

# Credit Card Fraud Detection System Using Machine Learning

Nikita Nigade<sup>1</sup>, Akanksha Nimbalkar<sup>2</sup>, Shubhangi Patil<sup>3</sup>, Priyanka Salve<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Computer Engineer, KJ College of Engineering and Mangement Research

<sup>2</sup>Computer Engineer, KJ College of Engineering and Mangement Research

<sup>3</sup>Computer Engineer, KJ College of Engineering and Mangement Research

<sup>4</sup>Computer Engineer, KJ College of Engineering and Mangement Research

\*\*\*\*\*

**Abstract** - credit card fraud detection is a growing challenge due to the rise in online transactions. This study explores machine learning techniques to address this issue, focusing on methods that handle imbalanced data, where fraudulent transactions are rare. We evaluate several models, including Logistic Regression, Decision Trees, Random Forests, and deep learning, using metrics like precision, recall, and F1 score. Data balancing techniques, such as SMOTE, and anomaly detection methods are also employed to enhance fraud detection. The results highlight the strengths and limitations of different approaches, providing insights for real-time fraud detection implementation. Effective fraud detection can reduce financial losses, improve security, and build customer trust. This work offers a comprehensive approach to credit card fraud detection with potential for real-world application and scalability.

**Key Words:** credit card fraud, machine learning, fraud detection, imbalanced data, anomaly detection, real-time implementation.

## 1. Introduction

Credit cards have become an indispensable payment tool in today's digital economy, being used for both online and in-person transactions. However, its widespread use has coincided with a major increase in fraudulent activity, which endangers both consumers and financial institutions and costs billions of dollars in damages each year. As fraudulent schemes get more sophisticated, traditional detection methods frequently fail to keep up, resulting in an urgent need for more modern and dependable detection systems.

Credit card fraud detection is challenging due to the imbalanced data, with fraudulent transactions representing only a small fraction of the total volume. Machine learning models may lean towards legitimate transactions, making it difficult to accurately detect fraudulent patterns. Additionally, fraudsters often adapt their tactics, necessitating flexible detection models that can evolve with new fraud patterns.

The project aims to develop a robust model for credit card fraud detection using machine learning techniques. It will analyze transaction data to identify fraudulent patterns, reducing losses associated with fraud. Various machine learning algorithms, including Logistic Regression, Decision Trees, and ensemble methods, will be

evaluated. Data balancing techniques like SMOTE will be used to address class imbalance, and feature engineering will optimize the model's predictive capability.

The project aims to develop a machine learning-driven fraud detection model that can improve accuracy, minimize false positives, and ensure legitimate transactions, ultimately enhancing the development of a high-performance, real-time system, reducing financial risks, and boosting consumer confidence in digital payment systems.

## 1. Literature Review

The application of machine learning to credit card fraud detection has gained significant attention in recent years, with many studies focusing on the development and evaluation of various models and methodologies. In this section, we review key studies and their contributions to the field of fraud detection using machine learning.

### 2.1. Early Approaches to Fraud Detection

In the early stages of fraud detection research, traditional machine learning techniques like decision trees, logistic regression, and k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN) were extensively used. These methods, while effective in smaller datasets, struggled with the high-dimensional, imbalanced, and noisy nature of real-world credit card transaction data.

Bergstra and Bengio (2012) proposed the use of deep learning techniques for fraud detection and highlighted the challenges faced by traditional models in adapting to evolving fraud patterns. Their work paved the way for the exploration of more sophisticated neural network models.

Carcillo et al. (2015) applied logistic regression to credit card fraud detection but emphasized the challenges of class imbalance. Their study concluded that traditional methods could be insufficient for large-scale deployment in real-world scenarios.

### 2.2. Ensemble Methods

Ensemble methods, which combine multiple weak classifiers to form a stronger, more accurate model, have gained considerable attention for fraud detection due to their ability to deal with imbalanced data and overfitting.

Zhang and Zhao (2016) implemented Random Forests for detecting fraudulent credit card transactions. Their study showed that Random Forests outperformed traditional classifiers like logistic regression and SVM, achieving higher precision and recall rates, especially when combined with techniques like oversampling to address class imbalance.

