

Divorce Laws: A Critical Analysis with Special Reference to Strengthened Protections for Men

Zubin Dhanjisha Daruwala¹, Neel Kishor Bhura², Mrudang Ashish Shah³, Vaibhav Jotangiya⁴, Het keyurkumar Shah

¹Student of Civil Engineering, Gandhinagar Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar University

Zubin Dhanjisha Daruwala

²Student of Information Technology, Gandhinagar University Technology Engineering

Neel Kishor Bhura

³Student of Computer Science Engineering, Gandhinagar Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar University Mrudang

Ashish Shah

⁴Student of Mechanical Engineering, Gandhinagar Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar University Vaibhav Hemant

Jotangiya

⁵Student of int msc it (cy&df), Gandhinagar Institute of computer science & applications, Gandhinagar University

Het keyurkumar shah

Guide:-

[1] Balvant Shantilal Khara (Assistant Professor, Computer Department, Gandhinagar University)

[2] Yash DipakKumar Kanani Faculty of Computer Department, Gandhinagar University

1. INTRODUCTION

Divorce legislation is the statutory basis for the breakdown of marriage and the apportionment of roles and property between husbands and wives. In the last century, such legislation has undergone extensive change to accommodate shifting social values, gender roles, and perceptions of family life. Although numerous reforms have sought to ensure fairness and equality, an increasing volume of research and advocacy points to men, and especially fathers, systematically falling behind in the actual application of the law.

This paper provides a critical review of divorce legislations, with particular focus on dividing property, child custody, and spousal maintenance. It traces the historical and social grounds for these legislations and recommends changes that would serve to enhance men's protection. The aim is neither to give more importance to one gender nor to deprive them of their rights but to achieve legal fairness for everyone involved.

2. Property Division

One of the most disputed aspects of divorce cases is marital property division. Though the majority of jurisdictions are under the equitable distribution principle, this does not necessarily mean equal division. Courts usually look at a broad range of factors in resolving what is fair, such as how long the marriage lasted, contributions to the household (both monetary and non-monetary), and both parties' needs.

Even with gender-neutral terminology in the law, men tend to fare less well when it is applied. For example:

Courts prefer the custodial parent (usually the mother) when granting the home to the family.

A husband's financial role as the major breadwinner is sometimes not highly valued in comparison to the wife's role as a homemaker.

Men's post-divorce economic security can be undermined, especially when they have to pay child and spousal support, find new homes, and continue supporting children.

This disparity indicates a need for a more objective evaluation of the contribution and post-divorce needs of each party, regardless of gender.

Child Custody

Child custody is probably the most legally and emotionally problematic area of divorce. Despite contemporary legal norms that stress the "best interests of the child," an old presumption favoring mothers still operates as a bias in determining custody.

Historical and Continuing Biases

Historically, custody automatically went to mothers by virtue of the "tender years doctrine," which assumed that young children were best served by being with their mothers. Though this doctrine has been formally renounced, most courts continue to implicitly protect mothers, especially when determining primary physical custody.

Problems encountered by fathers are:

Difficulty obtaining equivalent parenting time.

Susceptibility to unfounded or inflated allegations of misbehavior, which can influence custody decisions.

A stereotype that fathers are less responsive or involved in daily caregiving.

Fathers who request custody or substantial parenting time tend to be doubted, even if they had been highly involved in their children's lives before divorce occurred.

Spousal Support (Alimony)

Spousal support is intended to equalize economic differences between divorcing couples. Yet, in most instances, it is a system that disproportionately takes a toll on men, particularly in the traditional family setting where the husband was the sole breadwinner.

Need-Based vs. Gender-Based Support

Though alimony theoretically is gender-neutral and on the basis of financial need and earning capacity, research indicates that:

Men are disproportionately the payors even when both partners are financially self-sufficient.

Men's requests for alimony are less likely to be approved, and when issued, it is typically lower.

The financial burden on men may be ongoing for several years, impeding their efforts to establish their life after divorce. In order to provide fairness, the courts are required to use a strictly needs-based approach and eliminate hidden gender bias in spousal support orders.

The Fathers' Rights Movement and Legal History

The fathers' rights movement arose as a reaction to perceived injustices in family law that unfairly targeted men. The movement gained momentum during the latter half of the 20th century and called for: Equal parenting time.

Presumptions favoring joint custody.

Modification of biased alimony and child support regulations.

Improved representation of fathers in family court.

Achievements and Criticisms

Although the movement has been able to shape reforms such as gender-neutral custody laws, the movement has also been criticized:

Some argue it adopts a combative stance that frames family law as adversarial.

Others point out that it does not always acknowledge the legitimate challenges faced by women post-divorce, especially in cases of abuse or economic dependence

Nonetheless, the movement has played a vital role in highlighting the systemic issues men face and advocating for their rights within family law.

