

Design and Analysis of Mechanical Based Can Crusher

¹Akshay Narkhede, ²Aditya Peje, ³Mayuresh Solunke, ⁴Piyush Aphale

^{1,4} Ex. Student, Department of Mechanical Engg. Pillai HOC College of Engineering & Technology, Panvel (M.S.),India

^{2,3}EX. Student, Department of Mechanical Engg., Konkan Gyanpeeth College of Engineering, Karjat, Raigad (M.S.), India

Abstract

The can crusher is used to reduce the volume of can by applying force along with vertical and horizontal direction. The traditional can crusher uses two separate motors for rotating two vehicle tyres, some of them used to run the driven tyre with the help of friction between the two tyre which leads excessive wastage of power. To eliminate this problem, we have designed a can crusher which is operating on a single motor and two type of belt drives which help to rotate in opposite sense to each other. This analysis and design is beneficial for easy disposal with respect to environment and consumes less power than the traditional system which is helpful to improve the productivity.

Keywords: Can crusher, Cross Belt drive, Straight Belt drive, Speed ratio

1. Introduction

The disposal of the metal waste seems to be a tedious task due to enormous consumption. There also lies an issue in transporting the metal wastes to the recycling plants which needs lot of human effort, time and money. The waste material needs to be made into a uniform shape for easy and effective transportation. This paper aims to design simple mechanical crusher which helps for easy waste management. The crusher is used to crush sheet metal wastes, paint cans, cool drink cans, and machining scraps. The uniform size and shape of the materials can be obtained by providing a bin on which the material is to be crushed. The crusher is designed to operate by both mechanical and electrical means. This crusher is designed to minimize the effort to operate both mechanical and electrical types of operation. This work involves design and fabrication of mechanical Crusher, keeping in mind the human ergonomic aspect and making the machine up to market standards. The existing crushers are heavy ones and these crushers are excessively used for

crushing materials at big industries and manufacturing plants for crushing cars, stones, metal components, etc., Moreover, these crushers are hydraulically and pneumatically operated. The operating costs of these crushers are very high as it requires continuous power, proper maintenance and skilled labor for operation. [1].

The study insists the requirement for environment accountability for the engineers. The various design methodologies have been discussed to construct the can crusher which would be helpful in waste management emphasizing the need for recycling the wastes particularly the metal can wastes [2].

A wear model studied for the cone crushers which are used to crush the rocks minerals which are in the form of ores in mines to measure geometry and several effects. Due to complex construction and real time shortcomings, efficiency of the machine reduced drastically. The various drawbacks have been studied and the measurements have been done to predict the efficiency [3].

A paper studied jaw crusher performance in the granite query. Investigation was conducted to study the performance and drawbacks were spotted out [4,5].

2. Design of System

Careful design approach has to be adopted. The total design work has been split up in to two parts.



