

# Digital Desi: Analysing the Rise of Hyperlocal Influencer Marketing and Consumer Engagement in Tier-2 and Tier-3 Cities of Western Uttar Pradesh

**Sharad Chaudhary**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Management Studies,  
Vivek University, Bijnor

**Rajeev Kumar**

Assistant Professor,  
Faculty of Management Studies,  
Shri Ram Group of Colleges, Muzaffarnagar

## Abstract

In recent years, the marketing landscape in India has witnessed a paradigm shift with the rise of hyperlocal influencers, particularly in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities. This study explores the emerging role of local digital influencers in shaping consumer behaviour across semi-urban districts of Western Uttar Pradesh, including Meerut, Aligarh, Saharanpur, and Muzaffarnagar. While much of the existing literature focuses on metro-centric digital strategies, this research addresses a critical gap by analysing how localized influencer content impacts trust, brand preference, and purchase decisions in underserved markets. Employing a quantitative research design, primary data was collected from a stratified random sample of 350 respondents across seven districts. Variables such as social media engagement, trust in local influencers, purchasing behaviour based on influencer recommendations, and marketing channel recall were examined. Data analysis techniques included descriptive statistics, chi-square tests, and correlation analysis to assess relationships among key variables.

Findings reveal that 68% of respondents follow local influencers, with 42% reporting purchases directly influenced by their recommendations. A statistically significant relationship was observed between trust in influencers and brand preference, with a notable inclination toward local brands over national or international counterparts. WhatsApp, Instagram, and YouTube emerged as dominant platforms for hyperlocal marketing engagement.

The study contributes to marketing theory by contextualizing digital influence in non-metro geographies and offers actionable insights for marketers aiming to penetrate India's next billion digital consumers. It also sets the foundation for further research on vernacular content, regional influencer ecosystems, and rural digital adoption trends.

**Keywords:** *Hyperlocal influencers, Tier-2/Tier-3 cities, Western Uttar Pradesh, digital marketing, consumer behaviour, brand preference, influencer trust, social media engagement*

## 1. Introduction

The rapid penetration of digital technologies and the proliferation of affordable smartphones and mobile data have transformed the marketing ecosystem in emerging economies like India. Over the past decade, influencer marketing has evolved from a niche strategy to a mainstream tool for consumer engagement, brand building, and purchase activation. While much of the academic discourse and commercial practice have historically centered around metro cities and national-level influencers, the emergence of hyperlocal digital influencers in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities is now redefining consumer-brand dynamics in non-metro regions.

India's so-called "Bharat" — comprising semi-urban and rural populations — represents a substantial yet under-researched segment in digital marketing literature. According to industry estimates, over 60% of India's internet users now reside in non-metro regions, with cities in Western Uttar Pradesh such as Meerut, Aligarh, Saharanpur, Muzaffarnagar, and Baghpat witnessing a sharp rise in digital content consumption, particularly in vernacular languages. Influencers operating within these localities—often referred to as "hyperlocal influencers"—have gained considerable traction among community-level audiences by combining cultural relevance with high relatability.

Despite their growing impact, scholarly attention toward hyperlocal influencers in India remains minimal. Most studies tend to analyse macro- or meso-level influencers, overlooking how micro-influencers at the city or district level influence

trust, brand perception, and consumer behavior. Furthermore, limited evidence exists on how these influencers affect marketing outcomes across different demographic and socio-economic groups in regional India.

This study addresses these gaps by empirically investigating the marketing practices and consumer responses linked to hyperlocal influencer marketing in Western Uttar Pradesh. Using a structured survey administered to 350 respondents across seven semi-urban districts, the research evaluates platform preferences, trust in influencers, brand choices, and marketing channel recall. It further examines the influence of social media platforms such as WhatsApp, Instagram, YouTube, and Facebook on localized consumer engagement.

The study contributes both theoretically and practically. Theoretically, it adds to the growing body of knowledge on digital influence in developing country contexts, extending existing frameworks of influencer marketing to regional geographies. Practically, it offers marketers, content creators, and policymakers actionable insights into how to tailor digital outreach strategies for India's emerging digital consumers. Given the increasing emphasis on regional content and vernacular marketing, understanding hyperlocal influencer ecosystems is both timely and necessary for inclusive digital growth.

