

Deep Histopathology Classification with Global Context Attention and GAN-Augmented Data

Nimmagadda Chandra Sekhar¹, Vaddevolu Akshay Sai², Vallepu Venkata Sai Phanindra³, Tanikonda Venkata Narayana⁴, Ubbathoti Nagamalleswari⁵

¹Assistant Professor, Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, R.V.R. & J.C College of Engineering, Chowdavaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

^{2,3,4,5} Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R.V.R & J.C College of Engineering, Guntur, India

Abstract

Histopathological image analysis is vital for cancer diagnosis, but faces challenges from limited annotated data, high inter-class similarity, and the need for interpretability. We propose a novel convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture enhanced with a Global Context Attention Block (GCAB) and GAN-based data augmentation. Our approach achieves state-of-the-art accuracy on multiple public and curated datasets, demonstrates robust patient-level diagnostic consistency, and enables efficient deployment on edge devices. Extensive experiments and ablation studies validate the clinical relevance and efficiency of our method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Automated histopathology image classification has the potential to revolutionize cancer diagnostics by providing rapid, consistent, and scalable analyses. However, the field is hindered by the limited availability of annotated medical images, domain mismatch when using pre-trained models, and the need for explainable AI to gain clinical trust. In this work, we address these challenges by integrating a lightweight, attention-guided CNN architecture with GAN-based data augmentation and a robust patient-level voting mechanism.

Contributions:

A novel Global Context Attention Block (GCAB) that efficiently fuses global and local features, improving discrimination between histologically similar subtypes.

Introduction of a GAN-augmented dataset (GGLCI) with histopathologist-curated labels, mitigating data scarcity and class imbalance.

Implementation of a patient-level majority voting scheme to enhance diagnostic reliability.

Demonstration of state-of-the-art accuracy and efficiency on multiple benchmark datasets and validation of model interpretability via Grad-CAM.

2. RELATED WORK

2.1 Transfer Learning and CNN Models

Pre-trained architectures such as VGG-16, ResNet-50, and InceptionV3 are widely used for histopathology classification due to their powerful feature extraction capabilities. However, their high parameter counts (e.g., ResNet-50: 23.5M) lead to computational inefficiency and risk of overfitting on small medical datasets. Dependency on large-scale datasets like ImageNet also introduces domain mismatch, often resulting in 5–8% accuracy drops on niche medical tasks without extensive fine-tuning. Recent efforts to develop lightweight CNNs using separable convolutions or pruning have reduced model size but often at the cost of classification accuracy.

2.2 Attention Mechanisms

Channel and spatial attention modules, such as CBAM and SE-Net, enhance feature selectivity and have improved performance in medical image segmentation. However, these methods often lack global context, leading to misclassification of histologically similar subtypes (e.g., lung adenocarcinoma vs. squamous cell carcinoma). Attempts to integrate global context using non-local networks improve accuracy but increase computational overhead by approximately 30%. Our GCAB addresses this by employing an efficient pooling-concatenation fusion strategy.

2.3 Data Augmentation Using GANs

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), including DCGAN and StyleGAN2, have been used to synthesize realistic histopathology patches. However, issues such as mode collapse and visual artifacts limit their utility. Conditional GANs (cGANs) can preserve class-specific features but require expert validation to ensure clinical relevance. Our GGLCI dataset leverages GANs and is curated by histopathologists to ensure high-quality, clinically meaningful augmentation.

2.4 Interpretability with Grad-CAM

Interpretability is essential for clinical adoption. Grad-CAM provides visual explanations by highlighting regions associated with malignancy, such as nuclear pleomorphism. However, standard Grad-CAM heatmaps can be coarse and may overlook subtle features. Our model refines interpretability by integrating GCAB's semantic guidance, producing more precise and clinically relevant explanations.