2] Mechanical design $[P]=P *S.F$ Eq.51. System Design $= 0.5HP = 373$ WattsSystem design mainly concerns the various physical constraint and ergonomics, space requirements, arrangementSelecting Standard belt c/s symbol based on load capacity.Selecting cross section A (PSG 7.58)of various components on main frame at system, man plus machine interaction number of controls, working environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure , machine. $w = 7.1676$ Maintenance, scope of improvement and total weight of machine.Area of cross section A = 1/2 [W+w]T Eq.7	1] System design	Design Power:
1. System Design $= 0.5HP = 373$ WattsSystem design mainly concerns the various physical constraint and ergonomics, space requirements, arrangement of various components on main frame at system, man plus machine interaction number of controls, working environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure , machine.Selecting Standard belt c/s symbol based on load capacity. Selecting cross section A (PSG 7.58) tan(20) = $(W-w)/2T$ Eq.6 $W = 7.1676$ Area of cross section $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	2] Mechanical design	[P]=P *S.F Eq.5
System design mainly concerns the various physical constraint and ergonomics, space requirements, arrangement of various components on main frame at system, man plus machine interaction number of controls, working environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure , machine.Selecting Standard belt c/s symbol based on load capacity. Selecting cross section A (PSG 7.58) tan(20) = $(W-w)/2T$ Eq.6 $w = 7.1676$ Area of cross section $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	1. System Design	= 0.5HP = 373 Watts
constraint and ergonomics, space requirements, arrangementSelecting cross section A (PSG 7.58)of various components on main frame at system, man plus $tan(20) = (W-w)/2T$ Eq.6machine interaction number of controls, working $= (13-w)/16$ Eq.6environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure , $w = 7.1676$ Eq.7machine. $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	System design mainly concerns the various physical	Selecting Standard belt c/s symbol based on load capacity.
of various components on main frame at system, man plus $\tan(20) = (W-w)/2T$ Eq.6machine interaction number of controls, working $= (13-w)/16$ Eq.6environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure , maintenance, scope of improvement and total weight of machine.W = 7.1676 Eq.7Area of cross section $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	constraint and ergonomics, space requirements, arrangement	Selecting cross section A (PSG 7.58)
machine interaction number of controls, working $= (13-w)/16$ environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure , $w = 7.1676$ maintenance, scope of improvement and total weight ofArea of cross sectionmachine. $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	of various components on main frame at system, man plus	$\tan(20) = (W-w)/2T$ Eq.6
environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure , $w = 7.1676$ maintenance, scope of improvement and total weight of machine.Area of cross section $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	machine interaction number of controls, working	=(13-w)/16
maintenance, scope of improvement and total weight of machine.Area of cross section $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	environment of machine, chances of failure. safety measure,	w = 7.1676
machine. $A = 1/2 [W+w]T$ Eq.7	maintenance, scope of improvement and total weight of	Area of cross section
	machine.	A = 1/2 [W+w]T Eq.7
2. Mechanical Design $= 0.5 * (7.167 + 13)*8$	2. Mechanical Design	= 0.5 * (7.167 + 13) * 8
The mechanical design has direct norms with the system $A = 80.68 \text{ mm2}$	The mechanical design has direct norms with the system	A = 80.68 mm2
design. Hence the foremost job is to be controlled the Step 3: Driving and driven pulley diameter :	design. Hence the foremost job is to be controlled the	Step 3: Driving and driven pulley diameter :
physical parameter so that distinctions obtained after For selected cross section	physical parameter so that distinctions obtained after	For selected cross section
mechanical design can be well fitted into that. $d \ge 75 \text{ mm}$	mechanical design can be well fitted into that.	d>=75 mm
2.1. Design of straight belt drive Taking standard driving pulley	2.1. Design of straight belt drive	Taking standard driving pulley
[P] = P * SF Eq.1 d=3"(PSG 7.54)	[P] = P * SF Eq.1	d=3"(PSG 7.54)
= 0.746 * 1 Driven pulley diameter	= 0.746 * 1	Driven pulley diameter
= 746 watt = 0.746 watts $D = d^*i^*\eta$ Eq.8	= 746 watt = 0.746 watts	$D = d^*i^*\eta \qquad \qquad$
Selecting Cross section Assuming 2% slip and i=1 as both tyres have same rpm	Selecting Cross section	Assuming 2% slip and i=1 as both tyres have same rpm
$\tan(20) = (W-w)/2T$ Eq.2 $\eta = 0.98$	$\tan(20) = (W-w)/2T$ Eq.2	$\Pi = 0.98$
= (13-w)/16 D = 75* 1 * 0.98 = 73.5 mm = 75 mm	=(13-w)/16	D = 75*1*0.98 = 73.5 mm = 75 mm
w = 7.167 mm Center distance between 2 pulley :	w = 7.167 mm	Center distance between 2 pulley :
Taking 12" pulley on driven side and 2.5" pulley on driver= Radius of tyre 1 + Radius of tyre 2 + 150 Eq.9	Taking 12" pulley on driven side and 2.5" pulley on driver	= Radius of tyre 1 + Radius of tyre 2 + 150 Eq.9
side to increase the speed ratio $= 437.25 + 150 = 587$ mm	side to increase the speed ratio	= 437.25 + 150 = 587mm
Hence, i=5 Approx length of belt	Hence, i=5	Approx length of belt
Center to center distance $L_{approx} = 2C + \pi/2 (D+d) + ((D+d)^2)/4c \qquad Eq.10$	Center to center distance	$L_{approx} = 2C + \pi/2 \ (D+d) + ((D+d)^2)/4c$ Eq.10
$C_{approx}/D = 0.98$ Eq.3 = 2 * 550 + 152.4 + 10.955 = 1100 + 152 = 1312.4 mm	$C_{approx} / D = 0.98$ Eq.3	= 2 * 550 + 152.4 + 10.955 = 1100 + 152 = 1312.4 mm
$C_{approx} = 274.32 \text{ mm}$ Hence selecting A51 belt.	$C_{approx} = 274.32 \text{ mm}$	Hence selecting A51 belt.
Approx length of belt3. Working Methodology	Approx length of belt	3. Working Methodology
$L_{approx} = 2C + \pi/2 (D+d) + (D-d)^2/4c$ Eq.4 The long base frame caused the heavy frame to tilt with	$L_{approx} = 2C + \pi/2 (D+d) + (D-d)^2/4c$ Eq.4	The long base frame caused the heavy frame to tilt with
$= 2*289.58 + \pi/2 * 381 + 45.11$ small amount of vibration, or miss-alignment. So the motor	$= 2*289.58 + \pi/2 * 381 + 45.11$	small amount of vibration, or miss-alignment. So the motor
= 548.16 + 598.47 + 45.11 was places opposite to original mounting and thus the width	= 548.16 + 598.47 + 45.11	was places opposite to original mounting and thus the width
=1191.91 mm of the frame increased, giving more ground stability (Fig.1).	=1191.91 mm	of the frame increased, giving more ground stability (Fig.1).
Hence, Selecting A46 belt.	Hence, Selecting A46 belt.	
2.2. Design of Cross Belt Drive	2.2. Design of Cross Belt Drive	
Step 1-	Step 1-	