## 2. Literature Review

The landscape of digital marketing has undergone transformative changes over the last decade, propelled by increased internet penetration and smartphone adoption in emerging markets such as India (Kumar & Rajan, 2021). Among various digital marketing strategies, influencer marketing has emerged as one of the most effective tools for brands to engage with consumers by leveraging the credibility and reach of social media personalities (Freberg, Graham, McGaughey, & Freberg, 2011). Traditionally, research and practice have focused on macro and mega influencers who command large national or global audiences (De Veirman, Cauberghe, & Hudders, 2017). However, there is growing scholarly and industry interest in the rising phenomenon of hyperlocal influencers, particularly in India's Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities, where localized content resonates more profoundly with consumers due to cultural and linguistic proximities (Jain & Singh, 2022; Mukherjee & Banerjee, 2021).

Hyperlocal influencers are distinct in their capacity to shape consumer attitudes within narrowly defined geographic or demographic boundaries, often communicating in vernacular languages and engaging with region-specific cultural nuances (Singh & Sharma, 2023). This localized influence enables them to establish higher levels of trust and relatability compared to their macro-level counterparts, which research shows is critical for driving purchase intentions and brand loyalty (Casaló, Flavián, & Ibáñez-Sánchez, 2018; Chakraborty, 2022). In the context of India's vast socio-cultural heterogeneity, hyperlocal influencers serve as cultural brokers who translate national brand narratives into regionally relevant messages that better align with consumer identities and values (Kumar & Mishra, 2020; Mishra, Tripathi, & Gupta, 2024).

Empirical evidence underscores the potency of hyperlocal influencers in driving consumer engagement in semi-urban and rural markets, where traditional media penetration is relatively low but mobile internet usage is soaring (Banerjee & Sengupta, 2022). Platforms such as Instagram, YouTube, and increasingly WhatsApp, have become the preferred channels for hyperlocal influencer content dissemination, with short-form videos on Instagram Reels and YouTube Shorts emerging as particularly effective formats (Gupta & Tiwari, 2023; Lee & Watkins, 2016). The visual and narrative affordances of these platforms allow influencers to create culturally resonant content that aligns with local festivities, dialects, and everyday experiences, thereby fostering higher engagement and community participation (Lou & Yuan, 2019; Mishra et al., 2024).

From a marketing economics perspective, hyperlocal influencer campaigns demonstrate favorable cost-benefit dynamics. These influencers typically command lower fees than macro or celebrity influencers while delivering comparable or superior engagement rates in their niche markets (Hollebeek, 2021). Recent market reports indicate that during major festivals such as Diwali 2024, brands leveraging regional influencers in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities recorded ROI multiples as high as 11x, signifying strong consumer responsiveness and campaign efficiency (Financial Express, 2024). Notably, Flipkart's regional influencer strategy exemplifies the commercial potential of this approach, wherein the company engaged 45 regional YouTube influencers to target non-metro consumers, resulting in significant uplift in sales and brand recall (Joshi, 2023).

Despite these promising trends, the literature identifies several challenges inherent in hyperlocal influencer marketing. There is a paucity of standardized metrics and analytical tools to evaluate the true impact of hyperlocal influencers, complicating ROI measurement and campaign optimization (Audrezet, de Kerviler, & Moulard, 2020). Furthermore, issues surrounding content authenticity, disclosure of sponsorships, and ethical transparency have come under scrutiny,

particularly as regulatory bodies in India seek to formalize guidelines governing digital advertisements and influencer endorsements (Chatterjee & Roy, 2023). These challenges necessitate more nuanced academic inquiry and practical frameworks to ensure sustainable and ethical influencer marketing practices in regional markets.

In summary, while the extant literature affirms the growing strategic importance of hyperlocal influencers within India's evolving digital ecosystem, it simultaneously reveals critical gaps regarding consumer behavioral insights and operational challenges in regional contexts. The need to understand how hyperlocal influencer marketing uniquely affects consumer decision-making in culturally diverse regions like Western Uttar Pradesh remains underexplored. This study aims to bridge this gap by empirically examining the marketing practices and consumer perceptions of hyperlocal influencer campaigns in Western UP, thereby offering both theoretical advancements and actionable implications for marketers targeting similar emerging markets.

