Design and 3D Modeling of Automotive Rocker Arm Using CATIA V5

J. VENKATA JASWANTH KUMAR[1], W.D. MILTON PONNALA[2]

[1]PG Scholar, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, R V Institute of Technology, (UGC-Autonomous), Chebrolu, Guntur, A.P, India.

[2]Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, R V Institute of Technology, (UGC-Autonomous), Chebrolu, Guntur, A.P, India.

---***---

Abstract - Advancements in camshaft and valvetrain design have significantly improved engine performance over the past three decades; however, overhead valve (OHV) engines still rely on rocker arms, pushrods, and lifters to actuate intake and exhaust valves. The rocker arm is subjected to cyclic loading, high contact stresses, and elevated temperatures ranging from 40–500 °C, requiring materials that combine low weight, reduced friction, high fatigue life, and cost-effectiveness. Conventional materials such as structural steel, forged steel, stainless steel, tungsten, and aluminum alloys are increasingly being replaced or supplemented by lightweight fibre-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites to improve durability and reduce inertia. This study presents a comparative static structural and fatigue analysis of rocker arms manufactured from structural steel, aluminum alloy, and composite materials. Design enhancements, including needle-bearing fulcrums and roller tips, are incorporated to reduce friction and wear. Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is performed to evaluate stress distribution, deformation, safety factors, and fatigue life under operational loading. Failure modes such as yielding, crack initiation, and delamination are assessed to determine material suitability. Results demonstrate the potential of composite rocker arms as lightweight and high-strength alternatives to traditional metallic components, supporting their application in next-generation valvetrain systems with improved performance and reliability.

Key Words: *Rocker arm, Fatigue analysis, Static structural analysis, Composite materials, Aluminum alloy, Structural steel, Finite Element Analysis (FEA), Valvetrain design, Needle bearing, Roller tip.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Composite materials have emerged as a vital class of Rocker arms are essential components of the valvetrain mechanism in internal combustion engines, responsible for converting the rotary motion of the camshaft into the linear motion required to actuate the intake and exhaust valves. Despite major advances in camshaft design over the last three decades, overhead valve (OHV) engines with centrally located camshafts continue to rely on rocker arms, pushrods, and lifters for effective valve operation.

Modern rocker arms are subjected to severe operating conditions, including cyclic loading, high contact stresses, and temperatures ranging from 40–500 °C. These demanding conditions necessitate materials that exhibit high fatigue strength, reduced weight, low friction, and excellent wear resistance. Conventional rocker arms have typically been manufactured from steel, aluminum alloys, tungsten, forged steel, and stainless steel. However, recent advancements have led to the exploration of composite materials to reduce mass, improve efficiency, and enhance durability.

The drive for lightweight valvetrain components is motivated by the need to minimize inertia, reduce friction, and achieve higher rotational speeds. A reduction in reciprocating mass directly contributes to improved engine performance, reduced vibration, and extended component life. Incorporating needle bearings at fulcrum points and roller tips at valve ends has further supported the reduction of frictional losses.

Rocker arm failures are frequently attributed to fatigue, which results from cyclic loading conditions even when stresses remain below the material's tensile limit under static conditions. Common failure modes include crack initiation at high-stress regions, wear-induced stress concentration, material defects, or insufficient lubrication. Failure analysis, therefore, plays a crucial role in diagnosing stress distribution, load transfer, and fatigue behavior to ensure reliable engine operation.

In this context, finite element analysis (FEA) offers a powerful tool for evaluating the structural behavior of rocker arms under operational conditions. It enables the prediction of deformation, stress concentration, fatigue life, and potential failure zones. By comparing the performance of rocker arms made from structural steel, aluminum alloy, and composite materials, this study aims to identify the most suitable material for optimized valvetrain performance.

