Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Its Influence on Consumer Behavior and Brand Loyalty.

Abhinav N
Computer Science and Engineering
RV College of Engineering
Bangalore, India
abhinavn.cs22@rvce.edu.in

Ankit Patil
Computer Science and Engineering
RV College of Engineering
Bangalore, India
ankitpatil.cs22@rvce.edu.in

Abhijeet
Computer Science and Engineering
RV College of Engineering
Banglore, India
abhijeet.cs22@rvce.edu.in

Dr. Manas M N
Assistant Proffessor
Computer Science and Engineering
RV College of Engineering
Banglore, India
manasmn@rvce.edu.in

Abstract *- This study examines the impact of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) on consumer behavior and brand loyalty, focusing on companies such as Mamaearth and Zomato. Through a critical analysis, we differentiate between authentic CSR initiatives and performative actions aimed at enhancing brand image. The research incorporates case studies to assess how specific CSR activities influence consumer trust and purchasing decisions. Additionally, we explore the role of government policies, like India's mandatory CSR expenditure law, in shaping corporate strategies. Our findings reveal that genuine CSR efforts can significantly enhance brand loyalty, whereas superficial initiatives may lead to consumer skepticism. The paper concludes by discussing the limitations of current CSR practices and proposing future trends for integrating ethical considerations into corporate strategies.*

Keywords *- Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Consumer Behavior, Brand Loyalty, Ethical CSR, Performative CSR, CSR Initiatives, Sustainability, Consumer Trust, Marketing Strategies, Tax Benefits, Government Regulations, Social Impact, Transparency*

I. INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has become an integral aspect of modern business practices, reflecting a shift in how companies approach their role within society. As consumers increasingly demand ethical behavior and sustainability from the brands they support, CSR initiatives have transitioned from mere philanthropic efforts to essential components of corporate strategy. This evolution underscores the recognition that businesses are not only accountable for their financial performance but also for their social and environmental impacts.

In recent years, CSR has gained prominence as a critical factor influencing consumer behavior and brand loyalty. Companies like Mamaearth and Zomato exemplify this trend by implementing initiatives that resonate with socially conscious consumers. Mamaearth's commitment to plant a tree for every purchase highlights its dedication to environmental sustainability, while Zomato's ambitious goal of achieving 100% carbon-free delivery by 2030 reflects a broader commitment to combating climate change. These initiatives not only enhance brand reputation but also foster deeper connections with consumers who prioritize ethical consumption.

However, the landscape of CSR is not without its challenges. The distinction between genuine CSR efforts and performative initiatives—those that serve primarily as marketing tools—has become increasingly blurred. As consumers become more discerning, they are more likely to scrutinize corporate claims and demand transparency regarding the impact of CSR activities. This paper aims to critically examine the influence of CSR on consumer behavior and brand loyalty, exploring both the positive outcomes and the limitations inherent in current practices. Through a comprehensive analysis of real-world case studies, this research will provide insights into how effective CSR strategies can lead to sustainable business growth while addressing societal needs.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Evolution of CSR

The concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has undergone significant transformation since its inception, evolving from a primarily philanthropic endeavor to a strategic business imperative. The historical roots of CSR can be traced back to the late 1800s, during the Industrial Revolution, when the adverse effects of industrialization prompted some businesses to reconsider their roles in society. Early instances of corporate responsibility were characterized by charitable acts and community support, often driven by philanthropists who sought to improve working conditions and address social issues resulting from rapid industrialization.

The term "Corporate Social Responsibility" was officially coined in 1953 by American economist Howard Bowen in his seminal work, Social Responsibilities of the Businessman.

Bowen's ideas laid the foundation for modern CSR by suggesting that businesses have ethical obligations to society beyond profit maximization. In the subsequent decades, particularly during the 1970s, the concept gained traction as the notion of a "social contract" between businesses and society was introduced by the Committee for Economic Development. This contract emphasized that companies exist with public consent and thus have a responsibility to contribute positively to societal needs.

In India, CSR has a rich historical context that predates modern terminology. Ancient Indian texts such as the Upanishads and Bhagavad-gita reflect principles of ethical conduct and social responsibility. However, it was not until the enactment of the Companies Act, 2013 that CSR gained formal recognition as a statutory requirement in India, mandating companies to allocate a portion of their profits towards social initiatives. This shift marked a significant milestone in how corporate responsibility is perceived and practiced in India, moving from voluntary philanthropy to structured corporate engagement with societal issues.

