

Crime and Safety Concerns to Safe and Vibrant Parks and Open Spaces

Ar. Praneetha Jagdale

Architecture, BMS School Of Architecture

Abstract - This paper delves into the crucial relationship between safety, public parks, and crime prevention, exploring the various factors that contribute to a safe and vibrant park environment. It examines the impact of urban and built environments, crime statistics, safety audits, design, conditions, and other elements on overall park safety, with a focus on Koramangala's social morphology. The paper emphasizes the significance of safety in public parks, highlights the purpose and importance of safety audits, discusses the intricate connection between crime and safety, and underscores the role of user perceptions in shaping park safety. Additionally, it explores how park redesign can enhance safety, the reliability of crime statistics, the value of user experiences, strategies for public education, and the importance of maintenance in ensuring the safety and vibrancy of public parks.

Key Words: crime, park, safety, green, public, socialize, neighbourhood

1. INTRODUCTION - Importance Of Public Parks

The Vital Role of Public Parks Public parks, ranging from expansive national parks to intimate neighborhood greenspaces, serve as essential assets within urban landscapes. These natural or semi-natural havens offer designated spaces for recreation, providing urban residents with opportunities to connect with nature, engage in physical activity, and foster a sense of community. Access to well-designed and well-maintained parks is not only enriching but also contributes to the mental and physical well-being of individuals. A safe and inviting park that caters to the diverse needs of its users is a hallmark of a thriving community asset. Public parks and open spaces serve as vital resources for enhancing overall public health, offering residents a chance to commune with the natural world, socialize, unwind, and improve their quality of life in an outdoor environment that promotes comfort and

security. However, when safety concerns arise, parks can become liabilities rather than assets, underscoring the need for comprehensive strategies involving community engagement, interactive programs, and education.

2. Study Area

Understanding the Context The study area encompasses a range of residences, spanning from middle-income to higher-income households, within a landscape characterized by open spaces housing a park, a playground, and a plaza. The central park in Block 18, referred to as the Money Gram Park, is currently operational during limited hours. Although the park boasts green spaces, play areas for children, and an open gym, its utilization remains low.

The decline in park usage can be traced back to the introduction of fences to demarcate BBMP land, prompted by a claim of private land use as a banana plantation. An analysis of the area's demographics revealed that most park-adjacent residents were retirees aged between 82 and 95, with their children settled abroad. The park attracted an undesirable crowd, including couples and disruptive gangs engaged in alcohol consumption and other illicit activities. Complaints to the Koramangala police station led to threats and violence against residents, further deterring park usage. Consequently, the park became increasingly deserted, with only a handful of visitors from surrounding blocks and a few local youths using it during daylight hours due to safety concerns. Limited visibility from outside and inadequate street lighting exacerbated the park's insecurity during the evening and nighttime hours.

Strategies for Enhancing Park Safety and Vibrancy Several strategies can be considered to make the park more interactive and safe, thereby attracting a more desirable crowd. The introduction of a semi-open library in part A of Koramangala, where none currently exists,

could help create a safe and inviting environment. Research suggests that libraries or reading spaces contribute to increased safety. Additionally, establishing a police surveillance center on the BBMP office campus could enhance security and immediate response in case of criminal activities.

Conducting a walking audit and improving walkability through enhanced footpaths could also promote safety and encourage greater park usage.

3. DEFINING SAFETY

Safety plays a pivotal role in shaping the health of park users, as a safe outdoor environment is more appealing and enjoyable to a broader spectrum of users engaged in various activities throughout the day. A safe park is one where design, maintenance, and policing combine to create an environment perceived as secure by the general public, encouraging regular visits and diverse, tolerated usage by different groups. Conversely, a risky park is characterized by normalized crime and disorder, leading users to view it as unsafe, restrict their presence, and limit their activities to essential tasks.