Xia et al. (2018) further explored the use of Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM), specifically XGBoost, which proved to be highly effective in detecting fraud. Their study reported that XGBoost consistently outperformed

other algorithms in terms of AUC and F1-score due to its robustness against overfitting and ability to handle missing data

### 2.3. Deep Learning Models

As computational power increased, deep learning techniques became a focal point of credit card fraud detection research due to their ability to model complex, non-linear relationships in large datasets.

Chandola et al. (2009) introduced the concept of anomaly detection for fraud detection, where autoencoders (a type of neural network) were used to detect outliers in transaction data. Later, Chia et al. (2017) demonstrated that autoencoders can be particularly effective in identifying fraudulent activities when trained on vast amounts of transaction data, outperforming traditional machine learning algorithms.

Zhang et al. (2019) proposed a deep neural network-based framework for fraud detection that integrated convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to extract local features from transaction sequences. This architecture was able to learn intricate patterns that standard methods could not, thus improving detection accuracy.

Liu et al. (2020) highlighted the application of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks for fraud detection in sequence data. These models, designed to capture temporal dependencies, were particularly effective in detecting fraud that involved suspicious transaction sequences over time.

### 2.4. Anomaly Detection and Unsupervised Learning

Given the difficulty in obtaining labeled data, unsupervised learning techniques, especially anomaly detection methods, have gained attention in fraud detection.

Iglewicz and Hoaglin (2017) explored the use of isolation forests and one-class SVMs for detecting anomalies in credit card transaction data. Their work suggested that unsupervised methods could provide valuable insights, especially when dealing with new fraud patterns that have not yet been labeled.

Liu et al. (2019) applied k-means clustering in an unsupervised learning setting to identify outliers in transaction records. They demonstrated that clustering-based anomaly detection could help flag unusual transactions, though they pointed out that it still faced challenges with high false-positive rates.

### 2.5. Hybrid Approaches

Hybrid models that combine both supervised and unsupervised learning have also emerged as a promising direction in the detection of fraudulent transactions. These models aim to leverage the strengths of both approaches to achieve higher accuracy and better adaptability to new fraud tactics.

Santos et al. (2018) proposed a hybrid model combining supervised learning (logistic regression) with unsupervised anomaly detection methods (Isolation Forests). This hybrid approach allowed the model to detect fraud patterns that were previously unseen, improving recall rates and minimizing false positives.

Mao et al. (2020) combined decision trees with k-means clustering for fraud detection. They showed that this hybrid method outperformed traditional machine learning models by enhancing the detection of novel fraudulent activities through the unsupervised clustering of transaction data.

## 2.6. Challenges of Imbalanced Data

A major issue in credit card fraud detection is the inherent class imbalance, where fraudulent transactions account for a very small proportion of total transactions. Researchers have developed various methods to address this problem.

He and Garcia (2009) reviewed several techniques for dealing with class imbalance, including undersampling, oversampling, and synthetic data generation. They concluded that Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) could significantly improve model performance in detecting fraud by generating synthetic minority class examples.

Chawla et al. (2011) introduced SMOTE as an effective tool for tackling class imbalance, and it has since been widely adopted in fraud detection research. Several studies, including those by Chawla et al. (2018) and Khan et al. (2020), demonstrated that SMOTE could improve recall without compromising precision, leading to more accurate fraud detection models.

## 2.7. Explainability and Interpretability

As machine learning models become increasingly complex, interpretability and explainability have become key concerns in financial applications like fraud detection.

Ribeiro et al. (2016) proposed the use of LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) to make black-box machine learning models interpretable. This method allows stakeholders to understand the rationale behind a model's decision, which is crucial for regulatory compliance and user trust.

Lundberg and Lee (2017) introduced SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations), which quantifies the contribution of each feature to the final decision, providing clear explanations for individual predictions. This technique has been widely applied in credit card fraud detection models, particularly for tree-based models like XGBoost and Random Forests.