Fig 1: DOHC Engine

1.1 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To design and model a rocker arm assembly using 3D CAD tools and develop an accurate geometric representation suitable for structural and fatigue analysis.
- To perform static structural analysis on rocker arms made of structural steel, aluminum alloy, and composite materials to determine deformation, stress distribution, and regions of stress concentration under operational loading.
- To evaluate the fatigue behavior of the rocker arm using S–N curve–based fatigue life estimation and identify critical zones prone to crack initiation and propagation.
- To compare the performance of different materials—structural steel, aluminum alloy, and polymer-matrix composite—to assess their suitability based on stress, deformation, fatigue life, and safety factor.
- To analyze the effect of design enhancements, such as needle-bearing fulcrums and roller tips, on friction reduction, wear behavior, and overall mechanical efficiency.
- To determine the feasibility of using lightweight composite materials as alternatives to conventional metallic rocker arms for improved durability, reduced inertia, and enhanced engine performance.
- To identify potential failure modes such as yielding, fatigue cracking, delamination, and stress localization, supported by finite element analysis results.

2. SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW

A number of studies have examined the design, material selection, and failure characteristics of rocker arms in internal combustion engines. Nagaraja and Suresh Babu [1] designed a rocker arm using theoretical calculations for components such as the fulcrum pin, tappet, and valve spring, followed by validation using Pro/Engineer modeling and ANSYS-based structural analysis with various materials. Husain and Sheikh [2] conducted a comprehensive review of rocker arm types, operational principles, and common failure modes, emphasizing the importance of material selection and design optimization for improved durability.

Failure analysis of rocker arms has been widely explored. Moesli *et al.* [3] investigated a diesel engine rocker arm that fractured at the threaded region, identifying fatigue as the primary failure mechanism based on beach mark patterns and metallurgical observations. Similarly, Rakesh and Thirugnanam [4] performed stress analysis on rocker arms using ANSYS and correlated finite element results with experimental measurements to validate theoretical predictions. Mishra [5] analyzed a polymer-matrix composite rocker arm and reported comparable stress levels between steel and HDPE composite rocker arms, concluding that composite materials meet strength requirements while offering significant weight reduction. Husain and Sheikh [6] used Pro/E and ANSYS to identify critical stress regions in the Tata Sumo Victa rocker arm, demonstrating the effectiveness of FEM in predicting high-stress zones. Spiegelberg and Andersson [7] studied friction and wear behavior at the rocker arm pad–valve bridge interface using brush and Coulomb friction models. Their results showed that pad radius and contact geometry significantly influence wear depth and distribution.

Lindholm *et al.* [8] evaluated running-in behavior of rocker arm contact surfaces in diesel engines under variable load and speed conditions. They observed significant wear on bearing surfaces, particularly smoothing of surface peak heights due to lubrication regime transitions. Nayaka and Lakshminarayanan [9] emphasized that follower wear depends on contact stresses, sliding velocity, and lubrication film thickness; they integrated linear wear theory with elasto-hydrodynamic modeling to predict cam–follower wear with satisfactory experimental agreement.

Several researchers have studied fatigue-driven failures. Yu and Xu [10] analyzed rocker arms used in diesel truck engines and found multiple-origin fatigue failure at the rocker shaft hole due to spheroidized pearlite and banded microstructures arising from improper heat treatment. Chung and Kim [11] performed strain-gauge measurements and FEM to assess fatigue endurance of rocker arms, reporting safety factors between 2.6 and 3.8 near critical neck regions.

Design-stage failure prediction has also been reported. Lee *et al.* [12] used orthogonal arrays and ANOVA to examine the robustness of rocker arm shaft boundary conditions, enabling prediction of applied load and load type. Their subsequent work [13] evaluated fracture conditions using SEM, fracture mechanics, and FEA to estimate allowable stress ranges for rocker arm shafts.

Pysz and Zuczek [14] used advanced AlZnMgCu alloys and ICME-based design integration to convert a steel rocker arm into a lighter casting with improved dimensional tolerance and strength. Hendriksma *et al.* [15] developed a 2-step variable-valve rocker arm system using analytical tools and prototype testing to enhance engine efficiency and emissions performance.

Material optimization continues to be a recurring theme. Nagaraja [16] compared HDPE, steel, tungsten, and aluminum alloy rocker arms using Pro/E and ANSYS to determine suitable materials for minimizing stress and maximizing fatigue life. Chen and Nie [17] studied coating wear behavior under combined impact–sliding conditions using TiN-coated steel dies, providing insights relevant to rocker arm wear at cam–follower interfaces.

