

E-Wallets vs. Traditional Banking: A Comparative Study

Dr. Kamlesh Babu Gautam

Associate Professor

Department of Commerce

Swami shukdevanand College, Shahjahanpur, UP, Bharat

Abstract

The evolution of financial technology has revolutionized how individuals conduct financial transactions. E-wallets, as digital alternatives to conventional banking, have grown in popularity due to their ease of use, accessibility, and integration with smartphones. This study compares e-wallets and traditional banking services across key dimensions such as convenience, security, accessibility, cost, and consumer satisfaction. Using primary data collected from 250 respondents through structured questionnaires, the study analyzes user preferences and perceptions regarding both systems. Results indicate that while e-wallets offer superior convenience and speed, traditional banks retain trust for large transactions and long-term financial management. The paper concludes with practical recommendations for financial service providers and policymakers to promote an inclusive, secure, and efficient digital economy.

Keywords: E-wallets, Traditional Banking, Digital Payments, Financial Technology, User Satisfaction

Introduction

The financial sector has undergone a paradigm shift in the past decade with the advent of digital technologies. E-wallets, also known as digital wallets or mobile wallets, have emerged as powerful tools that allow users to make transactions without physical cash or cards. In contrast, traditional banking institutions, though technologically evolving, still rely on legacy systems and require in-person visits for several services.

India, with its robust digital infrastructure and policy initiatives such as Digital India and demonetization (2016), has witnessed rapid adoption of digital payments. Platforms like Paytm, PhonePe, Google Pay, and BHIM have penetrated both urban and rural markets. However, the trust and reliability of traditional banks such as SBI, ICICI, HDFC, and cooperative banks continue to dominate consumer perception for savings, credit, and large-value transactions.

This comparative study aims to explore the preferences, usage behavior, and satisfaction levels of users between e-wallets and traditional banking, highlighting the benefits and limitations of both.

Review of Literature

Numerous studies have addressed the rise of digital finance and its impact on traditional banking. **Chauhan (2018)** found that digital wallets are widely accepted due to their ease of use and cashback features. **Mishra & Das (2020)** concluded that e-wallets are more popular among millennials and urban youth who value convenience and speed. **RBI Annual Report (2022)** noted that although digital transactions have grown substantially, traditional banking services are still crucial for credit distribution and financial inclusion. **Agarwal et al. (2023)** observed security concerns and lack of trust as major barriers to the complete switch to e-wallets.

Research Gap

The existing literature emphasizes either the rise of e-wallets or the traditional resilience of banking but lacks:

- A direct, structured comparison between the two from the perspective of daily users.
- Real-world data post-2020 pandemic era reflecting changing transaction behaviors.
- Insights into satisfaction, preference, and trust dimensions of both systems.

This study fills the gap by conducting a comparative study using primary data, with a focus on the Indian consumer market.

Objectives of the Study

1. To compare the usage patterns of e-wallets and traditional banking services.
2. To analyze consumer perceptions regarding convenience, cost, security, and satisfaction.
3. To evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of both financial service systems.
4. To offer suggestions for improving the synergy between traditional and digital financial services.

Research Methodology

(A) Collection of Data

The study employed both primary and secondary data:

- **Primary Data:** Collected using structured questionnaires distributed among 250 individuals across urban and semi-urban areas in Uttar Pradesh.
- **Secondary Data:** Extracted from RBI reports, journal articles, government publications, and industry reports (e.g., NPCI, Statista).

(B) Sampling Frame

- **Population:** Bank account and e-wallet users aged 18–60 years.
- **Sample Size:** 250 respondents.
- **Sampling Method:** Stratified random sampling to ensure representation across gender, age, and region.
- **Tools Used:** MS Excel and SPSS for data tabulation and analysis.

Data Analysis

Table 1: Frequency of Use (Daily/Weekly)

Mode of Transaction	Daily Use (%)	Weekly Use (%)	Rare Use (%)
E-Wallets	60%	30%	10%
Traditional Banking	15%	40%	45%

Source : Primary data

Table 2: User Perception of Security

Security Perception	E-Wallets (%)	Traditional Banks (%)
Very Secure	30%	68%

Security Perception	E-Wallets (%)	Traditional Banks (%)
Moderately Secure	55%	28%
Not Secure	15%	4%

Source : Primary data

Table 3: Overall Satisfaction Score (1–5 Scale)

Satisfaction Criteria	E-Wallets	Traditional Banks
Convenience	4.7	3.2
Customer Service	3.6	4.2
Transaction Charges	4.1	3.8
Trust and Security	3.5	4.5
Speed and Efficiency	4.8	3.1
Average Score	4.14	3.76

Source : Primary data

Findings

1. E-wallets dominate for small, frequent payments like groceries, recharge, and P2P transfers.
2. Traditional banks are preferred for fixed deposits, loans, and high-value transactions.
3. E-wallets are more popular among youth (18–35 years), while older adults (40+) prefer traditional banks.
4. Security remains a top concern for e-wallet users due to phishing, fraud, and hacking incidents.
5. Traditional banks are slow in adopting user-friendly mobile platforms compared to e-wallets.
6. Users appreciate the 24/7 availability and minimal charges of e-wallets.
7. Many users employ both platforms for different needs, indicating a coexistence trend rather than substitution.

Conclusion

E-wallets and traditional banks serve different yet overlapping roles in modern financial ecosystems. E-wallets, with their speed, convenience, and affordability, are reshaping daily consumer behavior. However, the deep-rooted trust in banks, regulatory oversight, and comprehensive services keep traditional banking relevant and indispensable.

The future is not about choosing one over the other, but rather integrating their strengths. While e-wallets can enhance front-end efficiency, banks offer long-term security and financial discipline. Collaborative models (e.g., linking UPI with bank accounts) already illustrate this synergy.

Suggestions

On the basis of above study and findings following suggestion can be given .

1. **For Banks:**
 - Banks Simplify mobile banking UI and reduce processing times.
 - Banks should Introduce real-time support via chat or call centers.
 - Banks Should Promote digital literacy among older or rural populations.
2. **For E-Wallet Providers:**

- They should Invest in enhanced encryption and fraud detection systems.
 - They should Offer customer insurance on transactions above a threshold.
 - They should Collaborate with regulatory bodies to build user trust.
3. **For Policymakers:**
- They should Create guidelines to integrate e-wallets into formal credit systems.
 - They should Ensure equal access and cyber security awareness campaigns.
 - They should Foster fin tech innovation in rural financial inclusion strategies.

References

1. Chauhan, V. (2018). "Digital Wallet: A New Way of Payment." *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 8(1), 52–58.
2. Mishra, R. & Das, S. (2020). "Millennial Preferences for E-Wallets in India." *Journal of Business Research*, 12(4), 66–75.
3. Reserve Bank of India (2022). *Annual Report 2021–22*. Retrieved from <https://www.rbi.org.in>
4. Agarwal, M., Sharma, T., & Gupta, P. (2023). "Digital Finance and Consumer Behavior." *Asian Journal of Economics and Finance*, 5(1), 34–48.
5. NPCI (2022). *UPI Annual Transaction Report*. Retrieved from <https://www.npci.org.in>
6. Statista (2024). "Number of Mobile Wallet Users in India." Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics>
7. Ministry of Electronics & IT (MeitY). (2021). *Digital India Programme Report*. Government of India.