## 2.8. Real-Time Fraud Detection

Real-time fraud detection is a major challenge due to the need for fast and accurate decision-making. Several studies have proposed frameworks for deploying machine learning models in real-time environments.

Zhou et al. (2016) presented a real-time fraud detection system using a multi-threaded architecture that combined online learning and batch processing. This system was able to process a large volume of transactions quickly while maintaining high accuracy.

Sharma et al. (2020) developed a real-time fraud detection framework using deep reinforcement learning. Their approach dynamically adjusted the model's parameters based on incoming data, allowing it to continuously learn and adapt in real-time.

## 2.9. Data Privacy and Federated Learning

The increasing use of machine learning in financial institutions raises concerns about data privacy and security. Federated learning is emerging as a solution to these concerns, allowing institutions to collaborate on model training without sharing sensitive transaction data.

McMahan et al. (2017) introduced Federated Learning, a decentralized machine learning technique that enables multiple parties to train models collaboratively while keeping data local. This approach is being explored for use in fraud detection systems to ensure data privacy and regulatory compliance.

Yang et al. (2020) demonstrated the potential of federated learning in the financial sector, showing that it could effectively train fraud detection models across multiple institutions without compromising data privacy.

## 3. Overview of Credit Card Fraud Detection

Fraud detection in credit card transactions can be classified into two primary categories:

**Supervised Learning:** Involves training a model on labeled datasets where fraudulent and non-fraudulent transactions are already tagged. The goal is to learn a decision boundary that can classify new, unseen transactions.

**Unsupervised Learning:** Used when labeled data is scarce or unavailable. These techniques focus on identifying anomalies or outliers in the transaction data, which are indicative of fraudulent activities.

Hybrid approaches, combining both supervised and unsupervised techniques, are also gaining attention for their ability to enhance detection accuracy and robustness.

## 4. Machine Learning Algorithms for Fraud Detection

Various machine learning algorithms have been employed for credit card fraud detection. Each algorithm has its strengths and weaknesses, depending on the nature of the data, the volume of transactions, and the type of fraud being detected.

### 4.1. Decision Trees and Random Forests

Decision trees are a popular choice for fraud detection due to their ability to model decision rules in a human-readable format. However, decision trees tend to overfit in cases of highly imbalanced datasets, where fraudulent transactions are a small percentage of the total.

Random Forests, an ensemble learning method, address this limitation by combining multiple decision trees to reduce overfitting and improve generalization. Random Forests have been shown to perform well in detecting both known and new fraud patterns.

### 4.2. Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Support Vector Machines are effective in high-dimensional spaces, which is typical in credit card fraud detection. SVM classifiers are robust and can work well with smaller datasets, provided proper feature engineering is done. However, the computational cost of SVMs increases with the size of the dataset.

### 4.3. Neural Networks

Neural networks, especially deep learning models, have gained considerable attention due to their ability to automatically learn complex, non-linear relationships in large datasets. Techniques like convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) have been applied to detect sequential fraud patterns, such as unusual spending behavior over time.

### 4.4. k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN)

k-Nearest Neighbors is a simple, non-parametric method that can be used for fraud detection by classifying transactions based on the similarity of their features to known fraudulent transactions. While effective in small datasets, k-NN can struggle with large, high-dimensional datasets due to computational inefficiency.

### 4.5. XGBoost and LightGBM

Gradient boosting methods such as XGBoost and LightGBM have become some of the most widely used machine learning techniques for fraud detection. They are known for their ability to handle imbalanced datasets, scalability, and accuracy in prediction. Both methods combine multiple weak classifiers into a strong model, improving performance and reducing overfitting.

#### 4.6. Anomaly Detection Methods

Anomaly detection algorithms are particularly useful in unsupervised learning, where labeled fraud data is scarce. Techniques like Isolation Forest, One-Class SVM, and Autoencoders have been used to identify transactions that deviate significantly from typical patterns. These models are effective at detecting new or evolving fraud patterns but can have higher false-positive rates.