4. SAFETY AUDITS

A Key Data Collection Tool Safety audits represent a valuable method for collecting data that planners can utilize to assess the strengths and weaknesses of public parks. These audits aim to identify nonconforming elements in design, installation, and environmental conditions that could pose long-term hazards to park users. Conducted by community members, safety audits offer an effective means of gathering information aligned with residents' priorities, engaging them in their communities, and empowering them to advocate for positive changes. Safety audits are a participatory process that enables an evaluation of the physical environment concerning fear of crime and perceptions of safety. They bridge the gap between park users and designers, helping identify safety concerns related to layout, site features, maintenance, programming, and usage that the community perceives as problematic. In sum, safety audits provide a toolbox of design solutions to enhance park safety and vibrancy.

Urban parks present unique challenges for safety and crime prevention due to their expansive, open nature and diverse features. This paper explores the complexities of

monitoring and ensuring security in such spaces, highlighting the need for proactive measures. Additionally, it delves into the history of urban parks in the United States and their evolving roles over time. To address these safety concerns and contribute to a more secure park environment, urban planners and communities must collaborate effectively. This paper emphasizes the importance of incorporating community feedback and explores crime prevention strategies based on environmental design principles.

5. ENHANCING PARK SECURITY

Urban parks often pose challenges in terms of surveillance and security due to their size, varied boundaries, and natural elements. Unlike streets and buildings with defined boundaries, parks can be difficult to patrol and secure. Installing traditional alarm systems or surveillance cameras is often impractical. Vegetation, particularly trees and naturalistic settings, inhibits surveillance efforts, and closed-circuit television systems may not cover the entire park.

As a result, crimes and safety concerns within parks are typically addressed reactively, relying on reports from the public. Unfortunately, urban parks tend to be low priorities for law enforcement agencies. Research suggests that three key factors heighten the perception of risk and fear among park users: darkness, disorder, and encountering potentially threatening individuals. Public places lacking control and maintenance are perceived as more susceptible to criminal activity. Studies examining the relationship between vegetation and crime in built environments reveal that dense vegetation can create hiding places for criminals, increasing both the likelihood of crimes and the fear of them.

While some research on parks, crime, and safety produces inconclusive or conflicting findings, certain patterns consistently emerge across various studies. These patterns are evident in statistics related to minor crimes, aggression, violence, serious crimes, and community policing. Factors such as vegetation and social situations heavily influence these patterns.

Historical Significance of Urban Parks Urban parks have played a crucial role in shaping American urban life. The Boston Common, established in 1634, is often regarded

as the nation's first city park. Other parks created before 1800, such as the National Mall in Washington, D.C., reflect the early vision of providing natural spaces within urban environments.

Landscape architect Frederick Law Olmsted's designs, often referred to as "pleasure gardens," aimed to offer city residents a natural escape from urban hustle and bustle. In the late 1800s, Olmsted designed iconic parks like Central Park in New York City, Washington Park and Jackson Park in Chicago, and Prospect Park in Brooklyn. However, the concept of urban parks evolved over time. The Progressive movement in the early 1900s advocated for "reform parks," focusing on recreation opportunities and neighbourhood parks. After World War II, suburbanization diminished the relevance of urban parks.

It wasn't until the 1990s that city populations started growing again, leading to a resurgence in urban parks. Despite this revival, comprehensive national data on local park acreage, facilities, and characteristics are still lacking. The Trust for Public Land's Centre for City Park Excellence has been collecting information on parks in 75 cities, but a comprehensive dataset remains elusive.

6. RELEVANCE IN URBAN PLANNING

Urban planning encompasses various aspects, including environmental issues, public transportation, housing developments, and public design. Safety within the built environment, particularly in urban parks, is a critical concern for planners. Understanding how urban design and park layout impact access and public safety is essential.

Planners should incorporate valuable insights from fellow professionals and utilize tools like safety audits to proactively address crime prevention. Factors like urban design and park layout have significant implications for park accessibility and public safety.

Key Factors for Safe Parks The National Recreation and Park Association emphasizes the collective responsibility of designers, operators, recreation staff, and citizens in creating safe park environments. Several key factors should be considered in the planning and management of park safety:

Perceptions of Safety:

The perception of safety is as important as actual safety. Involving users in the design and redesign of park spaces can enhance feelings of security.

Clear Signage: Well-placed, understandable signage aids in orientation and enhances safety perceptions.

Surveillance: Design elements should eliminate physical barriers that obstruct sightlines, facilitating natural surveillance.

