

Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Machine Learning

Dr.S.Gnanapriya, R.Arun Kumar

Assistant professor, Department of Computer Applications, Nehru College of management, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India <u>ncmdrsgnanapriya@nehrucolleges.com</u>

²Student of II MCA, Department of Computer Applications Nehru College of management, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India arunkumarr0678@gmail.com

Abstract : Credit card fraud detection using machine learning has become an essential tool in combating the increasing threat of fraudulent transactions in today's digital economy. Traditional rule-based systems often fall short in identifying sophisticated fraud patterns, leading to either missed fraudulent cases or false positives. Machine learning (ML) offers a more dynamic and scalable solution by analyzing large volumes of transaction data to detect anomalous patterns and classify them as legitimate or fraudulent. This approach leverages various algorithms such as decision trees, logistic regression, random forests, support vector machines, and deep learning models to accurately predict and identify fraudulent behavior. Additionally, advanced techniques such as anomaly detection and ensemble learning can further enhance detection performance. By continuously learning from new data, ML models can adapt to evolving fraud patterns, improving the accuracy and reducing the rate of false positives. This research highlights the role of machine learning in enhancing fraud detection systems, offering a more robust and real-time solution to safeguard financial transactions while minimizing customer inconvenience

Keywords : *credit card, criminal transactions, and random forest algorithm*

Introduction : Credit card fraud detection has become a critical area of focus in the financial sector due to the rising prevalence of fraudulent activities in online transactions. With the global surge in digital payments fraudsters and e-commerce, have developed increasingly sophisticated techniques to exploit vulnerabilities in payment systems. Traditional rulebased fraud detection systems, while effective in earlier stages, struggle to keep up with the complexity and volume of modern-day transactions. These systems are often static and rely on predefined rules, which may lead to high rates of false positives and negatives, resulting in either undetected fraud or unnecessary inconvenience for legitimate customers.

To address these challenges, machine learning (ML) has emerged as a powerful solution for credit card fraud detection. ML techniques offer a dynamic approach by learning patterns and identifying anomalies from vast amounts of transactional data. Unlike traditional systems, machine learning models can continuously adapt to evolving fraud strategies, making them more effective in detecting both known and emerging fraud types. By leveraging a variety of algorithms such as decision trees, neural networks, and anomaly detection techniques, ML-based systems can predict fraudulent behavior in real time, enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of fraud detection while minimizing

T

disruption to legitimate users. This advancement in fraud detection technology holds immense potential in protecting consumers and financial institutions from the growing threat of credit card fraud

Works : Research in credit card fraud detection has evolved significantly over the years, with numerous studies investigating the effectiveness of various machine learning techniques in identifying fraudulent transactions. Early work in this field primarily relied on rule-based systems, where predefined thresholds and heuristics were used to flag suspicious transactions. However, these systems were limited in their adaptability and prone to high false positive rates. As data-driven approaches gained traction, researchers began exploring the potential of machine learning algorithms, which can learn from historical data and detect complex patterns that may indicate fraud.

One widely cited approach in the literature is the use of **supervised learning algorithms** such as logistic regression, decision trees, and random forests. These methods have been extensively studied for fraud detection due to their ability to classify transactions as either fraudulent or legitimate based on labeled training data. Random forests, in particular, have shown high accuracy and robustness in handling imbalanced datasets, which is a common challenge in fraud detection due to the rare occurrence of fraud compared to legitimate transactions. Studies have also explored ensemble techniques, combining multiple models to improve prediction performance.

In addition to traditional machine learning approaches, recent research has focused on more advanced techniques. For instance, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) have been applied to detect fraudulent patterns within sequential transaction data. These models are capable of capturing temporal relationships in data, making them suitable for real-time fraud detection. Anomaly detection methods, such as autoencoders and one-class SVMs, are also gaining attention as they can identify deviations from normal transaction behavior without relying on labeled fraud data. Moreover, researchers are increasingly focusing on the interpretability and fairness of fraud detection models, ensuring that machine learning systems do not unintentionally introduce bias or discrimination against certain demographic groups.

Overall, the landscape of credit card fraud detection research is continuously evolving, with advancements in algorithms and data processing techniques providing more effective solutions for combating financial fraud. However, challenges such as data privacy, real-time detection, and handling adversarial attacks remain key areas of ongoing investigation.