Fig.1 Heavy Duty Can crusher





Fig.2 Simplified layout of Can crusher

In designing and fabricating the tin can crusher, a process planning had to be charted out (Fig.2). This acts as a guideline to be followed so that, the final model meets the requirement and time could be managed. The designing phase is briefly classified as the Frame, Contacting and crushing part (Tyres), bearings, power source, and the Ergonomics of the design for Human Comfort. After suitable selection of material, fabrication of prototype, the force and torque calculations are done to set the exact distance between the tyres and ideal height is adjusted for the hopper arrangement. The motor is turned on. The driver tyre starts to rotate because of the straight belt drive. As the driven tyre is connected to the driver tyre, it starts rotating with speed reduction. When the two tyres start to rotate, the cans are added from the hopper. These cans thus fall into the space between the tyres and get crushed. Arrangement is done for collecting the crushed cans.

4. Results and discussion

The cans crushed occupy lesser space than the uncrushed cans. The cans are crushed upto 75% of their volume. The can crushed volume is adjusted by the self aligning bearing. If the bearing is set closer, the tyres are brought closer thus reducing the gap between the two tyres, hence the cans are crushed to a greater extent and vice versa.

5. Conclusions

The above design procedure has been adopted for the fabrication of fully mechanical can crusher machine which make the product durable for the long time as well as make it efficient to understand the concept of design. With the help of design, possible to fabricate an automatic can crusher machine to simply reduce the volume of cans as well as to reduce the human fatigue.

References

[1] Vishal N. Kshirsagar, Dr.S.K.Choudhary, Prof. A.P. Ninawe, An Automatic Approach for Can/ Plastic Bottle Crusher Machine, International Journal of Research in Aeronautical and Mechanical Engineering.Vol.2 Issue.7, pp.102-113, 2014.

[2] A. Elfasakhany, j. Marquez, e.y. rezola, Design and development of an economic autonomous Beverage cans crushe,r volume 3, issue 3, pp. 107-122,2012.

[3] Zulkifli, M.,Design of a Recycle Bin Tin Can Crusher, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Malaysia Pahang Univ. Repor,2008.

[4] M. P. Nawathe, Ghanshyam Ingle, Pranjali Kharode, Sneha Pradhan, Dnyaneshwar Rathod, Design and Fabrication of Can Crusher, Vol. 6, Issue 01, ISSN (online), pp.2321-0613,2018

[5] Che Mohd Akhairil Akasyah B Che Anuar, Development of the Can Crusher Machine, 2008.