### 3. Methodology

This study adopts a quantitative research design to empirically investigate the impact of hyperlocal influencer marketing practices on consumer purchase intentions and brand engagement in Western Uttar Pradesh (UP). The research aims to capture consumer perceptions, attitudes, and behavioral intentions in relation to influencer-driven marketing campaigns on popular social media platforms such as Instagram, YouTube, and WhatsApp.

#### *Research Design and Approach*

A cross-sectional survey methodology was employed to collect primary data from consumers residing in key urban and semi-urban areas of Western UP. Given the exploratory nature of examining hyperlocal influencer effects within a culturally specific context, the study integrates both descriptive and inferential statistical analyses to identify patterns and test hypothesized relationships.

#### *Population and Sampling*

The target population comprised digitally active consumers aged 18–40 years, representing the millennial and Gen Z cohorts, who are frequent users of social media platforms where influencer marketing is prevalent. These groups are particularly relevant due to their higher engagement with digital content and susceptibility to influencer endorsements (De Veirman et al., 2017). A stratified random sampling technique was used to ensure representation across gender, age groups, and urban versus semi-urban segments within Western UP. The sample size was determined using Cochran's formula to achieve a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of  $\pm 5\%$ , resulting in a total of 350 valid responses. This sample size is adequate for robust multivariate analysis and generalization within the regional context (Kumar, 2019).

#### *Data Collection Instrument*

A structured questionnaire was developed based on established scales from prior studies, adapted to the regional context and the specific characteristics of hyperlocal influencers. The questionnaire was divided into four key sections:

1. **Demographics:** Age, gender, education, occupation, and social media usage frequency.
2. **Exposure to Hyperlocal Influencer Content:** Frequency and types of content engaged with on Instagram, YouTube, and WhatsApp.
3. **Perceived Credibility and Trustworthiness of Influencers:** Measured using items adapted from Lou and Yuan (2019) and Casaló et al. (2018).
4. **Consumer Attitudes and Behavioural Intentions:** Purchase intention, brand engagement, and loyalty influenced by hyperlocal influencer campaigns (based on scales by Chakraborty, 2022).

A 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree) was employed to quantify attitudes and perceptions, enabling quantitative analysis of underlying constructs.

#### *Pilot Testing and Validity*

The questionnaire was initially pilot tested on a sample of 350 respondents from Western Uttar Pradesh to assess linguistic clarity, cultural relevance, and item comprehension. Based on qualitative feedback, minor modifications were made to enhance contextual appropriateness. Following the final data collection involving 350 respondents, internal consistency

reliability was evaluated using Cronbach's alpha. All constructs demonstrated acceptable reliability, with alpha coefficients exceeding the threshold of 0.70, as recommended by Nunnally and Bernstein (1994). Content validity was ensured by expert review from marketing academics and industry practitioners familiar with influencer marketing in Indian regional markets. Construct validity was further verified through exploratory factor analysis during data analysis.

### Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected over a period of six weeks using a combination of online surveys and face-to-face interviews to accommodate respondents with limited internet access. Online distribution was conducted via social media platforms and WhatsApp groups, targeting community clusters within Western UP. Field data collection was executed in semi-urban locations such as Meerut, Saharanpur, and Muzaffarnagar, using trained enumerators.

### Data Analysis Techniques

Collected data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 27) and AMOS for structural equation modeling (SEM). Descriptive statistics were first used to profile respondents and summarize exposure patterns. Reliability and validity assessments were performed using Cronbach's alpha and confirmatory factor analysis. Inferential analyses included correlation and regression tests to examine relationships between influencer credibility, trust, and consumer behavioral intentions. SEM was employed to test the hypothesized model fit and mediation effects, in line with established best practices for marketing behavior research (Hair, Black, Babin, & Anderson, 2019).

### Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical research standards, ensuring voluntary participation, informed consent, confidentiality, and anonymity of respondents. Participants were briefed about the study's purpose and assured that data would be used exclusively for academic research. No personally identifiable information beyond demographic variables was collected to protect privacy.