As CSR has evolved, so too has public perception. Initially viewed as an optional or charitable activity, it is now seen as integral to corporate strategy. Consumers increasingly expect brands to demonstrate social responsibility, leading companies to adopt CSR not just for ethical reasons but also as a means to enhance brand reputation and consumer loyalty.

B. Consumer Psychology

Consumer psychology plays a crucial role in understanding how CSR influences trust and loyalty among consumers. Theories related to consumer behavior suggest that individuals are more likely to engage with brands that align with their values and demonstrate genuine commitment to social and environmental causes. Research indicates that consumers often perceive companies with strong CSR initiatives as more trustworthy and credible, which can significantly impact their purchasing decisions.

One psychological mechanism driving this behavior is cognitive dissonance; consumers seek consistency between their beliefs and actions. When consumers support brands that engage in socially responsible practices, they experience positive feelings about their choices, reinforcing brand loyalty. Additionally, social identity theory suggests that consumers derive part of their self-concept from the brands they choose to support. By aligning with socially responsible brands, consumers enhance their self-image as conscientious individuals.

Moreover, the rise of digital communication has amplified consumer awareness regarding corporate practices. Social media platforms enable consumers to share information about brands' CSR efforts or lack thereof, influencing public perception rapidly. As a result, companies are increasingly held accountable for their actions, making genuine CSR initiatives vital for maintaining consumer trust and loyalty.

C. Government Regulations

Government regulations play a pivotal role in shaping corporate behavior regarding CSR practices. In India, the introduction of Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013 marked a landmark development by mandating certain companies to spend at least 2% of their average net profits on CSR activities. This legislation not only formalized CSR but also aimed to ensure that businesses contribute meaningfully to societal development.

The effectiveness of such regulations varies across countries; while some nations have implemented strict guidelines for CSR compliance, others adopt more voluntary approaches. The Indian model emphasizes "comply or explain," allowing companies flexibility while holding them accountable for their commitments. This regulatory framework aims to foster transparency and encourage businesses to integrate social responsibility into their core operations.

Globally, similar trends can be observed where governments are increasingly recognizing the importance of corporate accountability in addressing societal challenges such as poverty alleviation, education, and environmental sustainability. However, critics argue that regulations can sometimes lead to performative compliance rather than genuine engagement with social issues.

D. Ethical vs. Performative CSR

A critical distinction within CSR discourse is between ethical (genuine) initiatives and performative (superficial) efforts aimed at enhancing brand image without substantive impact. Ethical CSR involves authentic commitments to social responsibility that align with a company's core values and operations. These initiatives are characterized by transparency, accountability, and measurable outcomes.

Conversely, performative CSR refers to actions taken primarily for marketing purposes rather than genuine concern for social issues. Companies engaging in performative CSR may launch campaigns or initiatives that lack depth or fail to address underlying problems effectively. For example, brands may promote single charitable events or superficial environmental efforts without integrating these practices into their overall business strategy.

Several companies have faced scrutiny for misleading claims about their CSR efforts. High-profile cases include brands like Volkswagen, which faced backlash after it was revealed that its environmental claims were misleading due to emissions cheating scandals. Such incidents highlight the growing consumer awareness regarding corporate practices and underscore the importance of authenticity in CSR initiatives.

In conclusion, understanding the evolution of CSR, consumer psychology related to trust and loyalty, government regulations influencing corporate behavior, and differentiating between ethical and performative practices are essential components in analyzing the impact of CSR on contemporary business strategies. These elements provide valuable insights into how companies can navigate the complex landscape of social responsibility while fostering meaningful connections with consumers..

III. CASE STUDIES

A. Mamaearth

Mamaearth, a prominent player in the personal care industry, has launched the Plant Goodness initiative, aiming to plant 1 million trees by 2025. As of January 2025, the brand has already planted over 800,000 trees across various regions in India, including Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, and Haryana. This initiative is executed in collaboration with NGOs and local farmers, focusing on agroforestry practices that allow farmers to cultivate fruit-bearing trees alongside traditional crops. This dual approach not only contributes to environmental sustainability by creating carbon sinks but also enhances the livelihoods of farmers through additional income from the produce of these trees.

The implications of this initiative for sustainability are significant. By promoting agroforestry, Mamaearth contributes to biodiversity and soil health while addressing climate change. The brand's commitment to planting trees with every product sold resonates strongly with environmentally conscious consumers, reinforcing its image as a purpose-driven company. The partnership with Zepto further amplifies this initiative by offering tree planting for every Mamaearth product ordered through their platform, making it easier for consumers to engage in sustainable practices.

