significant consumer responses, suggesting that environmental credibility may be more important than celebrity association in green marketing contexts.

The preferred information sources analysis reveals that online reviews (weighted score = 766) and product packaging (weighted score = 714) are the most influential, highlighting the importance of transparent communication and user-generated content in building trust for green products.

6. Conclusions

6.1 Key Findings

This study provides empirical evidence of moderate to high consumer acceptance of green marketing initiatives in Nainital District's personal care product sector. The key findings are:

1. **High Environmental Awareness:** 58.4% of consumers demonstrate high environmental awareness, significantly above the typical tier-2 city averages, creating a favourable foundation for green marketing initiatives.
2. **Intention-Behaviour Gap:** Despite 77.6% expressing positive purchase intentions, only 16.8% were regular users, indicating substantial barriers between awareness and consistent purchasing behaviour.
3. **Demographic Variations:** Female consumers, younger age groups (18-35 years), and higher education levels correlate with greater green product acceptance and usage patterns.
4. **Quality Primacy:** Product quality is the dominant purchase factor, surpassing environmental benefits and price considerations, suggesting that consumers will not compromise the effectiveness of environmental credentials.
5. **Price availability Barriers:** Higher prices (60.8% cited as a major barrier) and limited availability (47.2%) constitute primary obstacles to wider adoption, more than scepticism about effectiveness or environmental benefits.
6. **Knowledge as Key Driver:** Product knowledge emerged as the strongest predictor of purchase intention ($\beta = 0.356$), indicating that information dissemination and consumer education are critical for market expansion.
7. **Indian Brand Dominance:** Domestic brands (Patanjali and Himalaya) achieve higher awareness and purchase rates than international competitors, suggesting advantages in pricing, distribution, and cultural alignment.
8. **Tangible over Abstract:** Marketing strategies emphasising concrete attributes (natural ingredients, eco-labels, green packaging) outperform abstract environmental benefit claims.

6.2 Theoretical Contributions

This research contributes to the green marketing literature by:

1. This study provides empirical evidence from an ecologically sensitive tier-2 Indian market, addressing the geographic bias toward metropolitan areas in existing research.
2. This demonstrates that the environmental sensitivity of a region does not automatically translate into higher green product adoption rates, suggesting that economic and infrastructural factors remain dominant.
3. This confirms the applicability of the Theory in the Indian green marketing context, while highlighting the significance of knowledge gaps as barriers to behavioural conversion.
4. This reveals that quality perception mediates the relationship between environmental awareness and purchase behaviour, suggesting that green marketing must emphasise product efficacy alongside environmental benefits.

6.3 Practical Implications

This study offers several actionable insights for companies operating in the personal care sector.

For Product Development:

- Prioritise product quality and efficacy in green product formulations; environmental benefits alone are insufficient.
- Ensure that green products match or exceed conventional alternatives in performance metrics.
- Develop products at moderate price premiums (10-15% range) to align with consumer willingness to pay.

For Marketing Strategy:

- Emphasise tangible green attributes (natural ingredients and certifications) over abstract environmental claims.
- Invest consumer education initiatives to build product knowledge, the strongest predictor of purchase intention.
- Online platforms and user-generated content are the most trusted sources of information.
- Reduce reliance on celebrity endorsements in favour of expert testimonials and third-party certifications.

For Distribution:

- Expand retail presence in tier-2 markets where availability is a significant barrier.
- Develop partnerships with local retailers to improve product accessibility.
- Consider direct-to-consumer channels to overcome distribution limitations.

For Pricing:

- Implement tiered product lines to serve different income segments.
- Communicate value propositions that justify premium pricing through quality and longevity benefits.
- Offer trial sizes or promotional pricing to reduce initial purchase barriers.

For Communication:

- Target marketing efforts toward younger demographics (18-35 years) and educated consumers who show the highest receptivity.
- Develop gender-specific messaging that recognises higher female engagement with green personal care products.
- Utilise transparent communication on product packaging as the primary information source.

6.4 Policy Recommendations

For policymakers and regulatory authorities

1. **Standardisation and Certification:** Develop standardised eco-labelling systems to reduce confusion, prevent greenwashing, and enhance consumer trust.
2. **Consumer Protection:** Implement stricter regulations on environmental claims in marketing to ensure authenticity and prevent misleading communication.
3. **Incentive Structures:** Consider tax incentives or subsidies for green personal care product manufacturers to reduce retail price premiums.