Machine Learning Approchs : Several machine learning approaches have been applied to credit card fraud detection, each offering unique strengths for identifying fraudulent transactions amidst large volumes of data. The approaches generally fall into supervised, unsupervised, and hybrid categories, tailored to tackle challenges such as data imbalance, the evolving nature of fraud, and real-time detection needs. Below are some key machine learning techniques used in credit card fraud detection:

1. Supervised Learning Approaches Supervised learning models are trained on labeled datasets, where each transaction is marked as either legitimate or fraudulent. These models learn patterns from the training data and use these patterns to predict whether a new transaction is fraudulent.

Logistic Regression: One of the simplest yet effective techniques for binary classification, logistic regression is often used for fraud detection. It estimates the probability of fraud based on input features like transaction amount, merchant type, and customer behavior.

Decision Trees: These models split the data based on feature values and create a tree structure that predicts whether a transaction is fraudulent or legitimate. Decision trees are easy to interpret but can overfit to noisy data. Random Forests: An ensemble method that builds multiple decision trees and combines their predictions, random forests are highly effective in handling imbalanced datasets and capturing non-linear

the

Ι

in

relationships

data.



Volume: 08 Issue: 10 | Oct - 2024

SJIF Rating: 8.448

ISSN: 2582-3930

Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) and XGBoost:

These boosting algorithms incrementally improve their predictions by giving higher weight to misclassified transactions in each iteration. XGBoost is especially popular in credit card fraud detection for its high accuracy and scalability. Support Vector Machines (SVM): SVMs can classify transactions by finding a hyperplane that best separates fraudulent and legitimate classes. SVMs perform well with smaller datasets and can be enhanced with kernel functions to handle non-linearly separable data. 2. Unsupervised Learning **Approaches** In fraud detection, unsupervised learning models are used to detect anomalies or unusual patterns in the transaction data since fraudulent behavior often deviates from normal patterns. These models are particularly useful when labeled fraud data is scarce or unavailable.





Figure 1. Work flow in the Credit Card Fraud Detection

Random Forest Algorithm : The Random Forest algorithm is one of the most widely used machine learning techniques for credit card fraud detection due to its robustness, high accuracy, and ability to handle complex datasets. It is particularly effective in scenarios where data is highly imbalanced, as is typical with fraud detection, where fraudulent transactions represent a small fraction of the total.

How Random Forest Works in Credit Card Fraud Detection:

1.Ensemble of Decision Trees Random Forest is an ensemble learning method that creates a "forest" of decision trees, typically trained using the bagging (bootstrap aggregation) technique. Each tree is constructed using a random subset of features and data points from the training data. By aggregating the predictions of multiple decision trees, Random Forest reduces the variance and improves the model's accuracy and generalizability.

In the context of credit card fraud detection, each decision tree may focus on different aspects of a transaction, such as the transaction amount, time of the transaction, merchant type, and the historical behavior of the cardholder. Each tree independently classifies whether a transaction is fraudulent or legitimate, and the final prediction is made by majority voting among all trees.

2.Handling Imbalanced Data In credit card fraud detection, the dataset is typically skewed, with very few fraudulent transactions compared to legitimate ones. Random Forest handles this imbalance effectively by using two main strategies:

Class Weighting: By assigning higher weights to the minority class (fraudulent transactions), Random Forest ensures that the model gives more importance to predicting correctly fraud cases. Sampling Techniques: Random Forest works well with techniques like undersampling (reducing the number of legitimate transactions) or oversampling (increasing the number of fraudulent transactions, such as with SMOTE) to balance the dataset. 3.Feature Importance : One of the advantages of Random Forest is its ability to compute feature importance. This helps in identifying which factors are the most influential in determining whether a transaction is fraudulent. For example, features like the frequency of transactions in a short time period, location changes, or unusually large transaction amounts might be highly important in detecting fraud.

Methodology : The methodology for credit card fraud detection involves several systematic steps to effectively identify and mitigate fraudulent transactions. Here's a comprehensive framework outlining the typical methodology used in developing a credit card fraud detection system:

I



Volume: 08 Issue: 10 | Oct - 2024

SJIF Rating: 8.448

ISSN: 2582-3930

1.Problem Definition : Clearly define the scope of the fraud detection problem. Identify the types of fraud to detect (e.g., identity theft, transaction fraud) and set specific objectives for the detection system (e.g., reducing false positives while increasing true positive rates).

2.Data Collection Source Data: Gather data from various sources such as transaction records, customer profiles, and historical fraud cases. Data may include features like transaction amount, time, location, merchant category, cardholder behavior, and device information.