Consumer reactions to Mamaearth's CSR efforts have been overwhelmingly positive. The brand's focus on sustainability aligns with the values of millennials and Gen Z consumers who prioritize ethical consumption. This alignment fosters brand loyalty, as customers feel a connection to a brand that actively contributes to environmental well-being. By integrating sustainability into its core business model, Mamaearth not only enhances its market position but also cultivates a loyal customer base that appreciates its commitment to social responsibility.

B. Zomato

Zomato has set an ambitious goal of achieving 100% carbon-free delivery by 2030. This initiative reflects the company's commitment to sustainability and addresses growing consumer concerns about climate change and environmental impact. Zomato's strategy includes optimizing delivery routes, utilizing electric vehicles, and partnering with local restaurants that adhere to sustainable practices.

Consumer perceptions of Zomato's sustainability efforts are generally favorable, particularly among environmentally conscious users who appreciate brands that take proactive steps towards reducing their carbon footprint. However, challenges remain in realizing this objective. The logistics of transitioning an entire fleet to carbon-neutral options presents significant operational hurdles, including the need for infrastructure development and potential increases in delivery costs.

Moreover, Zomato faces scrutiny regarding its overall environmental impact beyond delivery emissions. As a platform that connects consumers with restaurants, it must ensure that its partners also adhere to sustainable practices. Failure to do so could lead to consumer backlash if users perceive the company as engaging in performative CSR rather than genuine efforts towards sustainability.

3 Tesla and Apple

Tesla is often lauded for its environmental marketing as a leader in electric vehicle production, promoting itself as a green alternative to traditional automotive companies. However, the company has faced criticism regarding its labor practices and the ethical implications of sourcing materials for its batteries. Reports have highlighted issues related to worker conditions at Tesla's factories and supply chain concerns involving cobalt mining in the Democratic Republic of Congo, where labor practices have been called into question.

In contrast, Apple promotes robust sustainability initiatives aimed at reducing its carbon footprint and increasing the use of recycled materials in its products. The company has made significant strides toward achieving carbon neutrality across its supply chain by 2030. However, Apple has also faced criticism for labor exploitation within its supply chain, particularly concerning manufacturing partners in countries like China.

Both companies illustrate the complexities of balancing environmental marketing with ethical business practices. While Tesla markets itself as an environmentally friendly option, discrepancies between its marketing and actual labor practices can undermine consumer trust. Similarly, Apple's commitment to sustainability is overshadowed by ongoing concerns about labor conditions within its supply chain.

In summary, these case studies highlight the diverse approaches companies take towards CSR and sustainability while underscoring the importance of authenticity in corporate practices. As consumers become increasingly aware of corporate actions beyond marketing claims, companies must navigate these complexities carefully to maintain trust and loyalty among their customer base.

IV.CONSUMER PSYCHOLOGY AND BUSINESS GROWTH

A. Trust and Purchase Decisions

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives significantly shape consumer trust and influence purchasing behavior. Research has consistently shown that consumers are more likely to trust, support, and remain loyal to companies that demonstrate a commitment to CSR. For instance, a study conducted by Cone Communications revealed that 87% of consumers are more inclined to buy products from companies that advocate for issues they care about, highlighting the strong correlation between CSR engagement and purchasing choices 4. Similarly, Nielsen's research indicates that 81% of global respondents believe companies should help improve the environment, while 73% feel businesses should contribute to their communities.

The impact of CSR on consumer behavior can be understood through various psychological mechanisms. Trust is a critical factor; when consumers perceive a brand as socially responsible, their trust in that brand increases, leading to higher purchase intentions. A study published in the International Journal of Marketing Strategies found that CSR policies enhance consumers' perceived value towards a company, which subsequently increases trust, commitment, satisfaction, and loyalty. This relationship is further supported by findings from a survey indicating that 66% of baby boomers consider a company's social and environmental commitments before making a purchase.

Moreover, CSR initiatives can lead to increased sales growth. Companies that actively engage in CSR often report better financial performance due to heightened consumer loyalty and positive brand perception. For example, brands with strong CSR initiatives have been shown to experience an increase in customer retention rates, translating into long-term profitability. The evidence suggests that integrating CSR into business strategies is not merely an ethical obligation but also a strategic imperative for enhancing consumer trust and driving sales growth.

B. Role of Social Media

Social media plays a pivotal role in amplifying both genuine and performative CSR initiatives. Platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram allow brands to communicate their CSR efforts directly to consumers while enabling users to share their opinions and experiences with these initiatives. This dynamic can significantly influence public perception of a brand's commitment to social responsibility.