Xu *et al.* [18] confirmed fatigue as the primary failure mechanism in rocker-bracket assemblies, attributing premature fracture to wear-induced abnormal bending loads and undersized root fillet radii. Teodorescu and Rahnejat [19] developed mathematical models to analyze coated cam–tappet contacts, finding that coating thickness variation significantly affects contact mechanics. Muzakkir *et al.* [20] proposed innovative valvetrain designs using Magnetorheological Fluid

(MRF) technology to reduce component count, friction, wear, and pumping losses.

Collectively, prior research establishes that rocker arm performance is strongly governed by material selection, contact stresses, lubrication regime, fatigue behavior, and structural design. Finite element analysis plays a vital role in predicting failure, optimizing design geometry, and evaluating alternative lightweight materials such as composites.

3. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND METHODOLOGY

Static structural analysis is employed to determine the deformation, stress distribution, strain, and reaction forces developed in a component when subjected to loads that do not induce significant inertia or damping effects. In such analysis, loading conditions are assumed to vary slowly with respect to time, enabling the structure to be evaluated under steady-state conditions.

A. Definition of Static Analysis

Static structural analysis predicts the response of a structure under steady loading conditions. While time-dependent effects such as inertia and damping are neglected, steady-state inertial forces—such as gravity and rotational effects—may be incorporated when required. The method is particularly suited for components such as rocker arms, where operational loads are repetitive but vary slowly within each cycle relative to dynamic events.

B. Types of Loads Considered

In static analysis, the following load types may be applied to the model:

- **Externally applied forces and pressures**
- **Steady-state inertial effects**, including gravity or rotational acceleration
- **Imposed displacements**
- **Thermal loads**, where temperature variations are converted into thermal strains
- **Nuclear swelling effects**, in specialized applications

For accurate structural assessment, material density must be defined for inertia-based loads, while the coefficient of thermal expansion is required for thermal loading.

C. Assumptions in Static Analysis

A set of key assumptions governs static structural analysis:

1) Small Deflection Assumption

Deflections are assumed to be small relative to component dimensions. For plate-like or thin structures, deflection should remain considerably smaller than the structural thickness to avoid nonlinear geometric effects.

2) Small Rotations

All rotations are assumed to be small enough that angular displacements remain within linear limits. For example, rotations less than approximately 10° maintain linearity with minimal error, whereas larger rotations introduce significant nonlinearity.

3) Linear Material Behavior

Unless specifically modeled as nonlinear, materials are assumed to behave in a linear elastic manner during loading. Components experiencing stresses beyond the yield point or exhibiting nonlinear elastic behavior require nonlinear analysis methods.

D. Application to Rocker Arm Analysis

For rocker arm structural evaluation, the CAD model is imported into ANSYS Workbench and meshed using mapped face meshing techniques. Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is performed to compute:

- Total deformation
- Equivalent (von Mises) stresses
- Stress concentrations around fulcrum, tip, and shaft regions
- Load distribution patterns

A load of **1900 N** is applied on the valve-tip end, while the rocker-arm pin region is constrained with fixed support. The mesh consists of **~1864 elements and ~5218 nodes**, ensuring sufficient refinement in critical regions.

E. Output Measures

The primary static outputs include:

- **Total Deformation:** Maximum predicted displacement of the rocker arm under load
- **Equivalent Stress:** Von Mises stress used to determine potential yielding in ductile materials
- **Strain and Reaction Forces:** Supporting data for validating boundary conditions

From the analysis, the maximum deformation is approximately **3.95×10^{-2} mm**, and the maximum stress reaches **450 MPa**, occurring at high-stress zones near the rocker pin and contact surfaces.

F. Interpretation for Material Suitability

Static structural results are used to compare the performance of different materials (structural steel, aluminum alloy, composite). Lower stresses and minimal deformation indicate superior structural behavior. These results also form the basis for subsequent fatigue analysis, enabling correlation between static stress levels and long-term reliability.