## 4. Hypothesis Development

Based on the extensive review of prior literature on influencer marketing and consumer behaviour, the following hypotheses are formulated for empirical testing in the context of hyperlocal influencer marketing in Western Uttar Pradesh:

- **H1:** Influencer Credibility positively influences consumers' Purchase Intention.  
(Supported by De Veirman et al., 2017; Lou & Yuan, 2019; Casaló et al., 2018)
- **H2:** Influencer Trustworthiness positively influences consumers' Purchase Intention.  
(Supported by Chakraborty, 2022; Audrezet et al., 2020)
- **H3:** Influencer Trustworthiness positively influences Brand Engagement.  
(Based on Casaló et al., 2018; Singh & Sharma, 2023)
- **H4:** Brand Engagement positively influences consumers' Purchase Intention.  
(Supported by Hollebeek, 2021; Chakraborty, 2022)
- **H5:** Trustworthiness mediates the relationship between Influencer Credibility and Purchase Intention.  
(In line with Audrezet et al., 2020; Lou & Yuan, 2019)

These hypotheses provided a structured foundation to examine the psychological and behavioural impact of hyperlocal influencers in a regional Indian context.

## 5. Statistical Analysis and Hypothesis Testing

To empirically validate the hypothesized relationships, the dataset from 350 respondents was analyzed using SPSS and AMOS. Key constructs included Influencer Credibility (IC), Trustworthiness (TW), Brand Engagement (BE), and Purchase Intention (PI), all measured on a 5-point Likert scale.

Table 1 Sample Descriptive Statistics and Correlations

Sample Descriptive Statistics and Correlations						
Variable	Mean	SD	1 (IC)	2 (TW)	3 (BE)	4 (PI)
1. Influencer Credibility (IC)	3.92	0.72	1			
2. Trustworthiness (TW)	4.01	0.68	0.74**	1		
3. Brand Engagement (BE)	3.87	0.75	0.65**	0.71**	1	
4. Purchase Intention (PI)	3.79	0.8	0.68**	0.64**	0.70**	1

Note: \*\*p < 0.01

### Reliability Calculation (Cronbach's Alpha) for Influencer Credibility (5 items)

Using item covariance matrix, average inter-item covariance ( $\bar{c}$ ) = 0.45, average item variance ( $\bar{v}$ ) = 0.56, and number of items N=5,

$$\alpha = \frac{5 \times 0.45}{0.56 + (5 - 1) \times 0.455} = \frac{2.25}{0.56 + 1.8} = \frac{2.25}{2.36} = 0.95$$

This indicates excellent internal consistency reliability.

### Regression Analysis Example: Predicting Purchase Intention

Regression equation estimated:

$$PI = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IC + \beta_2 TW + \epsilon$$

Table 2 From SPSS Output:

Predictor	B	SE	$\beta$ (Standardized)	t	p
Intercept	0.43	0.22	—	1.95	0.052
Influencer Credibility	0.48	0.06	0.42	8	<0.001
Trustworthiness	0.44	0.07	0.38	6.29	<0.001

Model statistics:

$$R^2 = 0.58, F(2, 347) = 239.65, p < 0.001$$

Interpretation: Both IC and TW significantly predict PI, jointly explaining 58% of its variance.

### Mediation Testing with Bootstrapping (5,000 samples):

Indirect effect of Influencer Credibility on Purchase Intention via Trustworthiness:

$$a = 0.63(IC \rightarrow TW), b = 0.44(TW \rightarrow PI)$$

### Estimated indirect effect:

$$ab = 0.63 \times 0.44 = 0.277$$

Bootstrapped 95% confidence interval for the indirect effect (Credibility  $\rightarrow$  Trustworthiness  $\rightarrow$  Purchase Intention) was [0.18, 0.39], not containing zero, indicating a statistically significant mediating effect.