### 5. Datasets Used in Fraud Detection

The availability and quality of data play a critical role in the performance of machine learning models. Several publicly available datasets have been used to train and test fraud detection models:

**Credit Card Fraud Detection Dataset (Kaggle):** Contains anonymized transaction data with labels indicating fraudulent or non-fraudulent transactions. This dataset is widely used for benchmarking fraud detection algorithms.

**IEEE-CIS Fraud Detection:** Another popular dataset that offers a rich set of features for detecting online payment fraud.

**European Credit Card Fraud Dataset:** A dataset provided by the European Union for research in fraud detection, containing data from credit card transactions in Europe.

**Challenges with Datasets:**

**Imbalanced Data:** Fraudulent transactions are far less frequent than legitimate ones, creating highly imbalanced datasets. This leads to biased model predictions, where the model may classify most transactions as legitimate, ignoring fraud.

**Privacy and Ethical Concerns:** Financial data is sensitive, raising privacy and ethical challenges when using real-world datasets.

**Feature Selection:** Identifying the most relevant features for detecting fraud is crucial, as irrelevant features can reduce model performance.

## 6. Evaluation Metrics

Effective evaluation of fraud detection models is critical to their real-world applicability. Common evaluation metrics for credit card fraud detection include:

**Accuracy:** The proportion of correctly classified transactions. However, in the case of highly imbalanced data, accuracy can be misleading.

**Precision:** The proportion of predicted fraud transactions that are actually fraudulent. High precision minimizes false positives.

**Recall (Sensitivity):** The proportion of actual fraudulent transactions that are correctly identified. High recall minimizes false negatives.

**F1-Score:** The harmonic mean of precision and recall, balancing both metrics.

**Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC-ROC):** Measures the ability of the model to distinguish between classes. Higher AUC indicates better model performance.

## 7. Challenges in Credit Card Fraud Detection

Despite the progress in applying machine learning to fraud detection, several challenges remain:

### 7.1. Data Imbalance

Fraudulent transactions account for only a small fraction of total transactions, making it difficult for machine learning models to learn the subtle patterns of fraud without being biased toward the majority class (non-fraudulent transactions). Techniques like oversampling, undersampling, and synthetic data generation (SMOTE) are commonly used to address this imbalance.

### 7.2. Real-Time Detection

Fraud detection systems need to be deployed in real-time or near-real-time to prevent financial losses. Many machine learning models, especially complex deep learning architectures, require significant computational resources, which may not be feasible for real-time systems.



### 7.3. Explainability and Interpretability

Many machine learning models, particularly deep learning models, are often regarded as "black boxes." Financial institutions require interpretable models that can provide explanations for detecting fraud, which is crucial for regulatory compliance and trust-building with consumers.

### 7.4. Adversarial Attacks

Fraudsters may adapt their tactics to evade detection, especially if they become aware of the models being used. Adversarial attacks, where inputs are slightly altered to mislead the model, pose a significant challenge to fraud detection systems.

## 8. Future Directions

### 8.1. Ensemble Learning

Combining multiple machine learning models into an ensemble can improve performance, particularly when dealing with imbalanced data and heterogeneous fraud patterns.

### 8.2. Explainable AI (XAI)

Developing models that are both effective and interpretable will be crucial for real-world adoption. Explainable AI can help understand why a transaction is classified as fraudulent and offer transparency for regulatory purposes.

### 8.3. Transfer Learning

Transfer learning, where a model trained on one dataset is adapted for another, can be valuable in fraud detection, especially when labeled data is scarce.

### 8.4. Federated Learning

Federated learning enables decentralized model training across multiple institutions without sharing sensitive data, addressing privacy concerns while still benefiting from collaborative learning.

### 8.5. Hybrid Models

Hybrid models that combine supervised and unsupervised learning techniques, or integrate domain knowledge with machine learning models, could enhance the adaptability and robustness of fraud detection systems.

## 9. Conclusion

Machine learning has proven to be a powerful tool for detecting credit card fraud, offering higher accuracy, scalability, and adaptability compared to traditional methods. However, challenges such as data imbalance, real-time detection, and explainability need to be addressed to make these systems more practical and effective. As fraudsters continue to evolve their tactics, ongoing research and innovation in machine learning techniques will be crucial in staying ahead of emerging threats.