4. **Infrastructure Development:** Improve retail distribution infrastructure in tier-2 and tier-3 markets to enhance green product availability.
5. **Educational Initiatives:** Integrate environmental consumption education into school curricula and public awareness campaigns.
6. **Local Entrepreneurship Support:** Encourage local green product entrepreneurs through incubation programs and market access support, particularly in ecologically sensitive regions.

7. Recommendations

7.1 For Companies

Short-term Recommendations (0-12 months):

1. **Enhance Product Visibility:** Increase retail presence through partnerships with existing personal care product distributors in Nainital District.
2. **Price Optimisation:** Conduct price sensitivity analysis to identify the optimal pricing points that balance profitability with market penetration.
3. **Educational Campaigns:** Launch consumer education initiatives explaining green product benefits, certifications, and proper usage through in-store demonstrations and digital content.
4. **Quality Assurance Communication:** Develop clear messaging emphasising product efficacy and quality testing to address effectiveness concerns.
5. **Digital Marketing:** Social media platforms popular in tier-2 markets are leveraged to build brand awareness and engage younger consumers.

Medium-term Recommendations (1-3 years)

1. **Distribution Network Expansion:** Establish dedicated distribution channels for green product lines in tier-2 markets across Uttarakhand.
2. **Product Line Development:** Create economy and premium product tiers to serve different income segments while maintaining environmental standards.
3. **Local Sourcing:** Develop supply chains utilising local natural ingredients, reducing costs while supporting regional economies, and enhancing authenticity.
4. **Certification Acquisition:** Obtain recognised eco-certifications (ECOCERT, USDA Organic) to build credibility and differentiate from competitors.
5. **Retail Experience Enhancement:** Create dedicated green product sections in retail outlets with educational materials and trained staff.

Long-term Recommendations (3-5 years):

1. **Market Leadership:** Position as category leaders in sustainable personal care through consistent innovation and transparent environmental practices.
2. **Circular Economy Models:** Implement take-back programs, refill stations, and packaging recycling initiatives to demonstrate comprehensive environmental commitment.
3. **Regional Expansion:** Utilise learning from Nainital District to expand into similar tier-2 markets across hill states and ecologically sensitive regions.
4. **Research and Development:** Invest to develop green formulations that match or exceed conventional product performance at competitive costs.
5. **Partnership Development:** Collaborate with environmental organisations, tourism operators, and local governments to create holistic sustainability initiatives.

7.2 For Consumers

1. **Information Seeking:** Actively research product certifications and ingredients to make informed purchasing decisions.
2. **Trial adoption:** Experiments with green alternatives across different product categories to identify effective options.
3. **Feedback Provision:** Share experiences through reviews and recommendations to help other consumers and guide companies.

4. **Advocacy:** Support regulatory frameworks that promote environmental transparency and prevent greenwashing.
5. **Realistic Expectations:** Understand that green products may require adjustment periods and that environmental benefits extend beyond immediate personal experience.

7.3 For Future Research

This study opens several avenues for future research.

1. **Longitudinal Studies:** Track consumer behaviour over extended periods to understand the evolution of green consumption patterns and conversion from intention to consistent behaviour.
2. **Comparative Analysis:** This study in other tier-2 markets with different ecological and cultural contexts to identify generalisable patterns and regional variations.
3. **Actual purchase behaviour:** Utilise sales data and observational methods to examine actual purchasing patterns rather than relying solely on self-reported intentions.
4. **Product Category Expansion:** Extend research to other green product categories (food, clothing, and household products) to understand cross-category variations in acceptance.
5. **Qualitative Exploration:** Conduct in-depth interviews and focus groups to understand nuanced consumer perceptions, barriers, and motivations not captured in quantitative surveys.
6. **Greenwashing Impact:** Investigate consumers' ability to identify misleading environmental claims and their impact on trust and purchase behaviour.
7. **Intervention Studies:** Design and test specific marketing interventions or educational programs to identify the most effective approaches for converting intentions into behaviour.
8. **Supply Chain Analysis:** Examine green product supply chain challenges in tier-2 markets from the manufacturer and distributor perspectives.
9. **Cultural Factors:** Explore cultural and regional value systems influencing environmental consumption in Himalayan communities compared to plain regions.
10. **Economic Modelling:** Develop econometric models predicting green product market potential in tier-2 and tier-3 Indian markets.