Lighting: Adequate lighting can enhance feelings of safety, although it may not necessarily reduce actual crime rates.

Territorial Reinforcement: Facilitating community ownership and care of park spaces can deter nuisance behaviors.

Activity Support: Providing space for various activities and community engagement fosters a sense of connection and investment in the park.

Maintenance and Management: Keeping parks clean and well-maintained is crucial for perceptions of safety and positive use.

Diversity: A range of activities and landscape elements can create a vibrant environment that attracts diverse park users.

Accessibility: Maximizing physical access through clear pathways is essential for user safety.

Community Involvement: Engaging the community in park design and maintenance builds a sense of ownership and pride, contributing to park safety.

Enforcement: Enforcing rules against inappropriate activities helps maintain positive park use.

7. PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

Design can play a significant role in reducing crime in parks. CPTED is a proactive strategy that focuses on environmental design principles to prevent specific crimes. It involves assessing the elements of a situation that contribute to crime and identifying opportunities for intervention.

The CPTED approach involves principles such as:

Access Control: Limiting access points and guiding users along specific routes.

Surveillance: Eliminating physical barriers that hinder visibility.

Territorial Reinforcement: Empowering legitimate users to take ownership of park spaces.

Activity Support: Encouraging a variety of positive activities in the park.

Maintenance and Management: Ensuring the park remains clean and well-kept.

CPTED is not a replacement for traditional policing strategies but can complement them to enhance overall safety.

8. THE IMPORTANCE OF CRIME PERCEPTIONS

The perceptions of safety within a park are often more influential than crime statistics. Residents and users frequently rely on these perceptions to evaluate a space's safety. Positive perceptions can lead to increased park use, while negative perceptions may deter potential visitors.

Creating positive safety perceptions involves considering factors like the park's original design, current use patterns, crime concerns, and the community's view of the park. Understanding what users look for when forming perceptions of safety is crucial.

User Research on Safety Before visiting a new area, people often seek information about crime statistics. However, these statistics may not always provide an accurate picture. Factors such as human error, location near police stations or schools, and unreported crimes can influence the accuracy of crime statistics.

To better understand park safety, it's essential to gather information directly from users through surveys, interviews, and focus groups. On-site surveys conducted shortly after park visits can capture fresh user experiences. Key survey considerations include brevity, demographic information, and questions related to safety, comfort, design, and improvements.

9. CONCLUSIONS

Maintenance and design are critical elements in creating safe and vibrant urban parks. Properly designed parks can reduce crime risks, while neglect can invite antisocial behavior. Key design features include clear sightlines, controlled access, and the placement of activities near park perimeters.

Effective maintenance includes regular cleaning, graffiti removal, and prompt repairs. Neglected parks tend to experience higher levels of crime and disorder,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude our Director, Prof. (Dr.) Shaila Bantanur for their invaluable guidance. I appreciate the support of colleagues and friends, and the understanding of my family, my husband Mr. Sukruth, my parents Mr. Prabhakar and Mrs. Rukmini and sister Ms. Yashitha. This paper is a culmination of collective efforts. Thank you.

REFERENCES

1. Atlas, R. (2008). 21st Century Security and CPTED: Designing for critical Infrastructure, protection and Crime Prevention. Florida: CRC Press.
2. Johansson, K. (2014). Crime prevention cooperation in Sweden: a regional case study. *Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime prevention*, 15(2), 143-158. doi:10.1080/14043858.2014.954830
3. McCormak, G., Rock, M., Toohey, A., & Hignell, D. (2010). Characteristics of urban parks associated with park use and physical activity: a review of qualitative research. *Health & place*, 16, 712-726. doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2010.03.003
4. Morgan, A., Boxall, H., Lindeman, K., & Anderson, J. (2012). Effective crime prevention interventions for implementation by local government. New South Wales: Australian Institute of Criminology.
5. Iqbal, A., & Ceccato, V. (2015 b). Is CPTED useful to guide the assessment of safety in parks? A study case in Stockholm, Sweden. Presented in the Stockholm Symposium of Criminology. Submitted to *International Criminal Justice Review* (June 2015), 1- 30.