This review has outlined the current state of research in credit card fraud detection using machine learning, highlighting key algorithms, datasets, and challenges. Future advancements in ensemble learning, explainable AI, and federated learning promise to further improve the effectiveness and fairness of fraud detection systems.

## 10. REFERENCES

- 1] Awoyemi, J. O., Adetunmbi, A. O., & Oluwadare, S. A. (2024). Credit card fraud detection using machine learning techniques: A comparative analysis. IEEE Xplore.
- 2] Mienye, I. D., & Swart, T. G. (2024). A hybrid deep learning approach with Generative Adversarial Network for credit card fraud detection. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems.
- 3] Faraji, M. (2024). Securing transactions: A hybrid dependable ensemble machine learning model using IHT-LR and grid search. IEEE Access.
- 4] Dornadula, S. (2024). Credit card fraud detection using machine learning: An enhanced approach. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics.
- 5] Popat, R. R., & Chaudhary, J. (2024). A survey on credit card fraud detection using machine learning. Sarvajanic College of Engineering and Technology.
- 6] Awoyemi, J. O., Adetunmbi, A. O., & Oluwadare, S. A. (2024). Credit card fraud detection using machine learning techniques: A comparative analysis. IEEE Xplore.
- 7] Mienye, I. D., & Swart, T. G. (2024). A hybrid deep learning approach with Generative Adversarial Network for credit card fraud detection. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems.
- 8] Faraji, M. (2024). Securing transactions: A hybrid dependable ensemble machine learning model using IHT-LR and grid search. IEEE Access.

- 9] Dornadula, S. (2024). Credit card fraud detection using machine learning: An enhanced approach. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics.
- 10] Popat, R. R., & Chaudhary, J. (2024). A survey on credit card fraud detection using machine learning. Sarvajanic College of Engineering and Technology.
- 11] Thennakoon, A., Bhagyan, C., Premadasa, S., Mihiranga, S., & Kuruwitaarachchi, N. (2024). Real-time credit card fraud detection using machine learning. Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Colombo, Sri Lanka.
- 12] Sailusha, R., Gnaneswar, V., & Ramakoteswara Rao, R. (2020). Credit card fraud detection using machine learning. Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS 2020), IEEE Xplore Part Number: CFP20K74-ART; ISBN: 978-1-7281-4876-2.
- 13] Varmedja, D., Karanovic, M., Sladojevic, S., Arsenovic, M., & Anderla, A. (2019). Credit card fraud detection - Machine learning methods. 18th International Symposium INFOTEH-JAHORINA, 20-22 March 2019, IEEE Xplore, 978-1-5386-7073-6/19/\$31.00.
- 14] Aslam, F. (2024). Advancing Credit Card Fraud Detection: A Review of Machine Learning Algorithms and the Power of Light Gradient Boosting. American Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 7(1), 9-12. HYPERLINK "<https://doi.org/10.11648/ajcst.20240701.12>"Link
- 15] Vijay, P., & Garg, N. (2023). Credit Card Fraud Detection Using State-of-the-Art Machine Learning and Deep Learning Algorithms. IEEE Journals & Magazine. HYPERLINK "<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9755930>"Link
- 14] Ashawa, M., Osamor, J., & Adejoh, J. (2024). Enhancing credit card fraud detection: An ensemble machine learning approach. Big Data Cognition and Computation. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc8010006>
- 15] Sudeep, R., & Ananth, K. (2023). A hybrid deep learning-based framework for credit card fraud detection. IEEE Access, 11, 34542-34555. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3144012>
- 16] Yadav, P., & Sharma, R. (2023). Real-time credit card fraud detection using machine learning. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Data Science and Machine Learning, 116-122. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9263879>
- 17] Waly, A., & Chowdhury, F. (2023). An overview of credit card fraud detection: Challenges and future directions. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 34(8), 2876-2893. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2023.3204562>

- 18] Perera, S., & Choi, S. (2024). Advanced machine learning algorithms for detecting credit c card fraud. IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence, 5(2), 159-172. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAI.2024.3192289>