2.5 Gaps in Patient-Level Analysis

Most studies report per-slide accuracy, neglecting patient-level consistency, which is crucial for clinical deployment. For example, several studies report 95% per-slide accuracy but do not address patient-level diagnostic reliability. Our work introduces a majority-voting mechanism across a patient's slides, improving diagnostic consistency and reliability.

3. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

3.1 Stage-Based Image Enhancement

To address variable staining and imaging artifacts, we employ a two-stage image enhancement pipeline:

Histogram Equalization:

Uniformly redistributes pixel intensities (Equation 1), enhancing low-contrast regions and increasing image entropy from 6.80 to 7.78.

Gaussian Filtering:

Applies a Gaussian kernel ($\sigma=1.5$, Equation 2) to reduce noise while preserving glandular structures, achieving a PSNR of 12.98.

3.2 CNN Architecture Design

Our backbone consists of three residual blocks with GeLU activation (Equation 4) and information-centric (IC) layers to reduce mutual information ($p^2=0.2025$ for dropout=0.45). Separable convolutions reduce the parameter count by 60% compared to standard convolutions, enabling efficient deployment.

3.3 Global Context Attention Block (GCAB)

The GCAB integrates global and local contextual information via:

Global Pooling Branch:

Compresses spatial dimensions to $1 \times 1 \times C$ (Equation 5), capturing organ-level semantics.

CBAM Branch:

Applies spatial and channel attention to highlight malignant nuclei and stain-specific features.

Fusion:

Concatenates outputs (Equation 7) and applies a 1×1 convolution (Equation 8) for seamless integration.

3.4 GAN-Based Data Augmentation

Our GAN comprises:

Generator:

Three deconvolutional layers (kernel: 5×5) transform 100D noise vectors into 64×64 RGB images.

Discriminator:

Trained with Jensen-Shannon divergence (Equation 9), stabilizing training and achieving a Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) of 28.7 on GGLCI.

3.5 Classification and Optimization

Loss Function:

Categorical cross-entropy with label smoothing ($\epsilon=0.1$, Equation 19).

One-Cycle Policy:

Cyclical learning rate ($5e-4 \rightarrow 5e-5$) and momentum ($0.95 \rightarrow 0.85$) accelerate convergence (32 vs. 110 epochs).

4. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

4.1 Datasets

Dataset	Classes	Samples	Resolution
LC25000	5 (e.g., Lung ACA)	25,000	768×768
CRAG	2 (Colon Benign/Malignant)	193	512×512
GGLCI (Ours)	5 (GAN-augmented)	2,500	64×64

All datasets were split into training, validation, and test sets, ensuring no patient overlap.

4.2 Evaluation Metrics

Patient-Level Accuracy:

Majority vote across all slides per patient (Equation 18).

Efficiency:

FLOPs (Equation 15) and MACs (Equation 16) measured via TensorFlow Profiler.

4.3 Hardware

GPU: NVIDIA RTX 3050 (4GB VRAM).

Training Time: ~4.2 hours for 110 epochs.

4.4 Hyperparameters

Parameter	Value	Tuning Method
Batch Size	256	Grid search (128, 256, 512)
Dropout 0.45	Ablation (0.4–0.5)	
Learning Rate	1e–3	LR range test

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Quantitative Performance

Image-Level:

Achieved 99.76% accuracy, outperforming CNN+CBAM (96.92%).

Patient-Level:

Achieved 96.5% accuracy, critical for clinical adoption.

Efficiency:

0.95s inference time per image (RTX 3050), supporting real-time use.

5.2 Ablation Studies

Component Removed	Accuracy Drop	FLOPs Increase
GC Module	2.84%	+10.54M
GAN Augmentation	3.11%	N/A

GCAB and GAN-based augmentation are both essential for optimal performance.

5.3 Limitations

Adenosquamous Exclusion:

This subtype was unavailable in the training data; future work will incorporate it.

Resolution Trade-off:

Downsampling to 64×64 may lose subtle features; future work will explore hybrid multi-scale approaches.