8. Limitations of the Study

Despite rigorous methodology, this study has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings:

1. **Geographic Limitation:** The study focuses exclusively on Nainital District, and the findings may not be generalisable to other regions with different socioeconomic, cultural, or environmental contexts.
2. **Self-reported Data:** Reliance on survey methodology introduces potential social desirability bias, where respondents may overstate environmental concerns and purchase intentions.
3. **Intention-Behavior Gap:** This study measures purchase intentions rather than actual purchasing behaviour, which may not accurately predict real-world consumption patterns.
4. **Temporal Constraints:** Data collection over six months may not capture seasonal variations in tourist populations and their influence on local consumer behaviour and product availability.
5. **Sample Representation:** While stratified random sampling was employed, the sample may underrepresent certain demographic segments, such as older consumers and lower-income groups.
6. **Product Category Focus:** Limiting the study to personal care products excludes insights from other product categories in which green marketing dynamics may differ.
7. **Company Perspective Absence:** This study examines consumer perspectives without incorporating manufacturer, distributor, or retailer viewpoints on green marketing challenges and strategies.
8. **Greenwashing Detection:** This study does not assess consumers' ability to identify misleading environmental claims or evaluate actual environmental credentials of products mentioned.
9. **Brand-specific Analysis:** Limited examination of individual brand strategies and positioning prevents a detailed understanding of what differentiates successful from unsuccessful green marketing approaches.

10. **Economic Factors:** Data collection during a specific economic period may not reflect consumer behaviour during different economic conditions or after significant income changes.

References

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Carrington, M. J., Neville, B. A., & Whitwell, G. J. (2010). Why ethical consumers don't walk their talk: Towards a framework for understanding the gap between the ethical purchase intentions and actual buying behaviour of ethically minded consumers. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97(1), 139-158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0501-6>
- Cervellon, M. C., & Carey, L. (2011). Consumers' perceptions of 'green': Why and how consumers use eco-fashion and green beauty products. *Critical Studies in Fashion & Beauty*, 2(1-2), 117-138. https://doi.org/10.1386/csfb.2.1-2.117_1
- D'Souza, C., Taghian, M., & Lamb, P. (2006). An empirical study on the influence of environmental labels on consumers. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 11(2), 162-173. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13563280610661697>
- Gleim, M. R., Smith, J. S., Andrews, D., & Cronin, J. J. (2013). Against the green: A multi-method examination of the barriers to green consumption. *Journal of Retailing*, 89(1), 44-61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2012.10.001>
- Grand View Research. (2019). *Personal care ingredients market size, share & trends analysis report*. Grand View Research, Inc.
- Gupta, S., & Ogden, D. T. (2009). To buy or not to buy? A social dilemma perspective on green buying. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 26(6), 376-391. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07363760910988201>
- Jain, S. K., & Kaur, G. (2004). Green marketing: An attitudinal and behavioural analysis of Indian consumers. *Global Business Review*, 5(2), 187-205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097215090400500203>
- Kumar, P., & Ghodeswar, B. M. (2015). Factors affecting consumers' green product purchase decisions. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 33(3), 330-347. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-03-2014-0068>
- Mostafa, M. M. (2007). Gender differences in Egyptian consumers' green purchase behaviour: The effects of environmental knowledge, concern and attitude. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 31(3), 220-229. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2006.00523.x>
- Ottman, J. A., Stafford, E. R., & Hartman, C. L. (2006). Avoiding green marketing myopia: Ways to improve consumer appeal for environmentally preferable products. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 48(5), 22-36. <https://doi.org/10.3200/ENVT.48.5.22-36>
- Polonsky, M. J. (1994). An introduction to green marketing. *Electronic Green Journal*, 1(2), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.5070/G31210177>
- Polonsky, M. J. (2011). Transformative green marketing: Impediments and opportunities. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(12), 1311-1319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.01.016>
- Sharma, A., & Iyer, G. R. (2012). Resource-constrained product development: Implications for green marketing and green supply chains. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 41(4), 599-608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2012.04.007>
- Vermeir, I., & Verbeke, W. (2006). Sustainable food consumption: Exploring the consumer "attitude-behavioral intention" gap. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 19(2), 169-194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-005-5485-3>