For instance, the backlash against brands accused of "greenwashing"—a practice where companies promote sustainable values without substantive actions—has been magnified by social media scrutiny. Consumers are increasingly aware of corporate practices due to the rapid dissemination of information online, leading to heightened expectations for transparency and authenticity in CSR efforts. A study indicated that 91% of global consumers are likely to switch brands to one associated with a good cause if given comparable price and quality. This statistic underscores the importance of genuine CSR initiatives in maintaining consumer loyalty.

Case studies illustrate how social media has influenced public perception of brands' CSR efforts. For example, when Coca-Cola launched its "World Without Waste" campaign aimed at recycling bottles, social media users closely monitored the company's progress against its commitments. Positive engagement on platforms like Twitter and Instagram helped enhance Coca-Cola's image as a responsible brand committed to sustainability. Conversely, when brands like Volkswagen were implicated in emissions scandals, social media played a crucial role in amplifying public outrage and damaging the brand's reputation.

In conclusion, social media serves as both a platform for promoting genuine CSR initiatives and a tool for exposing performative practices. As consumers become more socially conscious and empowered by digital platforms, brands must navigate this landscape carefully to build trust and foster loyalty through authentic engagement in corporate social responsibility. The integration of effective CSR strategies into business operations is essential for companies aiming to thrive in an increasingly competitive marketplace where consumer expectations continue to evolve.

V. GOVERNMENT INFLUENCE ON CSR

A. Effectiveness of India’s CSR Law

India's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) framework, established under the Companies Act, 2013, mandates that companies meeting specific financial criteria—net worth over INR 5 billion, annual turnover exceeding INR 10 billion, or net profit above INR 50 million—allocate at least 2% of their average net profits from the last three years to CSR activities. This law has prompted approximately 6,000 companies to engage in CSR initiatives, with estimated commitments reaching up to INR 20,000 crore annually. The law has led many companies to professionalize their CSR strategies and integrate them into core business operations. The Companies (Amendment) Act, 2019 introduced provisions requiring companies to deposit unspent CSR funds into a government-specified fund if not utilized within a specified period, emphasizing accountability and long-term planning for CSR projects. However, challenges remain in implementation and enforcement. Reports indicate that while many companies allocate funds for CSR, the execution often falls short due to vague guidelines and limited director liabilities. Compared to global standards, India's mandatory approach is unique; countries like the U.S. and Canada typically have voluntary frameworks that encourage corporate responsibility without strict legal requirements. This difference highlights India's proactive stance but raises questions about the sincerity of compliance among businesses.

B. Genuine vs. Performative Compliance

While India's CSR law aims to ensure corporate contributions to society, it can create loopholes that allow for performative compliance rather than genuine engagement with social issues. Performative CSR involves actions taken primarily for marketing purposes rather than substantive social impact.

Companies may fulfill their legal obligations by making donations or launching initiatives that generate media attention but do not lead to meaningful change. For instance, some corporations allocate funds toward high-profile charitable events that lack depth or fail to address systemic issues effectively. While regulations allow for carry-forward provisions for unspent CSR funds, this flexibility can lead to delays in project implementation and insufficient accountability regarding actual impact. Ambiguities in what constitutes acceptable CSR spending can also lead companies to exploit regulations creatively. Some firms may classify marketing expenses as CSR activities if they align with community engagement themes, blurring the lines between genuine social responsibility and marketing strategies. In conclusion, while India's mandatory CSR law represents a significant step towards corporate accountability, it is essential for stakeholders—including regulators, corporations, and civil society—to collaborate in fostering an environment where genuine CSR practices prevail over performative compliance. Strengthening regulatory frameworks and enhancing transparency will be crucial in ensuring that corporate actions translate into meaningful social impact rather than superficial compliance measures.