5.4 Clinical Implications

Mobile Deployment:

Model size (1.34M parameters) enables deployment on edge devices for rural diagnostics.

Interpretability:

Grad-CAM aligns with pathologists' annotations in 92% of cases, supporting clinical trust.

6. CONCLUSION

We present a robust, efficient, and interpretable framework for histopathology image classification. By integrating global context attention, GAN-based data augmentation, and patient-level voting, our approach sets a new benchmark for accuracy, efficiency, and clinical relevance. Future work will address additional subtypes and multi-scale modeling for even greater diagnostic power.

7. REFERENCES

- [1]Md. Al-Mamun Provath And Tetsuya Shimamura ,Kaushik Deb ,Pranabkumardhar
- [2] International Agency for Research on Cancer. (2020). World Fact Sheet. Accessed: 26, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/900-world-fact-sheets.pdf>
- [3] International House World Organisation. (2022). Cancer. Accessed: Jun. 26, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/cancer/>
- [4] Mayo Clinic. (2020). Cancer Symptoms Causes. Accessed: Jun. 26, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseasesconditions/cancer/symptoms-causes/syc-20370588>
- [5] T. N. Seyfried and L. C. Huysentruyt, "On the origin of cancer metastasis," Crit. Rev. Oncogenesis, vol. 18, nos. 1–2, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.1615/CritRevOncog.v18.i1-2.40.
- [6] Verywellhealth. (2022). What Is Metastasis? Accessed: Jun. 27, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.verywellhealth.com/metastatic-cancer-2249128/>
- [7] L. F. Sánchez-Peralta, L. Bote-Curiel, A. Picón, F. M. Sánchez-Margallo, and J. B. Pagador, "Deep learning to find colorectal polyps in colonoscopy: A systematic literature review," Artif. Intell. Med., vol. 108, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 101923, doi: 10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101923.
- [8] C-Health. (2022). Cancer Survival Rates. Accessed: Jun. 26, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://cancersurvivalrates.com/type=colon&role=patient/>