пето	ry usage	: 67.4 1	WB	
dtyp	es: floa	t64(30)	, int64(1)	
30	Class	284807	non-null	int64
29	Amount	284807	non-null	float64
28	V28	284807	non-null	float64
27	V27	284807	non-null	float64
26	V26	284807	non-null	float64
25	V25	284807	non-null	float64
24	V24	284807	non-null	float64
23	V23	284807	non-null	float64
22	V22	284807	non-null	float64
21	V21	284807	non-null	float64
20	V20	284807	non-null	float64
19	61V	284807	non-null	float64
18	81V	284807	non-null	float64

Figure 2 Collection Data Data Privacy: Ensure compliance with data protection regulations (e.g., GDPR, PCI DSS) while collecting and handling sensitive information. 3.Data Preprocessing Data Cleaning: Handle missing values, remove duplicates, and correct inconsistencies in the data. Data Transformation: Normalize or standardize numerical features, encode categorical variables (e.g., using one-hot encoding), and create new features that may help in fraud detection (e.g., transaction frequency, average transaction value).

trim
<th

Figure 3 Data preprocessing

4.Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) Analyze the data to identify patterns, trends, and correlations among features. Use visualizations (e.g., histograms, box plots, heatmaps) to understand feature distributions and relationships. Examine the characteristics of fraudulent vs. legitimate transactions to gain insights into potential indicators of fraud.

EDI	1	lev In	eert Ce	I Kerne	i Widg	ets Hel	2								Not Trusted	I Py	thor
+ 10	C	6 1	₩ HF	lan 🔳 🤇	2 10 0	α.	•										
	0	ata ex	plorati	ion													
s [17]-	de	data.head()															
a feels																	
ut[17]:		Time	vi	V2	VS	V4	V5	V6	V7	V8	V9		V21	V22	V23	V24	
ut[17]:	0	Time 51435.0	VI 1.167480	V2 -4.352125	V3 -0.13004	V4 0.222130	V5 0.291128	V6 1.086617	V7 -0423363	V8 6.301464	V9 0.572400		V2H -0.537909	V22 -4.983921	V29 -0.121981	V24 -1.729430	0.
ut[17]:	0	Time 51436.0 75046.0	VI 1.167490 0.978547	V2 -4.352125 -4.259947	V3 -0.135604 1.465321	¥4 0.222130 1.30002	V5 0.251128 -1.362587	V6 1.08617 -0.47688	V7 -0-421593 -0-622572	V8 6.391464 6.354535	V9 0.572499 0.710743		V21 -0.537999 0.522829	V22 4.983921 0.730155	V23 -0.121991 -0.121364	V2N -1.729430 0.730481	0. 0.
ut[17]:	0 1 2	Time 51435.0 75046.0 157168.0	VI 1.167480 0.978547 -1.395302	V2 -4.352125 -4.259947 L475296	V3 -0.150004 1.465221 -0.584311	¥4 0.222130 1.30002 -1.201527	V5 0.291128 -1.392887 6.329544	V6 1.000617 -0.475586 -0.743618	¥7 -04(2393 -04(2572 0705504	V8 1.399464 1.154535 4.141367	V9 0.672499 0.710743 -2.118499		V24 -0.337909 0.323829 0.282803	¥22 4.983921 6.782955 6.593953	V23 -0.121991 -0.101364 -0.444654	V2N -1.729430 0.730481 0.666438	6. 6. 1.
ut[17]:	9 1 2 3	Time 51435.0 73045.0 157168.0 68257.0	VI 1.167480 0.678547 -1.365302 1.278044	V2 -4.352125 -4.299947 6.475296 -4.672705	V3 -0.155804 1.465221 -0.584911 -0.455494	V4 0.222130 1.30002 -1.201527 -0.777398	V5 0.291128 -1.392887 6.329544 -4.592208	V6 1.080617 -0.471688 -0.743618 -0.243618	V7 -0-420363 -0-652572 0-765534 -0-103535	V8 £.394464 £.354533 4.141367 4.200006	V9 0.672400 0.710743 -2.118499 -1.241963		V24 -0.337909 0.322929 0.282903 0.295903	V22 4.983921 0.780155 0.933183 1.408211	V23 -0.121991 -0.101364 -0.444654 -0.274816	V24 -1.729430 0.730481 0.666438 0.028707	6. 1. 6.

Figure 4 Data Exploration

6.Model Selection Choose appropriate machine learning models for fraud detection. Common algorithms include: Supervised Learning: Logistic Regression, Decision Trees, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting Machines (XGBoost), Support Vector Machines (SVM). Unsupervised Learning: Anomaly Detection (e.g., Isolation Forest, Local Outlier Factor), Autoencoders.

7.ModelTrainingandValidationSplit the dataset into training and testing sets (e.g., 70-30 split) to evaluate the model's performance on unseendata.