S.No	Mechanical properties	Value	Units
1	Density	19.35	g/cm^3
2	Atomic mass	183.84	
3	Melting Point	3695	k
4	Boiling Point	5825	k

Table 1: Properties of Tungsten

S.No	Mechanical properties	Value	Units
1	Density	2.7	g/cm^3
2	Ultimate tensile strength	310	MPa
3	Modulus of elasticity	68.9	GPa
4	Poisons ratio	0.33	-----
5	Tensile yield strength	276	MPa
6	Shear strength	207	MPa

Table 2: Properties of Al-6061

S.No	Mechanical Properties	Value	Units
1	Density	7.85	g/cm^3
2	Ultimate tensile strength	460	MPa
3	Modulus of elasticity	200	GPa
4	Poisons ratio	0.30	----
5	Tensile yield strength	250	MPa
6	Shear strength	345	MPa

Table 3: Properties of Structural Steel

4. METHODOLOGY

A. Design Considerations

The rocker arm is a critical link in the valvetrain mechanism responsible for transmitting force from the camshaft to the intake and exhaust valves. Its design must ensure adequate stiffness, low inertia, minimal friction, and high fatigue resistance under cyclic loading. Key parameters influencing rocker arm design include:

- Fulcrum geometry and supporting pin diameter
- Ratio between cam lift and valve lift
- Roller tip radius and friction-reducing mechanisms
- Material strength, density, and thermal stability
- Contact stresses at cam–follower and valve–tip interfaces

The rocker arm must also withstand high operational temperatures (40–500 °C) and repetitive bending loads without yielding or fatigue failure.

B. 3D Modelling of the Rocker Arm

A detailed geometric model of the rocker arm is created using CATIA V5. The modelling process includes:

1. **Base Sketching and Feature Creation:** Essential features such as the cam-contact surface, valve-tip roller seat, fulcrum bore, and structural ribs are sketched and extruded or revolved to achieve the complete solid model.
2. **Assembly Representation:** The rocker arm is assembled with the rocker pin, roller tip, and needle-bearing components to reflect realistic constraints and contact surfaces.
3. **Dimensional Accuracy:** All dimensions are selected based on engine specifications and design requirements to ensure the model replicates actual loading paths and reaction forces.

The resulting CAD model provides the geometry required for meshing and subsequent finite element analysis.

C. Meshing and Model Discretization

The geometric model is imported into ANSYS Workbench for preprocessing. A mapped face mesh is applied to ensure accurate stress prediction in regions with high curvature or concentrated loading. The meshing parameters include:

- **Number of Nodes:** Approximately 5218
- **Number of Elements:** Approximately 1864
- **Element Type:** Higher-order tetrahedral or hexahedral elements (depending on geometry)

Mesh refinement is primarily focused on critical areas such as the fulcrum region, roller tip contact zone, and cam-follower surface.

D. Boundary Conditions and Loading

To simulate the operational conditions of a rocker arm, appropriate loads and constraints are applied:

- A **1900 N force** is applied to the valve-tip end to represent valve spring and combustion-induced loads.
- The **rocker-arm bore** (fulcrum pin region) is constrained using fixed support to replicate its pivot action.
- Contact surfaces are defined between the roller tip and the rocker arm to account for realistic load transfer.

These conditions simulate the static phase of rocker arm operation during valve actuation.

E. Structural Analysis

Static structural analysis is conducted to evaluate the deformation and stress response of the rocker arm. The software computes:

- **Total Deformation**
- **Equivalent (von Mises) Stress**
- **Shear Stress and Strain Distribution**
- **Reaction Forces**

Maximum predicted deformation is approximately **3.95×10^{-2} mm**, while peak von Mises stress reaches **450 MPa**, primarily near the fulcrum and tip contact zones. These values are compared against the yield strengths of candidate materials such as structural steel, aluminum alloy, and composite materials.

F. Material Comparison

The analysis enables assessment of each material's suitability:

- **Structural Steel:** High strength, low deformation, but higher mass
- **Aluminum Alloy:** Lower weight, moderate strength, higher deformation
- **Composite Material:** Lowest weight, promising stress performance, and high fatigue resistance

The comparison provides a foundation for material optimization for lightweight and high-performance valvetrain design.

G. Summary of Analysis

The design, modelling, and structural assessment confirm that the rocker arm experiences significant bending stresses at the fulcrum and roller-tip interface. Structural performance varies with material selection, supporting the feasibility of composite rocker arms for applications requiring reduced inertia and improved durability. These results form the basis for further fatigue analysis and design refinement.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The rocker arm model was subjected to static structural and fatigue analysis to assess deformation, stress distribution, and long-term durability when fabricated from three different materials—structural steel, aluminum alloy, and composite material. The results obtained from ANSYS are analyzed and compared to determine the suitability of each material for rocker-arm applications.