- [9] S. Das, S. Biswas, A. Paul, and A. Dey, Industry Interactive Innovations in Science, Engineering and Technology, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Sen, M. Dutta, P. Biswas, H. Chattopadhyay, Eds. Singapore: Springer, 2018, pp. 173–183, doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-3953-9_17.
- [10] F. Zhuang, Z. Qi, K. Duan, D. Xi, Y. Zhu, H. Zhu, H. Xiong, and Q. He, “A comprehensive survey on transfer learning,” 2019, arXiv:1911.02685.
- [11] K. Doi, “Computer-aided diagnosis in medical imaging: Historical review, current status and future potential,” Computerized Med. Imag. Graph., vol. 31, nos. 4–5, pp. 198–211, Jun. 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.compmedimag.2007.02.002.
- [12] H. Tan, J. H. T. Bates, and C. M. Kinsey, “Discriminating TB lung nodules from early lung cancers using deep learning,” BMC Med. Informat. Decis. Making, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 1–7, Dec. 2022.
- [13] Y. Shi, Y. Gao, Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, and D. Wang, “Multimodal sparse representation-based classification for lung needle biopsy images,” IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 2675–2685, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1109/TBME.2013.2262099.
- [14] J. Kuruvilla and K. Gunavathi, “Lung cancer classification using neural networks for CT images,” Comput. Methods Programs Biomed., vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 202–209, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2013.10.011.
- [15] C. Kuepper, F. Großerueschkamp, A. Kallenbach-Thieltges, A. Mosig, A. Tannapfel, and K. Gerwert, “Label-free classification of colon cancer grading using infrared spectral histopathology,” Faraday Discuss., vol. 187, pp. 105–118, 2016, doi: 10.1039/C5FD00157A.
- [16] Z. Yuan, M. Izady Yazdanabadi, D. Mokka pati, R. Panvalkar, J. Y. Shin, N. Tajbakhsh, S. Gurudu, and J. Liang, “Multiclass semantic segmentation and quantification of colorectal cancer sections using deep learning,” Proc. SPIE, vol. 10133, Jun. 2017, Art. no. 101332K.
- [17] M. Akbari, M. Mohrekehsh, S. Rafiei, S. M. Reza Soroushmehr, N. Karimi, S. Samavi, and K. Najarian, “Classification of informative frames in colonoscopy videos using convolutional neural networks with binarized weights,” in Proc. 40th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. (EMBC), Jul. 2018, pp. 65–68, doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2018.8512226.
- [18] T. Karras, S. Laine, and T. Aila, “A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks,” in Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit., 2019, pp. 4401–4410, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2019.00453.
- [19] K.-S. Lee, S.-H. Son, S.-H. Park, and E. S. Kim, “Automated detection of colorectal tumors based on artificial intelligence,” BMC Med. Informat. Decis. Making, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–6, Dec. 2021.
- [20] A. Radford, L. Metz, and S. Chintala, “Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks,” 2015, arXiv:1511.06434.
- [21] S. Woo, J. Park, J. Y. Lee, and J. Ha, “CBAM: Convolutional block attention module,” in Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ECCV), 2018, pp. 3–19.
- [22] G. Chen, P. Chen, Y. Shi, C.-Y. Hsieh, B. Liao, and S. Zhang, “Rethinking the usage of batch normalization and dropout in the training of deep neural networks,” 2019, arXiv:1905.05928.
- [23] A. A. Borkowski, M. M. Bui, L. Brannon Thomas, C. P. Wilson, L. A. DeLand, and S. M. Mastorides, “Lung and colon cancer histopathological image dataset (LC25000),” 2019, arXiv:1912.12142.
- [24] S. Graham, H. Chen, J. Gamper, Q. Dou, P.-A. Heng, D. Snead, Y. W. Tsang, and N. Rajpoot, “MILD-net: Minimal information loss dilated network for gland instance segmentation in colon histology images,” Med. Image Anal., vol. 52, pp. 199–211, Feb. 2019. 110182
- [25] K. Sirinukunwattana, J. P. W. Pluim, H. Chen, X. Qi, P.-A. Heng, Y. B. Guo, L. Y. Wang, B. J. Matuszewski, E. Bruni, U. Sanchez, A. Böhm, O. Ronneberger, B. B. Cheikh, D. Racoceanu, P. Kainz, M. Pfeiffer, M. Urschler, D. R. J. Snead, and N. M. Rajpoot, “Gland segmentation in colon histology images: The glas challenge contest,” Med. Image Anal., vol. 35, pp. 489–502, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.media.2016.08.008.
- [26] J. N. Kather, J. Krisam, P. Charoentong, T. Luedde, E. Herpel, C.-A. Weis, T. Gaiser, A. Marx, N. A. Valous, D. Ferber, L. Jansen, C. C. Reyes-Aldasoro, I. Zörnig, D. Jäger, H. Brenner, J. Chang-Claude, M. Hoffmeister, and N. Halama, “Predicting survival from colorectal cancer histology slides using deep learning: A retrospective multicenter study,” PLOS Med., vol. 16, no. 1, Jan. 2019, Art. no. e1002730, doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002730.
- [27] J. Lin, “Divergence measures based on the Shannon entropy,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 145–151, Jan. 1991.
- [28] Y. Hao, L. Zhang, S. Qiao, Y. Bai, R. Cheng, H. Xue, Y. Hou, W. Zhang, and G. Zhang, “Breast cancer histopathological images classification based on deep semantic features and gray level co-occurrence matrix,” PLoS ONE, vol. 17, no. 5, May 2022, Art. no. e0267955, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267955.
- [29] W. Shen, M. Zhou, F. Yang, D. Yu, D. Dong, C. Yang, Y. Zang, and J. Tian, “Multi-crop convolutional neural networks for lung nodule malignancy suspiciousness classification,” Pattern Recognit., vol. 61, pp. 663–673, Jan. 2017.
- [30] A. Masood, B. Sheng, P. Li, X. Hou, X. Wei, J. Qin, and D. Feng, “Computer-assisted decision support system in pulmonary cancer detection and stage classification on CT images,” J. Biomed. Informat., vol. 79, pp. 117–128, Mar. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2018.01.005.
- [31] R. V. M. da Nóbrega, P. P. R. Filho, M. B. Rodrigues, S. P. da Silva, C. M. D. Junior, and V. H. C. de Albuquerque, “Lung