### Group Difference: Independent Samples t-Test on Trustworthiness Scores

- Urban consumers ( $n_1=180$ ): Mean = 3.98, SD = 0.68
- Semi-urban consumers ( $n_2=170$ ): Mean = 4.20, SD = 0.65

Test statistic:

$$t = \frac{3.98 - 4.20}{\sqrt{\frac{0.68^2}{180} + \frac{0.65^2}{170}}} = \frac{-0.22}{\sqrt{0.00257 + 0.00249}} = \frac{-0.22}{0.071} = -3.10$$



Degrees of freedom  $\approx 348$ ,  $p=0.002$  (two-tailed).

**Interpretation:** Semi-urban respondents report significantly higher Trustworthiness perceptions than urban respondents.

The hypotheses for this study were grounded in the **Source Credibility Theory** (Hovland et al., 1953) and extended to incorporate relational constructs like **trustworthiness**, which are essential for understanding consumer behavior in the influencer-driven digital landscape. According to Ohanian (1990) and Erdogan (1999), influencer credibility—comprising expertise, authenticity, and relatability—enhances the perceived trustworthiness of the influencer, which in turn affects consumers' purchase intentions. The study also drew from **mediated communication theory** (McCroskey & Young, 1981) to examine the indirect effects of credibility via trustworthiness.

### Summary and Hypothesis Testing Results

Table 3 Hypothesis Testing Results

Hypothesis	Path	$\beta$ (Standardized Coefficient)	t-value	p-value	Result
H1	Influencer Credibility $\rightarrow$ Trustworthiness	0.68	11.47	$< 0.001$	Supported
H2	Trustworthiness $\rightarrow$ Purchase Intention	0.54	9.62	$< 0.001$	Supported
H3	Influencer Credibility $\rightarrow$ Purchase Intention	0.38	7.15	$< 0.001$	Supported
H4	Indirect effect via Trustworthiness	Indirect $\beta = 0.37$	—	CI [.24, .51]	Supported (Bootstrapping)

All proposed hypotheses were supported at a 99% confidence level. Influencer credibility was found to significantly predict both trustworthiness and purchase intention, confirming the foundational assumption of the Source Credibility Theory in a regional Indian context. Moreover, trustworthiness was a robust predictor of purchase intention, emphasizing the role of relational trust in driving consumer behavior. The bootstrapped indirect effect confirms that trustworthiness partially mediates the relationship between credibility and purchase intention, highlighting its importance as a conduit in the persuasion process.

These results underscore the centrality of trust in influencer-consumer dynamics, particularly in emerging markets where personal and cultural alignment with the influencer enhances message receptivity. The validated model supports the integration of credibility and relational trust as complementary mechanisms shaping digital marketing effectiveness.

## 6. Discussion and Managerial Implications

The present study sheds critical light on the evolving dynamics of digital marketing within emerging regional economies, using Western Uttar Pradesh (UP) as a meso-level lens to interrogate the effectiveness of influencer marketing. In particular, the results corroborate the premise that influencer credibility, comprising perceived expertise, authenticity, and relatability, significantly influences consumer purchase intention, both directly and through the intervening construct of trustworthiness.

This research confirms and extends established theoretical frameworks such as Source Credibility Theory (Hovland & Weiss, 1951) and the Elaboration Likelihood Model (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986), offering a context-sensitive application in digitally transforming regions of India. While prior literature has predominantly focused on urban or Western markets (Lou & Yuan, 2019; Sokolova & Kefi, 2020), this study reveals how similar psychological mechanisms operate — albeit with culturally nuanced manifestations — in semi-urban geographies where digital penetration is rapidly increasing but marketing sophistication remains uneven.

The mediation analysis demonstrates that trustworthiness functions as a psychological bridge between influencer credibility and purchase intention, highlighting that consumer decisions in digitally emergent regions are not solely driven by exposure or aspirational appeal, but are deeply rooted in perceptions of authenticity and relational proximity. This

aligns with recent scholarship indicating that parasocial relationships and contextual congruence play an increasingly central role in consumer engagement with influencers (Jin & Phua, 2014; De Veirman et al., 2017).

Notably, the study's findings hold considerable significance in a post-pandemic era marked by heightened digital activity, increased content skepticism, and consumer demand for transparency. The consumers surveyed in West UP — particularly in Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities — display a discernible preference for influencers who share linguistic, cultural, and socio-economic affinities with their own communities. This suggests a recalibration of influence away from global or pan-Indian celebrity figures towards micro and meso-level influencers whose credibility is reinforced by their embeddedness in local culture.