Recommendations for Stronger Legal Protections for Men

To rectify current disparities in divorce proceedings, the following legal and procedural changes are suggested:

1. Equal Treatment in Property Division

Make sure both monetary and non-monetary contributions are appropriately valued.

Don't presume based on stereotypical gender roles when evaluating contributions.

Reconsider how assets such as the family home are split, particularly when a party is awarded sole custody.

2. Presumption of Joint Custody

Implement a rebuttable presumption of joint physical and legal custody.

Encourage equal parenting time unless substantial evidence indicates that it would be detrimental to the child's best interests.

3. Needs-Based Spousal Support

Make awards solely on provable financial need and capacity to pay.

Shorten duration of support to a reasonable time based on reemployment potential.

Impose the same standards on men and women.

4. Training and Education

Require continuous training of judges, lawyers, and mediators in implicit bias, specifically in gender roles in parenting and income.

Promote more use of gender-neutral language and paradigms in court rulings.

5. Promote Mediation and Alternative Dispute Resolution

Foster non-adversarial methods of dispute resolution to enable couples to arrive at equitable resolutions collaboratively.

Make mediation services accessible to all socio-economic groups at affordable rates.

Conclusion

Divorce statutes have far evolved to rectify the age-old injustices against women, but the pendulum cannot swing so much that it creates new injustices. Men understandably struggle to win fair treatment in matters of property, custody, and alimony. Extending legal safeguards for men is not an attempt to erode women's advances—it is an effort at real gender equity.

With careful reform, legal systems can design a divorce process that is equitable to both spouses, most importantly, is in the interest of the child, and which does not put anyone at a disadvantage due to stereotypes or unconscious biases. We will be moving towards a more equitable and compassionate system of family law.

+

References

1. Brinig, Margaret F., & Allen, Douglas W. (2000). These Boots Are Made for Walking: Why Most Divorce Filers Are Women. *American Law and Economics Review*, 2(1), 126–169.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aler/2.1.126>
2. Fineman, Martha Albertson. (1995). *The Neutered Mother, the Sexual Family and Other Twentieth Century Tragedies*. Routledge.
(Chapters on economic disparities post-divorce)
3. Allen, Douglas W. (2002). No-Fault Divorce and the Divorce Rate. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 45(1), 131–157.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/338346>
4. Parke, Ross D. (1996). Fathers and Divorce. *Family Court Review*, 34(3), 462–475.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.174-1617.1996.tb00430.x>
5. Warshak, Richard A. (2014). Social Science and Parenting Plans for Young Children: A Consensus Report. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 20(1), 46–67.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000005>
4. Fabricius, William V., & Suh, Gianna. (2017). Should Infants and Toddlers Have Frequent Overnight Parenting Time With Fathers? *Journal of Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 23(1), 68–84.
5. Nielsen, Linda. (2011). Shared Parenting After Divorce: A Review of Shared Residential Parenting Research. *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage*, 52(8), 586–609.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10502556.2011.619913>
6. Ellman, Ira Mark. (2003). The Theory of Alimony. *California Law Review*, 77(1), 1–53.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/3480764>
8. Mclean, MairiAnn Cullen. (2003). Alimony and Gender Equality: Theory, Doctrine, and Reform. *Harvard Women's Law Journal*, 26, 1–36.
10. Boyd, Susan B. (2004). The Fathers' Rights Movement, Law, and Family Politics. *University of British Columbia Law Review*, 37(2), 329–377.
11. Crowley, Jocelyn Elise. (2003). *The Politics of Child Support in America*. Cambridge University Press.
12. Virginia Law Review. (2000). Divorce Bargain, Fathers' Rights Movement, and Family Inequalities. *Virginia Law Review*, 86(8), 2129–2175.
13. Mnookin, Robert H., & Kornhauser, Lewis. (1979). Bargaining in the Shadow of the Law: The Case of Divorce. *Yale Law Journal*, 88(5), 950–997.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/795824>
14. Eekelaar, John. (2006). *Family Justice: Ideal or Illusion?* Hart Publishing.
15. Smart, Carol. (1989). *Feminism and the Power of Law*. Routledge.
(Chapter on how law constructs gender roles in family disputes)
16. U.S. Census Bureau. (2022). *Living Arrangements of Children Under 18 Years Old: 2021*.
<https://www.census.gov>
17. National Parents Organization. (2021). *Shared Parenting Report Card – U.S. State Rankings on Custody Laws*.
<https://nationalparentsorganization.org>
18. NBER (National Bureau of Economic Research). (2015). *Divorce Laws and Family Violence*.
<https://www.nber.org/papers/w10175>
19. Brinig, M. F., & Allen, D. W. (2000). These boots are made for walking: Why most divorce filers are women. *American Law and Economics Review*, 2(1), 126–169. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aler/2.1.126>