nodule malignancy classification in chest computed tomography images using transfer learning and convolutional neural networks,” *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 32, no. 15, pp. 11065–11082, Aug. 2020.

[32] S. Suresh and S. Mohan, “ROI-based feature learning for efficient true positive prediction using convolutional neural network for lung cancer diagnosis,” *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 32, no. 20, pp. 15989–16009, Oct. 2020.

[33] S. U. K. Bukhari, A. Syed, S. K. A. Bokhari, S. S. Hussain, S. U. Armaghan, and S. S. H. Shah, “The histological diagnosis of colonic adenocarcinoma by applying partial self supervised learning,” *MedRxiv*, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1101/2020.08.15.20175760.

[34] N. Hatami, M. Bilal, and N. Rajpoot, “Deep multi-resolution dictionary learning for histopathology image analysis,” 2021, arXiv:2104.00669.

[35] J. Lin, G. Han, X. Pan, Z. Liu, H. Chen, D. Li, X. Jia, Z. Shi, Z. Wang, Y. Cui, H. Li, C. Liang, L. Liang, Y. Wang, and C. Han, “PDBL: Improving histopathological tissue classification with plug-and-play pyramidal deep broad learning,” *IEEE Trans. Med. Imag.*, vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 2252–2262, Sep. 2022.

[36] X. Zhu, K. Guo, H. Fang, L. Chen, S. Ren, and B. Hu, “Crossview capture for stereo image super-resolution,” *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*, vol. 24, pp. 3074–3086, 2022.

[37] X. Zhu, K. Guo, S. Ren, B. Hu, M. Hu, and H. Fang, “Lightweight image super-resolution with expectation-maximization attention mechanism,” *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 1273–1284, Mar. 2022.

[38] X. Zhu, K. Guo, T. Qiu, H. Fang, Z. Wu, X. Tan, and C. Liu, “Stereoscopic image super-resolution with interactive memory learning,” *Exp. Syst. Appl.*, vol. 227, Oct. 2023, Art. no. 120143.

[39] M. Liang, Z. Ren, J. Yang, W. Feng, and B. Li, “Identification of colon cancer using multi-scale feature fusion convolutional neural network based on shearlet transform,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 208969–208977, 2020.

[40] Z. Tasnim, S. Chakraborty, F. M. J. M. Shamrat, A. N. Chowdhury, H. A. Nuha, A. Karim, S. B. Zahir, and M. M. Billah, “Deep learning predictive model for colon cancer patient using CNN-based classification,” *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 687–696, 2021.

[41] M. Masud, N. Sikder, A.-A. Nahid, A. K. Bairagi, and M. A. AlZain, “A machine learning approach to diagnosing lung and colon cancer using a deep learning-based classification framework,” *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 3, p. 748, Jan. 2021.

[42] E. Paladini, E. Vantaggiato, F. Bougourzi, C. Distanto, A. Hadid, and A. Taleb-Ahmed, “Two ensemble-CNN approaches for colorectal cancer tissue type classification,” *J. Imag.*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 51, Mar. 2021.

[43] M. S. N. Raju and B. S. Rao, “Colorectal multi-class image classification using deep learning models,” *Bull. Electr. Eng. Informat.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 195–200, Feb. 2022.

[44] L. N. Smith, “A disciplined approach to neural network hyper-parameters: Part 1—Learning rate, batch size, momentum, and weight decay,” 2018, arXiv:1803.09820.