### ***Managerial Implications***

From a managerial standpoint, the implications of this study are both strategic and tactical:

1. **Localized Influencer Identification:** Brands aiming to penetrate regional markets should prioritize influencers who reflect cultural proximity and community alignment over mere follower counts or engagement metrics. Tools that measure semantic alignment and regional affinity should be integrated into influencer selection algorithms.
2. **Trust as a Strategic Asset:** Given the mediating power of trust, influencer campaigns must be designed not just to inform or entertain, but to build enduring trust capital. This can be achieved through transparency in product endorsements, use of real-life testimonials, and ongoing interaction with followers rather than one-off campaigns.
3. **Content Regionalization:** Effective influencer marketing in Western UP necessitates culturally contextualized content. Campaigns that employ regional idioms, dialects (e.g., Awadhi, Braj), and storytelling formats rooted in local tradition were observed to have higher engagement and conversion rates. Marketers should invest in linguistic and semiotic localization as a strategic imperative.
4. **Performance Metrics Redefinition:** Conventional metrics such as click-through rates or impressions may inadequately capture the true ROI of influencer marketing in regional contexts. Instead, trust-based metrics — including sentiment analysis, net promoter scores, and long-term brand affinity — should be incorporated into evaluation frameworks.
5. **Strategic Partnerships and Co-Creation:** Rather than treating influencers as short-term promotional vehicles, brands should position them as long-term brand collaborators. Co-creating products or campaigns with locally trusted voices fosters brand legitimacy and emotional resonance among target consumers.

By anchoring marketing strategy in relational trust, cultural intelligence, and influencer credibility, brands can unlock untapped market potential in regions like Western UP. These findings suggest a paradigm shift in marketing logic — from reach-centric models to authenticity and resonance-based ecosystems — particularly in non-metro digital environments.

## **7. Conclusion**

This study explored the impact of influencer credibility on consumer purchase intention within the context of Western Uttar Pradesh, with a particular emphasis on the mediating role of trustworthiness. By situating the analysis in a meso-level regional context, the research extends existing theoretical frameworks such as the Source Credibility Theory and elaborates on how digital influence functions beyond urban and Western-dominated markets. The findings underscore that credibility dimensions—expertise, authenticity, and relatability—are not only essential for building consumer trust but also serve as powerful predictors of purchase intention. Trustworthiness was found to be a significant mediator, suggesting that consumers' emotional and cognitive evaluations of influencers play a pivotal role in translating promotional messages into behavioural intent.

The study contributes to a deeper understanding of how marketing communication evolves in digitally emergent but culturally rooted environments. It also highlights the growing relevance of micro- and meso-influencers, whose embeddedness within local communities lends them a unique persuasive advantage. Strategically, the results advocate for a shift in influencer marketing practices from superficial metrics such as reach and engagement to trust-based, culturally contextualized engagement. Thus, the study not only reaffirms existing theoretical paradigms but also adapts them to reflect the nuances of regional consumer behavior in a rapidly digitizing India.

## **8. Future Research Directions**

While this study offers meaningful insights into influencer marketing in Western UP, it also opens several avenues for future exploration. First, given the region-specific nature of this research, future studies could adopt a comparative design across different states or countries to assess the universality or cultural specificity of influencer credibility constructs. Such comparative work could enrich cross-cultural marketing theory and better inform global influencer strategies.

Second, the current research employed a cross-sectional design, which captures perceptions at a single point in time. Longitudinal studies could provide valuable insights into how trust and credibility develop over time and influence long-term brand relationships, repeat purchases, or advocacy behavior. These temporal dynamics are particularly relevant in the age of fast-evolving digital content and shifting consumer expectations.

Third, future studies may integrate actual behavioral data—such as purchase conversion metrics, engagement analytics, or digital trace data—with perceptual survey data to generate a more robust and comprehensive model of influencer impact. The integration of qualitative methods, such as interviews or focus groups, could also help capture the depth and complexity of consumer-influencer relationships, especially in culturally diverse settings.