VI. ETHICAL VS. STRATEGIC CSR: EXPOSING PERFORMATIVE CSR

A. Marketing Gimmicks

Several companies have faced criticism for using Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) as a marketing tool rather than committing to genuine social responsibility. One notable example is Volkswagen, which was embroiled in a scandal involving emissions cheating while simultaneously promoting its vehicles as environmentally friendly. The company's "Clean Diesel" campaign was criticized for being a blatant marketing gimmick that misled consumers about the environmental impact of its cars, ultimately resulting in significant reputational damage and legal repercussions Another example is BP, which spent over $200 million on its "Beyond Petroleum" campaign to position itself as an environmentally responsible company. However, this initiative was overshadowed by the catastrophic Deepwater Horizon oil spill in 2010, which highlighted the disconnect between BP's marketing claims and its operational practices. Similarly, Nestlé has been accused of greenwashing, particularly regarding its plastic packaging initiatives. Environmental groups criticized the company for lacking clear targets and timelines to address plastic pollution, leading to accusations that its efforts were merely superficial attempts to improve public perception without substantive action .H&M has also faced scrutiny for its "Conscious Collection," which was criticized by the Norwegian Consumer Authority for misleading marketing regarding sustainability. The authority found that the collection's environmental benefits were overstated, raising concerns about the authenticity of H&M's commitment to sustainable fashion .These examples illustrate how companies can leverage CSR initiatives as marketing tools while failing to deliver on genuine social responsibility commitments. Such performative CSR can lead to consumer skepticism and backlash when discrepancies between a company's claims and actual practices are exposed.

B. Consumer Awareness

Increasing consumer awareness has significantly influenced public perception of brands' CSR activities, leading to backlash against those perceived as engaging in misleading practices. As consumers become more informed about corporate actions and motivations, they are less likely to accept superficial CSR initiatives that lack authenticity. A study by Cone Communications found that 87% of consumers would switch to a brand that supports a good cause if given the opportunity, highlighting the importance of genuine CSR efforts in building consumer trust. Conversely, when brands are perceived as engaging in performative CSR, they risk alienating their customer base. For instance, Gillette's "The Best Men Can Be" campaign faced significant backlash for its portrayal of masculinity, with many consumers accusing the brand of hypocrisy and opportunism rather than genuine concern for social issues. The campaign received millions of views but also garnered substantial negative feedback, demonstrating how performative CSR can backfire. Social media has amplified this trend, enabling consumers to voice their opinions and share experiences related to corporate practices. Brands like Airbnb, which launched the #WeAccept campaign to support refugees, initially received praise but also faced criticism regarding their sincerity and effectiveness in addressing complex social issues. This duality illustrates how social media can serve both as a platform for positive engagement and a tool for exposing performative practices.

VII. LIMITATIONS OF CSR

A. Manipulation for Tax Benefits

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives can sometimes be exploited by companies for financial advantages, particularly in the form of tax deductions. In India, while the Companies Act, 2013 mandates certain companies to spend a minimum of 2% of their average net profits on CSR activities, the lack of uniform taxation policies allows for potential manipulation. Companies can claim tax deductions under Section 80G of the Income Tax Act, which permits deductions for charitable contributions made to eligible non-governmental organizations (NGOs). This creates an incentive for corporations to engage in CSR activities primarily to reduce their tax liabilities rather than out of a genuine commitment to social responsibility. For instance, recent rulings by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal have upheld the eligibility of CSR expenses for tax deductions under Section 80G, reinforcing the notion that companies can benefit financially from their CSR contributions while fulfilling legal obligations .Additionally, while CSR expenditures are generally not considered deductible as business expenses under Section 37(1) of the

Income Tax Act, companies may find ways to categorize certain CSR-related costs as operational expenses or capitalize on depreciation deductions for assets created through CSR initiatives . This manipulation can lead to a situation where companies prioritize tax benefits over meaningful engagement with social issues.

B. Implementation Gaps

Despite the legislative framework supporting CSR, many companies face challenges in translating CSR policies into effective practices. One significant gap is the difference between policy formulation and actual implementation. Many organizations may allocate funds towards CSR but struggle with executing impactful projects or measuring their outcomes effectively. A lack of clarity regarding what constitutes acceptable CSR activities can lead to inconsistencies in implementation. Companies may engage in superficial initiatives that do not address the root causes of social issues. For example, some firms may focus on one-time donations or sponsorships rather than developing long-term strategies that foster sustainable community development. Moreover, limited resources and expertise in managing CSR projects can hinder effective implementation. Smaller companies may find it challenging to allocate sufficient personnel and funds towards meaningful CSR initiatives, resulting in a reliance on external agencies that may not align with their core values or objectives. This disconnect between intent and execution can undermine the potential impact of CSR efforts and lead to skepticism among consumers regarding the authenticity of corporate commitments.