Train the selected models on the training set using appropriate algorithms. Use cross-validation techniques to optimize model parameters and avoid overfitting. Validate model performance using relevant metrics, such as: Accuracy: Overall correctness of the model. Precision: Correctly predicted fraudulent transactions of all predicted frauds. out Recall (Sensitivity): Correctly identified fraudulent transactions out of actual frauds. F1 Score: Harmonic mean of precision and recall, especially useful for imbalanced datasets. Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC-ROC): Measure of the model's ability to distinguish between classes. 8.Model Evaluation After training, evaluate the model on the testing dataset. Analyze the performance

I



metrics to understand the model's strengths and weaknesses. Use confusion matrices to visualize the true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives.

				-valuation	oreun oan	a riadu Detection	model		
Three onfusion	shold = (0.5				Threshold = 0.3		1	
		0 (Predicted)		1 (Predicted)			0 (Predicted)	1 (Predicted)	
0 (Actual) 1 (Actual)		85285 27		10 121	99.99% 81.76%	0 (Actual)	85270	25	
						1 (Actual)	22	126	
			99.97%	92.37%					
lass Stat	iatica								
Class	True Positiv	ves	False Positives	True Negatives	False Negatives				
0	85285		27	121	10				
1	121		10	85285	27				
werall Sta	atistics								
Overall	Accuracy	Coh	en's kappa (ĸ)						
99	9.96%		0.867						

Figure 5. Models

Result : The results of a credit card fraud detection model can be evaluated based on several performance metrics and analysis of the predictions made by the model. The results will vary depending on the dataset used, the algorithms implemented, and the overall effectiveness of the model in identifying fraudulent transactions. Below is a comprehensive overview of potential results that one might expect when assessing a credit card fraud detection system :

	Predicted Fraud (1)	Predicted Legitimate (0)		
Actual Fraud (1)	ТР	FN		
Actual Legitimate (0)	FP	TN		

Figure .6

	Predicted Fraud (1)	Predicted Legitimate (0)
Actual Fraud (1)	150	30
Actual Legitimate (0)	20	800

Figure.7 Result

Conclusion : In conclusion, credit card fraud detection is a critical component of the financial industry, requiring robust and effective methodologies to safeguard consumers and financial institutions from significant losses. The use of advanced machine learning techniques, such as Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and neural networks, has proven to be highly effective in identifying and mitigating fraudulent transactions. These models leverage vast datasets, extracting meaningful patterns and insights to discern legitimate transactions from fraudulent ones. The performance evaluation of credit card fraud detection systems highlights the importance of balancing accuracy, precision, recall, and overall effectiveness. Metrics such as the F1 score and AUC provide valuable insights into a model's ability to minimize false positives while maximizing the detection of actual fraudulent transactions. As fraud tactics continue to evolve, it is essential for institutions to implement continuous monitoring and periodic retraining of models to adapt to new patterns and emerging threats. Moreover, the integration of fraud detection systems into real-time payment processing workflows ensures prompt action against potential fraudulent activities, enhancing the overall security of financial transactions. By prioritizing data privacy and compliance with regulations, financial institutions can foster consumer trust while implementing effective fraud detection mechanisms. Ultimately, a proactive and adaptive approach to credit card fraud detection, combined with ongoing technological advancements, will significantly contribute to reducing fraud rates and enhancing the safety and integrity of the financial ecosystem. As machine learning and data analytics continue to evolve, the future of credit card fraud detection holds the promise of even greater accuracy and efficiency in safeguarding financial transactions against fraud.

REFERENCES :

[1]. The random forest algorithm D457d499ffcd is available at https://towardsdatascience.com/

[2]. The decision-trees machine learning algorithm can be found at https://www.xoriant.com/blog/productengineering.htm l.

[3] "A New Framework for Credit Card Transactions Involving Mutual Authentication between Cardholder and Merchant," Gupta, Shalini, and R. Johari. IEEE International Conference on Network Technologies and Communication Systems, 2021, 22–26.

[4] "Global online payment methods: the Full year 2020," by Y. Gmbh and K. G. Co. Rep. Tech., 3 2020.

Richard J. Bolton and J. H. David [5]. "Autonomous Profiling Techniques for Fraud Identification." Chapter VII: Credit Scoring and Control (2020): 5-7.

T



[6]. Drummond, C., and Holte, R. C. (2019). Class imbalance, cost sensitivity, and C4.5: the reasons undersampling is preferable than oversampling. Proc of the ICML Workshop on Learning from Imbalanced Datasets II, 1–8.

[7]. Sriganesh, M., and Quah, J. T. S. (2020). use computer intelligence to identify credit card theft in real time. Professional