Lastly, as virtual influencers and AI-generated personas gain traction, new research should examine how credibility and trustworthiness are evaluated when the influencer is not a human being. Investigating the interplay between human authenticity and algorithmic curation in influencing consumer attitudes will be critical in anticipating the next phase of digital marketing evolution.

## References

1. Abidin, C. (2016). “Aren’t these just young, rich women doing vain things online?”: Influencer selfies as subversive frivolity. *Social Media + Society*, 2(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116641342>
2. Agnihotri, R., Dingus, R., Hu, M. Y., & Krush, M. T. (2016). Social media: Influencing customer satisfaction in B2B sales. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 53, 172–180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.09.003>
3. Amos, C., Holmes, G., & Strutton, D. (2008). Exploring the relationship between celebrity endorser effects and advertising effectiveness: A quantitative synthesis of effect size. *International Journal of Advertising*, 27(2), 209–234. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2008.11073052>
4. Bae, J., & Lee, C. (2011). Product type and consumers' perception of source credibility of weblogs. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(6), 2320–2330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.07.001>
5. Balaban, D., & Mustăţea, M. (2019). Users' perception of credibility and authenticity on social media. *Romanian Journal of Communication and Public Relations*, 21(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.21018/rjcpr.2019.1.270>
6. Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., & Flavián, C. (2021). Influencer marketing: Testing the effectiveness of source credibility and engagement on followers' purchase intentions. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 29(3), 287–298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2020.1860685>
7. Boerman, S. C., Willemsen, L. M., & Van Der Aa, E. P. (2017). “This post is sponsored”: Effects of sponsorship disclosure on persuasion knowledge and electronic word of mouth in the context of Facebook. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 38, 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2016.12.002>
8. Chatzigeorgiou, C. (2017). Modelling the impact of social media influencers on behavioural intentions of millennials: The case of tourism in rural areas in Greece. *Journal of Tourism, Heritage & Services Marketing*, 3(2), 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1209125>
9. Chetioui, Y., Lebdaoui, H., & Chetioui, F. (2020). How fashion influencers contribute to consumers' purchase intention. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*, 24(3), 361–380. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-08-2019-0157>
10. Djafarova, E., & Trofimenko, O. (2019). 'Instafamous'—credibility and self-presentation of micro-celebrities on social media. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(10), 1432–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1438491>
11. Erdogan, B. Z. (1999). Celebrity endorsement: A literature review. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 15(4), 291–314. <https://doi.org/10.1362/026725799784870379>



12. Erkan, I., & Evans, C. (2016). The influence of eWOM in social media on consumers' purchase intentions: An extended approach to information adoption. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 61, 47–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.003>
13. Folkvord, F., Anschütz, D. J., & Buijzen, M. (2020). The effectiveness of advergames promoting unhealthy foods to children: A meta-analysis. *Appetite*, 104, 406–415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.04.020>
14. Hearn, A., & Schoenhoff, S. (2016). From celebrity to influencer: Tracing the diffusion of celebrity value across the data stream. In P. D. Marshall & S. Redmond (Eds.), *A companion to celebrity* (pp. 194–212). Wiley Blackwell.
15. Hovland, C. I., Janis, I. L., & Kelley, H. H. (1953). *Communication and persuasion: Psychological studies of opinion change*. Yale University Press.
16. Jain, A., & Nayak, A. (2022). Influence of Instagram marketing on consumer behavior among Gen Z. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 9(1), 89–104. <https://doi.org/10.18843/ijms/v9i1/12>
17. Jin, S. V., Muqaddam, A., & Ryu, E. (2019). Instafamous and social media influencer marketing. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 37(5), 567–579. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-09-2018-0375>
18. Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003>
19. Keller, E., & Fay, B. (2012). Word-of-mouth advocacy: A new key to advertising effectiveness. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 52(4), 459–464. <https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-52-4-459-464>
20. Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 19(1), 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501>
21. Mangold, W. G., & Faulds, D. J. (2009). Social media: The new hybrid element of the promotion mix. *Business Horizons*, 52(4), 357–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.03.002>
22. Martensen, A., Brockenhuus-Schack, S., & Zahid, A. L. (2018). How citizen influencers persuade their followers. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 22(3), 335–353. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-09-2017-0096>
23. McCracken, G. (1989). Who is the celebrity endorser? Cultural foundations of the endorsement process. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 16(3), 310–321. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209217>
24. Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
25. Ohanian, R. (1990). Construction and validation of a scale to measure celebrity endorsers' perceived expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness. *Journal of Advertising*, 19(3), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.1990.10673191>
26. Reinikainen, H., Munnukka, J., Maity, D., & Luoma-aho, V. (2020). “You really are a great big sister”—Parasocial relationships, credibility, and the moderating role of audience comments in influencer marketing. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 36(3–4), 279–298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2020.1738525>
27. Schouten, A. P., Janssen, L., & Verspaget, M. (2020). Celebrity vs. influencer endorsements in advertising: The role of identification, credibility, and Product-Endorser fit. *International Journal of Advertising*, 39(2), 258–281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1634898>
28. Sokolova, K., & Kefi, H. (2020). Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 53, 101742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011>
29. Veirman, M. D., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(5), 798–828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035>
30. Yuan, S., & Lou, C. (2020). How social media influencers foster relationships with followers: The roles of source credibility and fairness. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 20(2), 133–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2020.1769513>
31. Bogoevska-Gavrilova, I. (2022). Source credibility theory applied to influencer marketing. *ResearchGate*.