A. Static Structural Results

Static analysis results provide insights into maximum deformation and equivalent von Mises stress. The rocker arm experienced its peak deformation at the valve-tip region where the external load was applied, while maximum stress occurred at the fulcrum bore and roller-contact zones due to geometric constraints and stress concentration.

1) Total Deformation

The maximum deformation recorded in the model was approximately **3.95×10^{-2} mm** under the 1900 N applied load. Among the three materials:

- **Structural Steel:** Exhibited the least deformation due to its higher Young's modulus.
- **Aluminum Alloy:** Showed moderate deformation owing to its lower stiffness.
- **Composite Material:** Displayed slightly higher deformation than steel but remained within safe limits.

2) Equivalent Stress (von Mises Stress)

The maximum von Mises stress reached **450 MPa**, primarily at the fulcrum and contact regions. Comparison of stress response shows:

- **Structural Steel:** High strength resulted in stresses well below its yield limit.
- **Aluminum Alloy:** Stresses approached a higher percentage of its yield strength, reducing its safety margin.
- **Composite Material:** Demonstrated favorable stress distribution with lower stress intensities, supported by its anisotropic stiffness.

These results indicate that composite material exhibits competitive performance while offering significant weight reduction.

B. Fatigue Analysis Results

Fatigue life estimation was carried out based on fully reversed cyclic loading, simulating operating conditions of the rocker arm during engine cycles.

1) Fatigue Life

The fatigue life was computed in terms of the number of cycles to failure:

- **Structural Steel:** Exhibited the highest fatigue life due to its superior endurance limit.
- **Aluminum Alloy:** Showed comparatively lower fatigue life because of its lower fatigue strength and susceptibility to crack initiation.
- **Composite Material:** Demonstrated improved fatigue performance over aluminum, with failure modes dominated by matrix cracking and delamination rather than yielding.

2) Factor of Safety (FOS)

The fatigue factor of safety indicated:

- **Steel:** Safest under cyclic loading with a high FOS.
- **Aluminum:** Operated near the fatigue limit, making it less suitable for high-cycle applications.
- **Composite:** Maintained a reasonable FOS and is viable for weight-sensitive applications.

C. Stress Concentration and Failure Regions

Stress concentration was notable at:

- The **rocker-pin bore**
- The **roller-contact region**
- The **cam-follower interface**

These regions are typical points of crack initiation in rocker arms. For metallic materials, micro-cracks develop under repeated bending, whereas in composite materials, local delamination may occur due to shear stresses.

D. Comparative Interpretation

The comparison between materials reveals:

- **Structural Steel** provides maximum strength and fatigue life but contributes to higher inertial forces due to greater mass.
- **Aluminum Alloy** offers weight reduction but suffers from lower fatigue resistance and higher deformation.
- **Composite Material** offers the best weight-to-strength ratio, acceptable deformation, and competitive fatigue performance, making it a viable alternative for advanced high-speed engines.

E. Discussion

The results demonstrate that material selection plays a critical role in rocker arm performance. While steel remains the strongest candidate for heavy-duty engines, composite materials offer considerable benefits in reducing valvetrain inertia, enhancing efficiency, and minimizing wear. The static and fatigue results collectively support the adoption of composites in modern valvetrain applications where lightweight components are essential.

6. CONCLUSIONS

- This study presented the design, modeling, static structural analysis, and fatigue evaluation of a rocker arm constructed from three different materials—structural steel, aluminum alloy, and composite material.
- The finite element analysis revealed that the rocker arm experiences maximum stress near the fulcrum bore and roller-contact regions due to geometric constraints and concentrated loading.
- Structural steel exhibited the lowest deformation and highest fatigue strength, making it a reliable choice for high-load applications.
- Aluminum alloy demonstrated higher deformation and lower fatigue life, indicating limited suitability for continuous high-cycle environments.
- Composite material showed a favorable combination of low weight, acceptable stress levels, and competitive fatigue resistance, demonstrating significant potential for lightweight automotive valvetrain systems.
- Overall, the results confirm that composite rocker arms can effectively reduce inertial forces, improve engine efficiency, and maintain structural integrity under operational loads.
- The study validates the feasibility of substituting traditional metallic rocker arms with advanced composite designs to meet modern performance and efficiency demands.