VIII. FUTURE TRENDS IN CSR

A. Technological Innovations

Technological advancements are poised to play a crucial role in enhancing transparency and accountability in CSR reporting. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology can facilitate better tracking and reporting of CSR activities. AI can analyze vast amounts of data related to social impact initiatives, helping companies identify trends and measure outcomes more effectively. Blockchain technology offers a decentralized ledger system that ensures transparency in funding allocation and project execution. By leveraging blockchain, companies can provide verifiable records of their CSR expenditures and project impacts, thereby building trust with stakeholders . This technological shift towards greater transparency is essential as consumers increasingly demand accountability from brands regarding their social responsibility efforts.

B. Rise of Consumer Activism

Growing consumer activism is pushing brands towards more accountable practices regarding their CSR initiatives. Consumers are becoming more informed and vocal about corporate practices, demanding transparency and authenticity in how companies engage with social issues. Social media platforms have amplified this trend by providing consumers with a voice to express their opinions and hold brands accountable for misleading claims about their CSR efforts. For example, movements like #BoycottNestle have emerged as consumers react against perceived performative CSR practices, leading brands to rethink their strategies and prioritize genuine engagement with social issues. As consumer expectations evolve, companies will need to adapt by aligning their business practices with societal values to maintain trust and loyalty.

C. Shift Towards Impact-driven CSR

There is a noticeable trend towards impact-driven CSR initiatives rather than merely compliance-driven efforts. Companies are increasingly recognizing that authentic engagement with social issues leads to better outcomes for both society and business performance. This shift is characterized by a focus on measurable impacts rather than just fulfilling legal obligations. Organizations are now prioritizing long-term sustainability goals that align with global frameworks such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). By integrating these goals into their business strategies, companies can create shared value that benefits both society and their bottom line. This trend reflects a growing understanding that genuine commitment to social responsibility can enhance brand reputation and drive consumer loyalty.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper has explored the multifaceted nature of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), highlighting its potential to build consumer trust and brand loyalty when executed authentically. However, it also acknowledges the challenges posed by performative practices that undermine genuine engagement with social issues. The examination of limitations such as manipulation for tax benefits and implementation gaps reveals critical areas for improvement within corporate strategies. As we look towards the future, trends such as technological innovations, rising consumer activism, and a shift towards impact-driven CSR indicate a promising direction for corporate engagement with societal challenges. Companies must prioritize authenticity in their CSR initiatives to foster lasting relationships with consumers while contributing meaningfully to societal well-being.

VIII. REFERENCES.

1. A. Adhikari and S. Sapkota, "The Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility on Brand Loyalty among FMCG Consumers," *Journal of Business and Social Sciences Research*, vol. IX, no. 2, pp. 28-45, Dec. 2024.
2. O'Brien, S., P. M. Dacin, and S. Bhattacharya, "Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility on Consumer Brand Loyalty," *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 45-59, May 2020.
3. D. Jerab, "Exploring the influence of CSR activities on consumer trust, brand loyalty, and overall brand equity," *SSRN*, Jan. 2025.

4. R. Gupta and S. Kumar, "The Impact of Perceived CSR Initiatives on Consumer's Buying Behaviour: An Empirical Study," *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 33-47, Feb. 2012.
5. A. Pivato and A. R. Gallo, "The Effect of CSR On Brand Loyalty: The Moderating Role of Corporate Reputation," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 162, no. 4, pp. 735-748, Apr. 2020.
6. M. Awan and M. Sadiq, "Corporate Social Responsibility's Effect on Customer Behavior and Brand Equity," *Journal of International Education Research*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 101-115, Mar./Apr. 2020.
7. T.-W. Lee et al., "Measuring the impact of CSR initiatives on brand reputation and consumer behavior," *International Journal of Research in Human Resource Management*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 121-140, Jan./Mar. 2021.
8. K.-H. Kim et al., "Exploring the relationship between CSR activities and consumer loyalty in the retail sector," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 58, pp. 102-110, Sept. 2020.
9. D.-H. Park et al., "The role of CSR in enhancing brand loyalty: Evidence from the service industry," *Service Business*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 345-367, Aug. 2020.
10. J.-Y. Sen and C.Bhattacharya, "Does Doing Good Always Lead to Doing Better? Consumer Reactions to Corporate Social Responsibility," *Journal of Marketing Research*, vol. XXXVIII, no. 2, pp. 225-243, May 2001.
11. M.-C.Huang et al., "The impact of corporate social responsibility on customer satisfaction: A case study from the banking sector," *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1123-1139, Oct./Nov.,2020.
12. B.-S.Lee et al., "Corporate social responsibility as a competitive advantage: Evidence from the hospitality industry," *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, vol .83 ,pp .139-147 ,Aug .2019.
.