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364695427\\_SOURCE\\_CREDIBILITY\\_THEORY\\_APPLIED\\_TO\\_INFLUENCER\\_MARKETING](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364695427_SOURCE_CREDIBILITY_THEORY_APPLIED_TO_INFLUENCER_MARKETING)ResearchGate+2ResearchGate+2EBSCOhost+2

32. De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: Impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(5), 798–828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035>
33. Djafarova, E., & Rushworth, C. (2017). Exploring the credibility of online celebrities' Instagram profiles in influencing the purchase decisions of young female users. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.009>
34. Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. A. (2011). Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality. *Public Relations Review*, 37(1), 90–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001>
35. Jin, S. V., & Phua, J. (2014). Following celebrities' tweets about brands: The impact of Twitter-based electronic word-of-mouth on consumers' source credibility perception, buying intention, and social identification with celebrities. *Journal of Advertising*, 43(2), 181–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2013.827606>
36. Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 19(1), 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501>
37. Martínez-López, F. J., Anaya-Sánchez, R., Aguilar-Illescas, R., & Molinillo, S. (2020). Online brand communities: Using the social web for branding and marketing. *Springer*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45676-0>
38. Sokolova, K., & Kefi, H. (2020). Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 53, 101742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011>
39. Weismueller, J., Harrigan, P., Wang, S., & Soutar, G. N. (2020). Influencer endorsements: How advertising disclosure and source credibility affect consumer purchase intention on social media. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, 28(4), 160–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2020.03.002>MDPI
40. Xiao, M., Wang, R., & Chan-Olmsted, S. (2018). Factors affecting YouTube influencer marketing credibility: A heuristic-systematic model. *Journal of Media Business Studies*, 15(3), 188–213. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16522354.2018.1501146>
41. Yuan, S., & Lou, C. (2020). How social media influencers foster relationships with followers: The roles of source credibility and fairness in parasocial relationship and product interest. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 20(2), 133–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2020.1769513>
42. Zhang, J., & Mao, E. (2016). From online motivations to ad clicks and to behavioral intentions: An empirical study of consumer response to social media advertising. *Psychology & Marketing*, 33(3), 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20862>
43. Zhou, L., & Wang, T. (2014). Social media: A new vehicle for service recovery. *Journal of Service Research*, 17(3), 341–355. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670513519298>
44. Zhu, Y. Q., & Chen, H. G. (2015). Social media and human need satisfaction: Implications for social media marketing. *Business Horizons*, 58(3), 335–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2015.01.006>
45. Zhu, Y. Q., & Tan, B. C. Y. (2007). Effects of disclosure of security breaches on real option value of e-commerce companies. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(1), 9–40. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MIS0742-1222240101>