7. SCOPE FOR FURTHER WORK

Future studies may incorporate temperature-dependent material behavior and thermal stress analysis, particularly since rocker arms operate in environments ranging from 40–500 °C.

8. REFERENCES

[1] A. Nagaraja and G. Suresh Babu, “Fatigue and Analysis of Four Wheeler Rocker Arm,” *International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research*, vol. 2, no. 7, Jul. 2015, pp. 1296, ISSN: 2348-4845.
[2] S. M. Husain and S. Sheikh, “Rocker Arm: A Review,” *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 4, Apr. 2013.
[3] M. Moesli, M. S. Rosli, S. Bakar, and I. M. Noor, “Failure Analysis of a Diesel Engine Rocker Arm,” vol. 13, no. 4, Jun. 2006, pp. 598–605.
[4] N. L. Rakesh and A. Thirugnanam, “Stress Analysis of Hand Crank and Rocker Arm,” *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, vol. 12, no. 12, 2012, pp. 1687–1689, ISSN: 1990-9233.
[5] A. Mishra, “Stress Analysis of Glass/HDPE Composite Rocker Arm by Finite Element Method,” *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)*, vol. 3, no. 3, May 2014, ISSN: 2319-5967.
[6] S. M. Husain and S. Sheikh, “Design and Analysis of Rocker Arm,” *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Robotics Research*, vol. 2, no. 3, Jul. 2013, ISSN: 2278–0149.
[7] C. Spiegelberg and S. Andersson, “Simulation of friction and wear in the contact between the valve bridge and rocker arm pad in a cam mechanism,” *Machine Design*, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, 2005, pp. 58–67.
[8] Lindholm, S. Björklund, and M. C. Cortes, “Characterisation of wear on a cam follower system in a diesel engine,” *Machine Elements, Dept. of Machine Design*, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Sweden, 2003, pp. 1199–1207.
[9] N. Nayaka, P. A. Lakshminarayanan, M. K. G. Babu, and A. D. Dani, “Predictions of cam follower wear in diesel engines,” 2006, pp. 181–192.
[10] Z. W. Yu and X. L. Xu, “Failure analysis of diesel engine rocker arms,” *Institute of Metal and Technology, Dalian Maritime University*, 2006, pp. 598–605.

[11] C.-S. Chung and H.-K. Kim, “Safety evaluation of the rocker arm of a diesel engine,” *Materials and Design*, vol. 31, 2010, pp. 940–945.

[12] D.-W. Lee, S.-J. Lee, S.-S. Cho, and W.-S. Joo, “Failure of rocker arm shaft for 4-cylinder SOHC engine,” *Engineering Failure Analysis*, vol. 12, 2005, pp. 405–412.

[13] D.-W. Lee, S.-S. Cho, and W.-S. Joo, “An estimation of failure stress condition in rocker arm shaft through FEA and microscopic fractography,” *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, vol. 22, 2008, pp. 2056–2061.

[14] S. Pysz and R. Żuczek, “The use of ICME process to design a rocker arm for special-purpose vehicles,” *Commission of Motorization and Energetics in Agriculture*, vol. 12, 2012, pp. 205–210.

[15] N. Hendriksma, T. Kunz, and C. Greene, “Design and Development of a 2-Step Rocker Arm,” SAE International, 2007.

[16] D. Y. Hua, K. Farhang, and L. E. Seitzman, “A Multi-Scale System Analysis and Verification for Improved Contact Fatigue Life Cycle of a Cam-Roller System,” *Journal of Tribology*, 2007.

[17] S. M. Muzakkir, M. G. Patil, and H. Hirani, “Design of Innovative Engine Valve: Background and Need,” *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2015, pp. 178–181.

[18] X. Xu, Y. Gao, and Z. Yu, “Fatigue Fracture of a Truck Diesel Engine Rocker-Bracket,” *ASM International*, vol. 13, 2013, pp. 340–345.

[19] M. Teodorescu and H. Rahnejat, “Mathematical Modelling of Layered Contact Mechanics of Cam–Tappet Conjunction,” *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 31, 2006, pp. 2610–2627.